

Brian Fisher; Emin Özçag

Results on the commutative neutrix convolution product of distributions

*Archivum Mathematicum*, Vol. 29 (1993), No. 1-2, 105--117

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107471>

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

**RESULTS ON THE COMMUTATIVE NEUTRIX  
CONVOLUTION PRODUCT OF DISTRIBUTIONS**

BRIAN FISHER, EMIN ÖZÇAĞ

ABSTRACT. Let  $f, g$  be distributions in  $\mathcal{D}'$  and let  $f_n(x) = f(x)\tau_n(x)$ ,  $g_n(x) = g(x)\tau_n(x)$ , where  $\tau_n(x)$  is a certain function which converges to the identity function as  $n$  tends to infinity. Then the commutative neutrix convolution product  $f \boxed{*} g$  is defined as the neutrix limit of the sequence  $\{f_n * g_n\}$ , provided the limit exists. The neutrix convolution product  $\ln x - \boxed{*} x_+^r$  is evaluated for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , from which other neutrix convolution products are deduced.

In the following, we let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support and let  $\mathcal{D}'$  be the space of distributions defined on  $\mathcal{D}$ . The following definition for the convolution product of certain distributions  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ , was given by Gelfand and Shilov [6].

**Definition 1.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be distributions in  $\mathcal{D}'$  satisfying either of the following conditions:

- (a) either  $f$  or  $g$  has bounded support,
- (b) the supports of  $f$  and  $g$  are bounded on the same side.

Then the convolution product  $f * g$  is defined by

$$\langle (f * g)(x), \phi \rangle = \langle f(y), \langle g(x), \phi(x + y) \rangle \rangle$$

for arbitrary  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

It follows that if the convolution product  $f * g$  exists by Definition 1, then

- (1)  $f * g = g * f$ ,
- (2)  $(f * g)' = f * g' = f' * g$ .

In the next definition, the function  $\tau$  is an infinitely differentiable, even function with the properties:

- (i)  $0 \leq \tau(x) \leq 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\tau(x) = 1$  for  $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- (iii)  $\tau(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq 1$ ,

see Jones [7].

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46F10.

*Key words and phrases*: distribution, neutrix, neutrix limit, commutative neutrix convolution product.

Received July 20, 1992.

**Definition 2.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be distributions and let

$$\tau_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq n, \\ \tau(n^n x - n^{n+1}), & x > n, \\ \tau(n^n x + n^{n+1}), & x < -n, \end{cases}$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\tau$  is defined as in Definition 2 of [5]. Let  $f_n = f\tau_n$  and  $g_n = g\tau_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then the commutative neutrix convolution product  $f \boxed{*} g$  is defined as the neutrix limit of the sequence  $\{f_n * g_n\}$ , provided the limit  $h$  exists in the sense that

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_n * g_n, \phi \rangle = \langle h, \phi \rangle$$

for all  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , where  $N$  is the neutrix, see van der Corput [1], having domain  $N' = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$  and range the real numbers with negligible functions finite linear sums of the functions

$$n^\lambda \ln^{r-1} n, \quad \ln^r n, \quad (\lambda > 0; r = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and all functions  $\epsilon(n)$  for which  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon(n) = 0$ .

The convolution product  $f_n * g_n$  in this definition is again in the sense of Definition 1, the support of  $f_n$  being contained in the interval  $[-n - n^{-n}, n + n^{-n}]$ . It was proved in [3] that if a convolution product exists by Definition 1, then the commutative neutrix convolution product exists and defines the same distribution.

The following theorems were proved in [3] and [4] respectively.

**Theorem 1.** *The neutrix convolution product  $x_-^\lambda \boxed{*} x_+^\mu$  exists and*

$$x_-^\lambda \boxed{*} x_+^\mu = B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1)x_-^{\lambda+\mu+1} + B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1)x_+^{\lambda+\mu+1},$$

for  $\lambda, \mu, \lambda + \mu \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ , where  $B$  denotes the Beta function.

**Theorem 2.** *The neutrix convolution product  $x_-^\lambda \boxed{*} x_+^{r-\lambda}$  exists and*

$$\begin{aligned} x_-^\lambda \boxed{*} x_+^{r-\lambda} &= B(-r - 1, r + 1 - \lambda)x_-^{r+1} + B(-r - 1, \lambda + 1)x_+^{r+1} \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^r (\lambda)_{r+1}}{(r + 1)!} x^{r+1} \ln |x|, \end{aligned}$$

for  $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$  and  $r = -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

In this theorem,  $B$  again denotes the Beta function but is defined as in [2] by

$$B(\lambda, \mu) = N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1/n}^{1-1/n} t^{\lambda-1} (1-t)^{\mu-1} dt.$$

Before proving the next theorem, we need the following result:

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} \frac{(-1)^i}{(r-i+1)^2} = \frac{(-1)^r \Phi(r+1)}{r+1}$$

for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where

$$\Phi(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & r = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^r 1/i, & r \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Putting

$$s(x) = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} \frac{(-x)^{r-i+1}}{(r-i+1)^2},$$

we have

$$x s'(x) = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} \frac{(-x)^{r-i+1}}{r-i+1}$$

and so

$$[x s'(x)]' = - \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} (-x)^{r-i} = -(1-x)^r.$$

Thus

$$s'(x) = \frac{(1-x)^{r+1} - 1}{(r+1)x}.$$

It now follows that

$$\begin{aligned} s(1) &= (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} \frac{(-1)^i}{(r-i+1)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{r+1} \int_0^1 [(1-x)^{r+1} - 1] d \ln x = \int_0^1 \ln x (1-x)^r dx \\ &= \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} B(\lambda, r+1) \right|_{\lambda=1} \\ &= \frac{(r+1)! \Gamma'(1) - \Gamma'(r+2)}{(r+1)(r+1)!} = -\frac{\Phi(r+1)}{r+1} \end{aligned}$$

and equation (3) follows.

We now prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *The neutrix convolution product  $\ln x_- \square_* x_+^r$  exists and*

$$(4) \quad \ln x_- \square_* x_+^r = \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r+1} x_-^{r+1} \ln x_- + \frac{(-1)^r \Phi(r+1)}{r+1} x_-^{r+1} + \frac{\Phi(r)}{r+1} x_+^{r+1}$$

for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

**Proof.** We put

$$(\ln x_-)_n = \ln x_- \tau_n(x), \quad (x_+^r)_n = x_+^r \tau_n(x),$$

so that  $(\ln x_-)_n$  and  $(x_+^r)_n$  are summable functions. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\ln x_-)_n * (x_+^r)_n, \phi(x) \rangle &= \langle (\ln y_-)_n, \langle (x_+^r)_n, \phi(x+y) \rangle \rangle \\ &= \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^0 \ln(-y) \tau_n(y) \int_a^b (x-y)_+^r \tau_n(x-y) \phi(x) dx dy \\ (5) \quad &= \int_a^b \phi(x) \int_{-n}^0 \ln(-y) (x-y)_+^r \tau_n(x-y) dy dx \\ &\quad + \int_a^b \phi(x) \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} \ln(-y) \tau_n(y) (x-y)_+^r \tau_n(x-y) dy dx \end{aligned}$$

for  $n > -a$  and arbitrary  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  with support of  $\phi$  contained in the interval  $[a, b]$ .

When  $x < 0$  and  $-n \leq y \leq 0$ ,  $\tau_n(x-y) = 1$  on the support of  $\phi$ . Thus with  $x < 0$  and  $-n \leq y \leq 0$ , we have, on using the substitution  $y = xu^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-n}^0 \ln(-y) (x-y)_+^r \tau_n(x-y) dy &= \int_{-n}^x \ln(-y) (x-y)^r dy \\ &= (-x)^{r+1} \ln(-x) \int_{-x/n}^1 u^{-r-2} (1-u)^r du \\ &\quad - (-x)^{r+1} \int_{-x/n}^1 u^{-r-2} \ln u (1-u)^r du \\ &= I_{1n} - I_{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-x/n}^1 u^{-r-2} (1-u)^r du &= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \int_{-x/n}^1 u^{i-r-2} du \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \left[ \frac{1}{i-r-1} - \frac{(-x/n)^{i-r-1}}{i-r-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{1n} &= \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{(-1)^{i+1} r!}{i!(r-i)!(r-i+1)} (-x)^{r+1} \ln(-x) \\ (6) \quad &= -\frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r+1}{i} x_-^{r+1} \ln x_- \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r+1} x_-^{r+1} \ln x_-. \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-x/n}^1 u^{-r-2} \ln u (1-u)^r du &= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \int_{-x/n}^1 u^{i-r-2} \ln u du \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \left[ \frac{u^{i-r-1} \ln u}{i-r-1} - \frac{u^{i-r-1}}{(i-r-1)^2} \right]_{-x/n}^1 \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$(7) \quad N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{2n} = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} \frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{(r-i+1)^2} (-x)^{r+1} = \frac{(-1)^{r+1} \Phi(r+1)}{r+1} x_-^{r+1},$$

by virtue of equation (3). It follows from equations (6) and (7) that when  $x < 0$ ,

$$(8) \quad N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n}^0 \ln(-y)(x-y)_+^r = \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r+1} x_-^{r+1} \ln x_- + \frac{(-1)^r \Phi(r+1)}{r+1} x_-^{r+1}.$$

When  $x > 0$  and  $-n \leq y \leq 0$ , we have

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{-n}^0 \ln(-y)(x-y)_+^r \tau_n(x-y) dy \\ &= \int_{x-n}^0 \ln(-y)(x-y)^r dy + \int_{x-n-n}^{x-n} \ln(-y)(x-y)^r \tau_n(x-y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Using the substitution  $y = x(1-u^{-1})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x-n}^0 \ln(-y)(x-y)^r dy &= x^{r+1} \ln x \int_{x/n}^1 u^{-r-2} du + x^{r+1} \int_{x/n}^1 u^{-r-2} \ln(1-u) du \\ &\quad - x^{r+1} \int_{x/n}^1 u^{-r-2} \ln u du \\ &= I_{3n} + I_{4n} - I_{5n}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\int_{x/n}^1 u^{-r-2} du = -\frac{1}{r+1} + \frac{n^{r+1}}{(r+1)x^{r+1}}$$

and it follows that

$$(10) \quad N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{3n} = -\frac{x^{r+1} \ln x}{r+1}.$$

Next we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x/n}^1 u^{-r-2} \ln(1-u) du &= -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{x/n}^1 \frac{u^{i-r-2}}{i} du \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1-(n/x)^{r-i+1}}{i(r-i+1)} + \sum_{i=r+2}^{\infty} \frac{1-(n/x)^{r-i+1}}{i(r-i+1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{\ln x - \ln n}{r+1} \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{4n} &= \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{x^{r+1}}{i(r-i+1)} + \sum_{i=r+2}^{\infty} \frac{x^{r+1}}{i(r-i+1)} + \frac{x^{r+1} \ln x}{r+1} \\ &= \frac{\Phi(r)}{r+1} x^{r+1} - \frac{x^{r+1}}{(r+1)^2} + \frac{x^{r+1} \ln x}{r+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have

$$\int_{x/n}^1 u^{-r-2} \ln u \, du = \frac{n^{r+1} [\ln x - \ln n]}{(r+1)x^{r+1}} - \frac{1}{(r+1)^2} + \frac{n^{r+1}}{(r+1)^2 x^{r+1}}$$

and it follows that

$$(12) \quad \text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{5n} = -\frac{x^{r+1}}{(r+1)^2}.$$

Further, with  $n > x + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{x-n-n^{-n}}^{x-n} \ln(-y)(x-y)^r \tau_n(x-y) \, dy \right| &\leq \int_n^{n+n^{-n}} \ln(y-x) y^r \, dy \\ &= o(n^{r-n} \ln n) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x-n-n^{-n}}^{x-n} \ln(-y)(x-y)^r \tau_n(x-y) \, dy = 0.$$

It now follows from equations (9), (10), (11), (12) and (13) that

$$(14) \quad \text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n}^0 \ln(-y)(x-y)_+^r \tau_n(x-y) \, dy = \frac{\Phi(r)}{r+1} x^{r+1}.$$

Next, with  $-\frac{1}{2}n < a \leq x \leq b < \frac{1}{2}n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} \ln(-y) \tau_n(y)(x-y)_+^r \tau_n(x-y) \, dy \right| &\leq \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} \ln(-y)(x-y)^r \, dy \\ &= o(n^{r-n} \ln n) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(15) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} \ln(-y) \tau_n(y)(x-y)_+^r \tau_n(x-y) \, dy = 0.$$

It now follows from equations (5), (8), (14) and (15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (\ln x_-)_n * (x_+^r)_n, \phi(x) \rangle &= (r+1)^{-1} \langle (-1)^{r+1} x_-^{r+1} \ln x_- \\ &\quad + (-1)^r \Phi(r+1) x_-^{r+1} + \Phi(r) x_+^{r+1}, \phi(x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and equation (4) follows. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary.** *The neutrix convolution products  $\ln x_+ \boxed{[*]} x_-^r$ ,  $\ln x_- \boxed{[*]} x_+^r$ ,  $\ln x_+ \boxed{[*]} x_+^r$ ,  $\ln |x| \boxed{[*]} x_-^r$ ,  $\ln |x| \boxed{[*]} x_+^r$  and  $\ln |x| \boxed{[*]} x^r$  exist and*

$$(16) \quad \ln x_+ \boxed{[*]} x_-^r = \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r+1} x_+^{r+1} \ln x_+ + \frac{(-1)^r \Phi(r+1)}{r+1} x_+^{r+1} + \frac{\Phi(r)}{r+1} x_-^{r+1},$$

$$(17) \quad \ln x_- \boxed{[*]} x_+^r = \frac{\Phi(r)}{r+1} x_+^{r+1},$$

$$(18) \quad \ln x_+ \boxed{[*]} x_+^r = \frac{(-1)^r \Phi(r)}{r+1} x_-^{r+1},$$

$$(19) \quad \ln |x| \boxed{[*]} x_-^r = \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r+1} x_+^{r+1} \ln |x| + \frac{(-1)^r \Phi(r+1)}{r+1} x_+^{r+1} + \frac{\Phi(r)}{r+1} x_-^{r+1},$$

$$(20) \quad \ln |x| \boxed{[*]} x_+^r = \frac{1}{r+1} x_+^{r+1} \ln |x| - \frac{\Phi(r+1)}{r+1} x_+^{r+1} + \frac{\Phi(r)}{r+1} x_+^{r+1},$$

$$(21) \quad \ln |x| \boxed{[*]} x^r = \frac{\Phi(r)}{r+1} [x_+^{r+1} + (-1)^r x_-^{r+1}]$$

for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

**Proof.** Equation (16) follows immediately on replacing  $x$  by  $-x$  in equation (4).

The convolution products  $\ln x_+ * x_+^r$  and  $\ln x_- * x_-^r$  exist by Gel'fand and Shilov's definition and it is easily proved that

$$(22) \quad \ln x_+ * x_+^r = \frac{1}{r+1} x_+^{r+1} \ln x_+ - \frac{\Phi(r+1)}{r+1} x_+^{r+1},$$

$$(23) \quad \ln x_- * x_-^r = \frac{1}{r+1} x_-^{r+1} \ln x_- - \frac{\Phi(r+1)}{r+1} x_-^{r+1}$$

for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Since

$$\ln |x| = \ln x_+ + \ln x_-, \quad x^r = x_+^r + (-1)^r x_-^r$$

and since the neutrix convolution products are clearly distributive with respect to addition, equations (17), (18), (19), (20) and (21) follow easily.

In the next theorem and its corollary, the distributions  $x_+^{-s}$  and  $x_-^{-s}$  are defined by

$$x_+^{-s} = \frac{(-1)^{s-1} (\ln x_+)^{(s)}}{(s-1)!}, \quad x_-^{-s} = -\frac{(\ln x_-)^{(s)}}{(s-1)!}$$

for  $s = 1, 2, \dots$ . □

**Theorem 5.** *The neutrix convolution product  $x_-^{-s} \boxed{[*]} x_+^r$  exists and*

$$(24) \quad x_-^{-s} \boxed{[*]} x_+^r = \binom{r}{s-1} \left\{ (-1)^{r-s} x_-^{r-s+1} \ln x_- - (-1)^{r-s} [\Phi(r-s+1) - \Phi(s-1)] x_-^{r-s+1} - \Phi(r) x_+^{r-s+1} \right\}$$



for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, r + 1$  and  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and

$$(25) \quad x_-^{-s} \boxed{*} x_+^r = \frac{r!(s-r-2)!}{(s-1)!} x_-^{r-s+1}$$

for  $s = r + 2, r + 3, \dots$  and  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

**Proof.** The convolution product  $(\ln x_-)_n * (x_+^r)_n$  exists by Gel'fand and Shilov's definition and so equations (2) hold. Thus if  $\phi$  is an arbitrary function in  $\mathcal{D}$  with support contained in the interval  $[a, b]$ , where we may suppose that  $a < 0 < b$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [(\ln x_-)_n * (x_+^r)_n]', \phi(x) \rangle &= -\langle (\ln x_-)_n * (x_+^r)_n, \phi'(x) \rangle \\ &= -\langle (x_-^{-1})_n * (x_+^r)_n, \phi(x) \rangle + \langle [\ln x_- \tau'_n(x)] * (x_+^r)_n, \phi(x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\langle (x_-^{-1})_n * (x_+^r)_n, \phi(x) \rangle = \langle (\ln x_-)_n * (x_+^r)_n, \phi'(x) \rangle + \langle [\ln x_- \tau'_n(x)] * (x_+^r)_n, \phi(x) \rangle.$$

The support of  $\ln x_- \tau'_n(x)$  is contained in the interval  $[-n - n^{-n}, -n]$  and so with  $n > -a > n^{-n}$ , it follows as above that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [\ln x_- \tau'_n(x)] * (x_+^r)_n, \phi(x) \rangle &= \\ &= \int_a^b \phi(x) \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} \ln(-y) \tau'_n(y) (x-y)^r \tau_n(x-y) dy dx \\ &= \int_a^0 \phi(x) \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} \ln(-y) \tau'_n(y) (x-y)^r dy dx \\ (26) \quad &- \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^0 \phi(x) \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} \ln(-y) \tau'_n(y) (x-y)^r dy dx \\ &+ \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{n^{-n}} \phi(x) \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} \ln(-y) \tau'_n(y) (x-y)^r \tau_n(x-y) dy dx, \end{aligned}$$

where on the domain of integration,  $\ln(-y)$  and  $(x-y)^r$  are locally summable functions.

Putting  $M = \sup\{|\tau'(x)|\} \cdot \sup\{|\phi(x)|\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{n^{-n}} \phi(x) \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} \ln(-y) \tau'_n(y) (x-y)^r \tau_n(x-y) dy dx \right| &\leq \\ &\leq Mn^n \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{n^{-n}} \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} \ln(-y) (x-y)^r dy dx \\ &= o(n^r \ln n) \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{n^{-n}} \phi(x) \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} \ln(-y) \tau'_n(y) (x-y)^r \tau_n(x-y) dy dx = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n^{-n}}^0 \phi(x) \int_{-n^{-n-n}}^{-n} \ln(y) \tau'_n(y) (x-y)^r dy dx = 0.$$

Integrating by parts, it follows that

$$(27) \quad \int_{-n^{-n-n}}^{-n} \ln(-y) \tau'_n(y) (x-y)^r dy \\ = \ln n(x+n)^r - \int_{-n^{-n-n}}^{-n} [y^{-1}(x-y)^r - r \ln(-y)(x-y)^{r-1}] \tau_n(y) dy,$$

where

$$\text{N-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ln n(x+n)^r = 0.$$

Further, it follows as in the proof of equation (15) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n^{-n-n}}^{-n} [(-y)^{-1}(x-y)^r - r \ln(-y)(x-y)^{r-1}] \tau_n(y) dy = 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{N-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (x_-^{-1})_n * (x_+^r)_n, \phi(x) \rangle &= \text{N-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (\ln x_-)_n * (x_+^r)_n, \phi'(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle \ln x_- \boxed{*} x_+^r, \phi'(x) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the neutrix convolution product  $x_-^{-1} \boxed{*} x_+^r$  exists and

$$\begin{aligned} x_-^{-1} \boxed{*} x_+^r &= -(\ln x_- \boxed{*} x_+^r)' \\ &= (-1)^{r-1} [x_-^r \ln x_- - \Phi(r)x_-^r] - \Phi(r)x_+^r \end{aligned}$$

on using equation (4). Equation (24) follows when  $s = 1$  and  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

Now assume that equation (24) holds for some positive integer  $s < r + 1$  and  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $\phi$  be an arbitrary function in  $\mathcal{D}$  with support contained in the interval  $[a, b]$ . Then it follows as above that

$$\langle [(x_-^{-s})_n * (x_+^r)_n]', \phi(x) \rangle = s \langle (x_-^{-s-1})_n * (x_+^r)_n, \phi(x) \rangle + \langle [x_-^{-s} \tau'_n(x)] * (x_+^r)_n, \phi(x) \rangle$$

and so

$$s \langle (x_-^{-s-1})_n * (x_+^r)_n, \phi(x) \rangle = - \langle (x_-^{-s})_n * (x_+^r)_n, \phi'(x) \rangle - \langle [x_-^{-s} \tau'_n(x)] * (x_+^r)_n, \phi(x) \rangle.$$

The support of  $x^{-s}\tau'_n(x)$  is contained in the interval  $[-n - n^{-n}, -n]$  and so with  $n > -a > n^{-n}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (29) \quad & \langle [x^{-s}\tau'_n(x)] * (x_+^r)_n, \phi(x) \rangle \\
 &= \int_a^b \phi(x) \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} (-y)^{-s} \tau'_n(y) (x-y)^r \tau_n(x-y) dy dx \\
 &= \int_a^0 \phi(x) \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} (-y)^{-s} \tau'_n(y) (x-y)^r dy dx \\
 &\quad - \int_{-n^{-n}}^0 \phi(x) \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} (-y)^{-s} \tau'_n(y) (x-y)^r dy dx \\
 &\quad + \int_{-n^{-n}}^{n^{-n}} \phi(x) \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} (-y)^{-s} \tau'_n(y) (x-y)^r \tau_n(x-y) dy dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where on the domain of integration,  $(-y)^{-s}$  and  $(x-y)^r$  are locally summable functions.

As above,

$$(30) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n^{-n}}^{n^{-n}} \phi(x) \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} (-y)^{-s} \tau'_n(y) (x-y)^r \tau_n(x-y) dy dx = 0$$

$$(31) \quad = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n^{-n}}^0 \phi(x) \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} (-y)^{-s} \tau'_n(y) (x-y)^r dy dx$$

Further, integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (32) \quad & \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} (-y)^{-s} \tau'_n(y) (x-y)^r dy = n^{-s} (x+n)^r \\
 & - \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} [s(-y)^{-s-1} (x-y)^r - r(-y)^{-s} (x-y)^{r-1}] \tau_n(y) dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$n^{-s} (x+n)^r = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} x^i n^{r-s-i}$$

and so

$$(33) \quad \text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-s} (x+n)^r = \binom{r}{s} x^{r-s}.$$

As above

$$(34) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} [s(-y)^{-s-1} (x-y)^r - r(-y)^{-s} (x-y)^{r-1}] \tau_n(y) dy = 0$$

and it follows from equations (29) to (34) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle [x_-^{-s} \tau'_n(x)] * (x_+^r)_n, \phi(x) \rangle &= \binom{r}{s} \int_a^0 x^{r-s} \phi(x) dx \\ &= \binom{r}{s} (-1)^{r-s} \int_a^b x_-^{r-s} \phi(x) dx \\ &= \binom{r}{s} (-1)^{r-s} \langle x_-^{r-s}, \phi(x) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from equation (28) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s \langle (x_-^{-s-1})_n * (x_+^r)_n, \phi(x) \rangle \\ &= -\langle x_-^{-s} \boxed{*} x_+^r, \phi'(x) \rangle - \binom{r}{s} (-1)^{r-s} \langle x_-^{r-s}, \phi(x) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From our assumption, this proves that  $x_-^{-s-1} \boxed{*} x_+^r$  exists and

$$\begin{aligned} x_-^{-s-1} \boxed{*} x_+^r &= \binom{r}{s} (-1)^{r-s-1} \left\{ x_-^{r-s} \ln x_- + (r-s+1)^{-1} x_-^{r-s} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [\Phi(r-s+1) - \Phi(s-1)] x_-^{r-s} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^{r-s} \Phi(r) x_+^{r-s} + s^{-1} x_-^{r-s} \right\} \\ &= \binom{r}{s} \left\{ (-1)^{r-s-1} x_-^{r-s} \ln x_- \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (-1)^{r-s-1} [\Phi(r-s) - \Phi(s)] x_-^{r-s} - \Phi(r) x_+^{r-s} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (24) follows by induction for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, r + 1$  and  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

When  $s \geq r + 1$ , equations (28) to (33) still hold but this time

$$\text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-s} (x + n)^r = 0$$

and so

$$(x_-^{-s} \boxed{*} x_+^r)' = s x_-^{-s-1} \boxed{*} x_+^r$$

for  $s = r + 1, r + 2, \dots$  and  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . In particular,

$$x_-^{-r-1} \boxed{*} x_+^r = -\ln x_- - \Phi(r)$$

and so on differentiating we get

$$(r + 1) x_-^{-r-2} \boxed{*} x_+^r = x_-^{-1},$$

proving equation (25) for the case  $s = r + 2$ . Equation (25) now follows easily by induction for  $s = r + 2, r + 3, \dots$  and  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , completing the proof of the theorem. □

**Corollary.** *The neutrix convolution products  $x_+^{-s} \boxed{*} x_-^r$ ,  $x_-^{-s} \boxed{*} x^r$ ,  $x_+^{-s} \boxed{*} x^r$ ,  $x^{-s} \boxed{*} x_-^r$ ,  $x^{-s} \boxed{*} x_+^r$  and  $x^{-s} \boxed{*} x^r$  exist and*

$$(35) \quad x_+^{-s} \boxed{*} x_-^r = \binom{r}{s-1} \left\{ (-1)^{r-s} x_+^{r-s+1} \ln x_+ \right. \\ \left. - (-1)^{r-s} [\Phi(r-s+1) - \Phi(s-1)] x_+^{r-s+1} \right. \\ \left. - \Phi(r) x_-^{r-s+1} \right\},$$

$$(36) \quad x_-^{-s} \boxed{*} x^r = \binom{r}{s-1} \left[ (-1)^{r-s} \Phi(s-1) x_-^{r-s+1} - \Phi(r) x_+^{r-s+1} \right],$$

$$(37) \quad x_+^{-s} \boxed{*} x^r = \binom{r}{s-1} \left[ (-1)^s \Phi(s-1) x_+^{r-s+1} - (-1)^r \Phi(r) x_-^{r-s+1} \right],$$

$$(38) \quad x^{-s} \boxed{*} x_-^r = \binom{r}{s-1} \left[ (-1)^{r-s} x^{r-s+1} \ln |x| \right. \\ \left. + (-1)^{r-s} \Phi(s-1) x_+^{r-s+1} \right. \\ \left. - (-1)^{r-s} \Phi(r-s+1) x^{r-s+1} - \Phi(r) x_-^{r-s+1} \right],$$

$$(39) \quad x^{-s} \boxed{*} x_+^r = \binom{r}{s-1} \left[ (-1)^{s-1} x^{r-s+1} \ln |x| \right. \\ \left. + (-1)^r \Phi(s-1) x_-^{r-s+1} \right. \\ \left. + (-1)^s \Phi(r-s+1) x^{r-s+1} - (-1)^s \Phi(r) x_+^{r-s+1} \right],$$

$$(40) \quad x^{-s} \boxed{*} x^r = \binom{r}{s-1} \left[ \Phi(s-1) - \Phi(r) \right] \left[ (-1)^s x_+^{r-s+1} \right. \\ \left. + (-1)^r x_-^{r-s+1} \right]$$

for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, r+1$  and  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and

$$(41) \quad x_+^{-s} \boxed{*} x_-^r = \frac{r!(s-r-2)!}{(s-1)!} x_+^{r-s+1},$$

$$(42) \quad x_-^{-s} \boxed{*} x^r = 0,$$

$$(43) \quad x_+^{-s} \boxed{*} x^r = 0,$$

$$(44) \quad x^{-s} \boxed{*} x_-^r = \frac{r!(s-r-2)!}{(s-1)!} x^{r-s+1},$$

$$(45) \quad x^{-s} \boxed{*} x_+^r = \frac{(-1)^{r+1} r!(s-r-2)!}{(s-1)!} x^{r-s+1},$$

$$(46) \quad x^{-s} \boxed{*} x^r = 0$$

for  $s = r+2, r+3, \dots$  and  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

**Proof.** Equations (35) and (41) follow immediately on replacing  $x$  by  $-x$  in equations (24) and (25) respectively.

Differentiating equations (22) and (23)  $s$  times using equations (2) gives

$$x_+^{-s} * x_+^r = (-1)^{s-1} \binom{r}{s-1} [x_+^{r-s+1} \ln x_+ - \Phi(r-s+1)x_+^{r-s+1}],$$

$$x_-^{-s} * x_-^r = (-1)^{s-1} \binom{r}{s-1} [x_-^{r-s+1} \ln x_- - \Phi(r-s+1)x_-^{r-s+1}]$$

for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, r + 1$  and  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and

$$x_+^{-s} * x_+^r = \frac{(-1)^{r+1} r! (s-r-2)!}{(s-1)!} x_+^{r-s+1},$$

$$x_-^{-s} * x_-^r = \frac{(-1)^{r+1} r! (s-r-2)!}{(s-1)!} x_-^{r-s+1}$$

for  $s = r + 1, r + 2, \dots$  and  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Equations (36), (37), (42) and (43) follow immediately. Equations (38), (39), (40), (44), (45) and (46) also follow on noting that

$$x^{-s} = x_+^{-s} + (-1)^s x_-^{-s}$$

for  $s = 1, 2, \dots$ . □

**Acknowledgement.** The authors would like to thank the referee for his help in improving this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] van der Corput, J. G., *Introduction to the neutrix calculus*, J. Analyse Math. **7** (1959-60), 291-398.  
 [2] Fisher, B., Kuribayashi, Y., *Neutrices and the Beta function*, Rostock. Math. Kolloq. **32** (1987), 56-66.  
 [3] Fisher, B., Li Chen Kuan, *A commutative neutrix convolution product of distributions*, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat., to appear.  
 [4] Fisher, B., Özçağ, E., *A result on the commutative neutrix convolution product of distributions*, Doğa Mat. **16** (1992), 33-45.  
 [5] Fisher, B., Özçağ, E., Li, C. K., *A commutative neutrix convolution of distributions and the exchange formula*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **28** (1992), 187-197.  
 [6] Gel'fand, I. M., Shilov, G. E., *Generalized functions*, vol. . I, Academic Press, 1964.  
 [7] Jones, D. S., *The convolution of generalized functions*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **24** (1973), 145-163.

BRIAN FISHER, EMIN ÖZÇAĞ  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE  
 UNIVERSITY OF LEICESTER  
 LEICESTER, LE1 7RH, GREAT BRITAIN