

Mohammad Ashraf

Commutativity of associative rings through a Streb's classification

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 33 (1997), No. 4, 315--321

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107620>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1997

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

COMMUTATIVITY OF ASSOCIATIVE RINGS THROUGH A STREB'S CLASSIFICATION

MOHAMMAD ASHRAF

ABSTRACT. Let $m \geq 0$, $r \geq 0$, $s \geq 0$, $q \geq 0$ be fixed integers. Suppose that R is an associative ring with unity 1 in which for each $x, y \in R$ there exist polynomials $f(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X]$, $g(X)$, $h(X) \in X\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $\{1 - g(yx^m)\}[x, x^r y - x^s f(yx^m)x^q]\{1 - h(yx^m)\} = 0$. Then R is commutative. Further, result is extended to the case when the integral exponents in the above property depend on the choice of x and y . Finally, commutativity of one sided s -unital ring is also obtained when R satisfies some related ring properties.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the present paper R will denote an associative ring. The symbol $[x, y]$ will denote the commutator $xy - yx$. As usual, $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ is the totality of polynomials in X with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} , the ring of integers. A ring R is called left (resp. right) s -unital if $x \in Rx$ (resp. $x \in xR$) for all $x \in R$. A ring R is called s -unital if and only if $x \in Rx \cap xR$ for all $x \in R$. Consider the following ring properties:

- (H) For each $x, y \in R$ there exists a polynomial $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $[x - x^2 f(x), y] = 0$.
- (CH) For each $x, y \in R$, there exist polynomials $f(X), g(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $[x - x^2 f(x), y - y^2 g(y)] = 0$.
- (P₁) For each $x, y \in R$ there exist polynomials $f(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $g(X)$, $h(X) \in X\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $\{1 - g(yx^m)\}[x, x^r y - x^s f(yx^m)x^q]\{1 - h(yx^m)\} = 0$, where $m \geq 0$, $r \geq 0$, $s \geq 0$, $q \geq 0$ are fixed integers.
- (P₁^{*}) For each $x, y \in R$ there exist integers $m = m(x, y) \geq 0$, $r = r(x, y) \geq 0$, $s = s(x, y) \geq 0$, $q = q(x, y) \geq 0$ and polynomials $f(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X]$,

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16U80.

Key words and phrases: factorsubring, s -unital ring, commutativity, commutator, associative ring.

Received February 27, 1996

$g(X), h(X) \in X\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that

$$\{1 - g(yx^m)\}[x, x^r y - x^s f(yx^m)x^q]\{1 - h(yx^m)\} = 0.$$

(P₂) For each $x, y \in R$ there exist polynomials $f(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $g(X), h(X) \in X\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $\{1 - g(yx^m)\}[x, yx^r - x^s f(yx^m)x^q]\{1 - h(yx^m)\} = 0$, where $m \geq 0, r \geq 0, s \geq 0, q \geq 0$ are fixed integers.

(P₂^{*}) For each $x, y \in R$ there exist integers $m = m(x, y) \geq 0, r = r(x, y) \geq 0, s = s(x, y) \geq 0, q = q(x, y) \geq 0$ and polynomials $f(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X], g(X), h(X) \in X\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that

$$\{1 - g(yx^m)\}[x, yx^r - x^s f(yx^m)x^q]\{1 - h(yx^m)\} = 0.$$

The famous Jacobson's " $x^{n(x)} = x$ theorem" was generalized by Herstein [6] (signified as Theorem H in sequel), who proved that a ring satisfying the property (H) must be commutative. It is natural to consider the related properties $[xy - p(xy), x] = 0$ and $[xy - q(yx), x] = 0$ for some $p(X), q(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X]$ depending on ring's elements x, y . Pucha and Yaqub [13] established that if for each $x, y \in R$ there exists a polynomial $f(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $xy - f(xy)$ is central, then R^2 must be central. Recently, Bell et al.(cf. [3] and [4]) obtained the commutativity of rings with unity 1 satisfying identities of the form $[xy - p(xy), x] = 0$ or $[xy - q(yx), x] = 0$, where the underlying polynomials $p(X), q(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X]$, are considered to be fixed. Inspired by these works, the author [2] obtained commutativity of rings with unity 1 satisfying the property $[x^m y - x^p f(x^m y)x^q, x] = 0$, where the polynomial $f(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X]$ depends on the pairs $x, y \in R$ and $m \geq 0, p \geq 0, q \geq 0$ are fixed integers. Thus, a natural question arises: what can we say about the commutativity of ring R , if the underlying condition is replaced by $[x^m y - x^p f(yx^m)x^q, x] = 0$? In the present paper, we not only answer this question, but also we establish rather a more general result by proving that a ring with unity 1 satisfying either of the properties (P₁) or (P₂) is commutative. Further, results are obtained for one sided s-unital rings. Thus, we generalize considerably many well-known commutativity theorems to mention a few [3, Theorem 2], [11, Theorem 1], [12, Theorem 2], [14, Theorem], [15, Theorem A], [16, Theorem], [17, Theorem] and [19, Theorem] etc.

2. SOME PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We begin by considering the following types of rings.

- (i) $\begin{pmatrix} GF(p) & GF(p) \\ 0 & GF(p) \end{pmatrix}, p$ a prime.
- (i)_t $\begin{pmatrix} GF(p) & GF(p) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, p$ a prime.
- (i)_r $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & GF(p) \\ 0 & GF(p) \end{pmatrix}, p$ a prime.
- (ii) $M_{\sigma}(K) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \sigma(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} / \alpha, \beta \in K \right\}$, where K is a finite field with a non-trivial automorphism σ .

- (iii) A non-commutative division ring.
- (iv) $S = \langle 1 \rangle + T$, T a non-commutative radical subring of S .
- (v) $S = \langle 1 \rangle + T$, T a non-commutative subring of S such that $T[T, T] = [T, T]T = 0$.

Recently Streb [18] classified non-commutative rings, which has been used effectively as a tool, to obtain a number of commutativity theorems (cf. [2], [9], [10], [11] and [12] etc.). From the proof of [18, Corollary 1] it can be easily, observed that if R is a non-commutative ring with unity 1, then there exists a factorsubring of R , which is of type (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) or (v). This observation gives the following result, which plays the key role in our subsequent study (cf. [11, Lemma 1]).

Lemma 1. *Let P be a ring property which is inherited by factorsubring. If no rings of type (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) or (v) satisfy P , then every ring with unity 1 satisfying P is commutative.*

For easy reference, we state the following known results which are essentially proved in [9, Corollary 1] and [12, Lemma 1] respectively.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that a ring R with unity 1 satisfies (CH). If R is non-commutative, then there exists a factorsubring of R which is of type (i) or (ii).*

Lemma 3. *Let R be a left (resp. right) s -unital not a right (resp. left) s -unital, then R has a factorsubring of type $(i)_l$ (resp. $(i)_r$).*

3. MAIN RESULTS

We begin with the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let R be a ring with unity 1 satisfying either of the properties (P_1) or (P_2) , then R is commutative (and conversely).*

In order to develop the proof of the above theorem, first we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let R be a division ring satisfying either of the properties (P_1) or (P_2) . Then R is commutative.*

Proof. Suppose that R satisfies the property (P_1) . Let u be a unit in R . Then for each $y \in R$, there exist polynomials $f(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $g(X), h(X) \in X\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \{1 - g(yu^{-m}u^m)\}[u, u^r y u^{-m} - u^s f(yu^{-m}u^m)u^q]\{1 - h(yu^{-m}u^m)\} \\ &= \{1 - g(y)\}[u, u^r y u^{-m} - u^s f(y)u^q]\{1 - h(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that either $y - yg(y) = 0, y - yh(y) = 0$ or $[u, u^r y u^{-m} - u^s f(y) u^q] = 0$. In the first two cases R is commutative by Theorem H. Hence, we assume that for a unit $u \in R$ and arbitrary $y \in R, [u, u^r y u^{-m} - u^s f(y) u^q] = 0$, which implies that

$$(1) \quad u^r [u, y] = u^s [u, f(y)] u^{q+m}.$$

Further, choose polynomial $f_1(X) \in X^2 \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $u^{-r} [u^{-1}, y] = u^{-s} [u^{-1}, f_1(y)] u^{-(q+m)}$. This yields that

$$(2) \quad u^s [u, y] u^{q+m} = u^r [u, f_1(y)].$$

In view of (1), there exists a polynomial $f_2(X) \in X^2 \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $u^r [u, f_1(y)] = u^s [u, f_2(f_1(y))] u^{q+m}$. Thus, if $f_3(X) = f_2(f_1(X)) \in X^2 \mathbb{Z}[X]$, then we have

$$(3) \quad u^r [u, f_1(y)] = u^s [u, f_3(y)] u^{q+m}.$$

Comparing equations (2) and (3), we arrive at $u^s [u, y] u^{q+m} = u^s [u, f_3(y)] u^{q+m}$. But, since u is a unit in R and hence $[u, y - f_3(y)] = 0$ for some $f_3(X) \in X^2 \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Again using Theorem H, we see that R is commutative.

Using similar techniques with necessary variations, we get the required result, if R satisfies the property (P₂). We are now well-equipped to prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that R satisfies the property (P₁). In view of Lemma 1, it suffices to show that R can not be of type (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) or (v).

First consider the ring of type (i). Then in $(GF(p))_2, p$ a prime, we see that for each $f(X) \in X^2 \mathbb{Z}[X], g(X), h(X) \in X \mathbb{Z}[X]$,

$$\{1 - g(e_{12} e_{11}^m)\} [e_{11}, e_{11}^r e_{12} - e_{11}^s f(e_{12} e_{11}^m) e_{11}^q] \{1 - h(e_{12} e_{11}^m)\} = e_{12} \neq 0,$$

a contradiction. Hence no rings of type (i) satisfy (P₁).

Now, consider the ring $M_\sigma(K)$, a ring of type (ii), and choose $x = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}, (\alpha \neq \sigma(\alpha)), y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then for each $f(X) \in X^2 \mathbb{Z}[X], g(X), h(X) \in X \mathbb{Z}[X]$, we see that

$$\{1 - g(yx^m)\} [x, x^r y - x^s f(yx^m) x^q] \{1 - h(yx^m)\} = \alpha^r (\alpha - \sigma(\alpha)) e_{12} \neq 0.$$

Hence, R can not be of type (ii).

Further, let R be a ring of type (iii). Then by Lemma 4, R is commutative, a contradiction.

Assume that R is a ring of type (iv). Then a careful scrutiny of the proof of Lemma 4 shows that, for a unit $u \in R$ and arbitrary $y \in R$, there exist polynomials $f_3(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X]$, $g(X), h(X) \in X\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that either $y - yg(y) = 0$, $y - yh(y) = 0$ or $[u, y - f_3(y)] = 0$. Let $a, b \in T$. Then $1 + a$ is a unit and there exist $f_3(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X]$, $g(X), h(X) \in X\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that either $b - bg(b) = 0$, $b - bh(b) = 0$ or $[1 + a, b - f_3(b)] = 0$. Hence, by Theorem H T is commutative, a contradiction.

Finally, suppose that R is a ring of type (v). Then for each $a, b \in T$, there exist polynomials $f(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X]$, $g(X), h(X) \in X\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \{1 - g(b(1 + a)^m)\}[1 + a, (1 + a)^r b - (1 + a)^s f(b(1 + a)^m)(1 + a)^q] \\ &\quad \{1 - h(b(1 + a)^m)\} \\ &= \{1 - h(b(1 + a)^m)\}[1 + a, (1 + a)^r b] \{1 - h(b(1 + a)^m)\} \\ &= \{1 - h(b(1 + a)^m)\}[1 + a, b] \{1 - h(b(1 + a)^m)\} \\ &= [a, b] \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction, and R can not be of type (v).

Now, let R satisfy the property (P_2) . If R is of type (i), then there exist polynomials $f(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X]$, $g(X), h(X) \in X\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that

$$\{1 - g(\epsilon_{12}\epsilon_{22}^m)\}[\epsilon_{22}, \epsilon_{12}\epsilon_{22}^r - \epsilon_{22}^s f(\epsilon_{12}\epsilon_{22}^m)\epsilon_{22}^q] \{1 - h(\epsilon_{12}\epsilon_{22}^m)\} = -\epsilon_{12} \neq 0.$$

Accordingly, no rings of type (i) satisfy the property (P_2) . Using similar arguments as above, one can show that no rings of type (ii), (iii), (iv) or (v) satisfy the property (P_2) and by Lemma 1, we get the required result.

Corollary 1. *Let $r \geq 0, s \geq 0, q \geq 0$ be fixed integers and let R be a ring with unity 1. If for each $x, y \in R$ there exists a polynomial $f(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $[x^r y - x^s f(y)x^q, x] = 0$, then R is commutative.*

Remark 1. If the integral exponents m, r, s, q in the properties (P_1) and (P_2) are allowed to vary with the pair of elements $x, y \in R$, i.e. R satisfies either of the properties (P_1^*) or (P_2^*) , then a careful scrutiny of the proof of Theorem 1 shows that R has no factorsubring of type (i) or (ii). Thus, in addition, if R satisfies the property (CH), then in view of Lemma 2, we get the following.

Theorem 2. *Let R be a ring with unity 1 satisfying either of the properties (P_1^*) or (P_2^*) . Moreover, if R satisfies the property (CH), then R is commutative (and conversely).*

Remark 2. The non-commutative ring of 3×3 strictly upper triangular matrices over a ring satisfies the property $[x^r y - x^s f(yx^m)x^q, x] = 0$, and hence rules out the possible generalization of the above theorems for arbitrary rings. However, we can prove commutativity results for one sided s-unital rings, if R satisfies some

related ring properties.

Theorem 3. *Let R be a left (resp. right) s -unital ring in which for each $x, y \in R$ there exists a polynomial $f(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $[x^r y - x^s f(yx^m)x^q, x] = 0$ (resp. $[yx^r - x^s f(yx^m)x^q, x] = 0$), where $m \geq 0, r \geq 0, s \geq 0, q \geq 0$ are fixed integers. Then R is commutative (and conversely).*

Proof. If R is a left (resp. right) s -unital ring satisfying $[x^r y - x^s f(yx^m)x^q, x] = 0$ (resp. $[yx^r - x^s f(yx^m)x^q, x] = 0$), then a careful scrutiny of the proof of Theorem 1 shows that no rings of type $(i)_l$ (resp. $(i)_r$) satisfy the property $[x^r y - x^s f(yx^m)x^q, x] = 0$ (resp. $[yx^r - x^s f(yx^m)x^q, x] = 0$). Hence, by Lemma 3, R is right (resp. left) s -unital. Thus, in both the cases R is s -unital and in view of Proposition 1 of [7], we can assume that R has unity 1, and commutativity of R follows by Theorem 1.

Following is an immediate consequence of the above theorem:

Corollary 2. *Let $m \geq 0, r \geq 0, s \geq 0, q \geq 0$ be fixed integers. If R is a left (resp. right) s -unital ring in which for every $x, y \in R$ there exists an integer $t = t(x, y) > 1$ such that $[x^r y - x^s (yx^m)^t x^q, x] = 0$ (resp. $[yx^r - x^s (yx^m)^t x^q, x] = 0$). Then R is commutative (and conversely).*

Using similar arguments as used to get Theorem 2, we can prove the following:

Theorem 4. *Let R be a left (resp. right) s -unital ring in which for every $x, y \in R$ there exist integers $m = m(x, y) \geq 0, r = r(x, y) \geq 0, s = s(x, y) \geq 0, q = q(x, y) \geq 0$ and a polynomial $f(X) \in X^2\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $[x^r y - x^s f(yx^m)x^q, x] = 0$ (resp. $[yx^r - x^s f(yx^m)x^q, x] = 0$). Moreover, if R satisfies the property (CH). Then R is commutative (and conversely).*

REFERENCES

- [1] Abujabal, H. A. S., Ashraf, M., *Some commutativity theorems through a Streb's classification*, Note Mat. **14**, No.1 (1994) (to appear).
- [2] Ashraf, M., *On commutativity of one sided s -unital rings with some polynomial constraints*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math. **25** (1994), 963-967.
- [3] Bell, H. E., Quadri, M. A., Khan, M. A., *Two commutativity theorems for rings*, Rad. Mat. **3** (1994), 255-260.
- [4] Bell, H. E., Quadri, M. A., Ashraf, M., *Commutativity of rings with some commutator constraints*, Rad. Mat. **5** (1989), 223-230.
- [5] Chacron, M., *A commutativity theorem for rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **59** (1976), 211-216.
- [6] Herstein, I. N., *Two remarks on commutativity of rings*, Canad. J. Math. **7** (1955), 411-412.
- [7] Hirano, Y., Kobayashi, Y., Tominaga, H., *Some polynomial identities and commutativity of s -unital rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **24** (1982), 7-13.
- [8] Jacobson, N., *Structure theory of algebraic algebras of bounded degree*, Ann. Math. **46** (1945), 695-707.

- [9] Komatsu, H., Tominaga, H., *Chacron's conditions and commutativity theorems*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **31** (1989), 101-120.
- [10] Komatsu, H., Tominaga, H., *Some commutativity theorems for left s -unital rings*, Resultate Math. **15** (1989), 335-342.
- [11] Komatsu, H., Tominaga, H., *Some commutativity conditions for rings with unity*, Resultate Math. **19** (1991), 83-88.
- [12] Komatsu, H., Nishinaka, T., Tominaga, H., *On commutativity of rings*, Rad. Math. **6** (1990), 303-311.
- [13] Putcha, M. S., Yaqub, A., *Rings satisfying polynomial constraints*, J. Math. Soc., Japan **25** (1973), 115-124.
- [14] Quadri, M. A., Ashraf, M., Khan, M. A., *A commutativity condition for semiprime ring-II*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **33** (1986), 71-73.
- [15] Quadri, M. A., Ashraf, M., *Commutativity of generalized Boolean rings*, Publ. Math. (Debrecen) **35** (1988), 73-75.
- [16] Quadri, M. A., Khan, M. A., Asma Ali, *A commutativity theorem for rings with unity*, Soochow J. Math. **15** (1989), 217-227.
- [17] Searcoid, M. O., MacHale, D., *Two elementary generalizations for Boolean rings*, Amer. Math. Monthly **93** (1986), 121-122.
- [18] Streb, W., *Zur struktur nichtkommutativer Ringe*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **31** (1989), 135-140.
- [19] Tominaga, H., Yaqub, A., *Commutativity theorems for rings with constraints involving a commutative subset*, Resultate Math. **11** (1987), 186-192.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY
ALIGARH-202 002, INDIA