Michal Fečkan
Bifurcation of periodic and chaotic solutions in discontinuous systems

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 34 (1998), No. 1, 73--82

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107634

Terms of use:
© Masaryk University, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to
digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain
these Terms of use.

This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped
with digital signature within the project DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics
Library http://project.dml.cz
Bifurcation of Periodic and Chaotic Solutions in Discontinuous Systems

Michal Fečkan

Department of Mathematical Analysis, Comenius University
Mlynská dolina, 842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia
Email: Michal.Feckan@fmph.uniba.sk

Abstract. Chaos generated by the existence of Smale horseshoe is the well-known phenomenon in the theory of dynamical systems. The Poincaré-Andronov-Melnikov periodic and subharmonic bifurcations are also classical results in this theory. The purpose of this note is to extend those results to ordinary differential equations with multivalued perturbations. We present several examples based on our recent achievements in this direction. Singularly perturbed problems are studied as well. Applications are given to ordinary differential equations with both dry friction and relay hysteresis terms.
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1 Introduction

There are many concrete problems in mechanics with non-smooth nonlinearities. Such discontinuities arise in the context of modelling Coulomb friction [1,3,9,19]. One of the simplest examples for such problems is provided by the pendulum with dry friction given by

$$\ddot{x} + x + \mu \text{sgn} \dot{x} = \psi(t).$$

(1)

Here \(\text{sgn} r = r/|r|\) for \(r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\). Equation (1) is studied for the periodic case in [7] and for the almost periodic case in [8]. Also a rather complete picture of
the asymptotic behaviour of (1) is derived. The range of \( \mu \) is found such that nontrivial almost periodic and periodic motions exist. The question of uniqueness of such motions is studied as well.

The numerical analysis is presented in the papers [26,27,28] for a mechanical model of a friction-oscillator with simultaneous self- and external excitation given by the equation of motion

\[
\ddot{x} + x = F_R(v_r) + u_0 \cos \Omega t ,
\]

where \( u_0, \Omega \) are positive constants, \( v_r = v_0 - \dot{x} \) is a relative velocity and \( F_R \) is the friction force defined by

\[
F_R(v_r) = \mu(v_r)F_N \operatorname{sgn}(v_r) \quad \text{for the slip mode } v_r \neq 0 \\
F_R(v_r) = x(t) - u_0 \cos \Omega t \quad \text{for the stick mode } v_r = 0.
\]

Here \( \mu(v_r) \) is a friction coefficient and \( F_N \) is the normal force. Three different types of friction coefficients \( \mu(v_r) \) are studied in [26,27,28] including the Coulomb one \( \mu(v_r) = 1, v_r \neq 0 \). The bifurcation behaviour and the routes to chaos of (2) are investigated for a wide range of parameters. The influence of these three types of friction coefficients is described and the admissibility of smoothing procedures is examined by comparing results gained for non-smooth and smoothed friction coefficients. These papers [26,27,28] present a nice introduction to the phenomenon of dry friction problem as well.

The boundedness of solutions of the equation

\[
\ddot{x} + x + \mu \operatorname{sgn} x = \psi(t)
\]

is studied in [23] as well as the existence of infinitely many periodic and quasiperiodic solutions of (3) is established for all \( \mu > 0 \) sufficiently large.

By using Lyapunov exponents, the qualitative analysis for (1) and for a similar friction-oscillator is given in [20] and [21], respectively. A numerical analysis of the same friction-oscillator is presented in [22].

Finally, let us note that equations of the type (1) also appear in electrical engineering (see [1, Chap. III]), related problems are studied in control systems (see [31]) as well, and dry friction problems were investigated already in [29], [30].

This note is based on recent results derived in the papers [10,11,12,13,14,15]. We focus on concrete examples rather than presenting theoretical results. In Section 2, we give examples with chaotic solutions. Section 3 deals with bifurcation of periodic solutions for a friction-oscillator. A problem with small relay hysteresis is studied in Section 4.

In this paper, the dry friction is modelled by the Coulomb law [9], [19] which includes a static coefficient of friction \( \mu_s \) and a dynamic coefficient of friction \( \mu_d \). If \( \mu_s = \mu_d = \mu \), then the friction law may be written as \( \dot{x} \rightarrow \mu \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x} \). On the other hand, since usually \( \mu_s > \mu_d \), the smooth approximation of \( \operatorname{sgn} r \) given, for instance, by

\[
\Phi(r) = \frac{1}{\pi}(7 \arctan 8sr - 5 \arctan 4sr), \quad s \gg 1
\]
seems to be physically more relevant than the mathematically convenient approximation of the form
\[
    r \rightarrow \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan sr, \quad s \gg 1.
\]
The function \(\Phi\) has two symmetric spikes at \(r = \pm \frac{\sqrt{6}}{8}\) of the values
\[
    \pm \frac{1}{\pi} \left( 7 \arctan \sqrt{6} - 5 \arctan \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \right) = \pm 1, 2261344.
\]
Moreover, \(\Phi(r)\) is close to 1 or \(-1\) when \(r > 0\) or \(r < 0\), respectively, tends off 0. Summarizing, we can take for any \(\eta \geq 0\), \(\zeta \geq 1\), \(0 < \kappa \leq 1\) the multivalued function \(Sgn_{\eta,\zeta,\kappa} r\) defined by
\[
Sgn_{\eta,\zeta,\kappa} r = \begin{cases} 
-1 & \text{for } r < -\eta, \\
[-\zeta, -\kappa] & \text{for } -\eta < r < 0, \\
[-\zeta, \zeta] & \text{for } r = 0, \\
[\kappa, \zeta] & \text{for } 0 < r \leq \eta, \\
1 & \text{for } r > \eta.
\end{cases}
\]
The term \(Sgn_{\eta,\zeta,\kappa} \dot{x}\) can be viewed as an extension for modelling dry friction including static and dynamic frictions as well.

2 Chaos in Dry Friction Problems

Dry friction forces acting on a moving particle due to its contact to walls have in certain situations the form \(\mu(x)(g_0(\dot{x}) + \text{sgn} \dot{x})\), where \(x\) is displacement from the rest state, \(\dot{x}\) is velocity, \(\mu\) and \(g_0\) are non-negative bounded continuous, and \(\text{sgn} r = r / |r|\) for \(r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\), see [1,5,19]. If there is also damping, restoring and external forces, the following equation is studied
\[
\ddot{x} + g(x) + \mu_1 \text{sgn} \dot{x} + \mu_2 \dot{x} = \mu_3 \psi(t),
\]
where \(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \in \mathbb{R}\) are small parameters, \(g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\), \(g(0) = 0\), \(g'(0) < 0\), \(\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\) and \(\psi\) is periodic.

If a smooth small perturbation is included in (4), then by using a method developed in dynamical systems (see [18]), it would be possible to show the existence of chaos for such ordinary differential equations.

By introducing the multivalued mapping
\[
Sgn r = \begin{cases} 
\text{sgn} r & \text{for } r \neq 0, \\
[-1, 1] & \text{for } r = 0,
\end{cases}
\]
(4) is rewritten as follows
\[
\ddot{x} + g(x) + \mu_2 \dot{x} - \mu_3 \psi(t) \in -\mu_1 Sgn \dot{x}.
\]
By a solution of any first order differential inclusion we mean a function which is absolute continuous and satisfying differential inclusion almost everywhere.

We assume the existence of a homoclinic solution $\omega$ of $\ddot{x} + g(x) = 0$ such that $\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \omega(t) = 0$ and $\omega(0) > 0$.

**Lemma 1.** ([14]) There is a unique $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\dot{\omega}(t_0) = 0$. Consequently, $\dot{\omega}(t) > 0$, $\forall t < t_0$ and $\dot{\omega}(t) < 0$, $\forall t > t_0$.

We consider a mapping $M_\mu$, $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$, of the form

$$M_\mu(\alpha) = -2\omega(t_0)\mu_1 - \mu_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\omega}^2(s) \, ds + \mu_3 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\omega}(s) \psi(s + \alpha) \, ds.$$ 

Since $\omega(0) > 0$, Lemma 1 implies $\omega(t_0) > 0$. By putting

$$A(\alpha) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\omega}(s) \psi(s + \alpha) \, ds,$$

we arrive at

$$M_\mu(\alpha) = A(\alpha)\mu_3 - 2\omega(t_0)\mu_1 - \mu_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\omega}^2(s) \, ds.$$ 

We note that $A$ is periodic and $C^1$-smooth. We put $\bar{m} = \min A$, $\bar{M} = \max A$. By applying results of [12], we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that $A$ has critical points only at maximums and minimums. Then there is an open subset $\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathbb{R}^3$ of all sufficiently small $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ satisfying $\mu_3 \neq 0$ together with

$$\bar{m} < \frac{2\omega(t_0)\mu_1 + \mu_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\omega}^2(s) \, ds}{\mu_3} < \bar{M},$$

on which equation (4) has chaotic solutions in the following sense: 

If $J: \mathcal{E} = \{E : E \in \{0, 1\}^Z\} \to \mathcal{E}$ is the Bernoulli shift defined by $J(\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \{\tilde{e}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\tilde{e}_j = e_{j+1}$, then for any $\mu \in \mathcal{R}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, (4) possesses a family of solutions $\{x_{m,E}\}_{E \in \mathcal{E}}$ such that

(i) $E \to x_{m,E}$ is injective;

(ii) $x_{m,J(E)}(t)$ is orbitally close to $x_{m,E}(t + \Omega m)$, where $\Omega > 0$ is the period of $\psi$.

To be more precise, we consider Duffing-type equation (4) with $g(x) = -x + 2x^3$, $\psi(t) = \cos t$. Hence (4) has the form

$$\ddot{x} - x + 2x^3 + \mu_1 \text{sgn} \dot{x} + \mu_2 \dot{x} = \mu_3 \cos t.$$ 

(6)
Then (see [18]), $\omega(t) = \text{sech} \, t$. So we have

$$A(\alpha) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{sech} \, s \cos (s + \alpha) \, ds = \pi \text{sech} \frac{\pi}{2} \sin \alpha,$$

and $\bar{M} = -\bar{m} = \pi \text{sech} \frac{\pi}{2}$, $t_0 = 0$, $\omega(t_0) = 1$, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\omega}^2(s) \, ds = 2/3$.

**Corollary 3.** Equation (6) has chaotic solutions in the sense of Theorem 2 provided that the parameters $\mu_1$, $\mu_2$, $\mu_3$ are sufficiently small satisfying

$$0 < 3\pi|\mu_3| \text{sech} \frac{\pi}{2} < |6\mu_1 + 2\mu_2|.$$

The next example is a modification of (4)

$$\ddot{x} + \delta g(x) + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\delta}} \dot{x} + \psi(t) \text{sgn} \dot{x} = 0,$$

where $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $g(0) = 0$, $g'(0) < 0$, $\delta > 0$ is a large parameter, $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, (0, \infty))$ is periodic and $\eta$ is a constant. We assume the existence of a homoclinic solution $\omega$ of $\ddot{x} + g(x) = 0$ such that $\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \omega(t) = 0$ and $\omega(0) < 0$. Then again there is a unique $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\dot{\omega}(t_0) = 0$. Consequently, $\dot{\omega}(t) < 0$, $\forall \, t < t_0$, $\dot{\omega}(t) > 0$, $\forall \, t > t_0$ and $\omega(t_0) < 0$.

The equation (7) is rewritten in the form

$$\varepsilon \dot{x} = y, \quad \varepsilon = \sqrt{1/\delta}$$

$$\varepsilon \dot{y} = -g(x) - \varepsilon^2 (\eta y + \psi(t) \text{sgn} y).$$

Hence (8) is a singularly perturbed discontinuous problem. Results of [12] imply the next theorem.

**Theorem 4.** If the function

$$M(\alpha) = 2\psi(\alpha)\omega(t_0) - \eta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\omega}^2(s) \, ds$$

has a simple root, then for any $\delta > 0$ sufficiently large, equation (7) has chaotic solutions in the sense of Theorem 2.

We consider again the Duffing-type equation (7) of the form

$$\ddot{x} + \delta(-x + 2x^3) + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\delta}} \dot{x} + (2 + \cos t) \text{sgn} \dot{x} = 0.$$

Hence $g(x) = -x + 2x^3$, $\psi(t) = 2 + \cos t$, $\omega(t) = -\text{sech} \, t$, $t_0 = 0$, $\omega(t_0) = -1$. Consequently, Theorem 4 gives the next corollary.

**Corollary 5.** Equation (9) has chaotic solutions in the sense of Theorem 2 provided that $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently large and $\eta \in (-9, -3)$. 
3 Bifurcation of Periodic Solutions

Consider a mass attached to a mechanical device on a moving ribbon with a speed \( v_0 > 0 \). If there is also an external force and damping then the resulting differential equation \([1,3,9]\) has the form

\[
\ddot{x} + q(x) + \mu_1 \text{sgn}(\dot{x} - v_0) + \mu_2 \dot{x} = \mu_3 \sin \omega t, \tag{10}
\]

where \( \text{sgn} r \) corresponds to the dry friction between the mass and ribbon, \( q \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \) represents the force of the mechanical device and \( \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \omega > 0 \) are constants. Since \( \text{sgn} r \) is discontinuous in \( r = 0 \), \((10)\) is considered as a perturbed differential inclusion of the form

\[
\dot{x} = y, \quad \dot{y} \in -q(x) - \mu_1 \text{Sgn}(y - v_0) - \mu_2 y + \mu_3 \sin \omega t. \tag{11}
\]

Moreover, we assume

(i) There are numbers \( 0 < c < e \) and a \( C^2 \)-mapping \( \gamma: (c, e) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \gamma(\theta, t) \) has the minimum period \( \theta \) in \( t \), \( \dot{\gamma}(\theta, 0) = 0 \) and \( \gamma(\theta, \cdot) \) is a solution of \( \ddot{x} + q(x) = 0 \).

If \( c < 2\pi/\omega < e \) then we take

\[
B(\alpha) = \int_0^{2\pi/\omega} \sin \omega(t + \alpha)\dot{\gamma}(2\pi/\omega, t) \, dt.
\]

Since \( B \) is periodic, we put \( \tilde{m} = \min B, \tilde{M} = \max B \).

**Theorem 6.** Let \( v_0 > 0 \) be sufficiently small and let \( B \) have only critical points at minimums and maximums. If (i) holds and \( c < 2\pi/\omega < e \), then for any sufficiently small \( \mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) \), \( \mu_3 \neq 0 \) satisfying

\[
\tilde{m} < \frac{1}{\mu_3} \left( \mu_2 \int_0^{2\pi/\omega} \dot{\gamma}^2(2\pi/\omega, t) \, dt + 2\mu_1 |\dot{\gamma}(2\pi/\omega, \pi/\omega) - \dot{\gamma}(2\pi/\omega, 0)| \right) < \tilde{M},
\]

equation \((10)\) has a \( 2\pi/\omega \)-periodic solution in a neighbourhood of the family \( \gamma(\theta, t) \), \( \theta \in (c, e) \) from (i).

**Proof.** We apply Corollary 3.2 of [10]. The formula (3.6) of [10] has the form

\[
M_{\mu, v_0}(\alpha) = \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi/\omega} h(s)\dot{\gamma}(2\pi/\omega, s) \, ds \mid h \in L^2(0, 2\pi/\omega), \right. \\
h(t) \in \mu_1 \text{Sgn}(\dot{\gamma}(2\pi/\omega, t) - v_0) + \mu_2 \dot{\gamma}(2\pi/\omega, t) - \mu_3 \sin \omega(t + \alpha) \left. \right\}.
\]
Lemma 5.5. of [10] gives that \( \dot{\gamma}(2\pi/\omega,0) = 0 \), \( \dot{\gamma}(2\pi/\omega,\pi/\omega) = 0 \) and \( \dot{\gamma}(2\pi/\omega,t) \neq 0 \) \( \forall t \in (0,2\pi/\omega) \setminus \{\pi/\omega\} \). Since \( \dot{\gamma} + g(\gamma) = 0 \), we see that \( \dot{\gamma}(2\pi/\omega,0) \neq 0 \), \( \dot{\gamma}(2\pi/\omega,\pi/\omega) \neq 0 \). Consequently for \( v_0 > 0 \) sufficiently small, \( \dot{\gamma}(2\pi/\omega,t) = v_0 \) has the only solutions \( t_1(v_0) + k2\pi/\omega, t_2(v_0) + k2\pi/\omega, t_1(v_0) < t_2(v_0) \), where \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \). Moreover, either \( t_1(0) = 0, t_2(0) = \pi/\omega \) or \( t_1(0) = \pi/\omega, t_2(0) = 2\pi/\omega, \) and \( t_{1,2} \) are smooth and \( \dot{\gamma}(2\pi/\omega,t) > v_0 \) on \( (t_1(v_0),t_2(v_0)) \); \( \dot{\gamma}(2\pi/\omega,t) < v_0 \) on \( (t_2(v_0),t_1(v_0) + 2\pi/\omega) \). Hence we obtain

\[
M_{\mu,v_0}(\alpha) = \mu_2 \int_0^{2\pi/\omega} \dot{\gamma}^2(2\pi/\omega,t) \, dt + 2\mu_1(\gamma(2\pi/\omega,t_2(v_0)) - \gamma(2\pi/\omega,t_1(v_0)))
\]

\[
- \mu_3 \int_0^{2\pi/\omega} \sin \omega(t + \alpha) \dot{\gamma}(2\pi/\omega,t) \, dt.
\]

We note

\[
M_{\mu,0}(\alpha) = \mu_2 \int_0^{2\pi/\omega} \dot{\gamma}^2(2\pi/\omega,t) \, dt + 2\mu_1|\gamma(2\pi/\omega,\pi/\omega) - \gamma(2\pi/\omega,0)| - \mu_3 B(\alpha).
\]

The assumptions of our theorem imply that \( M_{\mu,v_0} \) changes the sign on \( \mathbb{R} \) for \( v_0 \) sufficiently small and for \( \mu \) given in the theorem. Consequently, Corollary 3.2 of [10] can be applied to (10). The proof is finished.

\( \square \)

We refer the reader for more examples to [10].

4 Systems with Small Relay Hysteresis

In this section, we deal with relay hysteresis [2,24,25]. So there is given a pair of real numbers \( \alpha < \beta \) (thresholds) and a pair of real-valued continuous functions \( h_o \in C([\alpha, \infty], \mathbb{R}), h_c \in C((-\infty, \beta], \mathbb{R}) \) such that \( h_o(u) \geq h_c(u) \forall u \in [\alpha, \beta] \). Moreover, we suppose that \( h_o, h_c \) are bounded on \([\alpha, \infty), (-\infty, \beta], \) respectively.

For a given continuous input \( u(t) \), \( t \geq t_0 \), one defines the output \( v(t) = f(u)(t) \) of the relay hysteresis operator as follows

\[
f(u)(t) = \begin{cases} h_o(u(t)) & \text{if } u(t) \geq \beta, \\ h_c(u(t)) & \text{if } u(t) \leq h_o(u(t) \in (\alpha, \beta) \text{ and } u(\tau(t)) = \beta, \\ h_c(u(t)) & \text{if } u(t) \in (\alpha, \beta) \text{ and } u(\tau(t)) = \alpha, \end{cases}
\]

where \( \tau(t) = \sup \{ s : s \in [t_0, t], u(s) = \alpha \text{ or } u(s) = \beta \} \). If \( \tau(t) \) does not exist (i.e. \( u(\sigma) \in (\alpha, \beta) \) for \( \sigma \in [t_0, t] \)), then \( f(u)(\sigma) \) is undefined and we have to initially set the relay open or closed when \( u(t_0) \in (\alpha, \beta) \). Of course, when either \( h_o(\beta) > h_c(\beta) \) or \( h_o(\alpha) > h_c(\alpha) \) then \( f(u) \) is generally discontinuous.
Let us consider the problem
\[ \ddot{y} - \dot{y} + y - \mu f(y), \]
where \( \mu \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( f \) is of the form
\[ \alpha = -\delta, \quad \beta = \delta, \quad \delta > 0, \quad h_o = g + p, \quad h_c = g - p \]
with \( p > 0 \) constant and \( g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \).

**Theorem 7.** If \( \theta_0 > \delta \) is a simple root of the function
\[ 4p \left( \sqrt{1 - \frac{\delta^2}{\theta^2}} - \frac{\delta}{\theta} \right) + \int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta \sin t) \sin t \, dt, \]
then there is a constant \( K > 0 \) such that for any \( \mu \) sufficiently small there are \( \theta_\mu, \omega_\mu \), \( |\theta_\mu - \theta_0| \leq K|\mu|, |\omega_\mu - \omega_\mu| \leq K|\mu|, \omega_0 = -\frac{2\delta p}{\pi \theta_0} \) and a \( 2\pi(1 + \mu \omega_\mu) \)-periodic solution \( y_\mu \) of (12) satisfying
\[ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| y_\mu(t) - \theta_\mu \sin \frac{t}{1 + \mu \omega_\mu} \right| \leq K|\mu|. \]

**Proof.** We apply Theorem 2.2 of [15], by taking
\[ O = (\delta, \infty), \quad \phi_1(t) = \psi_1(t) = \sin t, \quad \theta > \delta, \]
\[ \phi_2(t) = \psi_2(t) = \cos t, \quad \eta(\theta, t) = \theta \sin t, \quad t_0 = \arcsin \frac{\delta}{\theta}. \]
The formula (2.8) of [15] has the form
\[ M(\omega, \theta) = \left( M_1(\omega, \theta), M_2(\omega, \theta) \right), \]
where
\[ M_1(\omega, \theta) = \int_0^{2\pi} \omega(\theta \cos t + 2\theta \sin t - 3\theta \cos t) \sin t \, dt + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\pi} (g(\theta \sin t) + p) \sin t \, dt \\
+ \int_{t_0+\pi}^{t_0+2\pi} (g(\theta \sin t) - p) \sin t \, dt = 2\pi \theta \omega + 4p \sqrt{1 - \frac{\delta^2}{\theta^2}} + \int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta \sin t) \sin t \, dt, \]
\[ M_2(\omega, \theta) = \int_0^{2\pi} \omega(\theta \cos t + 2\theta \sin t - 3\theta \cos t) \cos t \, dt + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\pi} (g(\theta \sin t) + p) \cos t \, dt \\
+ \int_{t_0+\pi}^{t_0+2\pi} (g(\theta \sin t) - p) \cos t \, dt = -2\pi \theta \omega - 4\frac{\delta p}{\theta}. \]
Clearly \( (\omega_0, \theta_0) \) is a simple zero of \( M \). Consequently, [15, Theorem 2.2] implies the result. The proof is finished. \( \square \)

More examples are given in [15].
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