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# ASYMPTOTIC ESTIMATION FOR FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH SEVERAL DELAYS 

Jan Čermák

Abstract. We discuss the asymptotic behaviour of all solutions of the functional differential equation

$$
y^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}(x) y\left(\tau_{i}(x)\right)+b(x) y(x),
$$

where $b(x)<0$. The asymptotic bounds are given in terms of a solution of the functional nondifferential equation

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|a_{i}(x)\right| \omega\left(\tau_{i}(x)\right)+b(x) \omega(x)=0
$$

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The linear functional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}(x) y\left(\tau_{i}(x)\right)+b(x) y(x), \quad x \in I=\left[x_{0}, \infty\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been discussed, under special hypotheses, in many papers (for references see [4]). Equations (1.1) with bounded $r_{i}(x)=x-\tau_{i}(x)$ are usually studied preferably, whereas the theory for equations (1.1) with unbounded $r_{i}(x)$ is less developed. In this paper we use the transformation approach described by F. Neuman in [8] and [9] to obtain asymptotic formulas valid especially for equations (1.1) with unbounded $r_{i}(x)$.

This approach consists in introducing a change of variables converting every solution $y(x)$ of (1.1) into a solution of an equation with constant or bounded delays.

[^0]Under certain assumptions we relate asymptotic properties of solutions of (1.1) to the behaviour of a solution $\omega(x)$ of an auxiliary linear functional nondifferential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|a_{i}(x)\right| \omega\left(\tau_{i}(x)\right)+b(x) \omega(x)=0, \quad x \in I \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus we extend or generalize some parts of [3], [5], [6], [1] and [2].
Throughout this paper we assume that $\tau_{i}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are increasing continuous functions such that $\tau_{i}(x)<x$ in $I$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{i}(x)=\infty, i=1,2, \ldots, m$. By the symbol $\tau^{n}$ we mean the $n$-th iterate of $\tau$ (for $n>0$ ) or the $-n$-th iterate of the inverse function $\tau^{-1}($ for $n<0)$ and put $\tau^{0}=\mathrm{id}$. Further, we denote by $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ the increasing consequence of reals formed by all numbers $\tau_{i}^{-n}\left(x_{0}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, m$, $n=1,2, \ldots$.

Set $x_{-1}=\min \left\{\tau_{i}\left(x_{0}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}$ and let $I_{-1}=\left[x_{-1}, \infty\right)$. By a solution of (1.1) we understand a function $y(x) \in C^{0}\left(I_{-1}\right) \cap C^{1}(I)$ such that $y(x)$ satisfies (1.1) in $I$.

We start off with the study of equation (1.2), where $a_{i}(x), \tau_{i}(x), b(x)$ are known, $i=1,2, \ldots, m, b(x)<0$ and $\omega(x)$ is unknown.
Proposition 1. Assume that $\left|a_{i}(x)\right|, b(x), \tau_{i}(x) \in C^{r}(I), r \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|a_{i}(x)\right| \neq 0$ in $I, b(x)<0$ in $I, i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Let $\omega_{0}(x) \in C^{r}\left(\left[x_{-1}, x_{0}\right]\right)$ be a positive function such that

$$
\left(\omega_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)^{(s)}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\left|a_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|}{-b\left(x_{0}\right)} \omega_{0}\left(\tau_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right)^{(s)}, \quad s=0,1, \ldots, r
$$

Then there exists a unique positive solution $\omega(x) \in C^{r}\left(I_{-1}\right)$ of (1.2) such that

$$
\omega(x)=\omega_{0}(x), \quad x \in\left[x_{-1}, x_{0}\right] .
$$

This solution is given inductively by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\omega(x)=\omega_{1}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\left|a_{i}(x)\right|}{-b(x)} \omega_{0}\left(\tau_{i}(x)\right), & x_{0} \leq x \leq x_{1}  \tag{1.3}\\
\omega(x)=\omega_{n}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\left|a_{i}(x)\right|}{-b(x)} \omega_{j}\left(\tau_{i}(x)\right), & x_{n-1} \leq x \leq x_{n}
\end{array}
$$

where $n=2,3, \ldots$ and $j$ is an integer $(0 \leq j \leq n-1)$ depending on $\tau_{i}(x)$ such that $x_{j-1} \leq \tau_{i}(x) \leq x_{j}$.
Proof. The existence and uniqueness of the solution $\omega(x)$ can be proved by the step method (see, e.g., [7]). We show that $\omega(x)$ is positive in $I$. Suppose not and denote $x^{*}=\min \{x \in I, \omega(x)=0\}$. Then

$$
0=\omega\left(x^{*}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\left|a_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)\right|}{-b\left(x^{*}\right)} \omega\left(\tau_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)\right) .
$$

However, $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\left|a_{i}(x)\right|}{-b(x)} \neq 0$ in $I$, which means that $\omega\left(\tau_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)\right)=0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. This is a contradiction with the definition of $x^{*}$.

Now we consider the corresponding linear autonomous functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|a_{i}\right| \omega\left(\tau_{i}(x)\right)+b \omega(x)=0, \quad x \in I \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b<0$. We are going to discuss conditions under which the required solution $\omega(x)$ of (1.4) can be exhibited in the form $\omega(x)=\exp (\alpha \psi(x))$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant and $\psi(x)$ is a solution of Abel equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\tau_{1}(x)\right)=\psi(x)-1, \quad x \in I \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall some basic facts about differentiable solutions of (1.5). Let $\tau_{1} \in C^{r}(I)$, $r \geq 1$ and $\tau_{1}^{\prime}(x)>0$ in $I$. Then there exists a solution $\psi(x) \in C^{r}\left(\left[\tau_{1}\left(x_{0}\right), \infty\right)\right)$ of (1.5) such that $\psi^{\prime}(x)>0$ in $\left[\tau_{1}\left(x_{0}\right), \infty\right)$ (see, e.g., [9]). This solution is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x)=\psi_{0}\left(\tau_{1}^{n}(x)\right)+n, \quad \tau_{1}^{-n+1}\left(x_{0}\right) \leq x \leq \tau_{1}^{-n}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{0}(x) \in C^{r}\left(\left[\tau_{1}\left(x_{0}\right), x_{0}\right]\right), \psi_{0}^{\prime}(x)>0$ in $\left[\tau_{1}\left(x_{0}\right), x_{0}\right]$ and

$$
\left(\psi_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)^{(s)}=\left(\psi_{0}\left(\tau_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)+1\right)^{(s)}, \quad s=0,1, \ldots, r
$$

In the sequel we denote by $\left\{\tau_{1}^{u}(x), u \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ a continuous iteration group defined in $I$ and generated by $\psi(x)$. Hence,

$$
\tau_{1}^{u}(x)=\psi^{-1}(\psi(x)-u), \quad x \in I, u \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Now assume that functions $\tau_{i}(x) \in C^{r}(I), \tau_{i}^{\prime}(x)>0$ in $I, i=1,2, \ldots, m$ can be embedded into $\left\{\tau_{1}^{u}(x), u \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$. Then there exists a simultaneous solution $\psi(x) \in C^{r}\left(I_{-1}\right), \psi^{\prime}(x)>0$ in $I_{-1}$ of a system of Abel equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\tau_{i}(x)\right)=\psi(x)-c_{i}, \quad x \in I, i=1,2, \ldots, m \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}>0$ are suitable constants.
Set $\omega(x)=\exp (\alpha \psi(x))$ in (1.4) to obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|a_{i}\right| \exp \left(\alpha \psi\left(\tau_{i}(x)\right)\right)+b \exp (\alpha \psi(x))=0, \quad x \in I
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|a_{i}\right| \lambda_{i}^{\alpha}+b=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i}=\exp \left(-c_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, m$. It is clear that (1.8) has a unique real root $\alpha^{*}$. Consequently, the function $\omega(x)=\exp \left(\alpha^{*} \psi(x)\right)$ is a solution of (1.4) such that $\omega(x) \in C^{r}\left(I_{-1}\right)$ and $\omega^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ in $I_{-1}$.

Our previous ideas yield

Proposition 2. Let $a_{i} \neq 0, b<0$ be scalars and let functions $\tau_{i}(x) \in C^{r}(I), r \geq$ $1, \tau_{i}^{\prime}(x)>0$ in $I$ can be embedded into a continuous iteration group $\left\{\tau_{1}^{u}(x), u \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$, $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Further, let $\psi(x) \in C^{r}\left(I_{-1}\right)$ be a solution of (1.5) given by (1.6) and let $\alpha^{*}$ be a real root of (1.8). Then function $\omega(x)=\exp \left(\alpha^{*} \psi(x)\right), x \in I_{-1}$ defines a solution of (1.4) such that $\omega(x) \in C^{r}\left(I_{-1}\right)$ and $\omega^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ in $I_{-1}$.

Remark 1. The problem of embeddability of given functions $\tau_{i}(x)$ into a continuous iteration group has been dealt with by F. Neuman [8] and M. Zdun [10]. We note that the most important necessary condition is commutativity of any pair $\tau_{i}(x), \tau_{j}(x), i, j=1,2, \ldots, m$.

Other results concerning the theory of functional nondifferential equations can be found in [7].

## 2. Main Results

We consider the case $b(x)<0$ in $I$ and put

$$
\omega_{-}^{\prime}(x)=\max \left(0,-\omega^{\prime}(x)\right), \quad x \in I
$$

Theorem 1. Let $\left|a_{i}(x)\right|, b(x), \tau_{i}(x) \in C^{2}(I), \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|a_{i}(x)\right| \neq 0$ in $I$ and $b(x)<0$ in $I, i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Assume that $\tau_{1}(x) \geq \tau_{i}(x)$ for each $x \in I, i=2, \ldots, m$ and $\tau_{1}^{\prime}(x)>0$ in $I$. Further, let $\omega(x) \in C^{2}\left(I_{-1}\right)$ be a positive solution of (1.2) given by (1.3) and let $\psi(x) \in C^{2}\left(I_{-1}\right)$ be a solution of (1.5) given by (1.6) such that $\psi^{\prime}(x)>0$ in $I_{-1}$. If
(i) $\omega^{\prime}(x)-b(x) \omega(x)>0$ in $I$,
(ii) $\frac{\omega_{-}^{\prime}(x)}{\omega^{\prime}(x)-b(x) \omega(x)}$ is nonincreasing in $I$,
(iii) $\int_{x_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_{-}^{\prime}(s) \psi^{\prime}(s)}{\omega^{\prime}(s)-b(s) \omega(s)} d s<\infty$,
then

$$
y(x)=O(\omega(x)) \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
$$

for every solution $y(x)$ of (1.1).
Proof. The change of variables

$$
t=\psi(x), \quad z(t)=\frac{y(x)}{\omega(x)}
$$

converts equation (1.1) into

$$
\dot{z}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(a_{i}(h(t)) \dot{h}(t) \frac{\omega\left(\tau_{i}(h(t))\right)}{\omega(h(t))} z\left(\mu_{i}(t)\right)\right)+\left(b(h(t)) \dot{h}(t)-\frac{\dot{\omega}(h(t)) \dot{h}(t)}{\omega(h(t))}\right) z(t),
$$

where $t \in J=\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), h(t)=\psi^{-1}(t)$ and $\mu_{i}(t)=t-r_{i}(h(t)), r_{i}(h(t)) \geq 1$ for every $t \in J, i=1,2, \ldots, m$. This form can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left[z(t) \omega(h(t)) \exp \left\{-\int_{x_{0}}^{h(t)} b(u) d u\right\}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(a_{i}(h(t)) \dot{h}(t) \omega\left(\tau_{i}(h(t))\right) \exp \left\{-\int_{x_{0}}^{h(t)} b(u) d u\right\} z\left(\mu_{i}(t)\right)\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we denote by $I_{k}$ the interval $\left[t_{0}+k-1, t_{0}+k\right]$ and put $M_{k}=\sup \{|z(t)|, t \in$ $\left.\cup_{j=1}^{k} I_{j}\right\}, k=1,2, \ldots$. We consider $t \in I_{k+1}$ and integrate (2.1) over $\left[t_{0}+k, t\right]$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
z(t)= & \frac{\omega\left(h\left(t_{0}+k\right)\right)}{\omega(h(t))} \exp \left\{\int_{h\left(t_{0}+k\right)}^{h(t)} b(u) d u\right\} z\left(t_{0}+k\right) \\
& +\int_{t_{0}+k}^{t}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(a_{i}(h(s)) \dot{h}(s) \frac{\omega\left(\tau_{i}(h(s))\right)}{\omega(h(t))} \exp \left\{\int_{h(s)}^{h(t)} b(u) d u\right\} z\left(\mu_{i}(s)\right)\right)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|z(t)| \leq & M_{k} \frac{\omega\left(h\left(t_{0}+k\right)\right)}{\omega(h(t))} \exp \left\{\int_{h\left(t_{0}+k\right)}^{h(t)} b(u) d u\right\} \\
& +M_{k} \int_{t_{0}+k}^{t}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|a_{i}(h(s))\right| \dot{h}(s) \frac{\omega\left(\tau_{i}(h(s))\right)}{\omega(h(t))} \exp \left\{\int_{h(s)}^{h(t)} b(u) d u\right\}\right) d s \\
\leq & M_{k}\left\{\frac{\omega\left(h\left(t_{0}+k\right)\right)}{\omega(h(t))} \exp \left\{\int_{h\left(t_{0}+k\right)}^{h(t)} b(u) d u\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{t_{0}+k}^{t}\left(-b(h(s)) \dot{h}(s) \frac{\omega(h(s))}{\omega(h(t))} \exp \left\{\int_{h(s)}^{h(t)} b(u) d u\right\}\right) d s\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

by use of (1.2). Further,

$$
\begin{align*}
|z(t)| \leq & M_{k}\left\{\frac{\omega\left(h\left(t_{0}+k\right)\right)}{\omega(h(t))} \exp \left\{\int_{h\left(t_{0}+k\right)}^{h(t)} b(u) d u\right\}\right.  \tag{2.2}\\
& \left.\left.+\frac{\exp \left\{\int_{x_{0}}^{h(t)} b(u) d u\right\}}{\omega(h(t))} \int_{t_{0}+k}^{t}\left(\omega(h(s)) \frac{d}{d s}\left[\exp \left\{-\int_{x_{0}}^{h(s)} b(u)\right) d u\right\}\right]\right) d s\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating by parts we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{0}+k}^{t} & \left(\omega(h(s)) \frac{d}{d s}\left[\exp \left\{-\int_{x_{0}}^{h(s))} b(u) d u\right\}\right]\right) d s \\
= & {\left[\omega(h(s)) \exp \left\{-\int_{x_{0}}^{h(s)} b(u) d u\right\}\right]_{t_{0}+k}^{t} } \\
& \quad+\int_{t_{0}+k}^{t}\left(-\dot{\omega}(h(s)) \dot{h}(s) \exp \left\{-\int_{x_{0}}^{h(s)} b(u) d u\right\}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Repeated integration by parts yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_{0}+k}^{t}\left(-\dot{\omega}(h(s)) \dot{h}(s) \exp \left\{-\int_{x_{0}}^{h(s)} b(u) d u\right\}\right) d s \\
\leq & \int_{t_{0}+k}^{t}\left(\dot{\omega}_{-}(h(s)) \dot{h}(s) \exp \left\{-\int_{x_{0}}^{h(s)} b(u) d u\right\}\right) d s \\
= & \int_{t_{0}+k}^{t}\left(\frac{\dot{\omega}_{-}(h(s))}{\dot{\omega}(h(s))-b(h(s)) \omega(h(s))} \frac{d}{d s}\left[\omega(h(s)) \exp \left\{-\int_{x_{0}}^{h(s)} b(u) d u\right\}\right]\right) d s \\
= & {\left[\omega(h(s)) \exp \left\{-\int_{x_{0}}^{h(s)} b(u) d u\right\} \frac{\dot{\omega}_{-}(h(s))}{\dot{\omega}(h(s))-b(h(s)) \omega(h(s))}\right]_{t_{0}+k}^{t} } \\
& +\int_{t_{0}+k}^{t}\left(\omega(h(s)) \exp \left\{-\int_{x_{0}}^{h(s)} b(u) d u\right\} \frac{d}{d s}\left[\frac{-\dot{\omega}_{-}(h(s))}{\dot{\omega}(h(s))-b(h(s)) \omega(h(s))}\right]\right) d s \\
\leq & {\left[\omega(h(s)) \exp \left\{-\int_{x_{0}}^{h(s)} b(u) d u\right\} \frac{\dot{\omega}_{-}(h(s))}{\dot{\omega}(h(s))-b(h(s)) \omega(h(s))}\right]_{t_{0}+k}^{t} } \\
& +\omega(h(t)) \exp \left\{-\int_{x_{0}}^{h(t)} b(u) d u\right\}\left[\frac{-\dot{\omega}_{-}(h(s))}{\dot{\omega}(h(s))-b(h(s)) \omega(h(s))}\right]_{t_{0}+k}^{t} \\
= & {\left[\omega(h(s)) \exp \left\{-\int_{x_{0}}^{h(s)} b(u) d u\right\}\right]_{t_{0}+k}^{t} \frac{\dot{\omega}_{-}\left(h\left(t_{0}+k\right)\right)}{\dot{\omega}\left(h\left(t_{0}+k\right)\right)-b\left(h\left(t_{0}+k\right)\right) \omega\left(h\left(t_{0}+k\right)\right)} . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this into (2.2) we obtain

$$
|z(t)| \leq M_{k}\left\{1+\frac{\dot{\omega}_{-}\left(h\left(t_{0}+k\right)\right)}{\dot{\omega}\left(h\left(t_{0}+k\right)\right)-b\left(h\left(t_{0}+k\right)\right) \omega\left(h\left(t_{0}+k\right)\right)}\right\}
$$

for every $t \in I_{k+1}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{k+1} & \leq M_{k}\left\{1+\frac{\dot{\omega}_{-}\left(h\left(t_{0}+k\right)\right)}{\dot{\omega}\left(h\left(t_{0}+k\right)\right)-b\left(h\left(t_{0}+k\right)\right) \omega\left(h\left(t_{0}+k\right)\right)}\right\} \\
& \leq M_{1} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left\{1+\frac{\dot{\omega}_{-}\left(h\left(t_{0}+j\right)\right)}{\dot{\omega}\left(h\left(t_{0}+j\right)\right)-b\left(h\left(t_{0}+j\right)\right) \omega\left(h\left(t_{0}+j\right)\right)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $k=1,2, \ldots$.
Applying Cauchy's integral criterion we can see that the infinite product converges as $k \rightarrow \infty$, hence $z(t)$ is bounded as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
Remark 2. If we replace $x_{0} \in I$ in conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) by $x^{*} \in I$ large enough, then the conclusion of Theorem 1 remains valid.
Remark 3. The assumption $\tau_{1}(x) \in C^{2}(I), \tau_{1}(x) \geq \tau_{i}(x)$ for each $x \in I, i=$ $2, \ldots, m, \tau_{1}^{\prime}(x)>0$ in $I$ can be replaced by the assumption that there exists $\tau(x) \in C^{2}(I), \tau(x) \geq \tau_{i}(x)$ for each $x \in I, i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $\tau^{\prime}(x)>0$ in $I$. Of course, $\psi(x)$ is then a solution of Abel equation (1.5) with $\tau(x)$ instead of $\tau_{1}(x)$.

Remark 4. If $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\left|a_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|}{-b\left(x_{0}\right)} \geq 1$ and functions $\frac{\left|a_{i}(x)\right|}{-b(x)}$ are nondecreasing in $I$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$, then there exists a positive nondecreasing solution $\omega(x)$ of (1.2). Hence, we can simplify the assumptions of Theorem 1.

Now we consider autonomous equation (1.1), i.e., the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} y\left(\tau_{i}(x)\right)+b y(x), \quad x \in I \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Proposition 2 we get
Theorem 2. Let $a_{i} \neq 0, b<0$ be scalars, $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Assume that functions $\tau_{i}(x) \in C^{2}(I)$ fulfil $0<\tau_{i}^{\prime}(x) \leq\left(\frac{\tau_{i}(x)}{x}\right)^{\gamma}$ in $I$ for a suitable real constant $\gamma>$ $\frac{1}{2}, \tau_{1}^{\prime}(x)$ is nonincreasing in $I$ and let $\tau_{i}(x)$ can be embedded into a continuous iteration group $\left\{\tau_{1}^{u}(x), u \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Further, let $\psi(x) \in C^{2}\left(I_{-1}\right)$, $\psi^{\prime}(x)>0$ in $I_{-1}$ be a solution of (1.5) given by (1.6) and let $\alpha^{*}$ be a real root of (1.8). Then every solution $y(x)$ of (2.3) satisfies

$$
y(x)=O\left(\exp \left(\alpha^{*} \psi(x)\right) \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty\right.
$$

Proof. The function $\psi^{\prime}(x)$ is a solution of the functional equation

$$
\psi^{\prime}(x)=\psi^{\prime}\left(\tau_{1}(x)\right) \tau_{1}^{\prime}(x)
$$

If $\psi^{\prime}(x)$ is nonincreasing for $\tau_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \leq x \leq x_{0}$, then $\psi^{\prime}(x)$ is nonincreasing in $I_{-1}$. Moreover, if $\psi^{\prime}(x) \leq \frac{M}{x^{\gamma}}$ for a suitable $M>0$ and every $\tau_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \leq x \leq x_{0}$, then

$$
\psi^{\prime}(x) \leq \frac{M}{\left(\tau_{1}(x)\right)^{\gamma}} \tau_{1}^{\prime}(x) \leq \frac{M}{x^{\gamma}}
$$

for every $x_{0} \leq x \leq \tau_{1}^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$. By induction on $n$ we can similarly show that $\psi^{\prime}(x) \leq$ $\frac{M}{x^{\gamma}}$ for every $\tau_{1}^{-n+1}\left(x_{0}\right) \leq x \leq \tau_{1}^{-n}\left(x_{0}\right), n=1,2, \ldots$, i.e., $\int_{x_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\psi^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} d s<\infty$.

By Proposition $2 \omega(x)=\exp \left(\alpha^{*} \psi(x)\right)$ is a solution of (1.4). Due to the above given properties of $\psi^{\prime}(x)$ it is easy to verify that all the assumptions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled with the respect to Remark 3.
Corollary. In addition to assumptions of Theorem 2 suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|a_{i}\right|<-b$. Then every solution $y(x)$ of (2.3) tends to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

## 3. Applications

Example 1. We consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i}(x)\left[y(x)-y\left(\tau_{i}(x)\right)\right], \quad x \in I \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{i}(x) \in C^{0}(I), b_{i}(x)<0$ in $I, i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Auxiliary functional equation (1.2) then becomes

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i}(x)\left[\omega(x)-\omega\left(\tau_{i}(x)\right)\right]=0, \quad x \in I
$$

and admits the solution $\omega(x)=$ const. We apply conclusions of Theorem 1 with the respect to Remark 4.

Assume that $b_{i}(x)<0$ in $I, i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Let $\tau_{1}(x) \in C^{1}(I), \tau_{1}^{\prime}(x)>0$ in $I$ and $\tau_{1}(x) \geq \tau_{i}(x)$ for each $x \in I, i=2, \ldots, m$. Then every solution $y(x)$ of (3.1) is bounded.

We note that equation (3.1) with $b_{i}(x)>0$ and constant delays $\tau_{i}(x)$ has been studied by J. Diblík [3]. Our previous result extend some parts of [3].

Example 2. Now we investigate the asymptotic behaviour of all solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(x)=a_{1} x y\left(\lambda_{1} x\right)+a_{2} x y\left(\lambda_{2} x\right)+b y(x), \quad x \in[1, \infty) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2} \neq 0,0<\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}<1$ and $b<0$. The corresponding functional equation (1.2) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{1}\right| x \omega\left(\lambda_{1} x\right)+\left|a_{2}\right| x \omega\left(\lambda_{2} x\right)+b \omega(x)=0, \quad x \in[1, \infty) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation has a positive increasing solution given by (1.3). Hence, by Theorem 1 and Remark 4, every solution $y(x)$ of (3.2) fulfil $y(x)=O\{\omega(x)\}$, where $\omega(x)$ is a positive and increasing solution of (3.3) given by (1.3).

To obtain a more applicable form of the estimate we can simplify equation (3.3) in the following way. We consider the equation

$$
a x \varphi(\lambda x)+b \varphi(x)=0, \quad x \in[1, \infty)
$$

where $a=\max \left(\left|a_{1}\right|,\left|a_{2}\right|\right), \lambda=\max \left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$. It can be easily verified that this equation has a solution

$$
\varphi^{*}(x)=\exp \left\{\frac{\log ^{2} x}{2 \log \lambda^{-1}}+\frac{\log x}{2}+\frac{\log \frac{a}{-b}}{\log \lambda^{-1}} \log x\right\}, \quad x \in[1, \infty)
$$

Since obviously every positive and increasing solution $\omega(x)$ of (3.3) is of order not exceeding $\varphi^{*}(x)$ we get that

$$
y(x)=O\left(\exp \left\{\frac{\log ^{2} x}{2 \log \lambda^{-1}}+\frac{\log x}{2}+\frac{\log \frac{a}{-b}}{\log \lambda^{-1}} \log x\right\}\right) \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
$$

for every solution $y(x)$ of (3.2).

Example 3. We consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(x)=a_{1} y\left(x^{\gamma_{1}}\right)+a_{2} y\left(x^{\gamma_{2}}\right)+b y(x), \quad x \in[1, \infty) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2} \neq 0,0<\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}<1, b<0$. Functions $x^{\gamma_{1}}, x^{\gamma_{2}}$ can be embedded into a continuous iteration group $\left\{x^{u}, u \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$. Abel equation (1.5) then becomes

$$
\psi\left(x^{\gamma_{1}}\right)=\psi(x)-1, \quad x \in[1, \infty)
$$

and has the function $\psi^{*}(x)=\frac{\log \log x}{-\log \gamma_{1}}$ as the required solution. We note that delays considered in (3.4) intersect the identity function at the initial point $x_{0}=1$. Nevertheless, all assertions of this paper remain valid for equations with such delays as well.

Further, let $\alpha^{*}$ be a real root of equation (1.8) with $b<0$, i.e., equation

$$
\left|a_{1}\right| \exp (-\alpha)+\left|a_{2}\right| \exp \left\{-\frac{\log \gamma_{2}}{\log \gamma_{1}} \alpha\right\}+b=0
$$

and put

$$
\omega^{*}(x)=\exp \left(\alpha^{*} \psi^{*}(x)\right)
$$

Then, by Theorem 2,

$$
y(x)=O\left(\omega^{*}(x)\right) \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
$$

for any solution $y(x)$ of (3.4).
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