

Harald Niederreiter; Norris Sookoo
Partial densities on the group of integers

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 36 (2000), No. 1, 17--24

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107714>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

PARTIAL DENSITIES ON THE GROUP OF INTEGERS

HARALD NIEDERREITER AND NORRIS SOOKOO

ABSTRACT. Conditions are obtained under which a partial density on the group of integers with the discrete topology can be extended to a density.

1. INTRODUCTION

Berg and Rubel (1969) investigated densities on locally compact abelian (LCA) groups and Neiderreiter and Sookoo [4] obtained conditions under which a partial density on an LCA group can be extended to a density.

In this paper, we obtain additional conditions when the LCA group is the group of integers with the discrete topology. In Section 2, we present notations and definitions and in Section 3 the additional conditions in question.

2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

For a compact, Hausdorff space X , u.d. can be defined with respect to a non-negative, regular, normed Borel measure (c.f. Kuipers and Niederreiter (1974)).

Notation. (i) Let μ_B be a nonnegative, regular, normed, Borel measure on X .

(ii) Let $R(X)$ denote the set of all continuous, real-valued functions on X .

Definition. The sequence (x_n) is u.d. in X with respect to μ_B if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N f(x_n) = \int_X f d\mu_B \quad \forall f \in R(x)$$

A density (c.f. Berg and Rubel (1969)) on an LCA group G is a system of measures on subgroups of compact index of G satisfying compatibility conditions.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 28C10.

Key words and phrases: partial density, extension to density.

Received August 24, 1998.

Definition. A closed subgroup H of an LCA group G is said to be of *compact index* if G/H is compact.

Notation. (i) Let $\{H_\alpha | \alpha \in A\}$ be the set of all subgroups of G of compact index, where A is a suitable index set.

(ii) Let $\{G_\alpha | \alpha \in A\}$ be the set of compact quotients of G , where $G_\alpha = G/H_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in A$.

Definition. A system D of measures given by

$$D = \{\mu_\alpha | \mu_\alpha \text{ is a probability measure on } G_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$$

is called a *density* on G if it satisfies the following compatibility condition:

If $\psi : G_\beta \rightarrow G_\alpha$ is the natural homomorphism from G_β to a quotient G_α of G_β , then for any Borel set B in G_α , $\mu_\alpha(B) = \mu_\beta(\psi^{-1}(B))$.

We next define a partial density (c.f. Niederreiter (1975)).

Definition. Let G be an LCA group and $\{H_\alpha | \alpha \in A\}$ be the set of all subgroups of compact index of G . For a subset B of A , let

$$P = \{\mu_\alpha | \mu_\alpha \text{ is a probability measure on } G_\alpha, \alpha \in B\}$$

be a system of measures satisfying the following compatibility condition:

If

$$H_\alpha \supseteq H_{\beta_1} \quad \text{and} \quad H_\alpha \supseteq H_{\beta_2},$$

where $\alpha \in A$, $\beta_1 \in B$ and $\beta_2 \in B$, then μ_{β_1} and μ_{β_2} induce the same measure on G_α . Then P is called a *partial density* on G .

Notation. Let \mathbb{Z} be the group of integers with the discrete topology, and $R(\mathbb{Z})$ the set of continuous, real-valued functions on \mathbb{Z}

3. CONDITIONS FOR THE EXTENSION OF A PARTIAL DENSITY

Lemma 3.1. If $\gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1$, μ_1 is a measure on $\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z}$ and μ_2 a measure on $\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z}$ then there exists a measure μ on $\mathbb{Z}/m_1m_2\mathbb{Z}$ which induces μ_1 and μ_2 .

Proof. Let μ be the measure on the direct product $(\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z})$ given by $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$; that is, μ is the direct product of μ_1 and μ_2 .

If $A \in (\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A \times \mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z}) &= \mu_1(A)\mu_2(\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \mu_1(A) \end{aligned}$$

Continuing like this, we see that μ induces μ_1 and μ_2 .

Also $\mathbb{Z}/m_1m_2\mathbb{Z} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z})$ because of the following result:

Let a, b be integers. Then $X \equiv a \pmod{m_1}$ and $X \equiv b \pmod{m_2}$ if and only if $X \equiv r \pmod{m_1m_2}$, where r is an integer uniquely determined $\pmod{m_1m_2}$ by a and b . (This result can be deduced from the Chinese Remainder Theorem.)

Hence μ can be considered as a measure on $\mathbb{Z}/m_1m_2\mathbb{Z}$ and we know that μ is compatible with μ_1 and μ_2 . \square

Lemma 3.2. *If μ_1 is a measure on $\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z}$ and μ_2 is a measure on $\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\{\mu_1, \mu_2\}$ is a partial density on \mathbb{Z} , then there exists a measure μ on $\mathbb{Z}/I\mathbb{Z}$ which induces μ_1 and μ_2 where I is the L.C.M. of m_1 and m_2 .*

Proof. If $d = \gcd(m_1, m_2)$, we can reduce the problem to d simpler problems. In each case, we will have two relatively prime numbers, as in Lemma 3.1. The equations involving the measures of the cosets $i + 0, i + d, i + 2d, \dots, i + (I - d)$ would not have terms involving the measures of any other cosets, where $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, d - 1\}$. (For a nonnegative integer p less than I , p denotes the coset $\dots, -I + p, p, I + p, \dots$ of $I\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{Z}/I\mathbb{Z}$.)

We show this as follows. Let μ_1 take the values $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m_1-1}$ and μ_2 take the values $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{m_2-1}$. We wish to find a measure μ on $\mathbb{Z}/I\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(0) + \mu(m_1) + \dots + \mu(I - m_1) &= x_0 \\ \mu(1) + \mu(m_1 + 1) + \dots + \mu(I - m_1 + 1) &= x_1 \\ &\vdots \\ \mu(m_1 - 1) + \mu(2m_1 - 1) + \dots + \mu(I - 1) &= x_{m_1 - 1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(0) + \mu(m_2) + \dots + \mu(I - m_2) &= y_0 \\ \mu(1) + \mu(m_2 + 1) + \dots + \mu(I - m_2 + 1) &= y_1 \\ &\vdots \\ \mu(m_2 - 1) + \mu(2m_2 - 1) + \dots + \mu(I - 1) &= y_{m_2 - 1} \end{aligned}$$

Because of the compatibility condition on μ_1 and μ_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 + x_d + \dots + x_{m_1-d} &= y_0 + y_d + \dots + y_{m_2-d} \\ &= \alpha, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

The set S of equations involving $\mu(0), \mu(d), \mu(2d), \dots, \mu(I - d)$ does not involve any other unknowns, so they can be solved separately.

If $\alpha = 0$, we let $\mu(i) = 0$ for $i \in \{0, d, 2d, \dots, I - d\}$.

If $\alpha > 0$, we multiply $x_0, x_d, \dots, x_{m_1-d}, y_0, y_d, \dots, y_{m_2-d}$ by $1/\alpha$.

Now consider this problem:

Let ν_1 be a measure on $\mathbb{Z}/\frac{m_1}{d}\mathbb{Z}$ having values $\frac{x_0}{\alpha}, \frac{x_d}{\alpha}, \dots, \frac{x_{m_1-d}}{\alpha}$ and let ν_2 be a measure on $\mathbb{Z}/\frac{m_2}{d}\mathbb{Z}$ having values $\frac{y_0}{\alpha}, \frac{y_d}{\alpha}, \dots, \frac{y_{m_2-d}}{\alpha}$.

Then ν_1 and ν_2 are probability measures and $\frac{m_1}{d}$ and $\frac{m_2}{d}$ are relatively prime.

Hence from Lemma 3.1, there exists a measure ν on $\mathbb{Z}/\frac{I}{d}\mathbb{Z}$ which induces ν_1 and ν_2 . Hence, if we multiply each equation in S by $1/\alpha$, and then replace $\mu(i)$ by $\nu(\frac{i}{d})$, the new set of equation has at least one solution such that $\nu(\frac{i}{d}) \geq 0$, for $i \in \{0, d, 2d, \dots, I - d\}$.

Similarly, the equations involving

$$\mu(j), \mu(j+d), \mu(j+2d), \dots, \mu(j+I-d), \quad j \in \{1, 2, \dots, d-1\},$$

have nonnegative solutions.

Hence our original set of equation have nonnegative solutions. Hence there exists a measure μ which induces μ_1 and μ_2 .

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\{\mu_{m_i} | i = 1, 2, \dots, p\}$ be a partial density on \mathbb{Z} Then there exists a measure on $\mathbb{Z}/(L.C.M. \text{ of } m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p)\mathbb{Z}$ which induces μ_{m_i} for each i in $\{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, if the following condition is satisfied for each element a in $\{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$:*

Let $R = L.C.M. \text{ of } m_1, m_2, \dots, m_a$. Then $\gcd(R, m_{a+1})$ is a divisor of at least one of m_1, m_2, \dots, m_a .

Proof. Let the *L.C.M.* of m_1, m_2, \dots, m_a be $I^{12\dots a}$. There is a measure $\mu_{I^{12}}$ on $\mathbb{Z}/I^{12}\mathbb{Z}$ which induces μ_{m_1} and μ_{m_2} , according to Lemma 3.2.

Now, $\dot{T} = \gcd(I^{12}, m_3)$ is a divisor of at least one of m_1 and m_2 . Hence $u_{I^{12}}$ and μ_{m_3} are compatible with respect to the greatest common divisor, \dot{T} . Therefore there exists a measure $u_{I^{123}}$ on $\mathbb{Z}/I^{123}\mathbb{Z}$ compatible with $\mu_{m_1}, \mu_{m_2}, \mu_{m_3}$.

Now $\gcd(I^{123}, m_4)$ is a divisor of at least one of m_1, m_2, m_3 . Hence there exists a measure on $\mathbb{Z}/I^{1234}\mathbb{Z}$ compatible with $\mu_{m_1}, \mu_{m_2}, \mu_{m_3}$ and μ_{m_4} .

Continuing like this, we obtain a measure $\mu_{I^{12\dots p}}$ on $\mathbb{Z}/I^{12\dots p}\mathbb{Z}$ compatible with μ_{m_i} for each i in $\{1, 2, \dots, p\}$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $P = \{\mu_{m_i} | i \in B\}$ be a partial density on \mathbb{Z} Then P can be extended to a density on \mathbb{Z} if the following condition is satisfied:*

*Let $I_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_a}$ be the *L.C.M.* of m_1, m_2, \dots, m_a for arbitrary a in B . Then $\gcd(I_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_a}, m_{a+1})$ is a divisor of at least one of m_1, m_2, \dots, m_a , for each a in B .*

Proof. Let N_{m_i} be the set of continuous, real-valued functions on \mathbb{Z} having period m_i for each i in B , and let M be the space of finite linear combinations of elements of the N_{m_i} over $|R, i \in B$. Define L on M as follows:

If $f \in M$, then

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i f_i$$

for some $f_i \in N_{m_i}$ $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $k_i \in |R$ $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Let

$$L(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{i\mathbb{Z}} k_i f_i d\mu_{m_i}$$

where μ_{m_i} is the measure on $\mathbb{Z}/m_i\mathbb{Z}$ in P ; $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Now let $f \in M$ such that $f \geq 0$. Then $f = f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_a$ for some a in B , where f_i has period m_i , $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, a\}$. Lemma 3.3 implies that there is a probability measure μ on $\mathbb{Z}/I_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_a}\mathbb{Z}$ such that μ is compatible with $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_a$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} L(f) &= \sum_{i=1}^a \int_{\mathbb{Z}} f_i d\mu_{m_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^a \int_{\mathbb{Z}} f_i d\mu_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_a \mathbb{Z}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}} f d\mu \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $f \geq 0$.

Hence L is positive; therefore Theorem 3.4 of [4] implies that P can be extended to a density on \mathbb{Z}

If the measures in a partial density satisfy a certain condition, then the partial density can be extended to a density on \mathbb{Z} . In this case the partial density can be defined for any set of subgroups of \mathbb{Z} . The following theorem gives this condition. First we need a definition. \square

Definition. Let m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n be positive integers greater than one and let I be their LCM. If

$$S = \{\nu_\alpha | \alpha = 1, \dots, n; \nu_\alpha \text{ is a signed measure on } \mathbb{Z}/m_\alpha \mathbb{Z}\}$$

satisfies the usual condition for a partial density, and $\|S\| = 1$, we call S a *signed partial density*.

Theorem 3.5. Let I, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n be as above.

Suppose that

$$P = \{\mu_\alpha | \mu_\alpha \text{ is a probability measure on } \mathbb{Z}/m_\alpha \mathbb{Z}, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

is a partial density on \mathbb{Z} such that

$$\mu_\alpha = \frac{\nu_\alpha + (2^{n+1} - 1)I\bar{\mu}_\alpha}{(2^{n+1} - 1)I + 1}$$

where $\{\nu_\alpha | \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a signed partial density and $\bar{\mu}_\alpha$ is the Haar measure on $\mathbb{Z}/m_\alpha \mathbb{Z}, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n, I$. Then P can be extended to a density on \mathbb{Z} . In particular, if

$$\mu_\alpha \geq \frac{(2^{n+1} - 1)I\bar{\mu}_\alpha}{(2^{n+1} - 1)I + 1}$$

for each α in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then P can be extended to a density on \mathbb{Z}

Proof. We define a linear functional L on the set M of linear combinations over R of functions from $R(\mathbb{Z}/m_\alpha \mathbb{Z}), \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$ as follows:

$$\text{If } f = f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_n, f_\alpha \in R(\mathbb{Z}/m_\alpha \mathbb{Z}), \alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

then

$$L(f) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}/m_{\alpha}\mathbb{Z}} f_{\alpha} d\nu_{\alpha}$$

where $R(\mathbb{Z}/m_{\alpha}\mathbb{Z})$ is the set of real valued, continuous functions on $\mathbb{Z}/m_{\alpha}\mathbb{Z}$. We define another linear functional Q on M for each α in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ as follows:

$$Q(f) = \frac{L(f) + (2^{n+1} - 1)I \int_{\mathbb{Z}} f d\bar{\mu}_I}{(2^{n+1} - 1)I + 1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q(f) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{\int_{\mathbb{Z}/m_{\alpha}\mathbb{Z}} f_{\alpha} d\nu_{\alpha} + (2^{n+1} - 1)I \int_{\mathbb{Z}/m_{\alpha}\mathbb{Z}} f_{\alpha} d\bar{\mu}_{\alpha}}{(2^{n+1} - 1)I + 1} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}/m_{\alpha}\mathbb{Z}} f_{\alpha} d\left(\frac{\nu_{\alpha} + (2^{n+1} - 1)I\bar{\mu}_{\alpha}}{(2^{n+1} - 1)I + 1}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}/m_{\alpha}\mathbb{Z}} f_{\alpha} d\mu_{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\nu_{\alpha} | \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a signed partial density, Lemma 3.3 of [4] implies

$$|L(f)| \leq (2^{n+1} - 1) \text{ for each } f \in M \text{ such that } \|f\| \leq 1.$$

If L is positive, then Q is positive. If L is not positive, let f_0 be the element of M for which L is minimum with $0 \leq f_0 \leq 1$. Then f_0 must take the value 1 on at least one element of $\mathbb{Z}/I\mathbb{Z}$, otherwise there would be a positive multiple f_1 of f_0 such that $L(f_1) < L(f_0)$.

Now $\bar{\mu}_I(P) \geq \frac{1}{I}$ for each P in $\mathbb{Z}/I\mathbb{Z}$ and so

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}} f_0 d\bar{\mu}_I \geq \frac{1}{I}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |L(f_0)| &\geq (2^{n+1} - 1) \\ Q(f_0) &\geq 0 \\ \therefore Q(f) &\geq 0, \forall f \in M \text{ such that } 0 \leq f \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since any positive function in M is a multiple of some $g_0 \in M$ for which $0 \leq g_0 \leq 1$, Q is positive. Since L is bounded, Q is bounded and so continuous. Hence Q can be extended to a positive, continuous linear functional L_1 on $R(\mathbb{Z})$. L_1 induces on G a density which is an extension of P .

Suppose now that

$$\mu_{\alpha} \geq \frac{(2^{n+1} - 1)I\bar{\mu}_{\alpha}}{(2^{n+1} - 1)I + 1};$$

then μ_α can be expressed in the form

$$\frac{\nu_\alpha + (2^{n+1} - 1)I\overline{\mu}_\alpha}{(2^{n+1} - 1)I + 1}$$

where $\{\nu_\alpha | \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a partial density, and the result follows as before.

In the general case, we cannot use a method similar to the one used in Lemma 3.1. The following case illustrates this.

Let μ_4 and μ_6 be measures on $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ respectively. Let also $\mu_a(i + b\mathbb{Z})$ be the measure of the coset $i + b\mathbb{Z}$ of $b\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{Z}/b\mathbb{Z}$ where a and b are positive integers greater than 1, μ_a is some measure defined on $\mathbb{Z}/b\mathbb{Z}$ and $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, b - 1\}$. Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_6(0 + 6\mathbb{Z}) &= 1/6 \\ \mu_6(1 + 6\mathbb{Z}) &= 0/6 \\ \mu_6(2 + 6\mathbb{Z}) &= 2/6 \\ \mu_6(3 + 6\mathbb{Z}) &= 0/6 \\ \mu_6(4 + 6\mathbb{Z}) &= 2/6 \\ \mu_6(5 + 6\mathbb{Z}) &= 1/6 \\ \mu_4(0 + 4\mathbb{Z}) &= 5/6 \\ \mu_4(1 + 4\mathbb{Z}) &= 1/6 \\ \mu_4(2 + 4\mathbb{Z}) &= 0/6 \\ \mu_4(3 + 4\mathbb{Z}) &= 0/6 \end{aligned}$$

We see that $\{\mu_4, \mu_6\}$ is a partial density on \mathbb{Z}

We have measures μ_4 on $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ and μ_3 on $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ where μ_6 induces μ_3 .

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_3(0 + 3\mathbb{Z}) &= 1/6 \\ \mu_3(1 + 3\mathbb{Z}) &= 2/6 \\ \mu_3(2 + 3\mathbb{Z}) &= 3/6 \end{aligned}$$

We define μ_{12} on $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mu_{12} = \mu_4 \times \mu_3$. μ_4 and μ_6 induce μ_2 on $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2(0 + 2\mathbb{Z}) &= 5/6 \\ \mu_2(1 + 2\mathbb{Z}) &= 1/6 \end{aligned}$$

But μ_{12} induces $\overline{\mu}_6$ on $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ where $\overline{\mu}_6$ takes the values

$$\begin{aligned} 1/6 \times 5/6 &= 5/36 \\ 1/6 \times 1/6 &= 1/36 \\ 2/6 \times 5/6 &= 10/36 \\ 2/6 \times 1/6 &= 2/36 \\ 3/6 \times 5/6 &= 15/36 \\ 3/6 \times 1/6 &= 3/36 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mu}_6(0 + 6\mathbb{Z}) &= 5/36 \\ \bar{\mu}_6(1 + 6\mathbb{Z}) &= 2/36 \\ \bar{\mu}_6(2 + 6\mathbb{Z}) &= 15/36 \\ \bar{\mu}_6(3 + 6\mathbb{Z}) &= 1/36 \\ \bar{\mu}_6(4 + 6\mathbb{Z}) &= 10/36 \\ \bar{\mu}_6(5 + 6\mathbb{Z}) &= 3/36\end{aligned}$$

since $\bar{\mu}_6$ must induce μ_3 and μ_2 . We see that $\bar{\mu}_6$ is different from μ_6 . Hence, while μ_{12} is compatible with μ_2 and μ_3 it is not compatible with μ_6 .

Therefore the method used in Lemma 3.1 is not suitable in the general case.

Remark. The following result can be shown using some of the previous theorems.

Let G be an LCA group such that the periodic characters form a countable subgroup of \widehat{G} . Let S and I be collections of subgroups of compact index of G such that:

- (i) Finite intersections of members of $S U I$ are in $S U I$.
- (ii) For each H in S , μ_H is the Haar measure on G/H .

If, for each K in I , there exists a probability measure (other than the Haar measure) on G/K , such that $\{\mu_H | H \in S\} \cup \{\mu_K | K \in I\}$ is a partial density on G , then there exists a sequence (g_n) in G such that $(g_n H)$ is u.d. in G/H for each H in S , but $(g_n K)$ is not u.d. in G/K for any K in I .

This can be shown as follows:

The partial density can be extended to a density on G . By Theorem 5 of [3], there exists a sequence (g_n) in G such that $(g_n H)$ is u.d. in G/H with respect to μ_H for each H in S and $(g_n K)$ is u.d. in G/K with respect to μ_K for each K in I . Hence $(g_n H)$ is u.d. in G/H (with respect to Haar measure on G/H) for each H in S and $(g_n K)$ is not u.d. in G/K (with respect to the Haar measure on G/K) for each K in I .

REFERENCES

- [1] Berg, I.D and Rubel, L.A, *Densities on locally compact abelian groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **19**, 1 (1969), 81–107.
- [2] Kuipers, L. and Niederreiter, H., *Uniform Distribution of Sequences*, Wiley, 1974, New York.
- [3] Niederreiter, H., *Rearrangement theorems for sequences*, Collection Asterisque, Soc. Math. France (1975), 24–25, 243–261.
- [4] Niederreiter, H. and Sookoo, N., *Partial densities on locally compact abelian groups*, under preparation.

10 COLLEGE FLATS, 11 ST. KILDA ROAD
MOUNT PLEASANT, HARARE, ZIMBABWE, AFRICA
E-mail: sookoo@maths.uz.ac.zw