

Ekrem Savaş; Rahmet Savaş

Some sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 40 (2004), No. 1, 33--40

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107888>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

SOME SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED BY ORLICZ FUNCTIONS

E. SAVAŞ AND R. SAVAŞ

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce a new concept of λ -strong convergence with respect to an Orlicz function and examine some properties of the resulting sequence spaces. It is also shown that if a sequence is λ -strongly convergent with respect to an Orlicz function then it is λ -statistically convergent.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of paranorm is closely related to linear metric spaces. It is a generalization of that of absolute value. Let X be a linear space. A function $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *paranorm*, if

$$(P.1) \quad p(0) \geq 0$$

$$(P.2) \quad p(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in X$$

$$(P.3) \quad p(-x) = p(x) \text{ for all } x \in X$$

$$(P.4) \quad p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ (triangle inequality)}$$

(P.5) if (λ_n) is a sequence of scalars with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda (n \rightarrow \infty)$ and (x_n) is a sequence of vectors with $p(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$, then $p(\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$ (continuity of multiplication by scalars).

A paranorm p for which $p(x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$ is called *total*. It is well known that the metric of any linear metric space is given by some total paranorm (cf. [14, Theorem 10.4.2, p.183]).

Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)$ be a non decreasing sequence of positive reals tending to infinity and $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1$.

The generalized de la Vallée-Poussin means is defined by

$$t_n(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} x_k,$$

where $I_n = [n - \lambda_n + 1, n]$. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be (V, λ) -summable to a number ℓ (see [2]) if $t_n(x) \rightarrow \ell$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 40D05, 40A05.

Key words and phrases: sequence spaces, Orlicz function, de la Vallée-Poussin means.

Received January 8, 2002.

We write

$$[V, \lambda]_0 = \left\{ x = x_k : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_k| = 0 \right\}$$

$$[V, \lambda] = \left\{ x = x_k : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_k - \ell e| = 0, \quad \text{for some } \ell \in C \right\}$$

and

$$[V, \lambda]_\infty = \left\{ x = x_k : \sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_k| < \infty \right\}.$$

For the sets of sequences that are strongly summable to zero, strongly summable and strongly bounded by the de la Vallée-Poussin method. In the special case where $\lambda_n = n$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the sets $[V, \lambda]_0$, $[V, \lambda]$ and $[V, \lambda]_\infty$ reduce to the sets ω_0 , ω and ω_∞ introduced and studied by Maddox [5].

Following Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [4], we recall that an Orlicz function M is a continuous, convex, non-decreasing function defined for $x \geq 0$ such that $M(0) = 0$ and $M(x) \geq 0$ for $x > 0$.

If convexity of Orlicz function M is replaced by $M(x+y) \leq M(x) + M(y)$ then this function is called a modulus function, defined and discussed by Nakano [8], Ruckle [10], Maddox [6] and others.

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri used the idea of Orlicz function to construct the sequence space

$$l_M = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty \quad \text{for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

The space l_M with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space. For $M(x) = x^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, the space l_M coincide with the classical sequence space l_p .

Recently Parashar and Choudhary [9] have introduced and examined some properties of four sequence spaces defined by using an Orlicz function M , which generalized the well-known Orlicz sequence space l_M and strongly summable sequence spaces $[C, 1, p]$, $[C, 1, p]_0$ and $[C, 1, p]_\infty$. It may be noted that the spaces of strongly summable sequences were discussed by Maddox [5].

Quite recently E. Savaş [11] has also used an Orlicz function to construct some sequence spaces.

In the present paper we introduce a new concept of λ -strong convergence with respect to an Orlicz function and examine some properties of the resulting sequence spaces. Furthermore it is shown that if a sequence is λ -strongly convergent with respect to an Orlicz function then it is λ -statistically convergent.

We now introduce the generalizations of the spaces of λ -strongly.

We have

Definition 1. Let M be an Orlicz function and $p = (p_k)$ be any sequence of strictly positive real numbers.

We define the following sequence spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} [V, M, p] &= \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_k - \ell|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0 \text{ for some } \ell \text{ and } \rho > 0 \right\} \\ [V, M, p]_0 &= \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0 \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\} \\ [V, M, p]_\infty &= \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote $[V, M, p]$, $[V, M, p]_0$ and $[V, M, p]_\infty$ as $[V, M]$, $[V, M]_0$ and $[V, M]_\infty$ when $p_k = 1$ for all k . If $x \in [V, M]$ we say that x is of λ -strongly convergent with respect to the Orlicz function M . If $M(x) = x$, $p_k = 1$ for all k , then $[V, M, p] = [V, \lambda]$, $[V, M, p]_0 = [V, \lambda]_0$ and $[V, M, p]_\infty = [V, \lambda]_\infty$. If $\lambda_n = n$ then, $[V, M, p]$, $[V, M, p]_0$ and $[V, M, p]_\infty$ reduce the $[C, M, p]$, $[C, M, p]_0$ and $[C, M, p]_\infty$ which were studied Parashar and Choudhary [9].

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we examine some topological properties of $[V, M, p]$, $[V, M, p]_0$ and $[V, M, p]_\infty$ spaces.

Theorem 1. For any Orlicz function M and any sequence $p = (p_k)$ of strictly positive real numbers, $[V, M, p]$, $[V, M, p]_0$ and $[V, M, p]_\infty$ are linear spaces over the set of complex numbers.

Proof. We shall prove only for $[V, M, p]_0$. The others can be treated similarly. Let $x, y \in [V, M, p]_0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in C$. In order to prove the result we need to find some $\rho_3 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|\alpha x_k + \beta y_k|}{\rho_3} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0.$$

Since $x, y \in [V, M, p]_0$, there exist a positive some ρ_1 and ρ_2 such that

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|y_k|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0.$$

Define $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$. Since M is non-decreasing and convex,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|\alpha x_k + \beta y_k|}{\rho_3} \right) \right]^{p_k} &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|\alpha x_k|}{\rho_3} + \frac{|\beta y_k|}{\rho_3} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho_1} \right) + M \left(\frac{|y_k|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho_1} \right) + M \left(\frac{|y_k|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq K \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} + K \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|y_k|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $K = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$, $H = \sup p_k$, so that $\alpha x + \beta y \in [V, M, p]_0$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2. For any Orlicz function M and a bounded sequence $p = (p_k)$ of strictly positive real numbers, $[V, M, p]_0$ is a total paranormed spaces with

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{p_n/H} : \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}.$$

where $H = \max(1, \sup p_k)$.

Proof. Clearly $g(x) = g(-x)$. By using Theorem 1, for a $\alpha = \beta = 1$, we get $g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$. Since $M(0) = 0$, we get $\inf\{\rho^{p_n/H}\} = 0$ for $x = 0$.

Conversely, suppose $g(x) = 0$, then

$$\inf \left\{ \rho^{p_n/H} : \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\} = 0.$$

This implies that for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some ρ_ε ($0 < \rho_\varepsilon < \varepsilon$) such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho_\varepsilon} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1.$$

Thus,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\varepsilon} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho_\varepsilon} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1,$$

for each n .

Suppose that $x_{n_m} \neq 0$ for some $m \in I_n$. Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then $\left(\frac{|x_{n_m}|}{\varepsilon} \right) \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_{n_m}|}{\varepsilon} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \rightarrow \infty$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $x_{n_m} = 0$ for each m . Finally, we prove that scalar multiplication is continuous. Let μ be any complex number. By definition

$$g(\mu x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{p_n/H} : \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|\mu x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}.$$

Then

$$g(\mu x) = \inf \left\{ (|\mu|s)^{p_n/H} : \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{s} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}$$

where $s = \rho/|\mu|$. Since $|\mu|^{p_n} \leq \max(1, |\mu|^{\sup p_n})$, we have

$$g(\mu x) \leq (\max(1, |\mu|^{\sup p_n}))^{1/H} \times \inf \left\{ s^{p_n/H} : \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{s} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}$$

which converges to zero as x converges to zero in $[V, M, p]_0$.

Now suppose $\mu_m \rightarrow 0$ and x is fixed in $[V, M, p]_0$. For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, let N be a positive integer such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < (\varepsilon/2)^H \quad \text{for some } \rho > 0 \quad \text{and all } n > N.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{for some } \rho > 0 \quad \text{and all } n > N.$$

Let $0 < |\mu| < 1$, using convexity of M , for $n > N$, we get

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|\mu x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[|\mu| M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < (\varepsilon/2)^H.$$

Since M is continuous everywhere in $[0, \infty)$, then for $n \leq N$

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|tx_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k}$$

is continuous at 0. So there is $1 > \delta > 0$ such that $|f(t)| < (\varepsilon/2)^H$ for $0 < t < \delta$. Let K be such that $|\mu_m| < \delta$ for $m > K$ then for $m > K$ and $n \leq N$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|\mu_m x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} < \varepsilon/2.$$

Thus

$$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\frac{|\mu_m x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} < \varepsilon$$

for $m > K$ and all n , so that $g(\mu x) \rightarrow 0$ ($\mu \rightarrow 0$). \square

Definition 2 ([1]). An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy Δ_2 -condition for all values of u , if there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that $M(2u) \leq KM(u)$, $u \geq 0$.

It is easy to see that always $K > 2$. The Δ_2 -condition is equivalent to the satisfaction of inequality $M(lu) \leq K(l)M(u)$, for all values of u and for $l > 1$.

Theorem 3. For any Orlicz function M which satisfies Δ_2 -condition, we have $[V, \lambda] \subseteq [V, M]$.

Proof. Let $x \in [V, \lambda]$ so that

$$T_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_k - \ell| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for some } \ell.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose δ with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $M(t) < \varepsilon$ for $0 \leq t \leq \delta$. Write $y_k = |x_k - \ell|$ and consider

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} M(|y_k|) = \sum_1 + \sum_2$$

where the first summation is over $y_k \leq \delta$ and the second summation over $y_k > \delta$. Since, M is continuous

$$\sum_1 < \lambda_n \varepsilon$$

and for $y_k > \delta$ we use the fact that $y_k < y_k/\delta < 1 + y_k/\delta$. Since M is non decreasing and convex, it follows that

$$M(y_k) < M(1 + \delta^{-1}y_k) < \frac{1}{2}M(2) + \frac{1}{2}M(2\delta^{-1}y_k)$$

Since M satisfies Δ_2 -condition there is a constant $K > 2$ such that $M(2\delta^{-1}y_k) \leq \frac{1}{2}K\delta^{-1}y_kM(2)$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_k) &< \frac{1}{2}K\delta^{-1}y_kM(2) + \frac{1}{2}K\delta^{-1}y_kM(2) \\ &= K\delta^{-1}y_kM(2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_2 M(y_k) \leq K\delta^{-1}M(2)\lambda_n T_n$$

which together with $\sum_1 \leq \varepsilon\lambda_n$ yields $[V, \lambda] \subseteq [V, M]$. This completes proof. \square

The method of the proof of Theorem 3 shows that for any Orlicz function M which satisfies Δ_2 -condition; we have $[V, \lambda]_0 \subset [V, M]_0$ and $[V, \lambda]_\infty \subset [V, M]_\infty$.

Theorem 4. Let $0 \leq p_k \leq q_k$ and (q_k/p_k) be bounded. Then $[V, M, q] \subset [V, M, p]$.

The proof of Theorem 4 used the ideas similar to those used in proving Theorem 7 of Parashar and Choudhary [9].

We now introduce a natural relationship between strong convergence with respect to an Orlicz function and λ -statistical convergence. Recently, Mursaleen [7] introduced the concept of statistical convergence as follows:

Definition 3. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be λ -statistically convergent or s_λ -statistically convergent to L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0,$$

where the vertical bars indicate the number of elements in the enclosed set.

In this case we write $s_\lambda - \lim x = L$ or $x_k \rightarrow L(s_\lambda)$ and $s_\lambda = \{x : \exists L \in R : s_\lambda - \lim x = L\}$.

Later on, λ -statistical convergence was generalized by Savaş [12].

We now establish an inclusion relation between $[V, M]$ and s_λ .

Theorem 5. For any Orlicz function M , $[V, M] \subset s_\lambda$.

Proof. Let $x \in [V, M]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} M\left(\frac{|x_k - \ell|}{\rho}\right) &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n, |x_k - \ell| \geq \varepsilon} M\left(\frac{|x_k - \ell|}{\rho}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} M(\varepsilon/\rho) \cdot |\{k \in I_n : |x_k - \ell| \geq \varepsilon\}| \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $x \in s_\lambda$.

To show that s_λ strictly contains $[V, M]$, we proceed as in [7]. We define $x = (x_k)$ by $x_k = k$ if $n - [\sqrt{\lambda_n}] + 1 \leq k \leq n$ and $x_k = 0$ otherwise. Then $x \notin \ell_\infty$ and for every ε ($0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$)

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |x_k - 0| \geq \varepsilon\}| = \frac{[\sqrt{\lambda_n}]}{\lambda_n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

i.e. $x_k \rightarrow 0(s_\lambda)$, where $[\]$ denotes the greatest integer function. On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} M\left(\frac{|x_k - 0|}{\rho}\right) \rightarrow \infty \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

i.e. $x_k \not\rightarrow 0[V, M]$. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Krasnoselski, M. A. and Rutitsky, Y. B., *Convex functions and Orlicz Spaces*, Groningen, the Netherlands, 1961.
- [2] Leindler, L., *Über de la Vallee Pousinsche Summierbarkeit allgemeiner Orthogonalreihen*, Acta Math. Hung. **16** (1965), 375–378.
- [3] Lindenstrauss, J., *Some aspects of the theory of Banach spaces*, Adv. Math. **5** (1970), 159–180.
- [4] Lindenstrauss, J. and Tzafriri, L., *On Orlicz sequence spaces*, Israel J. Math. **10** (3) (1971), 379–390.
- [5] Maddox, I. J., *Spaces of strongly summable sequences*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2), **18** (1967), 345–355.

- [6] Maddox, I. J., *Sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **100** (1986), 161–166.
- [7] Mursaleen, λ -*statistical convergence*, Math. Slovaca **50**, No.1 (2000), 111–115.
- [8] Nakano, H., *Concave modulus*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **5** (1953), 29–49.
- [9] Parashar, S. D. and Choudhary, B., *Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **25** (14) (1994), 419–428.
- [10] Ruckle, W. H., *FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded*, Canad. J. Math. **25** (1973), 973–978.
- [11] Savaş, E., *Strongly almost convergent sequences defined by Orlicz functions*, Comm. Appl. Anal. **4** (2000), 453–458.
- [12] Savaş, E., *Strong almost convergence and almost λ -statistical convergence*, Hokkaido Math. J. **24**(3), (2000), 531–536.
- [13] Savaş, E. and Rhoades, B. E., *On some new sequence spaces of invariant means defined by Orlicz functions*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **5**(2) (2002), 271–281.
- [14] Wilansky, A., *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Math. Stud. **85** (1984).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YÜZÜNCÜ YIL ÜNİVERSİTESİ
VAN 65080, TURKEY
E-mail: ekremsavas@yahoo.com