

Öznur Golbaşı; Neşet Aydın
On near-ring ideals with (σ, τ) -derivation

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 43 (2007), No. 2, 87--92

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108054>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON NEAR-RING IDEALS WITH (σ, τ) -DERIVATION

ÖZTUR GÖLBAŞI AND NEŞET AYDIN

ABSTRACT. Let N be a 3-prime left near-ring with multiplicative center Z , a (σ, τ) -derivation D on N is defined to be an additive endomorphism satisfying the product rule $D(xy) = \tau(x)D(y) + D(x)\sigma(y)$ for all $x, y \in N$, where σ and τ are automorphisms of N . A nonempty subset U of N will be called a semigroup right ideal (resp. semigroup left ideal) if $UN \subset U$ (resp. $NU \subset U$) and if U is both a semigroup right ideal and a semigroup left ideal, it be called a semigroup ideal. We prove the following results: Let D be a (σ, τ) -derivation on N such that $\sigma D = D\sigma, \tau D = D\tau$. (i) If U is semigroup right ideal of N and $D(U) \subset Z$ then N is commutative ring. (ii) If U is a semigroup ideal of N and $D^2(U) = 0$ then $D = 0$. (iii) If $a \in N$ and $[D(U), a]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ then $D(a) = 0$ or $a \in Z$.

1. INTRODUCTION

H. E. Bell and G. Mason have shown several commutativity theorems for near-rings with derivation in [1]. Bell has proved in [2], that if N be a 3-prime zero symmetric left near-ring and D be a nonzero derivation on N, U is nonzero subset of N such that $UN \subset U$ or $NU \subset U$ and $D(U) \subset Z$ then N is commutative ring. The major purpose of this paper to generalize this result replacing the derivation D by (σ, τ) -derivation.

Throughout this paper, N will denote a zero-symmetric left near-ring and usually will be 3-prime, that is, if $aNb = 0$ then $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, with multiplicative center Z . A nonempty subset U of N will be called a semigroup right ideal (resp. semigroup left ideal) if $UN \subset U$ (resp. $NU \subset U$) and if U is both a semigroup right ideal and a semigroup left ideal, it be called a semigroup ideal. For subsets $X, Y \subset N$ the symbol $[X, Y]$ will denote the set $\{xy - yx \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$. Let σ, τ be two near-ring automorphisms of N . An additive endomorphism of N with the property that $D(xy) = \tau(x)D(y) + D(x)\sigma(y)$ for all $x, y \in N$ is called (σ, τ) -derivation of N . Given $x, y \in N$, we write $[x, y]_{\sigma, \tau} = x\sigma(y) - \tau(y)x$; in particular $[x, y]_{1,1} = [x, y]$, in the usual sense. As for terminologies used here without mention, we refer to G. Pilz [4].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16A72, 16A70, 16Y30.

Key words and phrases: prime near-ring, derivation, (σ, τ) -derivation.

Received July 26, 2005, revised April 2004.

2. RESULTS

Lemma 1 ([3, Lemma 2]). *Let D be a (σ, τ) -derivation on the near-ring N . Then*

$$(\tau(x)D(y) + D(x)\sigma(y))\sigma(a) = \tau(x)D(y)\sigma(a) + D(x)\sigma(y)\sigma(a)$$

for all $x, y, a \in N$.

Lemma 2 ([2, Lemma 1.2]). *Let N be a 3-prime near-ring.*

- i) *If $z \in Z \setminus \{0\}$ then z is not a zero divisor.*
- ii) *If $Z \setminus \{0\}$ contains an element z for which $z + z \in Z$, then $(N, +)$ is abelian.*
- iii) *If $z \in Z \setminus \{0\}$ and x is an element of N such that $xz \in Z$ or $zx \in Z$, then $x \in Z$.*

Lemma 3 ([3, Lemma 3]). *Let D be a nonzero (σ, τ) -derivation on prime near-ring N and $a \in N$.*

- i) *If $D(N)\sigma(a) = 0$ then $a = 0$.*
- ii) *If $aD(N) = 0$ then $a = 0$.*

Lemma 4. *Let N be a prime near-ring, D a (σ, τ) -derivation of N and U be nonzero semigroup right ideal (resp. semigroup left ideal). If $D(U) = 0$ then $D = 0$.*

Proof. U be a nonzero semigroup right ideal of N and $D(U) = 0$. For any $x \in N$, $u \in U$, we get

$$0 = D(ux) = \tau(u)D(x) + D(u)\sigma(x)$$

and so,

$$\tau(u)D(x) = 0, \quad \text{for all } u \in U, x \in N.$$

By Lemma 3(ii), we have $D = 0$. If U is a nonzero semigroup left ideal of N , then the proof is similar. \square

Lemma 5. *Let N be a 3-prime near-ring, D a nonzero (σ, τ) -derivation of N and U be nonzero semigroup ideal.*

- i) *If $x \in N$ and $D(U)\sigma(x) = 0$ then $x = 0$.*
- ii) *If $x \in N$ and $xD(U) = 0$ then $x = 0$.*

Proof. i) Suppose U a nonzero semigroup ideal of N and $D(U)\sigma(x) = 0$. By Lemma 1 for all $u, v \in U$, we get

$$0 = D(uv)\sigma(x) = \tau(u)D(v)\sigma(x) + D(u)\sigma(v)\sigma(x).$$

Using the hypothesis and σ is an automorphism of N , we have

$$\sigma^{-1}(D(u))Ux = 0, \quad \text{for all } u \in U.$$

Since D is a nonzero (σ, τ) -derivation of N , this relation gives us $x = 0$ by [2, Lemma 1.4 (i)].

- ii) A similar argument works if $xD(U) = 0$. \square

Theorem 1. *Let N be a 3-prime near-ring, D be a nonzero (σ, τ) -derivation of N and U a nonzero semigroup right ideal. If $D(U) \subset Z$, then N is commutative ring.*

Proof. For all $u, v \in U$, we get

$$D(uv) = \tau(u)D(v) + D(u)\sigma(v) \in Z$$

and commuting this element with $\sigma(v)$ gives us

$$(\tau(u)D(v) + D(u)\sigma(v))\sigma(v) = \sigma(v)(\tau(u)D(v) + D(u)\sigma(v)).$$

Using Lemma 1 and $D(u) \in Z$, we have

$$\tau(u)D(v)\sigma(v) + D(u)\sigma(v)\sigma(v) = \sigma(v)\tau(u)D(v) + D(u)\sigma(v)\sigma(v)$$

and so,

$$D(v)[\tau(u), \sigma(v)] = 0, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in U.$$

Since $D(v) \in Z$, we obtain

$$D(v) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad [\tau(u), \sigma(v)] = 0, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in U.$$

Suppose that $D(v) = 0$, then $D(uv) = \tau(u)D(v) + D(u)\sigma(v) = D(u)\sigma(v) \in Z$. This gives us $v \in Z$ from Lemma 2(iii). For any cases we conclude that

$$(2.1) \quad [\tau(u), \sigma(v)] = 0, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in U.$$

Since τ is an automorphism of N , the relation (2.1) yields

$$[U, \tau^{-1}(\sigma(v))] = 0, \quad \text{for all } v \in U$$

and so, $U \subset Z$ from [2, Lemma 1.3 (iii)]. Thus, we obtain that N is commutative ring by [2, Lemma 1.5]. \square

Theorem 2. *Let N be a 3-prime near-ring, D a nonzero (σ, τ) -derivation of N and U be nonzero semigroup left ideal. If $D(U) \subset Z$, then N is commutative ring.*

Proof. If we use the same argument in the proof of Theorem 1, we conclude that

$$(2.2) \quad D(v) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad [\tau(u), \sigma(v)] = 0, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in U.$$

Suppose that $D(v) = 0$, then $D(uv) = \tau(u)D(v) + D(u)\sigma(v) = D(u)\sigma(v) \in Z$, for all $u \in U$, so that

$$D(u)\sigma(v)x = xD(u)\sigma(v) = D(u)x\sigma(v), \quad \text{for all } u \in U, x \in N.$$

Thus, $D(u)[\sigma(v), x] = 0$, for all $u \in U, x \in N$. By Lemma 2 (i) and Lemma 4, we have show that

$$(2.3) \quad \text{If } v \in U \quad \text{and} \quad D(v) = 0, \quad \text{then } v \in Z.$$

Thus, the relation (2.2) yields

$$[\tau(u), \sigma(v)] = 0, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in U.$$

By the hypothesis, we get $D(wu) \in Z$, for all $u, w \in U$. That is,

$$D(wu) = \tau(w)D(u) + D(w)\sigma(u) \in Z.$$

Commuting this element with $\sigma(v)$, one can obtain

$$(\tau(w)D(u) + D(w)\sigma(u))\sigma(v) = \sigma(v)(\tau(w)D(u) + D(w)\sigma(u)).$$

Applying Lemma 1 and using $\sigma(v)\tau(w) = \tau(w)\sigma(v)$, $D(u) \in Z$, we have

$$D(u)\tau(w)\sigma(v) + D(w)\sigma(u)\sigma(v) = D(u)\tau(w)\sigma(v) + D(w)\sigma(v)\sigma(u)$$

and so,

$$D(w) \sigma([u, v]) = 0, \quad \text{for all } u, v, w \in U.$$

Since $D(w) \in Z$, we have

$$D(w) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad [u, v] = 0, \quad \text{for all } u, v, w \in U.$$

In the first case, we find that $D = 0$, a contradiction. So, we must have U is commutative.

Now, we assume that $U \cap Z \neq \{0\}$. Then U contains a nonzero central element w , we have

$$(wx)u = (xw)u = u(xw) = u(wx) = (uw)x = (wu)x$$

that is,

$$w[x, u] = 0, \quad \text{for all } u \in U.$$

Since w is nonzero central element, we have $U \subset Z$. Thus N is commutative ring by [2, Lemma 1.5].

If $U \cap Z = \{0\}$, in which case (2.3) shows that $D(u) \neq 0$ for all $u \in U \setminus \{0\}$. For each such u , $D(u^2) = \tau(u)D(u) + D(u)\sigma(u) = D(u)(\tau(u) + \sigma(u)) \in Z$. Since $D(u)$ is noncentral element of N , we get

$$\tau(u) + \sigma(u) \in Z, \quad \text{for all } u \in U.$$

Suppose that $\tau(u) + \sigma(u) = 0$, for all $u \in U \setminus \{0\}$. By the hypothesis, $D(u^3) \in Z$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D(u^3) &= \tau(u)D(u^2) + D(u)\sigma(u^2) \\ &= \tau(u)\tau(u)D(u) + \tau(u)D(u)\sigma(u) + D(u)\sigma(u^2) \in Z. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\tau(u) = -\sigma(u)$ and $D(u) \in Z$, we get

$$\tau(u)\tau(u)D(u) - \tau(u)\tau(u)D(u) + D(u)\sigma(u^2) \in Z$$

which implies $u^2 \in Z$. Hence we get $u^2 \in U \cap Z = \{0\}$, and so $u^2 = 0$.

Now, for any $x \in N$, $D(xu) = \tau(x)D(u) + D(x)\sigma(u) \in Z$. Hence,

$$\sigma(u)(\tau(x)D(u) + D(x)\sigma(u)) = (\tau(x)D(u) + D(x)\sigma(u))\sigma(u).$$

Using Lemma 1 and $u^2 = 0$, we have

$$\sigma(u)(\tau(x)D(u) + D(x)\sigma(u)) = \tau(x)D(u)\sigma(u).$$

Left-multiplying this relation by $\sigma(u)$ and using $u^2 = 0$, we obtain

$$\sigma(u)\tau(x)D(u)\sigma(u) = 0, \quad \text{for all } u \in U, x \in N.$$

The primeness of the near-ring N , we obtain that $D(u)\sigma(u) = 0$. Since $0 \neq D(u) \in Z$, we have $\sigma(u) = 0$, a contradiction. Thus, we must have $z = \sigma(u_0) + \tau(u_0) \in Z \setminus \{0\}$ for an $u_0 \in U$. Since $D(zu) = \tau(z)D(u) + D(z)\sigma(u) \in Z$, for any $u \in U$, we have

$$(\tau(z)D(u) + D(z)\sigma(u))\sigma(y) = \sigma(y)(\tau(z)D(u) + D(z)\sigma(u)), \quad \text{for all } y \in N$$

and so,

$$\tau(z)D(u)\sigma(y) + D(z)\sigma(u)\sigma(y) = \sigma(y)\tau(z)D(u) + \sigma(y)D(z)\sigma(u).$$

Using $z, D(u) \in Z$, we get

$$D(u) \tau(z) \sigma(y) + D(z) \sigma(u) \sigma(y) = D(u) \tau(z) \sigma(y) + \sigma(y) \sigma(u) D(z).$$

That is,

$$D(z) \sigma([u, y]) = 0, \quad \text{for all } u \in U, y \in N.$$

Since $D(z)$ is a nonzero central element of N , we have $U \subset Z$. Thus, we conclude that N is commutative ring from [2, Lemma 1.5]. \square

Theorem 3. *Let N be a 3-prime near-ring, D be a (σ, τ) -derivation of N such that $\sigma D = D\sigma, \tau D = D\tau$ and U a nonzero semigroup ideal of N . If $D^2(U) = 0$ then $D = 0$.*

Proof. For arbitrary $u, v \in U$, we have $0 = D^2(uv) = D(D(uv)) = D(\tau(u) D(v) + D(u) \sigma(v)) = \tau^2(u) D^2(v) + D(\tau(u)) \sigma(D(v)) + \tau(D(u)) D(\sigma(v)) + D^2(u) \sigma^2(v)$. Using the hypothesis and $\sigma D = D\sigma, \tau D = D\tau$, we get

$$2D(\tau(u)) D(\sigma(v)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in U$$

and so,

$$2\sigma^{-1}(\tau(D(u))) D(U) = 0, \quad \text{for all } u \in U.$$

From Lemma 5(ii), we obtain that

$$2D(u) = 0, \quad \text{for all } u \in U.$$

Now for any $y \in N$ and $u \in U$, $0 = D^2(yu) = D(\tau(y) D(u) + D(y) \sigma(u)) = \tau^2(y) D^2(v) + 2\tau(D(y)) \sigma(D(u)) + D^2(y) \sigma^2(u)$. Hence,

$$D^2(y) \sigma^2(u) = 0, \quad \text{for all } y \in N, u \in U.$$

Using σ is an automorphism on N and [2, Lemma 1.4 (i)], we can take $D^2(N) = 0$. That is $D = 0$ by [3, Lemma 4]. \square

Theorem 4. *Let N be a 3-prime near-ring, D be a (σ, τ) -derivation of N such that $\sigma D = D\sigma, \tau D = D\tau$ and U a nonzero semigroup ideal of N . If $a \in N$ and $[D(U), a]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ then $D(a) = 0$ or $a \in Z$.*

Proof. For $u \in U$, we get $D(au) \sigma(a) = \tau(a) D(au) \tau(a)$. Expanding this equation, one can obtain,

$$\tau(a) D(u) \sigma(a) + D(a) \sigma(u) \sigma(a) = \tau(a) \tau(a) D(u) + \tau(a) D(a) \sigma(u).$$

By the hypothesis, we get $D(u) \sigma(a) = \tau(a) D(u)$. Hence,

$$\tau(a) \tau(a) D(u) + D(a) \sigma(u) \sigma(a) = \tau(a) \tau(a) D(u) + \tau(a) D(a) \sigma(u).$$

That is,

$$(2.4) \quad D(a) \sigma(u) \sigma(a) = \tau(a) D(a) \sigma(u), \quad \text{for all } u \in U.$$

Replacing $ux, x \in N$ by u in (2.4) and using (2.4), we have

$$D(a) \sigma(u) \sigma(x) \sigma(a) = \tau(a) D(a) \sigma(u) \sigma(x) = D(a) \sigma(u) \sigma(a) \sigma(x)$$

and so,

$$D(a) \sigma(u) \sigma([x, a]) = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in N, u \in U.$$

Since σ is an automorphism of N , this relation can be written,

$$\sigma^{-1}(D(a)U[x, a]) = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in N.$$

Thus we conclude that $a \in Z$ or $D(a) = 0$ by [2, Lemma 1.4 (i)]. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Bell, H. E. and Mason G., *On Derivations in near-rings, Near-rings and Near-fields*, North-Holland Math. Stud. **137** (1987).
- [2] Bell, H. E., *On Derivations in Near-Rings II*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht **426** (1997), 191–197.
- [3] Gölbaşı, Ö., Aydın, N., *Results on Prime Near-Rings with (σ, τ) -Derivation*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **46** (2004), 1–7.
- [4] Pilz, G., *Near-rings*, 2nd Ed., North-Holland Math. Stud. **23** (1983).

CUMHURİYET UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIVAS - TURKEY
E-mail: ogolbasi@cumhuriyet.edu.tr
URL: <http://www.cumhuriyet.edu.tr>

ÇANAKKALE 18 MART UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ÇANAKKALE - TURKEY
E-mail: neseta@comu.edu.tr
URL: <http://www.comu.edu.tr>