

Ján Jakubík

On the lattice of semisimple classes of linearly ordered groups

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 108 (1983), No. 2, 183--190

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108407>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE LATTICE OF SEMISIMPLE CLASSES OF LINEARLY ORDERED GROUPS

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received December 3, 1981)

Radical classes and semisimple classes of linearly ordered groups were studied by C. G. Chehata and R. Wiegandt [1]. The author [2] and G. Pringerová [4], [5] investigated radical classes and semisimple classes of abelian linearly ordered groups.

In the author's paper [3] some basic properties of the lattice \mathcal{R} of all radical classes of linearly ordered groups were established. It was proved that \mathcal{R} fails to be modular and has no atoms. Also it was shown that for each $X \in \mathcal{R}$ distinct from the least element R_0 of \mathcal{R} there is a chain $C \subset [R_0, X]$ of principal elements of \mathcal{R} such that $R_0 \notin C$, $\inf C = R_0$ and C is a proper class. The greatest element of \mathcal{R} is inaccessible by means of chains of principal elements of \mathcal{R} .

In the present paper analogous questions for the lattice \mathcal{R} of all semisimple classes of linearly ordered groups will be investigated. From [1], Thms. 3, 5 it follows that there exists a dual isomorphism φ of the lattice \mathcal{R} onto \mathcal{R}_s . Hence, in view of the results of [3], the lattice \mathcal{R}_s is not modular and has no dual atoms. It will be shown below that the lattice \mathcal{R}_s has no atoms; thus \mathcal{R} has no dual atoms.

In view of the quoted results of [3] concerning the principal radical classes the natural question arises whether for a principal radical class X the corresponding semisimple class $\varphi(X)$ must also be principal. (If this were valid, then from the theorems of [3] concerning the principal elements of the lattice \mathcal{R} we could immediately obtain the corresponding dual theorems concerning the principal elements of the lattice \mathcal{R}_s .) It will be proved that the answer to this question is negative: if X is principal, then $\varphi(X)$ fails to be principal.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Small greek letters will denote ordinals (if not otherwise stated). A collection C will be said to be proper if there exists an injective mapping of the class of all cardinals into C .

Let \mathcal{G} be the class of all linearly ordered groups. When considering a subclass X

of \mathcal{G} we always assume that X is closed with respect to isomorphisms and that $\{0\} \in X$.

Let $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Let us denote by

$\text{Hom } X$ – the class of all homomorphic images of linearly ordered groups belonging to X ;

$\text{Sub } X$ – the class of all convex subgroups of linearly ordered groups belonging to X ;

$\text{Ext } X$ – the class of all linearly ordered groups G having the property that there exists an ascending chain of normal convex subgroups of G

$$\{0\} = G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq G_\alpha \subseteq \dots (\alpha < \delta)$$

such that (i) $\bigcup_{\alpha < \delta} G_\alpha = G$, and (ii) for each $\beta < \delta$, the linearly ordered group $G_\beta / \bigcup_{\gamma < \beta} G_\gamma$ belongs to X ;

$\text{co-Ext } X$ – the class of all linearly ordered groups G having the property that there exists a descending chain of normal convex subgroups of G

$$G = G_1 \supseteq G_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq G_\alpha \supseteq \dots (\alpha < \delta)$$

such that (i) $\bigcap_{\alpha < \delta} G_\alpha = \{0\}$, and (ii) for each $\beta < \delta$, the linearly ordered group $(\bigcap_{\gamma < \beta} G_\gamma) / G_\beta$ belongs to X .

For each ordinal κ we define the class $\text{Ext}_\kappa X$ as follows. We put $\text{Ext}_1 X = \text{Ext } X$; for $\kappa > 1$ we set

$$\text{Ext}_\kappa X = \text{Ext} \left(\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \text{Ext}_\alpha X \right).$$

Further, we denote

$$\text{ext } X = \bigcup_\gamma \text{Ext}_\gamma X,$$

where γ runs over the class of all ordinals.

Similarly, we put $\text{co-Ext}_1 X = \text{co-Ext } X$ and for $\kappa > 1$ we set

$$\text{co-Ext}_\kappa X = \text{co-Ext} \left(\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \text{co-Ext}_\alpha X \right);$$

we denote

$$\text{co-ext } X = \bigcup_\gamma \text{co-Ext}_\gamma X$$

(with γ running over the class of all ordinals).

The class X is a *radical class* of linearly ordered groups, if

$$\text{Hom } X = X = \text{Ext } X.$$

X is said to be a *semisimple class* of linearly ordered groups if

$$\text{Sub } X = X = \text{co-Ext } X.$$

(Cf. [1].)

Let \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}_s be the collection of all radical classes of linearly ordered groups or the collection of all semisimple classes of linearly ordered groups, respectively. Both \mathcal{R}

and \mathcal{R}_s are partially ordered by inclusion. Then \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}_s are complete lattices; the lattice operations in them will be denoted by \wedge, \vee .

The operation \wedge in \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}_s coincides with the operation of forming the intersection of classes. In [3] (Thm. 2.3) it was shown that, whenever $J \neq \emptyset$ is a class and X_j is a radical class for each $j \in J$, then

$$\bigvee_{j \in J} X_j = \text{ext } \bigcup_{i \in J} X_j.$$

For the analogous result concerning the operation \vee in the lattice \mathcal{R}_s cf. Thm. 2.2 below.

Let $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. The intersection of all radical classes (or semisimple classes) Y with $X \subseteq Y$ will be denoted by $T(X)$ or $T_s(X)$. If $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and X is the class of all $H \in \mathcal{G}$ such that either H is isomorphic to G or $H = \{0\}$, then we write also $T(X) = T(G)$ or $T_s(X) = T_s(G)$ and put $\mathcal{R}_p = \{T(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$, $\mathcal{R}_{sp} = \{T_s(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$. \mathcal{R}_p and \mathcal{R}_{sp} is the collection of all principal radical classes or the collection of all principal semisimple classes, respectively.

2. THE OPERATION \vee IN \mathcal{R} .

2.1. Theorem. *Let $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Then $T_s(X) = \text{co-ext Sub } X$.*

Proof. According to the definition of a semisimple class we have $\text{co-Ext Sub } X \subseteq T_s(X)$ and hence by transfinite induction we infer that $\text{co-ext Sub } X \subseteq T_s(X)$. For proving the relation $T_s(X) \subseteq \text{co-ext Sub } X$ we have to verify that the class $Y = \text{co-ext Sub } X$ fulfils the conditions (a*) $\text{co-Ext } Y \subseteq Y$, and (b*) $\text{Sub } Y \subseteq Y$. The validity of (a*) is obvious. When investigating the validity of (b*) for the class Y we proceed as follows.

a) Let $G \in Y$ and let $H \neq \{0\}$ be a convex subgroup of G . There is an ordinal κ such that $G \in \text{co-Ext}_\kappa \text{ Sub } X$. Hence there is a descending chain of normal convex subgroups

$$G = G_1 \supseteq G_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq G_\alpha \supseteq \dots \quad (\alpha < \delta)$$

of G such that (i) $\bigcap_{\alpha < \delta} G_\alpha = \{0\}$, and (ii) for each $\beta < \delta$, $(\bigcap_{\gamma < \beta} G_\gamma)/G_\beta$ belongs to

$$\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \text{co-Ext}_\alpha \text{ Sub } X.$$

Put $H_\alpha = G_\alpha \cap H$ for each $\alpha < \delta$. Then $\{H_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \delta}$ is a descending chain of convex normal subgroups of H and from (i) we infer that $\bigcap_{\alpha < \delta} H_\alpha = \{0\}$ is valid. Let τ be the first ordinal with $H \supseteq G_\tau$. For $\beta < \tau$, the linearly ordered group $(\bigcap_{\gamma < \beta} H_\gamma)/H_\beta$ is trivial; if $\beta < \tau$, then

$$(\bigcap_{\gamma < \beta} H_\gamma)/H_\beta = (\bigcap_{\gamma < \beta} G_\gamma)/G_\beta.$$

For $\beta = \tau$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bigcap_{\gamma < \beta} H_\gamma) / H_\beta \in \text{Sub } (\bigcap_{\gamma < \beta} G_\gamma) / G_\beta \subseteq \text{Sub } \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \text{co-Ext}_\alpha \text{Sub } X = \\ = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \text{Sub co-Ext}_\alpha \text{Sub } X . \end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to verify that for each ordinal $\mu < \kappa$ we have

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Sub co-Ext}_\mu \text{Sub } X \subseteq \text{co-Ext}_\mu \text{Sub } X .$$

b) We prove (3.1) by transfinite induction. If $\mu = 1$, then the validity of (3.1) can be easily established (by using analogous arguments as we did in part a) of this proof). Let $\mu > 1$. Assume that (3.1) is valid for each ordinal less than μ . Put $\text{co-Ext}_\mu \text{Sub } X = Z$. Then

$$Z = \text{co-Ext} (\bigcup_{\alpha < \mu} \text{co-Ext}_\alpha \text{Sub } X) ,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sub } Z \subseteq \text{co-Ext} (\bigcup_{\alpha < \mu} \text{Sub co-Ext}_\alpha \text{Sub } X) \subseteq \\ \subseteq \text{co-Ext} (\bigcup_{\alpha < \mu} \text{co-Ext}_\alpha \text{Sub } X) \subseteq Z , \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

From 2.1 we obtain as a corollary:

2.2. Theorem. *Let $J \neq \emptyset$ be a class and for each $j \in J$ let $X_j \in \mathcal{R}_s$. Then*

$$\bigvee_{j \in J} X_j = \text{co-ext } \bigcup_{j \in J} X_j .$$

We deduce some further consequences of 2.1 (these will be applied in § 3 below).

2.3. Lemma. *Let κ be an ordinal, $\kappa > 1$, $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, $\{0\} \neq G \in \text{co-Ext}_\kappa \text{Sub } X$. Then there is an ordinal $\tau_1 < \kappa$ and a convex normal subgroup K_1 of G such that $K_1 \neq G$ and $G/K_1 \in \text{co-Ext}_{\tau_1} \text{Sub } X$.*

Proof. If there exists $\tau_1 < \kappa$ such that $G \in \text{co-Ext}_{\tau_1} \text{Sub } X$, then we put $K_1 = \{0\}$. Now assume that

$$(*) \quad G \notin \text{co-Ext}_{\tau_1} \text{Sub } X \quad \text{for each } \tau_1 < \kappa .$$

We have

$$G \in \text{co-Ext} (\bigcup_{\tau < \kappa} \text{co-Ext}_\tau \text{Sub } X) .$$

Hence there exists a descending chain of convex normal subgroups of G

$$G = G_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq G_\alpha \supseteq \dots \quad (\alpha < \delta)$$

such that, for each $\beta < \delta$,

$$(\bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} G_\alpha) / G_\beta \in \text{co-Ext}_{\tau(\beta)} \text{Sub } X ,$$

where $\tau(\beta) < \kappa$. In view of (*) we must have $2 < \delta$. It suffices to put $K_1 = G_2$, $\tau_1 = \tau(2)$.

2.4. Lemma. *Let the same assumptions as in 2.3 be satisfied. Let τ_1 and K_1 be as in 2.3. Assume that $\tau_1 > 1$. Then there is $\tau_2 < \tau_1$ and a convex subgroup K_2 of G with $K_2 \neq G$ such that $G/K_2 \in \text{co-Ext}_{\tau_2} \text{Sub } X$.*

Proof. By applying 2.3 we infer that there is $\tau_2 < \tau_1$ and a convex normal subgroup K'_2 of G/K_1 such that $K'_2 \neq G/K_1$ and $(G/K_1)/K'_2 \in \text{co-Ext}_{\tau_2} \text{Sub } X$. There is a convex normal subgroup K_2 of G with $K_2 \neq G$ such that G/K_2 is isomorphic to $(G/K_1)/K'_2$. Hence $G/K_2 \in \text{co-Ext}_{\tau_2} \text{Sub } X$.

From 2.3 and 2.4 we infer:

2.5. Corollary. *Let $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, $\{0\} \neq G \in \text{co-ext Sub } X$. Then there is a normal subgroup K of G with $K \neq G$ such that $G/K \in \text{Sub } X$.*

3. NONEXISTENCE OF ATOMS IN \mathcal{R}_s

The trivial variety R_0 is the least element in both the lattices \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}_s . In this section it will be shown that if $X \in \mathcal{R}_s$ and $X \neq R_0$, then the interval $[R_0, X]$ of \mathcal{R}_s is a proper collection; in particular, \mathcal{R}_s has no atoms. The construction for proving this is analogous to that applied in [2]; cf. also [5]. We use the same notations concerning lexicographic products of linearly ordered groups as in [2].

Let α be an infinite cardinal. We denote by $\omega(\alpha)$ the first ordinal having the property that the set of all ordinals less than $\omega(\alpha)$ has the cardinality α . Let $I(\alpha)$ be the linearly ordered set dual to $\omega(\alpha)$.

Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$, $G \neq \{0\}$ and let α be a cardinal with $\alpha > \text{card } G$. We put

$$G_\alpha^1 = \Gamma_{i \in I(\alpha)} G_i,$$

where G_i is isomorphic to G for each $i \in I$. Next, let G_α^2 be the subgroup of G_α^1 consisting of all $g \in G_\alpha^1$ such that the set $\{i \in I(\alpha) : g(i) \neq 0\}$ is finite.

From the construction of G_α^2 we immediately obtain:

3.1. Lemma. *Let $K \in \text{Sub } \{G_\alpha^2\}$, $K \neq \{0\}$. Then $\text{card } K = \alpha$.*

3.2. Lemma. $G_\alpha^2 \in \text{co-Ext } \{G\}$. *If β is a cardinal with $\beta > \alpha$, then $G_\beta^2 \in \text{co-Ext } \{G_\alpha^2\}$.*
From 3.2 and 2.1 we conclude:

3.3. Lemma. $G_\alpha^2 \in T_s(G)$. *If $\beta > \alpha$, then $G_\beta^2 \in T_s(G_\alpha^2)$.*

3.4. Lemma. $G \notin T_s(G_\alpha^2)$. *If $\beta > \alpha$, then $G_\alpha^2 \notin T_s(G_\beta^2)$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of 3.1, 2.5 and 2.1.

From 3.3 and 3.4 we infer:

3.5. Lemma. $T_s(G_\alpha^2) < T_s(G)$. If $\beta > \alpha$, then $T_s(G_\beta^2) < T_s(G_\alpha^2)$.

3.6. Theorem. Let $X \in \mathcal{R}_s$, $X \neq R_0$. Then there exists $C \subset \mathcal{R}_{sp}$ such that (i) C is a chain, (ii) $R_0 \notin C$, (iii) C is a proper collection, (iv) $\inf C = R_0$, (v) $C \subset [R_0, X]$.

Proof. There exists $G \in X$ with $G \neq \{0\}$. Let C be the collection of all semisimple classes $T_s(G_\alpha^2)$, where α runs over the class of all cardinals α such that $\alpha > \text{card } G$. Then $R_0 \notin C \subset \mathcal{R}_{sp}$. In view of 3.5, (i) and (iii) are valid. Assume that there is $H \neq \{0\}$ such that $H \in T_s(G_\alpha^2)$ for each $\alpha > \text{card } G$. Hence in view of 3.1, 2.5 and 2.1 we have $\text{card } H \geq \alpha$ for each $\alpha > \text{card } G$, which is impossible. Therefore $\inf C = R_0$. The validity of (v) is a consequence of the fact that $G \in X$.

3.7. Corollary. The lattice \mathcal{R}_s has no atoms.

For the analogous result concerning the lattice of semisimple classes of abelian linearly ordered groups cf. [5].

4. THE RELATION BETWEEN SEMISIMPLE CLASSES AND RADICAL CLASSES

Let us recall the following definitions introduced in [1].

Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$. A convex subgroup G_1 of G is said to be accessible in G if there are convex subgroups G_2, \dots, G_n of G such that

$$G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq G_n = G$$

and G_i is a normal subgroup of G_{i+1} for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$.

Let $X \in \mathcal{R}$. The class of all $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that no nonzero accessible convex subgroup of G belongs to X will be denoted by sX .

Let $Y \in \mathcal{R}_s$. The class of all $G \in \mathcal{G}$ having the property that no nonzero homomorphic image of G belongs to Y will be denoted by uY .

4.1. Proposition. (Cf. [1]. Propos. 7 and 9.) Let $X \in \mathcal{R}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{R}_s$. Then

- (i) $sX \in \mathcal{R}_s$,
- (ii) $uY \in \mathcal{R}$,
- (iii) $usX = X$ and $suY = Y$.

Consider the mapping of the collection \mathcal{R} into \mathcal{R}_s defined by $X \rightarrow sX$ for each $X \in \mathcal{R}$. According to the definition of s and u , from $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{R}$, $X_1 \leq X_2$ we conclude $sX_1 \geq sX_2$, and similarly $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{R}_s$, $Y_1 \leq Y_2$ implies that $uY_1 \geq uY_2$. Thus in view of 4.1 (iii) we obtain the following result:

4.2. Lemma. The mapping s is a dual isomorphism of the lattice \mathcal{R} onto the lattice \mathcal{R}_s and $u = s^{-1}$.

Hence to each theorem concerning merely lattice properties of \mathcal{R} there corresponds a dual theorem concerning \mathcal{R}_s , and conversely. For example, the fact that the lattice \mathcal{R} has no atoms [3] implies:

4.3. Proposition. *The lattice \mathcal{R}_s has no dual atoms.*

Similarly, 3.7 yields:

4.4. Proposition. *The lattice \mathcal{R} has no dual atoms.*

Also, since the notion of modularity is self-dual and since \mathcal{R} is not modular [3] we get:

4.5. Proposition. *The lattice \mathcal{R}_s is not modular.*

Now we can ask whether the above correspondences concern also those properties of \mathcal{R} which are expressed in terms of principal elements, i.e., whether for each principal element X of \mathcal{R} the semisimple class sX is principal, and conversely. It will be shown below that the answer to this question is 'No'.

Let α be an infinite cardinal. Let $I(\alpha)$ be as in § 3 and let $I'(\alpha)$ be the linearly ordered set dual to $I(\alpha)$. For $G \in \mathcal{G}$ we put

$$G_\alpha = \Gamma_{i \in I'(\alpha)} G_i,$$

where each G_i is isomorphic to G . Taking into account the structure of G_α we obtain:

4.6. Lemma. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}, G \neq \{0\}, \alpha > \text{card } G$. Let K be a convex normal subgroup of $G_\alpha, K \neq G_\alpha$. Then $\text{card}(G_\alpha/K) = \alpha$.*

4.7. Lemma. *\mathcal{R}_{sp} has no maximal element.*

Proof. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}, G \neq \{0\}$. Let α be a cardinal, $\alpha > \text{card } G$. Put $H = G \circ G_\alpha$. Since $G \in \text{Sub}\{H\}$ we have $G \in T_s(H)$, hence $T_s(G) \leq T_s(H)$. From 4.6, 2.1 and 2.5 it follows that H does not belong to $T_s(G)$, therefore $T_s(G) < T_s(H)$, which completes the proof.

Let I and J be linearly ordered sets. We denote by $I \circ J$ the set of all pairs (i, j) with $i \in I, j \in J$ which is linearly ordered as follows: for $(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2) \in I \circ J$ we put $(i_1, j_1) < (i_2, j_2)$ if either $j_1 < j_2$, or $j_1 = j_2$ and $i_1 < i_2$.

4.8. Proposition. *Let X be a principal radical class. Then the semisimple class sX fails to be principal.*

Proof. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and let X be the principal radical class generated by G . If $G = \{0\}$, then $sX = \mathcal{G}$ and hence in view of 4.7, sX fails to be principal. Let $G \neq \{0\}$. Assume that there is $H \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $sX = T_s(H)$. Then we must have $H \neq \{0\}$. Let $K \in \mathcal{G}, K \neq \{0\}$ and let α be a cardinal with $\alpha > \max\{\text{card } G, \text{card } H\}$. Put (under the above notations)

$$M(\alpha) = I(\alpha) \circ I'(\alpha),$$

$$K_{[\alpha]} = \Gamma_{i \in M(\alpha)} K_i,$$

where each K_i is isomorphic to K . For each nonzero convex subgroup K_1 of $K_{[\alpha]}$ we have $\text{card } K_1 > \text{card } G$, hence in view of Lemma 4.1 in [3], K_1 does not belong to $R = T(G)$. Therefore $K_{[\alpha]} \in sX$. On the other hand, if K_2 is a nonzero homomorphic image of $K_{[\alpha]}$, then $\text{card } K_2 > \text{card } H$. Hence in view of 2.1 and 2.5, $K_{[\alpha]}$ does not belong to $T_s(H)$. Therefore the relation $sX = 1_s(H)$ cannot hold.

The proof of the following proposition is analogous to that of 4.8; it will be omitted.

4.9. Proposition. Let Y be a principal semisimple class. Then the radical class uY fails to be principal.

References

- [1] C. G. Chehata, R. Wiegandt: Radical theory for fully ordered groups. *Rév. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx.* 20 (43), No 2, 1979, 143–157.
- [2] J. Jakubík: Radical classes of abelian linearly ordered groups (submitted).
- [3] J. Jakubík: On the lattice of radical classes of linearly ordered groups. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* (to appear).
- [4] G. Pringerová: Covering relation in the lattice of radical classes of abelian linearly ordered groups. *Math. Slovaca* (submitted).
- [5] G. Pringerová: On semisimple classes of abelian linearly ordered groups. *Čas. Pěst. Mat.* (submitted).

Author's address: 040 01 Košice, Švermova 5 (Vysoká škola technická).