

Ivan Kolář

Order of holonomy of a surface with projective connection

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 96 (1971), No. 1, 73--80

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117714>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ORDER OF HOLONOMY OF A SURFACE WITH PROJECTIVE CONNECTION

IVAN KOLÁŘ, Brno

(Received October 6, 1969)

A submanifold in a space with Cartan connection, see [3], represents a natural generalization of a submanifold in the corresponding homogeneous space. É. CARTAN himself showed in the case of a surface in a 3-dimensional space with projective connection, [1], that his method of specialization of frames can also be applied to the investigation of these submanifolds. A. ŠVEC pointed out, cf. [5], that such a submanifold can be considered as a separate structure. From this point of view, a surface in a 3-space with projective connection is called a manifold of type $P_{0,3}^2$, or, shortly, a surface with projective connection. Naturally, differential geometry of a surface \mathcal{P} with projective connection differs from differential geometry of a surface in projective 3-space P_3 . In this paper, we want to show that the difference between \mathcal{P} and a surface in P_3 can be also measured in individual orders. If we use the computational procedures by É. Cartan, then the difference in order k between \mathcal{P} and a surface in P_3 is characterized by the difference between the formulae of the $(k - 1)$ -st prolongation for \mathcal{P} and the formulae of the $(k - 1)$ -st prolongation for a surface in P_3 . Conversely, if these formulae coincide, then we say that \mathcal{P} is holonomic of order k , or, shortly, k -holonomic. Dealing with the first prolongation, we show the invariance of the condition for 2-holonomy also in a formal computational way, but we do not repeat it for higher orders, since we present a direct invariant definition of k -holonomy for an arbitrary manifold with connection in [4].

At every order, we geometrize the corresponding conditions for holonomy by means of some properties of some geometric objects of \mathcal{P} . In general, the geometric objects of \mathcal{P} differ from the geometric objects of a surface in P_3 . But if \mathcal{P} is k -holonomic and if we take into account how one evaluates the geometric objects of order k of \mathcal{P} , then we are led to the following proposition: \mathcal{P} is k -holonomic if and only if all its geometric objects of order k are analogous to geometric objects of order k of a surface in P_3 . We present an exact formulation of this assertion for an arbitrary manifold with connection as well as its proof in [4]. Our considerations end at the sixth order, since a 6-holonomic non-special surface with projective connection has integrable

connection, so that it is locally isomorphic to a surface in P_3 and is holonomic of any order. The totality of our geometric conditions gives a necessary and sufficient geometric condition that a surface with projective connection be locally equivalent to a surface in P_3 , which is a problem solved by B. CENKL, [2]. In contradistinction to this paper, our conditions are organized according to individual orders.

1. Consider a surface \mathcal{P} with projective connection together with the manifold \mathcal{F}_{12} of all frames associated with \mathcal{P} , which depend on 12 secondary parameters. Let the connection be given by

$$(1) \quad dA_i = \omega_i^j A_j$$

where ω_i^j are differential forms on \mathcal{F}_{12} satisfying

$$(2) \quad \omega_i^i = 0.$$

The structure equations are

$$(3) \quad d\omega_i^j = \omega_i^k \wedge \omega_k^j + 2R_i^j \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2$$

and it holds

$$(4) \quad R_i^i = 0.$$

(We write $\omega_0^1 = \omega^1$, $\omega_0^2 = \omega^2$ as usual.)

2. The frame field \mathcal{F}_{10} of the first order is determined by the usual relation

$$(5) \quad \omega_0^3 = 0.$$

The exterior differentiation of (5) yields

$$(6) \quad \omega^1 \wedge \omega_1^3 + \omega^2 \wedge \omega_2^3 + 2R_0^3 \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(7) \quad \omega_1^3 = a_1 \omega^1 + (a_2 - R_0^3) \omega^2, \quad \omega_2^3 = (a_2 + R_0^3) \omega^1 + a_3 \omega^2.$$

Prolonging (7) and fixing the principal parameters, we obtain

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta a_1 + a_1(e_0^0 - 2e_1^1 + e_3^3) - 2a_2 e_1^2 &= 0, \\ \delta a_2 + a_2(e_0^0 - e_1^1 - e_2^2 + e_3^3) - a_1 e_2^1 - a_3 e_1^2 &= 0, \\ \delta a_3 + a_3(e_0^0 - 2e_2^2 + e_3^3) - 2a_2 e_2^1 &= 0, \\ \delta R_0^3 + R_0^3(e_0^0 - e_1^1 - e_2^2 + e_3^3) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

so that R_0^3 is a relative invariant. If it holds

$$(9) \quad R_0^3 = 0,$$

then \mathcal{P} will be called *2-holonomic*. Furthermore, we can deduce from (8) that the quantity

$$(10) \quad h = \frac{R_0^3}{\sqrt{[(a_2)^2 - a_1 a_3]}}$$

is an absolute invariant.

Restricting ourselves to the investigation of hyperbolic surfaces, we can specialize the frames by $a_2 = 1, a_1 = a_3 = 0$ and we get the frame field \mathcal{F}_7 of the second order. When comparing with [6], we find that h is the torsion of \mathcal{P} and we have deduced the following geometric assertion: *\mathcal{P} is 2-holonomic at a point if and only if the conjugate tangents at this point form an involution.*

3. From now on, we shall suppose \mathcal{P} is 2-holonomic, so that we have

$$(11) \quad \omega_1^3 = \omega^2, \quad \omega_2^3 = \omega^1$$

and the prolongation of (11) yields

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\omega_1^2 &= b_1\omega^1 + (b_2 - R_0^2 + R_1^3)\omega^2, \\ -\omega_0^0 + \omega_1^1 + \omega_2^2 - \omega_3^3 &= (b_2 + R_0^2 - R_1^3)\omega^1 + (b_3 - R_0^1 + R_2^3)\omega^2, \\ 2\omega_2^1 &= (b_3 + R_0^1 - R_2^3)\omega^1 + b_4\omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

If it holds

$$(13) \quad R_1^3 = R_0^2, \quad R_2^3 = R_0^1,$$

then \mathcal{P} will be said to be *3-holonomic*.

Now we give a geometric interpretation of (13). Consider the ruled surface \mathcal{L}_1 generated by the tangent lines to the asymptotic curves $\omega^2 = 0$ along an asymptotic curve $\omega^1 = 0$ as well as the ruled surface \mathcal{L}_2 generated symmetrically. It is easy to see that the quadrics having the first order (line) contact with both \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 form the pencil

$$(14) \quad 2x^0x^3 - 2x^1x^2 + (b_2 - R_0^2 + R_1^3)x^1x^3 + (b_3 + R_0^1 - R_2^3)x^2x^3 = a_{33}(x^3)^2$$

where x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3 are the local coordinates. On the other hand, consider a quadric Q having the second order contact with \mathcal{P} , then there are exactly three directions in which \mathcal{P} has the third order contact with Q . These directions are apolar with respect to the asymptotic directions if and only if Q belongs to the following pencil

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} 2x^0x^3 - 2x^1x^2 + [b_2 + \frac{1}{3}(R_0^2 - R_1^3)]x^1x^3 + \\ + [b_3 - \frac{1}{3}(R_0^1 - R_2^3)]x^2x^3 = \bar{a}_{33}(x^3)^2, \end{aligned}$$

cf. [6], p. 389. Comparing (13), (14), (15), we can conclude: *A 2-holonomic surface \mathcal{P} is 3-holonomic if and only if both preceding constructions give the same pencil of quadrics (of Darboux).*

4. In what follows, \mathcal{P} will be supposed to be 3-holonomic and non-ruled. Standard procedure shows that we can further specialize the frames by $b_1 = b_4 = 2$, $b_2 = b_3 = 0$ and we get the frame field \mathcal{F}_3 of the third order. Prolonging the equations

$$(16) \quad \omega_1^2 = \omega^1, \quad \omega_2^1 = \omega^2, \quad \omega_1^1 + \omega_2^2 = 0, \quad \omega_0^0 + \omega_3^3 = 0,$$

we obtain

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_0^0 - 3\omega_1^1 &= c_1\omega^1 + (c_2 + R_0^1 - R_1^2)\omega^2, \\ \omega_3^2 - \omega_1^0 &= (c_2 - R_0^1 + R_1^2)\omega^1 + (c_3 - R_1^1 - R_2^2)\omega^2, \\ \omega_3^1 - \omega_2^0 &= (c_3 + R_1^1 + R_2^2)\omega^1 + (c_4 + R_0^2 - R_2^1)\omega^2, \\ \omega_0^0 + 3\omega_1^1 &= (c_4 - R_0^2 + R_2^1)\omega^1 + c_5\omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

If it holds

$$(18) \quad R_1^2 = R_0^1, \quad R_2^1 = R_0^2, \quad R_1^1 + R_2^2 = 0,$$

then \mathcal{P} will be called *4-holonomic*.

The osculating quadric of the ruled surface \mathcal{L}_1 or \mathcal{L}_2 considered in item 3 has the equation

$$(19) \quad 2x^0x^3 - 2x^1x^2 + (c_3 - R_1^1 - R_2^2)(x^3)^2 = 0$$

or

$$(20) \quad 2x^0x^3 - 2x^1x^2 + (c_3 + R_1^1 + R_2^2)(x^3)^2 = 0$$

respectively, so that both quadrics coincide if and only if $R_1^1 + R_2^2 = 0$. In the sequel we suppose that this condition holds and (19) = (20) will be called the quadric of Lie.

Let \mathcal{X}_1 and \mathcal{X}_2 be two line congruences associated with \mathcal{P} in such a way that the lines of \mathcal{X}_1 pass through the corresponding point of \mathcal{P} but do not lie in the tangent plane and the lines of \mathcal{X}_2 lie in the corresponding tangent plane of \mathcal{P} but do not pass through the point of contact. Then \mathcal{X}_1 and \mathcal{X}_2 are said to be reciprocal, if their lines are conjugate with respect to the quadric of Lie. If \mathcal{X}_1 is generated by the straight line $[A_0, pA_1 + qA_2 + A_3]$, then the reciprocal \mathcal{X}_2 is generated by $[qA_0 + A_1, pA_0 + A_2]$ and the focal nets of both congruences coincide if and only if

$$(21) \quad p = -\frac{1}{2}(c_2 - R_0^1 + R_2^2), \quad q = -\frac{1}{2}(c_4 + R_0^2 - R_2^1);$$

these lines will be called the first or the second directrix of Wilczynski respectively.

By the principal quadrics of \mathcal{P} we mean those quadrics which have contact of the fourth order with the asymptotic curves of \mathcal{P} ; they form the following pencil

$$(22) \quad 2x^1x^2 - 6x^0x^3 + c_1x^1x^3 + c_5x^2x^3 = a_{33}(x^3)^2.$$

There exists exactly one pair of reciprocal congruences whose lines are also conjugate with respect to (22); these lines will be called the edges of Green. The first edge of Green is

$$(23) \quad [A_0, \frac{1}{4}c_5A_1 + \frac{1}{4}c_1A_2 + A_3].$$

The curves of Segre are given by $(\omega^1)^3 - (\omega^2)^3 = 0$. The first axis of Čech is the common line of intersection of the osculating planes of the curves of Segre, which is

$$(24) \quad [A_0, \frac{1}{6}(c_5 - c_2 - R_0^1 + R_1^2)A_1 + \frac{1}{6}(c_1 - c_4 + R_0^2 - R_2^1)A_2 + A_3].$$

The lines (21), (23), (24) belong to the same (canonical) pencil if and only if $R_1^2 = R_0^1$, $R_2^1 = R_0^2$. Thus, a 3-holonomic surface \mathcal{P} is 4-holonomic if and only if the osculating quadrics of ruled surfaces \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 coincide and if the directrix of Wilczynski, the edge of Green and the axis of Čech belong to the same pencil.

5. Suppose \mathcal{P} is 4-holonomic. The remaining secondary parameters can be fixed by $c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = 0$ and we get the canonical frame field \mathcal{F} . Then we have

$$(25) \quad \omega_0^0 - 3\omega_1^1 = c_1\omega^1, \quad \omega_3^2 = \omega_1^0, \quad \omega_3^1 = \omega_2^0, \quad \omega_0^0 + 3\omega_1^1 = c_5\omega^2.$$

Prolonging (25), we obtain

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} -dc_1 - c_1(\omega_0^0 - \omega_1^1) - 4\omega_1^0 + 3\omega^2 &= e_1\omega^1 + (e_2 + R_0^0 - 3R_1^1 - c_1R_0^1)\omega^2, \\ 2\omega_2^0 &= (e_2 - R_0^0 + 3R_1^1 + c_1R_0^1)\omega^1 + (e_3 + R_3^2 - R_1^0)\omega^2, \\ 2\omega_3^0 &= (e_3 - R_3^2 + R_1^0)\omega^1 + (e_4 + R_3^1 - R_2^0)\omega^2, \\ 2\omega_1^0 &= (e_4 - R_3^1 + R_2^0)\omega^1 + (e_5 + R_0^0 + 3R_1^1 - c_5R_0^2)\omega^2, \\ -dc_5 - c_5(\omega_0^0 + \omega_1^1) - 4\omega_2^0 + 3\omega^1 &= (e_5 - R_0^0 - 3R_1^1 + c_5R_0^2)\omega^1 + e_6\omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

If it holds

$$(27) \quad R_0^0 - 3R_1^1 = c_1R_0^1, \quad R_3^2 = R_1^0, \quad R_3^1 = R_2^0, \quad R_0^0 + 3R_1^1 = c_5R_0^2,$$

then \mathcal{P} will be called 5-holonomic.

The first normal of Fubini is the line harmonically conjugate to the canonical tangent with respect to the directrix of Wilczynski and the edge of Green, which is

$$(28) \quad [A_0, \frac{1}{2}c_5A_1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1A_2 + A_3].$$

The developable surfaces of this congruence intersect a conjugate net on \mathcal{P} if and only if

$$(29) \quad c_1 R_0^1 + c_5 R_0^2 - 2R_0^0 = 0.$$

The second focal surface of the congruence of the tangents to a family of curves of Segre is without torsion if and only if

$$(30) \quad c_1 R_0^1 - c_5 R_0^2 + 6R_1^1 = 0.$$

Furthermore, consider the envelope of the quadrics of Lie

$$(31) \quad x^1 x^2 - x^0 x^3 = 0.$$

It is easy to see that the characteristic points, i.e. the vertices of the tetrahedron of Demoulin, are determined by (31) and by

$$(32) \quad a_3^0 (x^3)^2 - (x^1)^2 = 0, \quad b_3^0 (x^3)^2 - (x^2)^2 = 0.$$

The transversals of the tetrahedron of Demoulin intersect the lines $[A_0 A_3]$ and $[A_1 A_2]$ at

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} D_1 &= (\sqrt{a_3^0 b_3^0}, 0, 0, 1), & D_3 &= (0, \sqrt{a_3^0}, \sqrt{b_3^0}, 0) \\ D_2 &= (-\sqrt{a_3^0 b_3^0}, 0, 0, 1), & D_4 &= (0, \sqrt{a_3^0}, -\sqrt{b_3^0}, 0), \end{aligned}$$

where the pairs D_1, D_3 and D_2, D_4 lie on the same transversal. If $\xi A_0 + \eta A_3$ or $\lambda A_1 + \mu A_2$ are the coordinates on $[A_0 A_3]$ or $[A_1 A_2]$, then the pair D_1, D_2 or D_3, D_4 has the equation

$$(34) \quad \xi^2 - a_3^0 b_3^0 \eta^2 = 0$$

or

$$(35) \quad b_3^0 \lambda^2 - a_3^0 \mu^2 = 0$$

respectively. On the other hand, the focal planes of the congruence of the first directrices of Wilczynski intersect $[A_1 A_2]$ at the points

$$(36) \quad a_1^0 \lambda^2 + (b_1^0 - a_2^0) \lambda \mu - b_2^0 \mu^2 = 0.$$

Thus, the pairs (35), (36) and A_1, A_2 belong to the same involution if and only if

$$(37) \quad a_1^0 a_3^0 = b_2^0 b_3^0.$$

The foci of the congruence of the first directrices of Wilczynski are determined by

$$(38) \quad \xi^2 + \xi \eta (a_2^0 + b_1^0) + \eta^2 (a_2^0 b_1^0 - a_1^0 b_2^0) = 0.$$

The tangent plane of the surface (A_1) or (A_2) intersects $[A_0A_3]$ at $T_1 = b_1^0A_0 + A_3$ or $T_2 = a_2^0A_0 + A_3$ respectively. Let T_3 be the harmonically conjugate of A_0 with respect to T_1, T_2 , let T_4 be the harmonically conjugate of A_3 with respect to A_0, T_3 and let T be the harmonically conjugate of T_4 with respect to A_0, A_3 , then the pair A_0, T is given by

$$(39) \quad (a_2^0 + b_1^0) \xi \eta + a_2^0 b_1^0 \eta^2 = 0.$$

The pairs (34), (38), (39) belong to the same involution if and only if

$$(40) \quad a_1^0 b_2^0 = a_3^0 b_3^0.$$

(37) and (40) imply

$$(41) \quad a_3^0 = \varepsilon b_2^0, \quad b_3^0 = \varepsilon a_1^0, \quad \varepsilon = \pm 1.$$

On the other hand, the relations $R_3^2 = R_1^0, R_3^1 = R_2^0$ are equivalent to $b_2^0 = a_3^0, b_3^0 = a_1^0$, cf. (26). The additional condition $\varepsilon = 1$ for (41) is equivalent to *the following condition concerning orientation*. Let F_1, F_2 be the foci of the congruence of the first directrices of Wilczynski taken in such order that the orientation on $[A_0A_3]$ determined by the ordered triple (A_0, F_1, F_2) coincides with the orientation (A_0, D_1, D_2) . Let $F_{i+2}, i = 1, 2$, be the point of intersection of the focal plane passing through F_i with $[A_1A_2]$. Then the orientation (A_1, F_3, F_4) coincides with the orientation (A_1, D_3, D_4) if and only if $\text{sgn } a_3^0 = \text{sgn } b_2^0$, i.e. $\varepsilon = 1$. — Thus we have deduced necessary and sufficient geometric conditions that a 4-holonomic surface \mathcal{P} be 5-holonomic.

6. Suppose \mathcal{P} is 5-holonomic. Analogous considerations as above suggest the following definition. If it holds

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} -c_1R_0^0 + c_1R_1^1 - 4R_1^0 + 3R_0^2 &= e_1R_0^1 + e_2R_0^2, \\ 2R_2^0 = e_2R_0^1 + e_3R_0^2, \quad 2R_3^0 = e_3R_0^1 + e_4R_0^2, \quad 2R_1^1 = e_4R_0^1 + e_5R_0^2, \\ -c_5R_0^0 - c_5R_1^1 - 4R_2^0 + 3R_0^1 &= e_5R_0^1 + e_6R_0^2, \end{aligned}$$

then \mathcal{P} will be said to be 6-holonomic.

It is easy to see that

$$(43) \quad 2R_3^0 = e_3R_0^1 + e_4R_0^2$$

holds if and only if *the surface (A_3) is without torsion* and that

$$(44) \quad 2R_2^0 = e_2R_0^1 + e_3R_0^2, \quad 2R_1^1 = e_4R_0^1 + e_5R_0^2$$

are satisfied if and only if *both focal surfaces of the congruence of the first directrices of Wilczynski are without torsion*. Now, taking $(42)_{1,5}$ modulo $(27)_{1,4}$, (43), (44),

we obtain two linear homogeneous equations in R_0^1, R_0^2 . Since R_0^1, R_0^2 are the last independent components of the curvature tensor, there are many possibilities how to geometrize these equations; we choose the simplest way: If the determinant D of this system does not vanish, then $(43_{1,5})$ holds if and only if $R_0^1 = R_0^2 = 0$, i.e. if the torsion tensor of \mathcal{P} vanishes.

7. Summarizing the preceding considerations, we get the following result, which concludes our investigation in general case. *If a non-ruled surface \mathcal{P} with $D \neq 0$ is 6-holonomic, then its curvature tensor vanishes, so that its connection is integrable.*

References

- [1] *É. Cartan*: Leçons sur la théorie des espaces à connexion projective, Paris 1937.
- [2] *B. Cenk*: L'équation de structure d'un espace à connexion projective, Czechoslovak Math. J., 14 (89), 1964, 79—94.
- [3] *C. Ehresmann*: Les connexions infinitésimales dans un espace fibré différentiable, Colloque de Topologie, Bruxelles 1950, 29—55.
- [4] *I. Koldář*: Order of Holonomy and Geometric Objects of Manifolds with Connection, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae 10, 1969, 559—565.
- [5] *A. Švec*: L'application des variétés à connexion à certains problèmes de la géométrie différentielle, Czechoslovak Math. J., 10 (85), 1960, 523—550.
- [6] *A. Švec*: Sur la géométrie différentielle d'une surface plongée dans un espace à trois dimensions à connexion projective, *ibid*, 11 (86), 1961, 386—397.

Author's address: Brno, Janáčkovo náměstí 2a, (Matematický ústav ČSAV v Brně).