

Robert A. Herrmann

Generalized continuity and generalized closed graphs

*Časopis pro pěstování matematiky*, Vol. 105 (1980), No. 2, 192--198

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118060>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## GENERALIZED CONTINUITY AND GENERALIZED CLOSED GRAPHS

ROBERT A. HERRMANN, Annapolis

(Received November 17, 1977)

**1. Introduction.** In [13], some sufficient conditions for a weakly-continuous function to be continuous are investigated. In particular, Corollary 2 [13] states that if  $Y$  is a Hausdorff space such that every closed subset is  $N$ -closed, then a weakly-continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous. As we show below, a Hausdorff space such that every closed subset is  $N$ -closed is compact. Consequently, this corollary is not a particularly significant result.

The major purpose for this present investigation is to use  $tH$ -monad theory and to discuss, for an arbitrary map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , some relations between  $(tH, sK)$ -continuity,  $(tH, sK)$ -closed graphs and, if  $X, Y$  are topological spaces, topological continuity. In the process, we are able to improve upon most of the results in [13]. For example, applying our results to topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , it is shown that if  $A \subset X$  is compact [resp.  $N$ -closed,  $\alpha A$ -compact, completely-compact,  $SA$ -compact] and the graph,  $G(f)$ , of  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is closed [resp. has property (P), is strongly closed, is  $(I_X, w)$ -closed, is  $(I_X, S)$ -closed], then  $f^{-1}[A]$  is closed in  $X$ . If  $Y$  is Hausdorff [resp. completely-Hausdorff] and each closed subset is  $\theta$ -compact [resp.  $w$ -compact] and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is almost-continuous [resp. a  $c$ -map], then  $f$  is continuous. If  $(Y, T)$  is rim- $\theta$  [resp.  $\alpha$ ]-compact,  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$  is weakly-continuous and  $G(f)$  is strongly closed [resp. has property (P)], then  $f$  is continuous. Finally, we show that every rim- $\theta$ -compact, Urysohn [resp. rim- $\alpha$ -compact, Hausdorff; rim- $S$ -compact, weakly-Hausdorff, extremally disconnected] space is regular.

**2. Preliminaries.** In the interest of brevity, we shall rely heavily upon the definitions and results which appear in the references [6], [7], [8], [9], [12]. Recall that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $(tH, sK)$ -continuous at  $p \in X$  if  $*f[\mu_t H(p)] \subset \mu_s K(f(p))$ , where  $\mu_t H(p)$  and  $\mu_s K(q)$  are the  $tH$  and  $sK$ -monads on  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively [8]. For the monad of ROBINSON [16]  $\mu(p)$  [resp.  $\alpha$ -monad  $\mu_\alpha(p)$ ,  $\theta$ -monad  $\mu_\theta(p)$ ,  $w$ -monad  $\mu_w(p)$ ], we have that a map  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$  is almost-continuous [19] [resp.  $\theta$ -continuous

[2], weakly-continuous [13], a  $c$ -map [3]] at  $p \in X$  iff it is  $(I_X, \alpha)$  [resp.  $(\theta, \theta)$ ,  $(I_X, \theta)$ ,  $(I_X, w)$ ]-continuous at  $p \in X$ . We note that a weakly-continuous map is also known as a weakly- $\theta$ -continuous map.  $*\mathcal{M}$  is a highly saturated enlargement.

**Definition 2.1.** A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  has a  $(tH, sK)$ -closed graph  $G(f)$  if for each  $(p, q) \notin G(f)$ ,  $\mu_\pi((p, q)) \cap *(G(f)) = \emptyset$ , where  $\pi$  is generated by the  $tH$  and  $sK$ -monads (denoted by  $\pi = tH \times sK$ ).

Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, T)$  denote topological spaces.

**Example 2.1.** (i) For  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ , the graph  $G(f)$  is  $(I_X, I_Y)$ -closed iff  $\mu((p, q)) \cap *(G(f)) = \emptyset$  for each  $(p, q) \notin G(f)$  iff  $G(f)$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ .

(ii) For  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ ,  $G(f)$  is  $(I_X, \theta)$ -closed iff it is *strongly closed* in the sense of HERRINGTON and LONG [5].

(iii) For  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ ,  $G(f)$  is  $(I_X, \alpha)$ -closed iff it has *property (P)* discussed in [11] and [13].

(iv) A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  has a  $(tH, sK)$ -closed graph iff  $X - G(f)$  is  $\pi$ -open, where  $\pi = tH \times sK$ . In general, if  $t \in PTH(X)$ ,  $s \in PSK(Y)$ , then if  $G(f)$  is  $(tH, sK)$ -closed, then it is  $\pi$ -closed.

Finally, we point out that many of the results in this paper also hold for the  $q$ -monad of PURITZ [15]. However, since we are particularly interested in topological spaces and certain closedness properties it appears more useful to concentrate upon the  $tH$ -monad approach due to certain special filter base properties which often appear unavoidable and which are exhibited by such nonstandard objects.

**3. Major results.** As stated in [6] for  $(X, \tau)$ , a set  $A \subset X$  is  $N$ -closed iff it is  $\alpha A$ -compact iff  $*A \subset \bigcup \{\mu_\alpha(x) \mid x \in A\}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be Hausdorff and assume that each closed set  $A \subset X$  is  $N$ -closed. Then  $X$  is compact.

**Proof.** Since  $X$  is  $N$ -closed (i.e. nearly-compact [18]) then  $X$  is almost-regular [17] and Urysohn (i.e. Urysohn = distinct points are separated by closed neighborhoods). Thus every closed subset of  $X$  is  $\theta$ -compact, since for each  $p \in X$ ,  $\mu_\alpha(p) = \mu_\theta(p)$ . Consequently,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $C$ -compact in the sense of VIGLINO [22]. Thus  $X$  is semiregular by application of Theorem A in [22]. Therefore,  $X$  is regular and this completes the proof.

We now give an important characterization for  $(tH, sK)$ -closed graphs. For  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ , the power set of  $X$ , we let  $\text{Nuc } \mathcal{F} = \bigcap \{*F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  and if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , then  $f[\mathcal{F}] = \{f[F] \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  has a  $(tH, sK)$ -closed graph,  $G(f)$ , iff whenever  $\emptyset \neq \text{Nuc } \mathcal{F} \subset \mu_t H(p)$ ,  $p \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ , and  $\text{Nuc } f[\mathcal{F}] \subset \mu_s K(q)$  for some  $q \in Y$ , then  $f(p) = q$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,  $\emptyset \neq \text{Nuc } \mathcal{F} \subset \mu_t H(p)$ ,  $p \in X$ , and  $\text{Nuc } f[\mathcal{F}] \subset \mu_s K(q)$  for some  $q \in Y$ . Assume that  $x \in \text{Nuc } \mathcal{F}$  and  $y \in \text{Nuc } f[\mathcal{F}]$ . Hence  $*(x, y) \in \mu_\pi((p, q))$ ,  $\pi = tH \times sK$ . Consequently,  $*(F \times f[F]) \cap \mu_\pi((p, q)) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $*(F \times f[F]) \subset *(G(f))$ , we have that  $\mu_\pi((p, q)) \cap *(G(f)) \neq \emptyset$ . Assuming that  $G(f)$  is a  $(tH, sK)$ -closed graph this yields that  $f(p) = q$ .

Conversely, assume that whenever  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,  $\emptyset \neq \text{Nuc } \mathcal{F} \subset \mu_t H(p)$  and  $\text{Nuc } f[\mathcal{F}] \subset \mu_s K(q)$ ,  $q \in Y$ , then  $f(p) = q$ . Let  $(p, q) \in (X \times Y) - G(f)$ . Thus there does not exist a  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  such that  $\emptyset \neq \text{Nuc } \mathcal{F} \subset \mu_t H(p)$  and  $\text{Nuc } f[\mathcal{F}] \subset \mu_s K(q)$ . Suppose that  $\mu_\pi((p, q)) \cap *(G(f)) \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists some  $x \in \mu_t H(p)$  and  $y \in \mu_s K(q)$  such that  $*(x, y) \in *(G(f))$ . Now the ultramonad  $\text{Nuc Fil } \{x\} = \text{NF}\{x\} \subset \mu_t H(p)$  and  $*f[\text{NF}\{x\}] = \text{NF}\{*f(x)\} = \text{NF}\{y\} \subset \mu_s K(q)$ . This contradiction implies that  $\mu_\pi((p, q)) \cap *(G(f)) = \emptyset$  and the proof is complete.

Recall that a space  $(X, \tau)$  is compact [resp. nearly-compact [18], quasi- $H$ -closed [14], completely-closed [10],  $S$ -closed [21]] iff  $*X = \bigcup \{\mu(x) \mid x \in X\}$  [resp.  $*X = \bigcup \{\mu_a(x) \mid x \in X\}$ ,  $*X = \bigcup \{\mu_\theta(x) \mid x \in X\}$ ,  $*X = \bigcup \{\mu_w(x) \mid x \in X\}$ ,  $*X = \bigcup \{\mu S(x) \mid x \in X\}$  [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]]. The  $w$ -monad at  $p \in X$  is  $\mu_w(p) = \bigcap \{*f^{-1}[\mu(f(p))] \mid f \in C(X)\}$  and the  $S$ -monad is  $\mu S(p) = \bigcap \{*(\text{cl}_X A) \mid p \in A \in \text{SO}(X)\}$ , where  $\text{SO}(X)$  is a set of all semiopen subsets of  $X$  [1]. Also,  $W \subset *Y$  is  $sKA$ -compact iff  $W \subset \bigcup \{\mu_s K(x) \mid x \in A\}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  has a  $(tH, sK)$ -closed graph and  $Y$  is  $sKY$ -compact (i.e.  $sK$ -compact), then  $f$  is  $(tH, sK)$ -continuous.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  has a  $(tH, sK)$ -closed graph and consider  $*f[\mu_t H(p)]$ . By  $sKY$ -compactness,  $*f[\mu_t H(p)] \subset \bigcup \{\mu_s K(y) \mid y \in Y\}$ . Assume that  $*f[\mu_t H(p)] \cap \mu_s K(q) \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists  $x \in \mu_t H(p)$  such that  $*f(x) \in \mu_s K(q)$ . However,  $\text{NF}\{x\} \subset \mu_t H(p)$  and  $*f[\text{NF}\{x\}] = \text{NF}\{*f(x)\}$  imply that  $*f[\text{NF}\{x\}] \subset \mu_s K(q)$ . Theorem 3.2 yields  $f(p) = q$ . Consequently,  $*f[\mu_t H(p)] \subset \mu_s K(f(p))$  and the proof is completed.

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$  has a  $(I_X, I_Y)$ - [resp.  $(I_X, \alpha)$ ,  $(\theta, I_Y)$ ,  $(\theta, \theta)$ ,  $(I_X, w)$ ,  $(I_X, S)$ ,  $(I_X, \theta)$ ]-closed graph, and  $Y$  is compact [resp. nearly-compact, compact, quasi- $H$ -closed, completely-closed,  $S$ -closed, quasi- $H$ -closed], then  $f$  is continuous [resp. almost-continuous [19], strongly- $\theta$ -continuous [8],  $\theta$ -continuous [4], a  $c$ -map [3],  $(I_X, S)$ -continuous, weakly-continuous [13]].*

We now present a proposition which gives a strong converse to Theorem 3.3 and has numerous corollaries which improve upon Theorem 1 in [13]. A set  $Y$  is  $(sK, uV)$ -separated if for distinct  $p, q \in Y$ ,  $\mu_s K(p) \cap \mu_u V(q) = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be  $(tH, sK)$ -continuous and  $Y$  be  $(sK, uV)$ -separated. Then  $f$  has a  $(tH, uV)$ -closed graph.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\emptyset \neq \text{Nuc } \mathcal{F} \subset \mu_t H(p)$ ,  $p \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ , and  $\text{Nuc } f[\mathcal{F}] \subset \mu_u V(q)$ ,  $q \in Y$ . Then  $(tH, sK)$ -continuity implies that  $\text{Nuc } f[\mathcal{F}] \subset \mu_s K(f(p))$ .

Since  $\text{Nuc } f[\mathcal{F}] \neq \emptyset$ , then  $(sK, uV)$ -separation implies that  $f(p) = q$ . Hence  $f$  has a  $(tH, uV)$ -closed graph.

**Corollary 3.4.1.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$  is continuous [resp. almost-continuous, strongly- $\theta$ -continuous,  $\theta$ -continuous, weakly-continuous] and  $Y$  is Hausdorff, then  $f$  has a closed [resp.  $(I_X, \theta)$ -closed,  $(\theta, \theta)$ -closed,  $(\theta, \alpha)$ -closed,  $(I_X, \alpha)$ -closed] graph.*

**Corollary 3.4.2.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$  is weakly-continuous [resp. a  $c$ -map,  $(I_X, S)$ -continuous]  $Y$  is Urysohn [resp. completely-Hausdorff, weakly-Hausdorff], then  $f$  has a  $(I_X, \theta)$  [resp.  $(I_X, w)$ ,  $(I_X, \alpha)$ ]-closed graph.*

*Proof.* The above results follow from Theorem 1.4 and 1.5 [6] and the result that if a space  $Y$  is completely-Hausdorff [resp. weakly-Hausdorff [20]], then for distinct  $p, q \in Y$ ,  $\mu_w(p) \cap \mu_w(q) = \emptyset$  [resp.  $\mu_\alpha(p) \cap \mu S(q) = \emptyset$ ].

**Remark 3.1.** If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  has a  $(tH, sK)$ -closed graph and we have an  $rJ$ -monad system on  $X$  and a  $uV$ -monad system on  $Y$  such that for each  $p \in X$  and  $q \in Y$ ,  $\mu_r J(p) \subset \mu_t H(p)$  and  $\mu_u V(q) \subset \mu_s K(q)$ , then  $f$  has an  $(rJ, uV)$ -closed graph. Hence each of the  $(tH, sK)$ -continuous maps in the hypothesis of Corollaries 3.4.1 and 3.4.2 has a closed graph.

Recall that for  $W \subset *X$ ,  $St_t H(W) = \{x \mid [x \in X] \wedge [\mu_t H(p) \cap W \neq \emptyset]\}$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $W \subset *Y$  be  $sKA$ -compact. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  has a  $(tH, sK)$ -closed graph, then*

$$St_t H(*f^{-1}[W]) \subset f^{-1}[A].$$

*Proof.* We know that  $W \subset \cup\{\mu_s K(x) \mid x \in A\}$ . Thus  $*f^{-1}[W] \subset \cup\{*f^{-1}[\mu_s K(x)] \mid x \in A\}$ . Let  $p \in St_t H(*f^{-1}[W])$ . Then  $\mu_t H(p) \cap *f^{-1}[W] \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $*f[\mu_t H(p)] \cap W \neq \emptyset$ . Consequently, there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $*f[\mu_t H(p)] \cap \mu_s K(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus there exists  $r \in \mu_t H(p)$  such that  $NF\{r\} \subset \mu_t H(p)$  and  $*f(r) \in \mu_s K(x)$ . Therefore,  $NF\{*f(r)\} \subset \mu_s K(x)$ . Now  $(tH, sK)$ -closed graph implies by Theorem 3.2 that  $f(p) = x$ . (i.e.  $p \in f^{-1}(x)$ ). Hence,

$$St_t H(*f^{-1}[W]) \subset f^{-1}[A].$$

**Corollary 3.5.1.** *Let  $A \subset Y$  be  $sKA$ -compact and for each  $p \in X$ , let  $t \in PTH(p)$ . If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  has a  $(tH, sK)$ -closed graph, then  $f^{-1}[A]$  is  $tH$ -closed.*

**Corollary 3.5.2.** *Let  $A \subset Y$  be compact [resp.  $N$ -closed,  $SA$ -compact, completely-closed,  $SA$ -compact]. If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$  has a  $(I_X, I_Y)$  [resp.  $(I_X, \alpha)$ ,  $(I_X, \theta)$ ,  $(I_X, w)$ ,  $(I_X, S)$ ]-closed graph, then  $f^{-1}[A]$  is closed in  $X$ .*

**Corollary 3.5.3.** *Let  $A \subset Y$  be compact. If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$  has a  $(\theta, I_Y)$ -closed graph, then  $f^{-1}[A]$  is closed in  $X$ .*

Example 2 in Viglino's paper [22] is that of a Hausdorff, non-Urysohn, non-compact space in which each closed set is  $\theta$ -compact. He calls such a space *C-compact* and notes that a *C-compact* Urysohn space is compact. SOUNDARARAJAN [20] gives an example of a compact weakly-Hausdorff space which is not Hausdorff. The next result improves somewhat upon Corollary 2 in [13].

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $Y$  be Hausdorff [resp. completely-Hausdorff] and each closed subset of  $Y$  is  $\theta$ -compact [resp.  $w$ -compact]. If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$  is almost-continuous [resp. a  $c$ -map], then  $f$  is continuous.*

**Remark 3.2.** In Theorem 3.6, we have not included weakly-Hausdorff spaces in which every closed subset is  $S$ -closed. The reason for this is that a weakly-Hausdorff space which is  $S$ -closed is  $H$ -closed Urysohn and extremally disconnected. Such a space is thus  $N$ -closed and if a subset is  $S$ -closed, then it is  $N$ -closed. Consequently, Theorem 3.1 would imply that a weakly-Hausdorff space in which every closed subset is  $S$ -closed is a compact Hausdorff space.

As far as rim-compact spaces are concerned, we are able to extend or improve upon Theorems 3 and 4 in [13]. A space  $(X, \tau)$  is *rim-tH-compact* if for each  $p \in X$  and each neighborhood  $V \in \tau$  of  $p$  there exists some neighborhood  $G_p \in \tau$  of  $p$  such that  $\text{Fr}(G_p) = \text{cl}_X G_p - G_p$  is  $tH(\text{Fr}(G_p))$ -compact and  $G_p \subset V$ . GROSS and VIGLINO [4] show that any *C-compact* Hausdorff space is rim- $\theta$ -compact. Viglino's example [22] is a *C-compact* Hausdorff, nonregular; hence, non-rim-compact but rim- $\theta$ -compact space.

We now modify the proof of Theorem 3 in [13] in order to obtain the following proposition.

**Theorem 3.7.** *If  $(Y, T)$  is rim- $sK$ -compact and  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$  is weakly-continuous with a  $(I_X, sK)$ -closed graph, then  $f$  is continuous.*

**Proof.** Let  $p \in X$  and  $f(p) \in V \in T$ . Then there exists some  $W \in T$  such that  $f(p) \in W \subset V$  and  $\text{Fr}(W)$  is  $sK(\text{Fr}(W))$ -compact. Clearly  $f(p) \notin \text{Fr}(W)$ . Thus for each  $y \in \text{Fr}(W)$ ,  $(p, y) \notin G(f)$ . Since  $G(f)$  is  $(I_X, sK)$ -closed, then  $*f[\mu(p)] \cap \mu_s K(y) = \emptyset$  for each  $y \in \text{Fr}(W)$ . Consequently,  $*f[\mu(p)] \cap (\cup \{\mu_s K(y) \mid y \in \text{Fr}(W)\}) = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $*f[\mu(p)] \cap *( \text{Fr}(W) ) = \emptyset$ . Weak-continuity implies that  $*f[\mu(p)] \subset \mu_\theta(f(p)) \subset *( \text{cl}_Y W )$ . Therefore,

$$*f[\mu(p)] \cap *(Y - W) = *f[\mu(p)] \cap *( \text{Fr}(W) ) = \emptyset.$$

Hence,  $*f[\mu(p)] \subset *W \subset *V$ . Since  $V$  is an arbitrary open neighborhood of  $f(p)$ , then  $*f[\mu(p)] \subset \mu(f(p))$  and the proof is complete.

**Corollary 3.7.1.** *If  $(Y, T)$  is rim- $\theta$ -compact [resp. rim- $\alpha$ -compact] and  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$  is weakly-continuous where  $G(f)$  is strongly closed [resp. has property (P)], then  $f$  is continuous.*

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $X$  be  $(tH, rJ)$ -separated and  $\mu_t H(p) \subset \mu_\theta(p)$  for each  $p \in X$ . If  $(X, \tau)$  is rim- $rJ$ -compact then for each  $p \in X$ ,  $\mu_t H(p) \subset \mu(p)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p \in V \in \tau$ . Then there exists some  $W \in \tau$  such that  $p \in W \subset V$  and  $\text{Fr}(W)$  is  $rJ((\text{Fr}(W)))$ -compact. Now  $p \notin \text{Fr}(W)$  and  $(tH, rJ)$ -separation imply that for each  $y \in \text{Fr}(W)$ ,  $\mu_t H(p) \cap \mu_r J(y) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\mu_t H(p) \cap *(\text{Fr}(W)) = \emptyset$ . Now  $\mu_t H(p) \subset \mu_\theta(p) \subset *(cl_Y W)$  implies that  $\mu_t H(p) \cap *(Y - W) = \mu_t H(p) \cap *(\text{Fr}(W)) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $\mu_t H(p) \subset *V$  implies that  $\mu_t H(p) \subset \mu(p)$ .

**Corollary 3.8.1.** *Every rim- $\theta$ -compact Urysohn [resp. rim- $\alpha$ -compact Hausdorff, rim- $S$ -compact weakly-Hausdorff extremally disconnected] space is regular. Every rim- $S$ -compact weakly-Hausdorff space is semiregular.*

*Proof.* A space is regular iff for each  $p \in X$ ,  $\mu(p) = \mu_\theta(p)$ . A space is Urysohn iff it is  $(\theta, \theta)$ -separated. If  $X$  is weakly-Hausdorff, then it is  $(\alpha, S)$ -separated. Also, in general, a weakly-Hausdorff extremally disconnect space is a Urysohn space such that for each  $p \in X$ ,  $\mu_\theta(p) = \mu S(p)$ .

#### References

- [1] S. Crossley and S. Hildebrand: Semi-topological properties, *Fund. Math.* 74 (1972), 233 to 254.
- [2] S. Fomin: Extensions of topological spaces, *Ann. Math.* 44 (1943), 471—480.
- [3] A. J. D'Aristotle: On the extension of mappings in Stone Weierstrass spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 208 (1975), 91—101.
- [4] G. Gross and G. Viglino:  $C$ -compact and functionally compact spaces, *Pacific J. Mat.* 37 (1971), 677—681.
- [5] L. Herrington and P. Long: Characterizations of  $H$ -closed spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 48 (1975), 469—475.
- [6] R. A. Herrmann: A note on weakly- $\theta$ -continuous extensions, *Glasnik Mat.* 10 (1975), 329 to 336.
- [7] R. A. Herrmann: The  $Q$ -topology, Whyburn type filters and the cluster set map, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 59 (1976), 161—166.
- [8] R. A. Herrmann: A nonstandard generalization for perfect maps, *Z. Math. Logik Grundlagen Math.* 23 (3) (1977), 223—236.
- [9] R. A. Herrmann: The productivity of generalized perfect maps, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* 41 (1977), 315—386.
- [10] R. A. Herrmann: Point monads and  $P$ -closed spaces, *Notre Dame J. Logic*, 20 (1979), 395—400.
- [11] P. Kostyrko: A note on the functions with closed graphs, *Časopis Pěst. Mat.* 94 (1969), 202—205.
- [12] M. Machover and J. Hirschfeld: Lectures on non-standard analysis, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 94, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969.
- [13] T. Noiri: Weak-continuity and closed graphs, *Časopis Pěst. Mat.* 101 (1976), 379—382.
- [14] J. Porter and J. Thomas: On  $H$ -closed and minimal Hausdorff spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 138 (1969), 159—170.
- [15] C. Puritz: Quasimonad spaces: a nonstandard approach to convergence, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 32 (1976), 230—250.

- [16] *A. Robinson*: Non-Standard Analysis, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1966.
- [17] *M. K. Singal* and *S. P. Arya*: On almost-regular spaces, *Glasnik Mat.* 4 (1969), 89—99.
- [18] *M. K. Singal* and *A. Mathur*: On nearly-compact spaces, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ita.* (4) 2 (1969), 702—710.
- [19] *M. K. Singal* and *A. R. Singal*: On almost-continuous mappings, *Yokohama Math. J.* 16 (1968), 63—73.
- [20] *T. Soundararajan*: Weakly Hausdorff spaces and the cardinality of topological spaces, *General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra III* (Proc. Conf. Kanpur, 1968) Academia, Prague, 1971.
- [21] *T. Thompson*: *S*-closed spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 60 (1976), 335—338.
- [22] *G. Viglino*: *C*-compact spaces, *Duke Math. J.* 36 (1969), 761—764.

*Author's address*: Mathematics Department, U.S. Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland 21402, U.S.A.