

Josef Niederle

A note on tolerance lattices

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 107 (1982), No. 3, 221--224

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118120>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A NOTE ON TOLERANCE LATTICES

JOSEF NIEDERLE, BRNO

(Received May 21, 1979)

Definitions. A *tolerance relation* is a reflexive and symmetric binary relation.

A *compatible tolerance* on an algebra $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ is a tolerance relation on the support A , compatible with each operation $f \in F$.

An (a, b) -*maximal tolerance* on the algebra \mathfrak{A} is such a compatible tolerance on \mathfrak{A} that is maximal among all compatible tolerances on \mathfrak{A} not containing the pair $[a, b]$.

A compatible tolerance T on the algebra \mathfrak{A} is a *relatively maximal tolerance* if there exists a pair $[a, b] \in T^c = |\mathfrak{A}| \times |\mathfrak{A}| \setminus T$ such that T is an (a, b) -maximal tolerance.

Proposition 1. *Let T be a compatible tolerance on an algebra \mathfrak{A} . Let $[a, b] \in T^c$. Then there exists an (a, b) -maximal tolerance T_{ab} on \mathfrak{A} such that $T \subseteq T_{ab}$.*

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{T}_{ab} the set of all compatible tolerances on \mathfrak{A} including T and not containing $[a, b]$. $\mathcal{T}_{ab} \neq \emptyset$ as $T \in \mathcal{T}_{ab}$. The union of each nested subset of \mathcal{T}_{ab} is again an element of \mathcal{T}_{ab} . By Zorn lemma, \mathcal{T}_{ab} has at least one maximal element T_{ab} .

Q.E.D.

A particular case of this assertion is the following result of Chajda and Zelinka:

Corollary. *Let \mathfrak{A} be an algebra and let $a, b \in |\mathfrak{A}|$, $a \neq b$. Then there exists an (a, b) -maximal tolerance on \mathfrak{A} . (Cf. [3], Thm. 4.)*

Proposition 2. *Every compatible tolerance on an algebra \mathfrak{A} is the intersection of a family of relatively maximal tolerances on \mathfrak{A} .*

Proof. Let T be a compatible tolerance on the algebra \mathfrak{A} . If $T^c = \emptyset$, $T = |\mathfrak{A}| \times |\mathfrak{A}| = \bigcap_{[a,b] \in T^c} T_{ab}$. Suppose $T^c \neq \emptyset$. Then $T \subseteq \bigcap_{[a,b] \in T^c} T_{ab}$, because $T \subseteq T_{ab}$ for all $[a, b] \in T^c$. Conversely, $\bigcap_{[a,b] \in T^c} T_{ab} \subseteq T$, because $[x, y] \notin T$ implies $[x, y] \notin T_{xy}$ and $[x, y] \in T^c$ and therefore $[x, y] \notin \bigcap_{[a,b] \in T^c} T_{ab}$. Hence $T = \bigcap_{[a,b] \in T^c} T_{ab}$.

Q.E.D.

Corollary. For every algebra \mathfrak{A} the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) every compatible tolerance on \mathfrak{A} is a congruence;
- (ii) every relatively maximal tolerance on \mathfrak{A} is a congruence.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Clear.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Every intersection of congruences is a congruence. Q.E.D.

Remark. Relatively maximal tolerances on \mathfrak{A} are exactly all completely meet irreducible elements in the lattice of all compatible tolerances on \mathfrak{A} : As each completely meet irreducible element in the lattice of all compatible tolerances is the intersection of relatively maximal tolerances, it is itself a relatively maximal tolerance. Conversely, if an (a, b) -maximal tolerance T is the intersection of a family of compatible tolerances, at least one member of this family must not contain $[a, b]$, so it is identical with T .

Proposition 3. For every algebra \mathfrak{A} , every subalgebra \mathfrak{B} and every compatible tolerance T on \mathfrak{B} the following assertion holds: If every relatively maximal tolerance on \mathfrak{B} including T has an extension onto \mathfrak{A} , T also has an extension onto \mathfrak{A} .

Proof. The intersection of extensions of T_{ab} , $[a, b] \in T^c = |\mathfrak{B}| \times |\mathfrak{B}| \setminus T$, $T \subseteq \subseteq T_{ab}$ is an extension of T . Q.E.D.

Corollary. For every algebra \mathfrak{A} and every subalgebra \mathfrak{B} the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) every compatible tolerance on \mathfrak{B} has an extension onto \mathfrak{A} ;
- (ii) every relatively maximal tolerance on \mathfrak{B} has an extension onto \mathfrak{A} .

The structure of relatively maximal tolerances is known in the case of distributive lattices. They are a useful tool in studying compatible tolerances on distributive lattices. (Cf. [4].)

Definition. A (dual) a -maximal ideal in a lattice \mathfrak{Q} is a (dual) ideal in \mathfrak{Q} which is maximal among all (dual) ideals in \mathfrak{Q} not containing the element $a \in |\mathfrak{Q}|$.

Proposition 4. For a compatible tolerance T on a distributive lattice \mathfrak{Q} , the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) T is an (a, b) -maximal tolerance;
- (ii) T is a two-block tolerance (i.e. T has exactly two blocks) and (a) or (a^d) holds:
 - (a) one of the blocks of T is an a -maximal ideal and the other one is a dual b -maximal ideal;
 - (a^d) is the dual of (a).

Proof. In [4], Lemma 2, it is shown that for every compatible tolerance T on the distributive lattice \mathfrak{Q} and for each pair of elements $[a, b] \in T^c$ there exists a compatible tolerance T^{ab} satisfying (ii), including T and not containing $[a, b]$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Because of maximality of T with respect to $[a, b]$, $T = T^{ab}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let T be formed by an a -maximal ideal I and a dual b -maximal ideal F .

Let S be an (a, b) -maximal tolerance including T . Because of a -maximality of I and b -maximality of F , $S^{ab} = T$ and therefore $T = S$. Q.E.D.

Proposition 5. *For a lattice \mathfrak{Q} , the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathfrak{Q} is a distributive lattice;
- (ii) all relatively maximal tolerances on \mathfrak{Q} are two-block tolerances.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): By Proposition 4.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $a, b, c \in |\mathfrak{Q}|$ satisfy

$$a \wedge c = b \wedge c \quad \text{and} \quad a \vee c = b \vee c.$$

If T were a two-block (a, b) -maximal tolerance on \mathfrak{Q} , T would be formed by an ideal I and a dual ideal F , with $I \cup F = |\mathfrak{Q}|$. Two cases could arise: $a \notin I$ and $b \notin F$ or $a \notin F$ and $b \notin I$. In the first case, $a \wedge c = b \wedge c$ implies $c \notin F$ and $a \vee c = b \vee c$ implies $c \notin I$. The second case is the dual of the first one. Consequently, there exists no (a, b) -maximal tolerance on the lattice \mathfrak{Q} . Hence $a = b$ and \mathfrak{Q} is a distributive lattice. Q.E.D.

The congruence lattice of a lattice \mathfrak{Q} will be denoted by $CL(\mathfrak{Q})$, the tolerance lattice of a lattice \mathfrak{Q} (i.e. the lattice of all compatible tolerances on \mathfrak{Q}) will be denoted by $TL(\mathfrak{Q})$.

If \mathfrak{Q} is a distributive lattice, then $CL(\mathfrak{Q})$ is a Boolean lattice if and only if \mathfrak{Q} is a lattice of locally finite length. (J. Hashimoto; cf. [1], p. 80.)

If \mathfrak{Q} is a distributive lattice, then \mathfrak{Q} is a relatively complemented lattice if and only if every compatible tolerance on \mathfrak{Q} is a congruence ([2]).

Proposition 6. *If \mathfrak{Q} is a distributive lattice and if $TL(\mathfrak{Q})$ is a Boolean lattice, then every compatible tolerance on \mathfrak{Q} is a congruence.*

Proof. Let T be an arbitrary relatively maximal tolerance on \mathfrak{Q} , i.e. a two-block tolerance on \mathfrak{Q} , let T^* be the complement of T in $TL(\mathfrak{Q})$. Obviously, $T^* \neq \Delta$. Assume $I \cap F \neq \emptyset$, where I and F are the ideal and the dual ideal forming T . Take an arbitrary element $x \in I \cap F$. Let $[a, b] \in T^*$. Then $x \vee a \in F$, $x \vee b \in F$, $x \wedge a \in I$ and $x \wedge b \in I$. Hence $x \vee a = x \vee b$ and $x \wedge a = x \wedge b$, and the distributivity of \mathfrak{Q} yields $a = b$. Thus $T^* = \Delta$. This is a contradiction, consequently $I \cap F = \emptyset$ and T is a congruence. By Corollary of Proposition 2, every compatible tolerance on \mathfrak{Q} is a congruence. Q.E.D.

Corollary. *If \mathfrak{L} is a distributive lattice, then $TL(\mathfrak{L})$ is a Boolean lattice if and only if \mathfrak{L} is a relatively complemented lattice of locally finite length.*

References

- [1] *P. Crawley, R. P. Dilworth:* Algebraic theory of lattices. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1973.
- [2] *I. Chajda, J. Niederle, B. Zelinka:* On existence conditions for compatible tolerances. Czech. Math. J. 26 (1976), 304—311.
- [3] *I. Chajda, B. Zelinka:* Minimal compatible tolerances on lattices. Czech. Math. J. 27 (1977), 452—459.
- [4] *J. Niederle:* Relative bicomplements and tolerance extension property in distributive lattices. Čas. pěst. mat. 103 (1978), 250—254.

Author's address: 615 00 Brno 15, Viniční 60.