

Hwang Wen Pu; Huo Hui Min Pu

On a problem of L. Mišík

*Časopis pro pěstování matematiky*, Vol. 108 (1983), No. 1, 89--92

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118162>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON A PROBLEM OF L. MIŠÍK

H. W. PU, H. H. PU, College Station

(Received February 12, 1982)

Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $\mathcal{B}$  a basis for the topology, and  $f$  a real valued function on  $X$ . In [2], L. Mišík defined the following notions:

$A \subset X$  has the property  $M'_1(\mathcal{B})$  if, for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\bar{B} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ ,  $B \cap A$  is uncountable, where  $\bar{B}$  denotes the closure of  $B$ ;

$f \in \mathcal{M}'_1(\mathcal{B})$  if, for each real  $a$ , the sets  $\{x : f(x) < a\}$  and  $\{x : f(x) > a\}$  have the property  $M'_1(\mathcal{B})$ ;

$f \in \mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{B})$  if, for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $x, y \in \bar{B}$ , real numbers  $\alpha$  such that  $f(x) < \alpha < f(y)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\xi \in B$  with  $f(\xi) \in (\alpha - \varepsilon, \alpha + \varepsilon)$ ;

$f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$  if, for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $x, y \in \bar{B}$ , real number  $\alpha$  such that  $f(x) < \alpha < f(y)$ , there exists  $\xi \in B$  with  $f(\xi) = \alpha$ . He proved that the classes  $\mathcal{M}'_1(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{B})$  are closed under uniform convergence. Clearly  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{B})$ . Also,  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{M}'_1(\mathcal{B})$  if each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  is uncountable. Thus, if  $X$  is a topological space in which open sets are uncountable, and if  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$  converging uniformly to  $f$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{M}'_1(\mathcal{B}) \cap \mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{B})$ . He raised the question whether every  $f \in \mathcal{M}'_1(\mathcal{B}) \cap \mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{B})$  is the limit of a uniformly convergent sequence in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$ .

In the present paper, a negative answer to the above question is given. On the other hand, a subclass of  $\mathcal{M}'_1(\mathcal{B}) \cap \mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{B})$  is found to be the uniform closure of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$  under certain conditions in Theorem which includes a result in [1]. Furthermore, we discuss the possibility of a generalization to transformations on  $X$ .

In the sequel, we assume that each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  is uncountable.

**Definition 1.**  $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{B})$  is the class of functions  $f$  such that, for each pair of numbers  $a < b$ , the set  $\{x : a < f(x) < b\}$  has the property  $M'_1(\mathcal{B})$ .

As Mišík did for the class  $\mathcal{M}'_1(\mathcal{B})$ , we can easily show that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{B})$  is closed under uniform convergence. Consequently, if  $f$  is the limit of a uniformly convergent sequence in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{B}) \cap \mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{B})$ . Now we give a negative answer to the above mentioned question by constructing a function  $f \in \mathcal{M}'_1(\mathcal{B}) \cap \mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{B}) - \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{B})$ .

Let  $X$  be the real line,  $\mathcal{B}$  the collection of all open intervals,  $A$  the set of all irrationals in  $(0, 1)$  and  $H$  a countable dense subset of  $A$ . Enumerate all open intervals in  $(0, 1)$  with rational endpoints as  $\{J_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . We can pick  $x_1 \neq y_1$  in  $H \cap J_1$  and  $x_n \neq y_n$  in  $H \cap J_n - \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1} - \{y_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$  for  $n > 1$ . Let  $H'_1 = \{x_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $H'_2 = \{y_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Then  $H'_1 \cap H'_2 = \emptyset$  and they are dense subsets of  $H$ . Applying the same process to  $H'_2$ , we obtain disjoint dense subsets  $H_2$  and  $H'_3$  of  $H'_2$ . By induction, for each  $n > 1$ ,  $H'_n$  has two disjoint dense subsets  $H_n$  and  $H'_{n+1}$ . Let  $H_1 = H - \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} H_n$  (it should be noted that  $H_1 \supset H'_1$ ). Then  $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$  and  $\{H_n\}$  is a sequence of mutually disjoint dense subsets of  $(0, 1)$ . By Lemma 4.1 in [1],  $A - H = A_1 \cup A_2$ , where  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$  and  $A_1, A_2$  are  $c$ -dense in  $A - H$ , that is, for  $i = 1, 2$ , the set  $A_i \cap U$  is uncountable whenever  $U$  is an open set with  $U \cap (A - H) \neq \emptyset$ . We define  $f$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= x & \text{if } x \in X - A, \\ &= 0 & \text{if } x \in A_1, \\ &= 1 & \text{if } x \in A_2, \\ &= r_n & \text{if } x \in H_n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{r_n\}$  is an enumeration of all rationals in  $(0, 1)$ . It can be checked without difficulty that  $f \in \mathfrak{M}'_1(\mathcal{B}) \cap \mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{B})$ . However,  $\{x : 0 < f(x) < 1\} = H \cup ((0, 1) - A)$  does not have the property  $M'_1(\mathcal{B})$ . Therefore  $f \notin \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{B})$ .

**Definition 2.**  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{B}) \cap \mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{B})$ .

Let  $\text{Card } X$  denote the cardinality of  $X$ , and  $c$  that of the continuum. Suppose  $\text{Card } X = c$  and  $\text{Card } \mathcal{B} \leq c$ . Then we have the following

**Theorem.**  $f \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B})$  if and only if it is the limit of a uniformly convergent sequence in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$ .

To prove the theorem, we need a lemma which follows immediately from Lemma 1 in [3]:

**Lemma.** Let  $A$  be a set with  $\text{Card } A = c$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  a family of subsets of  $A$  such that  $0 < \text{Card } \mathcal{F} \leq c$  and  $\text{Card } F = c$  for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then there exist pairwise disjoint sets  $A^0, A^1, \dots, A^\mu, \dots$  ( $\mu < \Omega$ , the first ordinal number corresponding to  $c$ ) in  $A$  such that

- 1°  $\bigcup \{A^\mu : 0 \leq \mu < \Omega\} = A$ ,
- 2°  $\text{Card } F \cap A^\mu = c$  for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and every  $\mu < \Omega$ .

**Proof of Theorem.** The "if" part was already mentioned preceding the example. Now we assume that  $f \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . It is sufficient to show that there exists  $g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $|f(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon$  for every  $x \in X$ .

The real line can be decomposed as  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ , where each  $I_n = [k\varepsilon, (k+1)\varepsilon)$  for some integer  $k$ . Let  $A_n = f^{-1}(I_n^0)$ , where  $I_n^0$  is the interior of  $I_n$ . Since  $f \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{B})$ , for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and each  $n$ , we have either  $\bar{B} \cap A_n = \emptyset$  or  $\text{Card } B \cap A_n = c$ . For the  $n$ 's such that  $A_n \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $\text{Card } A_n = c$ ,  $0 < \text{Card } \mathcal{F}_n \leq c$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_n = \{B \cap A_n : B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \bar{B} \cap A_n \neq \emptyset\}$ , and  $\text{Card } B \cap A_n = c$  for each  $B \cap A_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$ . By the lemma, there are pairwise disjoint sets  $A_n^\mu$ ,  $0 \leq \mu < \Omega$ , such that  $A_n = \bigcup \{A_n^\mu : 0 \leq \mu < \Omega\}$  and  $\text{Card } B \cap A_n^\mu = c$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\bar{B} \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$  and each  $\mu$ ,  $0 \leq \mu < \Omega$ .

For each  $n$  with  $A_n \neq \emptyset$ , let  $T_n$  be an onto map from  $\{\mu : 0 \leq \mu < \Omega\}$  to  $\bar{I}_n$  and let  $g_n$  be defined on  $A_n$  by

$$g_n(x) = T_n(\mu) \quad \text{if } x \in A_n^\mu.$$

Clearly  $g_n(B \cap A_n) = \bar{I}_n$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\bar{B} \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$ . We define  $g$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= g_n(x) \quad \text{if } x \in A_n \text{ for some } n, \\ &= f(x) \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

It is immediate that  $|f(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon$  for every  $x \in X$ . Now we prove that  $g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$ . Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $x, y \in \bar{B}$  and  $g(x) < \alpha < g(y)$  be given. We want to show that  $\alpha \in g(B)$ . If  $f(x) < \alpha < f(y)$ ,  $\alpha \in I_n$  for some  $n$ , then there exists  $r \in I_n^0$  such that  $f(x) < r < f(y)$ . It follows from  $f \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{B})$  that  $B \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $\alpha \in I_n \subset \bar{I}_n = g_n(B \cap A_n) \subset g(B)$ . If  $\alpha \leq f(x)$ , then  $g(x) < \alpha \leq f(x)$  and hence there must be some  $n_1$  such that  $x \in A_{n_1}$ . Now we have  $g(x) \in \bar{I}_{n_1}$ ,  $f(x) \in I_{n_1}^0$ , and  $x \in \bar{B} \cap A_{n_1}$ . Consequently,  $\alpha \in \bar{I}_{n_1} = g_{n_1}(B \cap A_{n_1}) \subset g(B)$ . If  $\alpha \geq f(y)$ , then  $f(y) \leq \alpha < g(y)$  and  $y \in A_{n_2}$  for some  $n_2$ . Similar to the above,  $\alpha \in \bar{I}_{n_2} \subset g(B)$ . The proof is completed.

**Remark 1.** From Definition 2, we can easily prove that  $f \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B})$  if and only if, for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $x, y \in \bar{B}$  and each countable set  $D \subset B$ ,  $J \cap f(B - D)$  is dense in  $J$ , where  $J$  is the interval with  $f(x)$  and  $f(y)$  as endpoints. If  $X$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are taken as the real line and the collection of all open intervals respectively, then  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B})$  becomes the class  $\mathcal{U}$  in [1]. Thus the above theorem includes the corresponding result in [1].

**Remark 2.** The definitions of the classes  $\mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{B})$  can be given in the following version by which we can generalize these concepts to transformations from  $X$  to a topological space  $Y$ :

$f \in \mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{B})$  if, for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $f(\bar{B})$  is connected whenever  $\bar{B}$  is a set such that  $B \subset \bar{B} \subset \bar{B}$ ;

$f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$  if, for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $f(\bar{B})$  is connected whenever  $\bar{B}$  is a set such that  $B \subset \bar{B} \subset \bar{B}$ ;

$f \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{B})$  if, for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and each open set  $V$  in  $Y$  such that  $\bar{B} \cap f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\text{Card } B \cap f^{-1}(V) = c$ .

Let  $Y$  be a fixed metric space. Consider the classes of transformations from  $X$  to  $Y$ . It can be shown that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B})$  which is defined to be  $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{B}) \cap \mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{B})$ , and  $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{B})$  is closed under uniform convergence. It is interesting to know whether  $\mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{B})$  is still closed under uniform convergence and what is the uniform closure of the class  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$ .

#### *References*

- [1] *A. M. Bruckner, J. G. Ceder and M. Weiss*: Uniform limits of Darboux functions, *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 15 (1966), 65–77.
- [2] *L. Misik*: Über die Eigenschaft von Darboux und einiger Klassen von Funktionen, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.*, 11 (1966), 411–430.
- [3] *H. W. Pu and H. H. Pu*: Uniform limits of strong Darboux functions, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.*, 26 (1981), 1379–1384.

*Authors' address*: Department of Mathematics, Texas A & M University. College Station, Texas, U.S.A.