

Pavel Pták; Josef Tkadlec

A note on determinacy of measures

*Časopis pro pěstování matematiky*, Vol. 113 (1988), No. 4, 435--436

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118349>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## A NOTE ON DETERMINACY OF MEASURES

PAVEL PTÁK, JOSEF TKADLEC, Praha

(Received October 23, 1986)

*Summary.* In the article it is shown that the Cramér-Wold theorem implies a stronger form of the Christensen theorem.

*Keywords:* determining set, probability measure.

*AMS Classification:* 28A05.

Let  $\mathcal{B}(R^n)$  denote the collection of all Borel subsets of  $R^n$  and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a subset of  $\mathcal{B}(R^n)$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be called *determining* when the following statement holds: If  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are two probability measures on  $\mathcal{B}(R^n)$  which agree on  $\mathcal{C}$  then they are necessarily identical. The theorem of Christensen ([3]) says that the collection of all open balls is determining and the theorem of Cramér and Wold ([2]) says that the collection of all open half-spaces is determining. In this note we observe that the Cramér-Wold theorem implies a stronger form of the Christensen theorem. (As a by-product we obtain another proof of the Christensen theorem. For further discussion on the determinacy of measures, the reader is referred to [1], [4], [5], [6] and [7].)

**Theorem.** *Let  $p$  be a point in  $R^n$  ( $n \in N$ ) and let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the collection of all open balls having  $p$  on the boundary. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is determining.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  agree on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Applying a suitable transformation and multiple if necessary, we may assume that  $p = 0 \in R^n$  and  $\mu_1\{0\} = \mu_2\{0\} = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_1$  denote the collection of all open half-spaces which have 0 on the boundary. Put  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{C}_1$ . Then  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  agree on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Indeed, each open half-space in  $\mathcal{C}_1$  can be obtained as a union of an increasing sequence of balls in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Hence  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  have to agree on  $\mathcal{C}_1$  in view of their monotone continuity.

Let now  $\varphi: R^n \rightarrow R^n$  be a mapping such that  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi(x) = x/\|x\|^2$  otherwise. Then  $\varphi$  is obviously a Borel isomorphism. One can easily show that  $\varphi(\mathcal{D})$  is exactly the collection of all open half-spaces in  $R^n$ . By our assumption, the measures  $\mu_1\varphi^{-1}, \mu_2\varphi^{-1}$  agree on  $\varphi(\mathcal{D})$  and therefore  $\mu_1\varphi^{-1} = \mu_2\varphi^{-1}$  (the Cramér-Wold theorem). This means that  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$  and the proof is complete.

### References

- [1] *P. Billingsley*: Convergence of Probability Measures. Wiley, New York 1968.
- [2] *H. Cramér, H. Wold*: Some theorems on distribution function. J. London Math. Soc. *11* (1936), 290—295.
- [3] *J. P. R. Christensen*: On some measures analogous to Haar measure. Math. Scand. *26* (1970), 103—106.
- [4] *R. O. Davies*: Can two different measures agree on balls? (Good news and bad news). Mimeographed notes, 1972.
- [5] *R. O. Davies*: Measures not approximable or not specifiable by means of balls. Mathematika *18* (1971), 157—160.
- [6] *T. Neubrunn*: A note on quantum probability spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *25* (1970), 672—675.
- [7] *F. H. Ruymgaart*: A note on the concept of joint distributions of pairs of observables. The Indian Journal of Statistics, Ser. A, Vol. *45* (1983), 38—43.

Souhrn

### POZNÁMKA O URČENOSTI MĚR

PAVEL PTÁK, JOSEF TKADLEC

V článku je ukázáno, že Cramérova-Woldova věta implikuje silnější verzi Christensenovy věty.

Резюме

### ЗАМЕЧАНИЕ ОБ ОПРЕДЕЛЕННОСТИ МЕР

PAVEL PTÁK, JOSEF TKADLEC

В работе показано, что теорема Крамэра-Волда влечет за собой более сильный вариант теоремы Христенсена.

*Authors' address*: Katedra matematiky FEL ČVUT, Suchbátarova 2, 166 27 Praha 6.