

Jiří Binder

A note on weak hidden variables

*Časopis pro pěstování matematiky*, Vol. 114 (1989), No. 1, 53--56

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118367>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## A NOTE ON WEAK HIDDEN VARIABLES

Jiří BINDER, Praha

(Received October 28, 1986)

*Summary.* We consider a  $\sigma$ -additive version of “centrally additive” hidden variables as introduced in [9]. As the main result we construct a logic without sufficiently many centrally additive dispersion free states. Consequently, this logic does not admit weak hidden variables.

*Keywords:* logic, hidden variables.

*AMS classification:* 81B.

## NOTIONS AND RESULTS

In the logico-algebraic approach to the foundations of quantum mechanics, the hidden variables hypothesis expresses by the presence of “sufficiently many” two-valued states (see [3], [5], [8], [11], etc.). Since many important logics have no two-valued states (see [1], [2], [7]), it is natural that generalized types of hidden variables have been considered ([6], [9]). In this note we introduce and shortly analyse one such generalization. Although the main result is in fact negative (it implies the absence of hidden variables), the investigation led us to a construction of a logic having rather special central properties.

Let us review the basic notions as we shall use them in the sequel. By a *logic* we mean a  $\sigma$ -orthomodular partially ordered set (see e.g. [3]). If  $L$  is a logic then by  $C(L)$  we denote the set of all absolutely compatible elements of  $L$  (i.e.  $C(L) = \{a \in L, a \text{ is compatible to each } b \in L\}$ ). The set  $C(L)$ , which is known to be a Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra (in  $L$ ), is called the centre of  $L$ .

We say that a mapping  $h: L \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is a *central 0–1 state* if

- (i)  $h(1) = 1$ ,
- (ii)  $h(a) + h(a') = 1$  for any  $a \in L$ ,
- (iii)  $h(a) \leq h(b)$  whenever  $a, b \in L$  and  $a \leq b$ ,
- (iv)  $h(\bigvee_{i \in N} a_i) = \sum_{i \in N} h(a_i)$  whenever  $a_i \in L$  ( $i \in N$ ),  $a_i \leq a'_j$  for any  $i \neq j$  and at most one of  $a_i$ 's does not belong to  $C(L)$ .

Of course, if  $L$  is Boolean the central 0–1 states coincide with the 0–1 states.

We have the following result:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $L$  be a logic and let  $h$  be a (central) 0–1 state on  $C(L)$ . Then there is a central 0–1 state  $\tilde{h}$  on  $L$  such that the restriction of  $\tilde{h}$  to  $C(L)$  is  $h$ .*

**Proof.** We apply the following result [9]. For the logic  $L$  there exists a Boolean algebra  $B$  and an injective mapping  $\varphi: L \rightarrow B$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- $\varphi(1) = 1$ ,
- $\varphi(a') = \varphi(a)'$  for each  $a \in L$ ,
- $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$  whenever  $a, b \in L$ ,  $a \leq b$ ,
- $\varphi(a \vee b) = \varphi(a) \vee \varphi(b)$  whenever  $a, b \in L$ ,  $a \leq b'$ , and  $a \in C(L)$ .

In particular,  $\varphi$  is a Boolean embedding of  $C(L)$  into  $B$ . Now let  $h$  be a central 0–1 state on  $C(L)$ . By the theorem of Horn and Tarski [4]  $h$  can be extended to a two-valued finitely additive measure on  $B$ . Denote this measure by  $k$  and put  $\tilde{h}(a) = k(\varphi(a))$ . We claim that  $\tilde{h}$  is the required extension. Indeed,  $\tilde{h}|_{C(L)} = h$  and if  $a_i$  is a sequence of mutually orthogonal elements of  $L$  and  $a_i \in C(L)$  for  $i > 1$ , then  $\tilde{h}(\bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i) = k(\varphi(\bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i)) = k(\varphi(a_1) \vee \varphi(\bigvee_{i > 1} a_i)) = k(\varphi(a_1)) + k(\bigvee_{i > 1} \varphi(a_i)) = \tilde{h}(a_1) + h(\bigvee_{i > 1} a_i) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{h}(a_i)$ . The proof is complete.

We say that  $L$  possesses weak hidden variables, if for any pair  $a, b \in L$  with  $a \not\leq b$  there is a central 0–1 state  $h: L \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  such that  $h(a) = 1$  and  $h(b) = 0$ . Similarly as in the finitely additive case we have the following characterization.

**Proposition 2.** *A logic  $L$  possesses weak hidden variables if and only if there is an injective mapping  $\psi: L \rightarrow B$  into a Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra  $B$  of subsets of a set such that*

- (i)  $\psi(1) = 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\psi(a) \leq \psi(b)$  if and only if  $a \leq b$  ( $a, b \in L$ ),
- (iii)  $\psi(a') = \psi(a)'$  for any  $a \in L$ ,
- (iv)  $\psi(\bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \psi(a_i)$  whenever  $a_i \in L$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $a_i \leq a_j'$  for any  $i \neq j$  and  $a_i \in C(L)$  for  $i > 1$ .

**Proof.** If  $\psi: L \rightarrow B$  is a mapping with the properties (i)–(iv) and if  $a \not\leq b$  then  $\psi(a) \setminus \psi(b)$  is nonvoid. If we take a point  $p \in \psi(a) \setminus \psi(b)$  and consider the state  $s_p: B \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  concentrated in  $\{p\}$ , then  $s_p \psi$  is a central 0–1 state on  $L$  and  $s_p \psi(a) = 1$ ,  $s_p \psi(b) = 0$ .

Conversely, if  $L$  possesses weak hidden variables and if we denote by  $\Omega$  the set of all central 0–1 states, then a routine verification gives that it suffices to take for  $B$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by all sets  $\Omega_a = \{h, h(a) = 1\}$  ( $a \in L$ ) and put  $\psi(a) = \Omega_a$ . This completes the proof.

Now a natural question arises, whether each  $L$  possesses weak hidden variables (provided, of course, that  $C(L)$  possesses weak hidden variables, which obviously requires  $C(L)$  to have a set representation). The answer is in the negative.

**Example 3.** There exists a logic  $L$  such that

- (i)  $C(L)$  is  $\sigma$ -isomorphic to a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of a set,
- (ii) there exists  $e \in L$  such that  $s(e) = 1$  for no central 0–1 state.

The construction. Let  $M$  be a six element logic  $M = \{0, 1, a, a', b, b'\}$  and let  $S$  be a set with  $\text{card } S = 2^N$ . Put  $L_x = M$  for any  $x \in S$  and consider the logic product  $P = \prod_{x \in S} L_x$  (the domain of  $P$  is the usual cartesian product and the partial ordering and the orthocomplement are taken ‘‘coordinatewise’’). Let us define a relation  $\sim$  on  $P$  by putting  $f \sim g$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied (elements of  $P$  are considered as mappings from  $S$  into  $L$ ):

- (i)  $f^{-1}(b) = g^{-1}(b)$ ,  $f^{-1}(b') = g^{-1}(b')$ ,
- (ii)  $f^{-1}(1) \cup f^{-1}(a') = g^{-1}(1) \cup g^{-1}(a')$ ,
- (iii)  $\{x \in S, f(x) \neq g(x)\}$  is at most countable.

Further, put  $N_{f,g} = \{x \in S, f(x) \not\leq g(x)\}$  and define another relation  $\lesssim$  on  $P$  by setting  $f \lesssim g \Leftrightarrow N_{f,g}$  is at most countable and  $N_{f,g} \subset (f^{-1}(a) \cup g^{-1}(a'))$ . The relation  $\sim$  on  $P$  is an equivalence and the factor  $P = L/\sim$  becomes a logic when endowed with the partial ordering and the orthocomplement induced by  $\lesssim$  and  $'$ , respectively (the verification of these facts is rather lengthy but essentially simple and is left to the reader).

Now we have to show that  $C(L)$  is isomorphic to a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of a set. In order to do so, observe that  $[f] \in C(L)$  ( $f \in P$ ) exactly in the case when the set  $\{x \in S, f(x) \notin \{0, 1\}\}$  is countable. It immediately follows that the mappings  $s_x, r_x$  ( $x \in S$ ):  $C(L) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  defined by the requirements

$$\begin{aligned} s_x([f]) &= 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad f(x) \in \{1, a', b\}, \\ r_x([f]) &= 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad f(x) \in \{1, a', b'\} \end{aligned}$$

are 0–1 measures on  $C(L)$ . This implies that for any  $[f] \in C(L)$  there is a 0–1 measure  $t$  on  $C(L)$  with  $t([f]) = 1$ . Therefore,  $C(L)$  has a set representation.

Finally, put  $e = [f_a]$ , where  $f_a(x) = a$  for any  $x \in S$ . We have to show that there is no central 0–1 state  $h$  on  $L$  with  $h(e) = 1$ . Assume that such an  $h$  exists and proceed by way of contradiction. For each  $K \subset S$ , let  $f_K$  be the characteristic function of  $K$  (with 0, 1 taken from  $M$ ). The mapping  $\varphi: K \rightarrow [f_K]$  is an isomorphism of the Boolean algebra  $\exp S$  (of all subsets of  $S$ ) onto a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $C(L)$ . Therefore  $m = h \circ \varphi$  is a probability measure on  $\exp S$ . Obviously, if  $K \in \exp S$  and  $S \setminus K$  is countable, then  $[f_K] \geq [f_a]$  and therefore  $m(K) = h([f_K]) \geq h([f_a]) = 1$ . This implies that  $m$  is a two-valued probability measure on  $\exp S$  such that  $m(J) = 0$  for each countable set  $J \in \exp S$ . We have reached a contradiction (see [10]). The proof is complete.

In the conclusion of this note let us observe that the above example has the following central properties potentially applicable also elsewhere:

- (i) We have  $\bigwedge \{[f_K], K \subset \exp S, K \text{ countable}\} = 0$  in  $C(L)$  but  $0 = [f_a] \leq f_K$  for any  $K$  countable.

- (ii)  $C(L)$  is atomic, the intersection of  $[f_a]$  with every atom in  $C(L)$  equals 0 but  $[f_a] \neq 0$ .

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to express his gratitude to Prof. Pavel Pták for his encouragement during this research.

#### References

- [1] *V. Alda*: On 0–1 measures for projectors. *Aplikace matematiky* 26 (1981), 57–58.
- [2] *R. J. Greechie*: Orthomodular lattices admitting no states. *J. Comb. Theory A* 10 (1971), 119–132.
- [3] *S. Gudder*: *Stochastic Methods in Quantum Mechanics*. North Holland, New York, 1979.
- [4] *A. Horn, A. Tarski*: Measures in Boolean algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 64 (1948), 467–497.
- [5] *J. M. Jauch*: *Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1968.
- [6] *A. R. Marlow*: Quantum theory and Hilbert space. *J. Math. Phys.* 19 (1978), 1842–1845.
- [7] *P. Pták*: Exotic logics. *Colloquium Math.* (to appear).
- [8] *P. Pták*: Hidden variables on concrete logics (to appear).
- [9] *P. Pták*: Weak dispersion-free states and the hidden variables hypothesis. *J. Math. Phys.* 24 (1983), 839–840.
- [10] *S. Ulam*: Zur Masstheorie in der allgemeinen Mengenlehre. *Fund. Math.* 16 (1930), 140–150.
- [11] *N. Zierler, M. Schlessinger*: Boolean embeddings of orthomodular sets and quantum logics. *Duke J. Math.* 32 (1965), 251–262.

Souhrn

JIŘÍ BINDER

#### POZNÁMKA O SLABÝCH SKRYTÝCH PARAMETRECH

Článek se zabývá  $\sigma$ -aditivní verzí centrálně aditivních skrytých parametrů zavedených v [9]. Je nalezena logika, která nemá úplnou množinu centrálně aditivních bezdisperzních stavů.

Резюме

JIŘÍ BINDER

#### ЗАМЕЧАНИЕ О СЛАБЫХ СКРЫТЫХ ПАРАМЕТРАХ

Рассматриваются центральные состояния на логике, введенные в связи с проблемой скрытых параметров. Построена логика, не имеющая полное семейство центральных 0–1 состояний.

*Author's address:* Pedagogická fakulta UK, M. D. Rettigové 4, 116 39 Praha 1.