

Nikolaos S. Papageorgiou

Convergence theorems for set-valued conditional expectations

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 34 (1993), No. 1, 97--104

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118560>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## Convergence theorems for set-valued conditional expectations

NIKOLAOS S. PAPAGEORGIU

*Abstract.* In this paper we prove two convergence theorems for set-valued conditional expectations. The first is a set-valued generalization of Levy's martingale convergence theorem, while the second involves a nonmonotone sequence of sub  $\sigma$ -fields.

*Keywords:* measurable multifunction, set-valued conditional expectation, Levy's theorem, support function, Kuratowski-Mosco convergence of sets

*Classification:* 60D05

### 1. Introduction.

Set-valued random variables (random sets) have been studied recently by many authors. Selectively we mention the important works of Alo-deKorvin-Roberts [1], Hiai [9], Hiai-Umegaki [10] and Luu [12]. Furthermore the works of Artstein-Hart [2], deKorvin-Kleyle [11] and Papageorgiou [15], illustrated that set-valued random variables can be useful in the study of problems in optimization theory, information systems and mathematical economics.

In this paper we prove a set-valued analogue of the well-known Levy's martingale convergence theorem and then we go one step further and allow the sequence of sub  $\sigma$ -fields to vary in a nonmonotone fashion. Theorem 3.1 in this paper extends Theorem 2.1 of the author [18], where the Banach space was assumed to be reflexive. Theorem 3.2 is a new general convergence result for set-valued random variables (random sets).

### 2. Preliminaries.

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a probability space and  $X$  a separable Banach space. We shall be using the following notation:

$$P_{f(c)}(X) = \{A \subseteq X : \text{nonempty, closed, (convex)}\}$$

and

$$P_{wkc}(X) = \{A \subseteq X : \text{nonempty, weakly compact and convex}\}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Research supported by NSF Grant DMS-8802688.

<sup>2</sup>On leave from National Technical University, Department of Mathematics, Zografou Campus, Athens 15773, Greece.

The author is indebted to a very knowledgeable referee for his (her) many helpful comments and remarks

For any  $A \in 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , we set  $|A| = \sup\{\|x\| : x \in A\}$  (the “norm” of  $A$ ),  $\sigma(x^*, A) = \sup\{(x^*, x) : x \in A\}$ ,  $x^* \in X^*$  (the support function of  $A$ ) and, for every  $z \in X$ ,  $d(z, A) = \inf\{\|z - x\| : x \in A\}$  (the distance function from  $A$ ).

A multifunction  $F : \Omega \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is said to be measurable, if for all  $U$  open in  $X$   $F^-(U) = \{\omega \in \Omega : F(\omega) \cap U \neq \emptyset\} \in \Sigma$ . If in addition  $F(\cdot)$  is  $P_f(X)$ -valued, then the above definition is equivalent to any of the following statements:

- (i) for every  $z \in X$ ,  $\omega \rightarrow d(z, F(\omega))$  is measurable,
- (ii) there exist measurable functions  $f_n : \Omega \rightarrow X$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , s.t.  $F(\omega) = \text{cl}\{f_n(\omega)\}_{n \geq 1}$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

The above statements imply the following:

- (iii)  $GrF = \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X : x \in F(\omega)\} \in \Sigma \times B(X)$ , with  $B(X)$  being the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of  $X$  (graph measurability).

If  $\Sigma$  is  $\mu$ -complete, then all the statements (i)–(iii) are equivalent.

Further details on the measurability of multifunctions can be found in the survey paper of Wagner [23].

Given a measurable multifunction  $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$ ,  $S_F^1$  will denote the set of integrable selectors of  $F(\cdot)$ ; i.e.,  $S_F^1 = \{f \in L^1(\Omega, X) : f(\omega) \in F(\omega) \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.}\}$ . Clearly this set is closed, maybe empty and using Aumann’s selection theorem (see Wagner [23, Theorem 5.10]) we can easily check that  $S_F^1$  is nonempty if and only if  $\omega \rightarrow \inf\{\|x\| : x \in F(\omega)\} \in L^1(\Omega)$ .

Indeed, let  $m(\omega) = \inf\{\|x\| : x \in F(\omega)\}$ . Because of the property (ii) above, we have  $m(\omega) = \inf_{n \geq 1} \|f_n(\omega)\|$ , where  $f_n : \Omega \rightarrow \Xi$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , are measurable functions s.t.  $F(\omega) = \text{cl}\{f_n(\omega)\}_{n \geq 1}$ . So  $\omega \rightarrow m(\omega)$  is measurable. If  $S_F^1 \neq \emptyset$ , let  $g \in S_F^1$ . Then  $m(\omega) \leq \|g(\omega)\|$   $\mu$ -a.e.  $\Rightarrow m \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Conversely, suppose that  $m(\cdot) \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and set  $H_\varepsilon(\omega) = \{x \in F(\omega) : \|x\| \leq m(\omega) + \varepsilon\}$ . Clearly for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $H_\varepsilon(\omega) \neq \emptyset$  and  $GrH_\varepsilon = GrF \cap \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X : \|x\| - m(\omega) \leq \varepsilon\}$ . Clearly then  $(\omega, x) \rightarrow \|x\| - m(\omega)$  is measurable. So  $GrH_\varepsilon \in \Sigma \times B(X)$ . Apply Aumann’s selection theorem to get  $g : \Omega \rightarrow X$  measurable s.t.  $g(\omega) \in H_\varepsilon(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Then  $g(\omega) \in F(\omega)$  and  $\|g(\omega)\| \leq m(\omega) + \varepsilon \Rightarrow g \in S_F^1 \Rightarrow S_F^1 \neq \emptyset$ .

This is the case if  $\omega \rightarrow |F(\omega)| = \sup\{\|x\| : x \in F(\omega)\} \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Such a multifunction is called integrably bounded. Note that if  $F(\cdot)$  is  $P_{fc}(X)$ -valued, then  $S_F^1$  is convex, too. Using  $S_F^1$  we can define a set-valued integral for  $F(\cdot)$  by setting  $\int_\Omega F(\omega) d\mu(\omega) = \{\int_\Omega f(\omega) d\mu(\omega) : f \in S_F^1\}$ .

Let  $\Sigma_0$  be a sub  $\sigma$ -field of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$  be a measurable multifunction s.t.  $S_F^1 \neq \emptyset$ . Following Hiai-Umegaki [10], we define the set-valued conditional expectation of  $F(\cdot)$  with respect to  $\Sigma_0$  to be the  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable multifunction  $E^{\Sigma_0} F : \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$  for which we have  $S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1(\Sigma_0) = \text{cl}\{E^{\Sigma_0} f : f \in S_F^1\}$  (the closure taken in the  $L^1(\Omega, X)$ -norm). Note that by definition  $S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1(\Sigma_0)$  consists of all  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable selectors of  $E^{\Sigma_0} F$ . To simplify the already heavy notation, we shall simply write  $S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1$  instead of  $S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1(\Sigma_0)$ . If  $F(\cdot)$  is integrably bounded (resp. convex valued), then so is  $E^{\Sigma_0} F(\cdot)$ . Note that in Hiai-Umegaki [10], the definition was given for integrably bounded  $F(\cdot)$ . However, it is clear that it can be extended to the more general class of multifunctions  $F(\cdot)$  used here. Recall that

$A \in \Sigma$  is said to be a  $\Sigma_0$ -atom if and only if for all  $A' \in \Sigma$ ,  $A' \subseteq A$  there exists  $B \in \Sigma_0$  s.t.  $\mu(A' \Delta (A \cap B)) = 0$  or equivalently  $\chi_{A'}(\omega) = \chi_{A \cap B}(\omega)$   $\mu$ -a.e. (see Hanen-Neveu [7]).

Finally let  $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ . Following Mosco [14], we define:

$$\begin{aligned} s - \underline{\lim} A_n &= \{x \in X : x = s - \lim x_n, x_n \in A_n, n \geq 1\} \\ &= \{x \in X : \lim d(x, A_n) = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$w - \overline{\lim} A_n = \{x \in X : x = w - \lim x_{n_k}, x_{n_k} \in A_{n_k}, n_1 < n_2 < n_3 \cdots < n_k < \dots\}.$$

Here  $s-$  denotes the strong topology on  $X$ , while  $w-$  denotes the weak topology on  $X$ . It is easy to see that we always have  $s - \underline{\lim} A_n \subseteq w - \overline{\lim} A_n$ . We say that the  $A_n$ 's converge to  $A$  in the Kuratowski-Mosco sense to  $A$ , denoted by  $A_n \xrightarrow{K-M} A$  if  $s - \underline{\lim} A_n = A = w - \overline{\lim} A_n$ .

### 3. Convergence theorems.

Assume that  $\{\Sigma_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is an increasing subsequence of sub  $\sigma$ -fields of  $\Sigma$  s.t.  $\bigvee_{n \geq 1} \Sigma_n = \Sigma_0$ . Recall that if  $f \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ , then  $E^{\Sigma_n} f(\omega) \rightarrow E^{\Sigma_0} f(\omega)$   $\mu$ -a.e. (Levy's martingale convergence theorem). This was extended to Banach space-valued random variables; i.e.,  $f \in L^1(\Omega, X)$  (see for example Metivier [13, Theorem 11.2]). The following theorem is a set-valued version of this martingale convergence theorem. It improves Theorem 2.1 of [18], since we get a stronger kind of convergence for the set-valued martingale and the reflexivity hypothesis on  $X$  is relaxed.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $X^*$  is separable and  $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_{fc}(X)$  is integrably bounded, then  $E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega) \xrightarrow{K-M} E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega)$   $\mu$ -a.e. The result is also true if  $X^*$  is separable,  $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$  is integrably bounded and  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  has no  $\Sigma_0$ -atoms.*

PROOF: From the lemma in Section 2 of [19], we know that for all  $x^* \in X^*$  and all  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$ ,  $\mu(N) = 0$ , we have  $E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) = \sigma(x^*, E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega))$ . So we have  $\overline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) = \overline{\lim} \sigma(x^*, E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega))$ . But from the classical Levy's martingale convergence theorem, we know that  $\overline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) = \lim E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) = E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega))$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N(x^*)$ ,  $\mu(N(x^*)) = 0$ . Let  $\{x_m^*\}_{m \geq 1}$  be dense in  $X^*$  for the strong topology (recall that  $X^*$  is assumed to be separable). We have  $E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) \rightarrow E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $m \geq 1$  and all  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$ , where  $N = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} N(x_m^*)$ ,  $\mu(N) = 0$ . Let  $x^* \in X^*$  and let  $\{x_k^*\}_{k \geq 1} \subseteq \{x_n^*\}_{n \geq 1}$  be s.t.  $x_k^* \xrightarrow{s} x^*$  (here  $s$  denotes the strong on  $X^*$ ). From Proposition 14 of Thibault [22], we know that for all  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N_1$ ,  $\mu(N_1) = 0$ ,  $E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(\cdot, F(\omega))$  is continuous and so  $E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x_k^*, F(\omega)) \rightarrow E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega))$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N_1$ ,  $\mu(N_1) = 0$ . Let  $N_2 = N \cup N_1$ ,  $\mu(N_2) = 0$  and let  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N_2$ . Invoking Lemma 1.6 of Attouch [3], we can find a map  $n \rightarrow k(n)$ , depending in general on  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N_2$

s.t.  $E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x_{k(n)}^*, F(\omega)) \rightarrow E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) = \sigma(x^*, E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So for any given  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N_2$ ,  $\mu(N_2) = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) - E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega))| \\ & \leq |E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) - E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x_{k(n)}^*, F(\omega))| \\ & \quad + |E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x_{k(n)}^*, F(\omega)) - E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega))|. \end{aligned}$$

For the first summand in the right hand side of the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) - E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x_{k(n)}^*, F(\omega))| \leq E^{\Sigma_n} |\sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) - \sigma(x_{k(n)}^*, F(\omega))| \\ & \leq E^{\Sigma_n} |F(\omega)| \cdot \|x^* - x_{k(n)}^*\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Also from the choice of the map  $n \rightarrow k(n)$ , we have

$$|E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x_{k(n)}^*, F(\omega)) - E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega))| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus finally we deduce that for all  $x^* \in X^*$  and all  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N_2$ ,  $\mu(N_2) = 0$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) \rightarrow E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ & \Rightarrow \sigma(x^*, E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega)) \rightarrow \sigma(x^*, E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega)) \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e. as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 4.1 of [16], we get

$$w - \overline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega) \subseteq \overline{\text{conv}} E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega) \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

If  $F(\cdot)$  is  $P_{f_c}(X)$ -valued, then  $\overline{\text{conv}} E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega) = E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega)$ . If  $F(\cdot)$  is  $P_f(X)$ -valued and  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  has no  $\Sigma_0$ -atoms, from Dynkin-Evstigneev [5], we have that  $E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega)$  is  $\mu$ -a.e. convex. So in both cases we have:

$$(1) \quad w - \overline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega) \subseteq E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega) \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

Next, let  $f \in S_F^1$ . Then from Theorem 11.2 of Metivier [13], we know that  $E^{\Sigma_n} f(\omega) \xrightarrow{s} E^{\Sigma_0} f(\omega)$   $\mu$ -a.e. in  $X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Clearly  $E^{\Sigma_n} f \in S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F}^1$  and so we have  $E^{\Sigma_0} f(\omega) \in s - \underline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega)$   $\mu$ -a.e. Hence we have that

$$E^{\Sigma_0} S_F^1 \subseteq S_{s - \underline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F}^1.$$

Recalling that  $s - \underline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F(\cdot)$  is closed-valued, we have that the set  $S_{s - \underline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F}^1$  is closed in  $L^1(X)$ . Hence we have:

$$\overline{E^{\Sigma_0} S_F^1} \subseteq S_{s - \underline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F}^1.$$

But by definition (see Section 2), we have  $\overline{E^{\Sigma_0} S_F^1} = S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1$ . Therefore we finally have

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1 &\subseteq S_{s-\underline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F}^1 \\ &\Rightarrow E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega) \subseteq s - \underline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega) \quad \mu\text{a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and (2) above we conclude that  $E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega) \xrightarrow{K-M} E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega) \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$   $\square$

**Corollary.** *If  $\dim X < \infty$  and  $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_{fc}(X)$  is integrably bounded, then  $E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega) \xrightarrow{h} E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega) \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$ , where  $h$  denotes the Hausdorff metric on  $P_{fc}(X)$ . The same holds if  $\dim X < \infty$ ,  $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$  is integrably bounded and  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  has no  $\Sigma_0$ -atoms.*

**Remark.** The ‘‘convex’’ part of this corollary was proved by the author in [18, Theorem 2.1]. This result is a consequence of Corollary 3A of Salinetti-Wets [21].

In the next convergence theorem, we allow the sub  $\sigma$ -fields to converge in a non-monotone fashion. Recall that  $\Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  in  $L^1(\Omega, X)$  if and only if for every  $f \in L^1(\Omega, X)$ , we have  $E^{\Sigma_n} f \xrightarrow{s} E^{\Sigma_0} f$  in  $L^1(\Omega, X)$ . From the vector valued version of Levy’s martingale convergence theorem (see Metivier [13, Theorem 11.2]), we know that if  $\Sigma_n \uparrow \Sigma_0$ , then  $\Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  in  $L^1(\Omega, X)$ . More generally, if  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Sigma = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=m}^{\infty} \Sigma_n = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=m}^{\infty} \Sigma_n$ , then  $\Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  (see Fetter [6, Theorem 3]).

Recall that if  $X^*$  is separable, then  $X^*$  has the RNP and so  $L^1(\Omega, X)^* = L^\infty(\Omega, X^*)$  (see Diestel-Uhl [4, Theorem 1, p. 98]). We shall denote the duality brackets for this pair by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ ; i.e.,  $\langle f, h \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (f(\omega), h(\omega)) d\mu(\omega)$  for every  $f \in L^1(\Omega, X)$ ,  $h \in L^\infty(\Omega, X^*)$ .

We shall need the following two lemmata. In both we assume  $X^*$  is separable.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $\Sigma_0$  is a sub  $\sigma$ -field of  $\Sigma$ ,  $f \in L^1(\Sigma_0, X)$  and  $h \in L^\infty(\Sigma, X^*)$ , then  $\langle f, E^{\Sigma_0} h \rangle = \langle f, h \rangle$ .*

PROOF: Let  $h = \chi_A x^*$ ,  $A \in \Sigma$ ,  $x^* \in X^*$ . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, E^{\Sigma_0} h \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} (f(\omega), E^{\Sigma_0} \chi_A(\omega) x^*) d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} E^{\Sigma_0} \chi_A(\omega) (f(\omega), x^*) d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \chi_A(\omega) (f(\omega), x^*) d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (f(\omega), \chi_A(\omega) x^*) d\mu(\omega) = \langle f, h \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly then the result is valid for countably-valued  $h \in L^\infty(\Sigma, X^*)$ . But those functions are dense in  $L^\infty(\Sigma, X^*)$  (see Diestel-Uhl [4, p. 42]). So by a simple density argument, we conclude that the lemma holds for all  $h \in L^\infty(\Sigma, X^*)$ .  $\square$

In a similar way, exploiting the density of simple functions in  $L^1(\Sigma, X)$ , we can prove the following lemma, whose proof is omitted.

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $\Sigma_0$  is a sub  $\sigma$ -field of  $\Sigma$ ,  $f \in L^1(\Sigma, X)$  and  $h \in L^\infty(\Sigma_0, X^*)$ , then  $\langle f, h \rangle = \langle E^{\Sigma_0} f, h \rangle$ .*

The next theorem partially generalizes Theorem 3.1. Now we have a sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of random sets, instead of just a fixed one as in Theorem 3.1, and the sequence  $\{\Sigma_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of the sub  $\sigma$ -fields of  $\Sigma$  need not be monotone increasing. Because of the nature of the convergence of the  $\Sigma_n$ 's, our convergence result is in terms of the sets of integrable selectors of the random multifunctions. When specialized to single-valued random variables, then we get that  $E^{\Sigma_n} f_n \rightarrow E^{\Sigma_0} f$  in  $L^1(\Omega, X)$ , which improves Theorem 4 of Fette [6], where  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Note that the convexity of the values of the random sets  $\{F_n(\omega)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is important, because it allows us to use the ‘‘multivalued dominated convergence theorem’’ established in [16, Theorem 4.4]. It remains an open question whether the almost everywhere convergence holds (even if random variables are single-valued and  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ; see also Fetter [6]).

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $X^*$  is separable,  $F_n : \Omega \rightarrow P_{wkc}(X)$   $n \geq 1$  are measurable multifunctions s.t.  $F_n(\omega) \subseteq G(\omega)$   $\mu$ -a.e. with  $G : \Omega \rightarrow P_{wkc}(X)$  integrably bounded,  $F_n(\omega) \xrightarrow{K-M} F(\omega)$   $\mu$ -a.e. and  $\Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  in  $L^1(X)$ , then  $S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F_n}^1 \xrightarrow{K-M} S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

PROOF: From Proposition 4.3 of Hess [8], we have that  $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_{wkc}(X)$  is measurable and  $F(\omega) \subseteq G(\omega)$   $\mu$ -a.e. Then from Proposition 3.1 of [17], we have  $S_{F_n}^1, S_F^1$  are weakly compact convex subsets of  $L^1(X)$  and so  $S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F_n}^1 = E^{\Sigma_n} S_{F_n}^1$ ,  $S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1 = E^{\Sigma_0} S_F^1$   $n \geq 1$ .

Now let  $h \in w - \overline{\lim} S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F_n}^1$ . Then by definition we can find  $h_k \in S_{E^{\Sigma_{n(k)}} F_{n(k)}}^1$  s.t.  $h_k \xrightarrow{w} h$  in  $L^1(X)$ . Then we can find  $f_k \in S_{F_{n(k)}}^1$  s.t.  $E^{\Sigma_{n(k)}} f_k = h_k$ . Since  $\{f_k\}_{k \geq 1} \subseteq S_G^1$  and the latter is  $w$ -compact in  $L^1(X)$  (see Proposition 3.1 of [17]), by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $f_k \xrightarrow{w} f$  in  $L^1(X)$ . Also since  $S_{F_n}^1 \xrightarrow{K-M} S_F^1$  by Theorem 4.4 of [16], we have  $f \in S_F^1$ . Now for  $v \in L^\infty(\Omega, X^*) = L^1(\Omega, X)^*$  we have using Lemmata 3.1 and 3.2:

$$\langle h_k, v \rangle = \langle E^{\Sigma_{n(k)}} f_k, v \rangle = \langle E^{\Sigma_{n(k)}} f_k, E^{\Sigma_{n(k)}} v \rangle = \langle f_k, E^{\Sigma_{n(k)}} v \rangle.$$

Invoking Lemma 4.2 of Papageorgiou-Kandilakis [20], we get  $\langle f_k, E^{\Sigma_{n(k)}} v \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, E^{\Sigma_0} v \rangle$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Once again through Lemmata 3.1 and 3.2 above, we have  $\langle f, E^{\Sigma_0} v \rangle = \langle E^{\Sigma_0} f, E^{\Sigma_0} v \rangle = \langle E^{\Sigma_0} f, v \rangle$ . Therefore

$$\langle h_k, v \rangle \rightarrow \langle E^{\Sigma_0} f, v \rangle \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also  $\langle h_k, v \rangle \rightarrow \langle h, v \rangle \Rightarrow \langle h, v \rangle = \langle E^{\Sigma_0} f, v \rangle$  for all  $v \in L^\infty(X^*) \Rightarrow h = E^{\Sigma_0} f$  with  $f \in S_F^1 \Rightarrow h \in S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1$ . So we have:

$$(1) \quad w - \overline{\lim} S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F_n}^1 \subseteq S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1.$$

Next let  $h \in S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1$ . Then  $h = E^{\Sigma_0} f$ ,  $f \in S_F^1$ . Recalling that  $S_{F_n}^1 \xrightarrow{K-M} S_F^1$  (Theorem 4.4 of [16]), we get  $f_n \in S_{F_n}^1$  s.t.  $f_n \xrightarrow{s} f$  in  $L^1(X)$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|E^{\Sigma_n} f_n - E^{\Sigma_0} f\|_1 &\leq \|E^{\Sigma_n} f_n - E^{\Sigma_n} f\|_1 + \|E^{\Sigma_n} f - E^{\Sigma_0} f\|_1 \\ &\leq \|f_n - f\|_1 + \|E^{\Sigma_n} f - E^{\Sigma_0} f\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  in  $L^1(X)$ . Hence  $E^{\Sigma_n} f_n \xrightarrow{s} E^{\Sigma_0} f = h$  in  $L^1(X)$  and  $E^{\Sigma_n} f_n \in S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F_n}^1$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore  $h \in s - \underline{\lim} S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F_n}^1$ . Thus we have:

$$(2) \quad S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1 \subseteq s - \underline{\lim} S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F_n}^1.$$

From (1) and (2) we conclude that

$$S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F_n}^1 \xrightarrow{K-M} S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] Alo R., deKorvin A., Roberts R., *The optional sampling theorem for convex set valued martingales*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **310** (1979), 1–6.
- [2] Artstein Z., Hart S., *Law of large numbers for random sets and allocation processes*, Math. Oper. Res. **6** (1981), 485–492.
- [3] Attouch H., *Famille d'opérateurs maximaux monotones et mesurabilité*, Ann. Mat. Pura ed Appl. **120** (1979), 35–111.
- [4] Diestel J. Uhl J., *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys, vol. 15, AMS, Providence, RI, 1977.
- [5] Dynkin E., Evstigneev I., *Regular conditional expectations of correspondences*, Theory of Prob. and Appl. **21** (1976), 325–338.
- [6] Fetter H., *On the continuity of conditional expectations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **61** (1977), 227–231.
- [7] Hanan A., Neveu J., *Atomes conditionnels d'un espace de probabilité*, Acta Math. Hungarica **17** (1966), 443–449.
- [8] Hess C., *Measurability and integrability of the weak upper limit of a sequence of multifunctions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **153** (1990), 206–249.
- [9] Hiai F., *Radon-Nikodym theorems for set-valued measures*, J. Multiv. Anal. **8** (1978), 96–118.
- [10] Hiai F., Umegaki H., *Integrals, conditional expectations and martingales of multivalued functions*, J. Multiv. Anal. **7** (1977), 149–182.
- [11] deKorvin A., Kleyle R., *A convergence theorem for convex set-valued supermartingales*, Stoch. Anal. Appl. **3** (1985), 433–445.
- [12] Luu D.Q., *Quelques resultats de representation des amarts uniformes multivoques*, C.R. Acad. Su. Paris **300** (1985), 63–63.
- [13] Metivier M., *Semimartingales*, DeGruyter, Berlin 1982.
- [14] Mosco U., *Convergence of convex sets and solutions of variational inequalities*, Advances in Math. **3** (1969), 510–585.
- [15] Papageorgiou N.S., *On the efficiency and optimality of allocations II*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **24** (1986), 452–479.
- [16] ———, *Convergence theorem for Banach space valued integrable multifunctions*, Intern. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **10** (1987), 433–442.



- [17] ———, *On the theory of Banach space valued multifunctions. Part 1: Integration and conditional expectation*, J. Multiv. Anal. **17** (1985), 185–206.
- [18] ———, *On the theory of Banach space valued multifunctions. Part 2: Set valued martingales and set valued measures*, J. Multiv. Anal. **17** (1985), 207–227.
- [19] ———, *A convergence theorem for set-valued supermartingales in a separable Banach space*, Stoch. Anal. Appl. **5** (1988), 405–422.
- [20] Papageorgiou N.S., Kandilakis D., *Convergence in approximation and nonsmooth analysis*, J. Approx. Theory **49** (1987), 41–54.
- [21] Salinetti G. Wets R., *On the convergence of sequences of convex sets in finite dimensions*, SIAM Review **21** (1979), 18–33.
- [22] Thibault L., *Esperances conditionnelles d'integrandes semicontinues*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Ser. B **17** (1981), 337–350.
- [23] Wagner D., *Survey of measurable selection theorems*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **15** (1977), 859–903.

FLORIDA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, 150 W. UNIVERSITY BLVD., MELBOURNE, FLORIDA 32901–6988, USA

(Received April 5, 1991, revised May 7, 1992)