

Andrzej Kasperski

Notes on approximation in the Musielak-Orlicz spaces of vector multifunctions

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 35 (1994), No. 1, 81--93

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118643>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Notes on approximation in the Musielak-Orlicz spaces of vector multifunctions

ANDRZEJ KASPERSKI

Abstract. We introduce the spaces $M_{Y,\varphi}^1$, $M_{Y,\varphi}^{o,n}$, $\tilde{M}_{Y,\varphi}^o$ and $M_{Y,d,\varphi}^o$ of multifunctions. We prove that the spaces $M_{Y,\varphi}^1$ and $M_{Y,d,\varphi}^o$ are complete. Also, we get some convergence theorems.

Keywords: Musielak-Orlicz space, multifunction, modular space of multifunctions, integral operator, modular approximation

Classification: 46E99, 28B20

1. Introduction

In this paper we extend the results of [2] and [3] to the case of the spaces $M_{Y,\varphi}^1$, $\tilde{M}_{Y,\varphi}^o$ and $M_{Y,d,\varphi}^o$ of multifunctions. All definitions and theorems connected with the idea of Musielak-Orlicz space can be found in [4] and [5].

Let I be a bounded interval. Let (I, Σ, μ) be the Lebesgue measure space. Let X be a real separable Hilbert space with the norm $\|\circ\|_X$. We denote by $L^\varphi(I, X)$ the Musielak-Orlicz space of all strongly measurable functions $x : I \rightarrow X$ generated by a modular

$$\varrho(x) = \int_I \varphi(t, \|x(t)\|_X) d\mu,$$

where φ is a φ -function with a parameter such that $\varphi : I \times R \rightarrow R_+$, $\varphi(t, \circ)$ is an even continuous function, nondecreasing for $u \geq 0$, $\varphi(t, u) = 0$ iff $u = 0$ for every $t \in I$, $\varphi(\circ, u)$ is measurable for every $u \in R$ and $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t, u) = \infty$ for a.e. $t \in I$. The space $L^\varphi(I, X)$ is N -complete (see [5, Corollaries 3.3]).

Let \mathbf{N} be the set of all positive integers.

2. Completeness

Let Y be a real separable Hilbert space. Let o denote the zero element in Y . Let

$$\text{dist}(A, B) = \max(\sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} \|x - y\|_Y, \sup_{y \in B} \inf_{x \in A} \|x - y\|_Y),$$

for all nonempty bounded $A, B \subset Y$. Let

$$M_Y(I) = \{F : I \rightarrow 2^Y : F(s) \text{ is nonempty for every } s \in I, \text{ closed and bounded for a.e. } s \in I\}.$$

For $F, G \in M_Y(I)$ we introduce the function $\mathbf{d}(F, G)$ by the formula:

$$\mathbf{d}(F, G)(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } F(t) = G(t) \\ \text{dist}(F(t), G(t)), & \text{if } F(t), G(t) \text{ are bounded} \\ \infty, & \text{if } F(t) \neq G(t) \text{ and } F(t) \text{ or } G(t) \text{ is unbounded} \end{cases}$$

for every $t \in I$.

Remark 1. If X is a Banach space, then the space of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of X with dist is a complete metric space.

Lemma 1. Let $F_n \in M_Y(I)$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$. If:

- (a) there is $n_o > 0$ such that $\mathbf{d}(F_n, F_m)$ are measurable for $m, n > n_o$,
- (b) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $\delta > 0$ there exists $K > n_o$ such that $\mu(\{t \in I : \mathbf{d}(F_n, F_m)(t) \geq \delta\}) < \varepsilon$, for all $m, n > K$,

then there exist a subsequence $\{F_{n_k}\}$ of the sequence $\{F_n\}$ and $F \in M_Y(I)$ such that $\mathbf{d}(F_{n_k}, F) \rightarrow 0$ a.e. and $\mathbf{d}(F_n, F)$ are measurable for $n > n_o$.

PROOF: Let $F_n \in M_Y(I)$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$. We have from the assumptions that there exists $N(k)$ such that $\mu(\{t \in I : \mathbf{d}(F_n, F_m)(t) \geq 2^{-k}\}) < 2^{-k}$ for all $m, n > N(k)$. Let $n_1 = N(1)$, $n_2 = \max\{N(2), N(1) + 1\}$, \dots , $n_m = \max\{N(m), N(m-1) + 1\}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. So there is i_0 such that $2^{i_0-1} < \varepsilon$. Let $i_0 < i < j$. Let $A_i = \{t \in I : \mathbf{d}(F_{n_{i+1}}, F_{n_i})(t) \geq 2^{-i}\}$. It is easy to see that $\mu(\bigcup_{i=i_0}^{\infty} A_i) < \varepsilon$ and for $t \in I \setminus \bigcup_{i=i_0}^{\infty} A_i$ we have

$$\mathbf{d}(F_{n_j}, F_{n_i})(t) \leq \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \mathbf{d}(F_{n_{k+1}}, F_{n_k})(t) \leq \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} \mathbf{d}(F_{n_{k+1}}, F_{n_k})(t) < \varepsilon.$$

So for the subsequence $\{F_{n_k}\}$ we have that for a.e. $t \in I$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $K > 0$ such that $\mathbf{d}(F_{n_k}, F_{n_l})(t) < \varepsilon$ for all $k, l > K$. Hence by Remark 1 there is $F \in M_Y(I)$ such that $\mathbf{d}(F_{n_k}, F) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ a.e. and $\mathbf{d}(F_n, F)$ are measurable for $n > n_0$ because $\mathbf{d}(F_n, F) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{d}(F_{n_k}, F_n)$ a.e.

Let:

$$M(I, Y) = \{x : I \rightarrow Y : x \text{ is strongly measurable}\},$$

$$M(I, R) = \{q : I \rightarrow R : q \text{ is measurable}\}.$$

We denote for all $a \in Y$, $R, r \geq 0$, $B(a, r) = \{x \in Y : \|x - a\|_Y \leq r\}$,

$R(o, r, \mathbf{R}) = \{x \in Y : r \leq \|x\|_Y \leq \mathbf{R}\}$. Let:

$$M_Y^{o,n}(I) = \{F \in M_Y(I) : F(s) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n R(o, r_F^i(s), R_F^i(s)) \text{ for every } s \in I, r_F^i(o),$$

$$R_F^i(o) \in M(I, R) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n, R_F^i(t) \leq r_F^{i+1}(t) \text{ for } t \in I, \\ i = 1, \dots, n-1, \text{ if } n > 1\},$$

$$\tilde{M}_Y^o(I) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_Y^{o,i}(I),$$

$$M_Y^o(I) = \{F \in M_Y(I) : F(s) = B(o, R_F(s)) \text{ for every } s \in I, R_F(o) \in M(I, R)\},$$

$$M_Y^1(I) = \{F \in M_Y(I) : F(s) = B(a_F(s), r_F(s)) \text{ for every } s \in I, a_F(o) \in \\ M(I, Y), r_F(o) \in M(I, R)\}.$$

If $F, G \in M_Y^1(I)$ and $F(t) = G(t)$ for a.e. $t \in I$, then $F = G$ in $M_Y^1(I)$. If $F, G \in \tilde{M}_Y^o(I)$ and $F(t) = G(t)$ for a.e. $t \in I$, then $F = G$ in $\tilde{M}_Y^o(I)$. In the set $M_Y^1(I)$ we introduce the operations $\odot : R \times M_Y^1(I) \rightarrow M_Y^1(I)$, $\oplus : M_Y^1(I) \times M_Y^1(I) \rightarrow M_Y^1(I)$ as follows: let $F_1, F_2 \in M_Y^1(I)$, $a \in R$, $F_1(s) = B(a_{F_1}(s), r_{F_1}(s))$, $F_2(s) = B(a_{F_2}(s), r_{F_2}(s))$ for every $s \in I$; if $F = F_1 \oplus F_2$ then

$$F(s) = B(a_{F_1}(s) + a_{F_2}(s), r_{F_1}(s) + r_{F_2}(s)) \text{ for every } s \in I,$$

$$\text{if } G = a \odot F_1, \text{ then } G(s) = B(aa_{F_1}(s), ar_{F_1}(s)) \text{ for every } s \in I.$$

It is easy to see that $F, G \in M_Y^1(I)$. In the set $\tilde{M}_Y^o(I)$ we introduce the operations $\odot : R \times \tilde{M}_Y^o(I) \rightarrow \tilde{M}_Y^o(I)$, $\oplus : \tilde{M}_Y^o(I) \times \tilde{M}_Y^o(I) \rightarrow \tilde{M}_Y^o(I)$ as follows: let $F_1, F_2 \in \tilde{M}_Y^o(I)$, $a \in R$,

$$F_1(s) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n R(o, r_{F_1}^i(s), R_{F_1}^i(s)), F_2(s) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m R(o, r_{F_2}^i(s), R_{F_2}^i(s)) \text{ for all } s \in I,$$

$$\text{if } F = F_1 \oplus F_2, \text{ then } F(s) = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} R(o, r_{F_1}^i(s) + r_{F_2}^j(s), R_{F_1}^i(s) + R_{F_2}^j(s))$$

for every $s \in I$, if

$$G = a \odot F_1, \text{ then } G(s) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n R(o, ar_{F_1}^i(s), aR_{F_1}^i(s))$$

for every $s \in I$. It is easy to see that $F, G \in \tilde{M}_Y^o(I)$. □

Let now

$$\begin{aligned} M_{Y,\varphi}^0(I) &= \{F \in M_Y^0(I) : r_F(\circ) \in L^\varphi(I, R)\}, \\ M_{Y,\varphi}^1(I) &= \{F \in M_Y^1(I) : a_F(\circ) \in L^\varphi(I, Y), r_F(\circ) \in L^\varphi(I, R)\}, \\ \tilde{M}_{Y,\varphi}^0(I) &= \{F \in \tilde{M}_Y^0(I) : r_F^i(\circ), R_F^i(\circ) \in L^\varphi(I, R) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ &\quad \text{if } F \in M_Y^{0,n}(I)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. If $F, G \in M_{Y,\varphi}^1(I)$, then $\mathbf{d}(F, G)$ is measurable.

PROOF: It is easy to see that

$$\mathbf{d}(F, G)(s) = \|a_F(s) - a_G(s)\|_Y + |r_F(s) - r_G(s)| \text{ for a.e. } s \in I,$$

so $\mathbf{d}(F, G)$ is measurable. \square

Remark 2'. If $F, G \in \tilde{M}_{Y,\varphi}^0(I)$, then $\mathbf{d}(F, G)$ is measurable.

PROOF: Let

$$F(s) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n R(o, r_F^i(s), R_F^i(s)), G(s) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m R(o, r_G^j(s), R_G^j(s))$$

for $s \in I$. It is easy to see that

$$\mathbf{d}(F, G)(s) = \text{dist} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n [r_F^i(s), R_F^i(s)], \bigcup_{j=1}^m [r_G^j(s), R_G^j(s)] \right) \text{ for a.e. } s \in I,$$

so $\mathbf{d}(F, G)$ is measurable (see [1, Remark 1, p. 120]). \square

Definition 1. Let $F, F_n \in M_Y(I)$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$. We write $F_n \xrightarrow{d,\varphi} F$, if there exists $n_o > 0$ such that $\mathbf{d}(F_n, F)$ are measurable for $n > n_o$ and

$$\int_I \varphi(t, \mathbf{ad}(F_n, F)(t)) dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for every } a > 0.$$

Definition 2. Let $F_n \in M_Y(I)$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$. We say that the sequence $\{F_n\}$ fulfils the (C, \mathbf{d}, φ) -condition, if there exists $n_o > 0$ such that $\mathbf{d}(F_n, F_m)$ are measurable for $n, m > n_o$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $a > 0$ there is $K > n_o$ such that $\int_I \varphi(t, \mathbf{ad}(F_n, F_m)(t)) dt < \varepsilon$ for all $m, n > K$.

Definition 3. Let $A \subset M_Y(I)$. We say that A is (C, \mathbf{d}, φ) -complete, if for every sequence $\{F_n\}$ such that $F_n \subset A$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and the sequence $\{F_n\}$ fulfils the (C, \mathbf{d}, φ) -condition, there is $F \in A$ such that $F_n \xrightarrow{d,\varphi} F$.

Theorem 1. $M_{Y,\varphi}^1(I)$ is (C, \mathbf{d}, φ) -complete.

PROOF: Let $F_n \in M_{Y,\varphi}^1(I)$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and let the sequence $\{F_n\}$ fulfil the (C, \mathbf{d}, φ) -condition. Let $F_n(s) = B(a_{F_n}(s), r_{F_n}(s))$ for every $s \in I$ and every $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $\{a_{F_n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Musielak-Orlicz space $L^\varphi(I, Y)$ and $\{r_{F_n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Musielak-Orlicz space $L^\varphi(I, R)$. So there are $\mathbf{a} \in L^\varphi(I, Y)$ and $\mathbf{r} \in L^\varphi(I, R)$ such that

$$\varrho(a(\mathbf{a} - a_{F_n})) \rightarrow 0, \varrho(a(\mathbf{r} - r_{F_n})) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for every } a > 0.$$

Let $\mathbf{F}(s) = B(\mathbf{a}(s), \mathbf{r}(s))$ for every $s \in I$. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{F} \in M_{Y,\varphi}^1(I)$ and $F_n \xrightarrow{d,\varphi} \mathbf{F}$. \square

Remark 3. $\tilde{M}_{Y,\varphi}^0(I)$ is not (C, \mathbf{d}, φ) -complete.

Now, let us denote

$$M_{Y,\mathbf{d}}^0(I) = \{F \in M_Y(I) : \mathbf{d}(F_n, F) \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e. for some } F_n \in \tilde{M}_{Y,\varphi}^0(I), n \in \mathbf{N}\},$$

$$M_{Y,\mathbf{d},\varphi}^0(I) = \{F \in M_{Y,\mathbf{d}}^0(I) : F_n \xrightarrow{d,\varphi} F \text{ for some } F_n \in \tilde{M}_{Y,\varphi}^0(I), n \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

Remark 4. If $F, G \in M_{Y,\mathbf{d}}^0(I)$, then $\mathbf{d}(F, G)$ is measurable.

PROOF: Let $F, G \in M_{Y,\mathbf{d}}^0(I)$. So there are $F_n, G_n \in \tilde{M}_{Y,\varphi}^0(I)$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\mathbf{d}(F_n, F) \rightarrow 0$ and $\mathbf{d}(G_n, G) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ a.e. So $\mathbf{d}(F_n, G_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{d}(F, G)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ a.e. Hence $\mathbf{d}(F, G)$ is measurable because from Remark 2' $\mathbf{d}(F_n, G_n)$ are measurable for $n \in \mathbf{N}$. \square

Theorem 2. $M_{Y,\mathbf{d},\varphi}^0(I)$ is (C, \mathbf{d}, φ) -complete.

PROOF: Let $F_n \in M_{Y,\mathbf{d},\varphi}^0(I)$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and let the sequence $\{F_n\}$ fulfil the (C, \mathbf{d}, φ) -condition. It is easy to prove that the sequence $\{F_n\}$ fulfils the assumptions of Lemma 1, so there exist a subsequence $\{F_{n_k}\}$ of the sequence $\{F_n\}$ and $F \in M_Y(I)$ such that $\mathbf{d}(F_{n_k}, F) \rightarrow 0$ a.e. and $\mathbf{d}(F_n, F)$ are measurable. We have by Fatou Lemma

$$\int_I \varphi(t, \mathbf{ad}(F_n, F)(t)) dt \leq \varepsilon \text{ for } n > K,$$

so $F_n \xrightarrow{d,\varphi} F$. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $a > 0$ there exists $F_n^n \in \tilde{M}_{Y,\varphi}^0(I)$ such that $\int_I \varphi(t, \mathbf{ad}(F_n^n, F_n)(t)) dt < \varepsilon$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \varphi(t, \frac{a}{2} \mathbf{d}(F_n^n, F)(t)) dt &\leq \\ &\leq \int_I \varphi(t, \mathbf{ad}(F_n^n, F_n)(t)) dt + \int_I \varphi(t, \mathbf{ad}(F_n, F)(t)) dt < 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for $n > K$, hence $F \in M_{Y,\mathbf{d},\varphi}^0(I)$ and $M_{Y,\mathbf{d},\varphi}^0(I)$ is (C, \mathbf{d}, φ) -complete. \square

The spaces $M_{Y,\varphi}^1(I)$ and $M_{Y,\mathbf{d},\varphi}^0(I)$ will be called the Musielak-Orlicz spaces of vector multifunctions.

3. On the operator \mathbf{H}

Let $H: I \times Y \rightarrow Y$ and let

$$\mathbf{H}(F)(t) = \{H(t, x) : x \in F(t)\} \text{ for every } t \in I, F \in M_Y(I).$$

Lemma 2. *Let the function H fulfil the following conditions:*

- (a) $H(s, x)$ is a strongly measurable function as a function of s for every $x \in Y$,
- (b) there exists $L > 0$ such that $\|H(s, x) - H(s, y)\|_Y \leq L\|x - y\|_Y$ for all $s \in I, x, y \in Y$,
- (c) $H(s, o) = o$ for every $s \in I$,
- (d) if $\|x\|_Y < \|y\|_Y$, then $\|H(s, x)\|_Y < \|H(s, y)\|_Y$ and if $\|x\|_Y = \|y\|_Y$, then $\|H(s, x)\|_Y = \|H(s, y)\|_Y$ for every $s \in I$,
- (e) for every $t \in I$ and every $y \in Y$ there is $x \in Y$ such that $y = H(t, x)$.

Then $\mathbf{H} : M_{Y, \varphi}^o(I) \rightarrow M_{Y, \varphi}^o(I)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{H}} : \tilde{M}_{Y, \varphi}^o(I) \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{Y, \varphi}^o(I)$.

PROOF: We only prove that $\mathbf{H} : M_{Y, \varphi}^o(I) \rightarrow M_{Y, \varphi}^o(I)$. The proof that $\tilde{\mathbf{H}} : \tilde{M}_{Y, \varphi}^o(I) \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{Y, \varphi}^o(I)$ as analogous is omitted. Let $F \in M_{Y, \varphi}^o(I)$. We prove that there exists $r_{\mathbf{H}(F)} \in L^\varphi(I, R), r_{\mathbf{H}(F)}(t) \geq 0$ for every $t \in I$, such that $\mathbf{H}(F)(t) = B(o, r_{\mathbf{H}(F)}(t))$ for every $t \in I$. Let $x \in Y, x \neq o$ be arbitrary. Let now $\xi(t) = xr_F(t)/\|x\|_Y$ for every $t \in I$. It is easy to see that $\xi \in M(I, Y) \cap F$ and $\|\xi(t)\|_Y = r_F(t)$ for every $t \in I$. Let $r_{\mathbf{H}(F)}(t) = \|H(t, \xi(t))\|_Y$ for every $t \in I$. We have

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbf{H}(F)(t)} \|z\|_Y = \sup_{x \in F(t)} \|H(t, x)\|_Y \leq \|H(t, \xi(t))\|_Y,$$

for every $t \in I$, so $\mathbf{H}(F)(t) \subset B(o, r_{\mathbf{H}(F)}(t))$ for every $t \in I$. For every $a > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \varphi(t, ar_{\mathbf{H}(F)}(t)) dt &= \int_I \varphi(t, a\|H(t, \xi(t))\|_Y) dt \leq \int_I \varphi(t, aL\|\xi(t)\|_Y) dt \\ &= \int_I \varphi(t, aLr_F(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

So $r_{\mathbf{H}(F)} \in L^\varphi(I, R)$. Let $t \in I$ be arbitrary, let $y \in B(o, r_{\mathbf{H}(F)}(t))$.

From (e) we obtain that there exists $\bar{x} \in Y$ such that $y = H(t, \bar{x})$. So $\|H(t, \bar{x})\|_Y \leq \|H(t, \xi(t))\|_Y$. Hence from (d) we obtain that $\|\bar{x}\|_Y \leq r_F(t)$. So $\bar{x} \in F(t)$ and $y \in \mathbf{H}(F)(t)$. Hence $\mathbf{H}(F)(t) = B(o, r_{\mathbf{H}(F)}(t))$ for every $t \in I$. \square

Remark 5. Let $\mathcal{C}(F)(t) = \mathbf{H}(F + (-a_F))(t)$ for every $t \in I$, where $F(t) = B(a_F(t), r_F(t))$ for every $t \in I$. If the assumptions of Lemma 2 hold, then

$$\mathcal{C} : M_{Y, \varphi}^1(I) \rightarrow M_{Y, \varphi}^o(I).$$

Remark 6. Let the assumptions of Lemma 2 hold. If

- (i) $H(s, A)$ is closed for every nonempty and closed $A \subset Y$ and for a.e. $s \in I$, then $\mathbf{H} : M_{Y, \mathbf{d}, \varphi}^o(I) \rightarrow M_{Y, \mathbf{d}, \varphi}^o(I)$.

PROOF: The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 1' in [2] so we give only the sketch of it. First, from the assumptions (b), (c) of Lemma 2 and from the assumption (i) $\mathbf{H} : M_Y(I) \rightarrow M_Y(I)$. Second, from the assumption (b) of Lemma 2 we obtain that

$$(1) \quad \text{dist}(\mathbf{H}(F)(t), \mathbf{H}(G)(t)) \leq L \text{dist}(F(t), G(t))$$

for all $F, G \in M_Y(I)$ and $t \in I$ such that $F(t), G(t)$ are nonempty, bounded and closed. Third, from (1) and Lemma 2 we obtain that $\varrho(\mathbf{ad}(\mathbf{H}(F_n), \mathbf{H}(F))) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $a > 0$, where $F \in M_{Y, \mathbf{d}, \varphi}^o(I)$, $F_n \in \tilde{M}_{Y, \varphi}^o$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $F_n \xrightarrow{d, \varphi} F$. So $\mathbf{H}(F) \in M_{Y, \mathbf{d}, \varphi}^o(I)$ because from Lemma 2 $\mathbf{H}(F_n) \in M_{Y, \varphi}^o(I)$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$. \square

4. On the operators T'_v and T''_v

Let \mathbf{V} be an abstract set of indices and let \mathcal{V} be a filter of subsets of \mathbf{V} .

Definition 4. A function $g : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow R$ tends to zero with respect to \mathcal{V} , written $g(v) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{V}} 0$, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a set $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $|g(v)| < \varepsilon$ for every $v \in V$.

Definition 5. Let $F_v \in M_Y(I)$ for every $v \in \mathbf{V}$ and let $F \in M_Y(I)$. We write $F_v \xrightarrow{d, \varphi, \mathcal{V}} F$, if there is $V_o \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\mathbf{d}(F_v, F)$ are measurable for every $v \in V_o$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$, every $a > 0$ there is $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that

$$\int_I \varphi(t, \mathbf{ad}(F_v, F)(t)) dt < \varepsilon \text{ for every } v \in V_o \cap V.$$

Definition 6. Let $M(I) \subset M_Y(I)$. The family $T = (T_v)_{v \in \mathbf{V}}$ of operators, $T_v : M(I) \rightarrow M(I)$ for every $v \in \mathbf{V}$ will be called $(\mathbf{d}, \mathcal{V}, M(I))$ -bounded, if there exist positive constants k_1, k_2 and a function $g : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow R_+$ such that $g(v) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{V}} 0$, and for all $F, G \in M(I)$ such that $\mathbf{d}(F, G)$ is measurable there exists a set $V_{F, G} \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\mathbf{d}(T_v(F), T_v(G))$ are measurable and

$$\int_I \varphi(t, \mathbf{ad}(T_v(F), T_v(G))(t)) dt \leq k_1 \int_I \varphi(t, ak_2 \mathbf{d}(F, G)(t)) dt + g(v)$$

for every $a > 0$ and all $v \in V_{F, G}$.

Remark 7. Let the family T be $(\mathbf{d}, \mathcal{V}, M_{Y, \mathbf{d}, \varphi}^o(I))$ -bounded. If $T_v(F) \xrightarrow{d, \varphi, \mathcal{V}} F$ for every $F \in \tilde{M}_{Y, \varphi}^o(I)$, then $T_v(F) \xrightarrow{d, \varphi, \mathcal{V}} F$ for every $F \in M_{Y, \mathbf{d}, \varphi}^o(I)$.

PROOF: Let $a, \varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Let $F \in M_{Y, \mathbf{d}, \varphi}^o(I)$ be arbitrary. Let $G \in \tilde{M}_{Y, \varphi}^o$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}$ be such that $\varrho(3\mathbf{ad}(G, F)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, $\varrho(3ak_2\mathbf{d}(G, F)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4k_1}$, $\varrho(3\mathbf{ad}(T_v(G), G)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, $g(v) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ for every $v \in V$, where we may assume that $k_1 \geq 1$. It is easy to see that such G, V exist. We have for every $v \in V \cap V_{F, G}$

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(\mathbf{ad}(T_v(F), F)) &\leq \\ &\leq \varrho(3\mathbf{ad}(T_v(F), T_v(G))) + \varrho(3\mathbf{ad}(T_v(G), G)) + \varrho(3\mathbf{ad}(G, F)) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let now $I = [0, b)$ and let us extend φ b -periodically to the whole R .

Definition 7. We shall say that the function φ is τ -bounded, if there are positive constants k_1, k_2 such that

$$\varphi(t - v, u) \leq k_1\varphi(t, k_2u) + f(t, v) \text{ for all } u, v, t \in R,$$

where $f : R \times R \rightarrow R_+$ is measurable and b -periodic with respect to the first variable and such that writing $h(v) = \int_0^b f(t, v) dt$ for every $v \in R$, we have $M = \sup_{v \in R} h(v) < \infty$ and $h(v) \rightarrow 0$ as $v \rightarrow 0$ or $v \rightarrow b$.

Let now $K_v : [0, b) \rightarrow R_+$ for every $v \in \mathbf{V}$ be integrable in $[0, b)$ and singular, i.e.

$$\sigma(v) = \int_0^b K_v(t) dt \xrightarrow{\mathcal{V}} 1, \quad \sigma_\delta(v) = \int_\delta^{b-\delta} K_v(t) dt \xrightarrow{\mathcal{V}} 0$$

for every $0 < \delta < \frac{b}{2}$, $\sigma = \sup_{v \in \mathbf{V}} \sigma(v) < \infty$. Let us extend K_v b -periodically to the whole R .

Let $q : [0, b) \rightarrow R$ be measurable and let us extend q b -periodically to the whole R . We introduce the family of operators $A^1 = (A_v^1)_{v \in \mathbf{V}}$ by the formula:

$$A_v^1(q)(t) = \int_0^b K_v(s - t)q(s) ds$$

for every $v \in \mathbf{V}$ and every $t \in [0, b)$.

Let $x : [0, b) \rightarrow Y$ be strongly measurable and let us extend x b -periodically to the whole R . We introduce the family of operators $A^2 = (A_v^2)_{v \in \mathbf{V}}$ by the formula:

$$A_v^2(x)(t) = \begin{cases} \int_0^b K_v(s - t)x(s) ds, & \text{if } \int_0^b K_v(s - t)\|x(s)\|_Y ds < \infty \\ o, & \text{if } \int_0^b K_v(s - t)\|x(s)\|_Y ds = \infty \end{cases}$$

for every $v \in \mathbf{V}$ and every $t \in [0, b)$.

Let us extend F b -periodically to the whole R .

Let $\mathcal{B}_v(F) = \{A_v^2(x) : x \in M([0, b), Y) \cap F\}$ for every $F \in M_Y([0, b))$ and every $v \in \mathbf{V}$.

Remark 8. If $A_v^1 : L^\varphi([0, b), R) \rightarrow L^\varphi([0, b), \overline{R})$, where $\overline{R} = [-\infty, +\infty]$, then

$$\mathcal{B}_v : M_{Y, \varphi}^0([0, b)) \rightarrow M_{Y, \varphi}^0([0, b)).$$

PROOF: Let $F \in M_{Y, \varphi}^0([0, b))$, $v \in \mathbf{V}$. We have for $D = [0, b)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in M(D, Y) \cap F} \left\| \int_0^b K_v(s-t)x(s) ds \right\|_Y &\leq \sup_{x \in M(D, Y) \cap F} \left\{ \int_0^b K_v(s-t)\|x(s)\|_Y ds \right\} \\ &= \int_0^b K_v(s-t)r_F(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $x(s) = xr_F(s)/\|x\|_Y$ for every $s \in D$, where $x \in Y$ and $x \neq o$, we have

$$\left\| \int_0^b K_v(s-t)x(s) ds \right\|_Y = \left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|_Y} \int_0^b K_v(s-t)r_F(s) ds \right\|_Y = \int_0^b K_v(s-t)r_F(s) ds.$$

Let $0 < \int_0^b K_v(s-t)r_F(s) ds < \infty$ and let $y \in B(o, \int_0^b K_v(s-t)r_F(s) ds)$. Let

$$x_t(s) = yr_F(s) / \int_0^b K_v(s-t)r_F(s) ds$$

for every $s \in [0, b)$. We have

$$\int_0^b K_v(s-t)x_t(s) ds = y \text{ and } x_t \in M([0, b), Y) \cap F$$

because

$$\|x_t(s)\|_Y = \|yr_F(s) / \int_0^b K_v(s-t)r_F(s) ds\|_Y \leq r_F(s) \text{ for every } s \in [0, b).$$

So $\mathcal{B}(F)(t) = B(o, r_{\mathcal{B}(F)}(t))$ for every $t \in [0, b)$, where

$$r_{\mathcal{B}(F)}(t) = \begin{cases} \int_0^b K_v(s-t)r_F(s) ds, & \text{if } A_v^1(r_F)(t) < \infty \\ 0, & \text{if } A_v^1(r_F)(t) = \infty \end{cases}$$

for every $t \in [0, b)$. It is easy to see that $r_{\mathcal{B}(F)} \in L^\varphi([0, b), R)$.

Let $F \in M_{Y, \varphi}^1([0, b))$ and let $F(s) = B(a_F(s), r_F(s))$ for every $s \in [0, b)$. We introduce the family of operators $T' = (T'_v)_{v \in \mathbf{V}}$ by the formula:

$$T'_v(F)(s) = \begin{cases} B(A_v^2(a_F)(s), A_v^1(r_F)(s)), & \text{if } A_v^1(r_F)(s) < \infty \\ \{A_v^2(a_F)(s)\}, & \text{if } A_v^1(r_F)(s) = \infty \end{cases}$$

for every $s \in [0, b)$ and every $v \in \mathbf{V}$.

Let $F \in \tilde{M}_{Y,\varphi}^c([0, b))$ and $F(s) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n R(o, r_F^i(s), R_F^i(s))$ for every $s \in [0, b)$, where we receive that if there are $D \subset [0, b)$, $D \in \Sigma$, and $m < n$ such that $F(s) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m R(o, \underline{r}_F^i(s), \underline{R}_F^i(s))$, $\underline{R}_F^i(s) < \underline{r}_F^{i+1}(s)$ for $s \in D$, $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ if $m > 1$, then we denote $F(s) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m (o, r_F^i(s), R_F^i(s))$ for every $s \in D$, where $r_F^i(s) = \underline{r}_F^i(s)$, $R_F^i(s) = \underline{R}_F^i(s)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $r_F^i(s) = R_F^i(s) = \underline{R}_F^i(s)$ for $i = m+1, \dots, n$ for every $s \in D$.

We introduce the family of operators $T'' = (T''_v)_{v \in \mathbf{V}}$ by the formula:

$$T''_v(F)(s) = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{i=1}^n R(o, A_v^1(r_F^i)(s), A_v^1(R_F^i)(s)), & \text{if } A_v^1(R_F^n)(s) < \infty \\ \{o\}, & \text{if } A_v^1(R_F^n)(s) = \infty \end{cases}$$

for every $s \in [0, b)$ and every $v \in \mathbf{V}$. \square

Remark 9. If $A_v^1 : L^\varphi([0, b), R) \rightarrow L^\varphi([0, b), \bar{R})$, where $\bar{R} = [-\infty, +\infty]$, then $T'_v : M_{Y,\varphi}^1([0, b)) \rightarrow M_{Y,\varphi}^1([0, b))$.

PROOF: Let $F \in M_{Y,\varphi}^1([0, b))$, $F(s) = B(a_F(s), r_F(s))$ for every $s \in [0, b)$. It is easy to see that

$$B(A_v^2(a_F)(s), A_v^1(r_F)(s)) = B(A_v^2(a_F)(s), 0) \oplus B(o, A_v^1(r_F)(s))$$

for every $s \in [0, b)$ and $A_v^2 : L^\varphi([0, b), Y) \rightarrow L^\varphi([0, b), Y)$, so $T'_v(F) \in M_{Y,\varphi}^1([0, b))$. \square

Corollary 1. *If the assumptions of Lemma 2 and Remarks 5, 8 hold, then*

$$T'_v(\mathcal{C}) : M_{Y,\varphi}^1([0, b)) \rightarrow M_{Y,\varphi}^0([0, b)).$$

Applying the proofs of Proposition 2 and Theorem 4 in [3], we obtain the following

Theorem 3. *Let φ be a convex, τ -bounded φ -function which fulfils the Δ_2 condition, $\int_0^b \varphi(t, c) dt < \infty$ for every $c > 0$ and let $(K_v)_{v \in \mathbf{V}}$ be singular. Then $\varrho(a(A_v^2 x - x)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{V}} 0$ for every $a > 0$ and every $x \in L^\varphi([0, b), Y)$.*

Corollary 2. *If the assumptions of Theorem 3 hold, then*

$$T'_v(F) \xrightarrow{d,\varphi,\mathcal{V}} F \text{ for every } F \in M_{Y,\varphi}^1([0, b)).$$

PROOF: By the assumptions $T'_v : M_{Y,\varphi}^1([0, b)) \rightarrow M_{Y,\varphi}^1([0, b))$. Let $F \in M_{Y,\varphi}^1([0, b))$, $F(s) = B(a_F(s), r_F(s))$ for every $s \in [0, b)$. We have for $a > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^b \varphi(t, \mathbf{ad}(T'_v(F), F)(t)) dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^b \varphi(t, 2a | A_v^1(r_F)(t) - r_F(t) |) dt \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^b \varphi(t, 2a \| A_v^2(a_F)(t) - a_F(t) \|_Y) dt \xrightarrow{\mathcal{V}} 0. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Remark 10. Let $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i]$, $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^n [c_i, d_i]$, where $[a_i, b_i]$, $[c_i, d_i]$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are nonempty and compact segments in R , then $\text{dist}(A, B) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \text{dist}([a_i, b_i], [c_i, d_i])$.

Corollary 3. *If the assumptions of Theorem 3 hold, then*

$$T_v''(F) \xrightarrow{d, \varphi, \mathcal{V}} F \text{ for every } F \in \tilde{M}_{Y, \varphi}^o([0, b]).$$

PROOF: Let $F \in \tilde{M}_{Y, \varphi}^o([0, b])$, $F(s) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m R(o, r_F^i(s), R_F^i(s))$, $a > 0$, $v \in \mathbf{V}$. By the assumptions and by Remark 10 (also, see the proof of Remark 2' and [2, Remark 10]) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^b \varphi(t, a \mathbf{d}(T_v''(F), F)(t)) dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^b \varphi(t, 2am | A_v^1(r_F^i)(t) - r_F^i(t) |) dt \\ & + \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^b \varphi(t, 2am | A_v^1(R_F^i)(t) - R_F^i(t) |) dt \xrightarrow{\mathcal{V}} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $F \in M_{Y, \mathbf{d}, \varphi}^o([0, b])$. Let $v \in \mathbf{V}$ be arbitrary. If there exists $G_v \in M_{Y, \mathbf{d}, \varphi}^o([0, b])$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^b \varphi(t, a \mathbf{d}(T_v''(F_n), G_v)(t)) dt = 0$ for every $a > 0$ and every sequence $\{F_n\}$ such that $F_n \in \tilde{M}_{Y, \varphi}^o([0, b])$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^b \varphi(t, a \mathbf{d}(F_n, F)(t)) dt = 0$ for every $a > 0$, then we define $T_v(F) = G_v$. \square

Theorem 4. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 3 hold and there are $K_1, K_2 > 0$ such that $\varrho(a \mathbf{d}(T_v''(F), T_v''(G))) \leq K_1 \varrho(a K_2 \mathbf{d}(F, G))$ for all $F, G \in \tilde{M}_{Y, \varphi}^o([0, b])$, $a > 0$ and every $v \in \mathbf{V}$, then $T_v(F) \xrightarrow{d, \varphi, \mathcal{V}} F$ for every $F \in M_{Y, \mathbf{d}, \varphi}^o([0, b])$.*

PROOF: The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 3' from [2], so we give the sketch of it only. Analogously as in that proof we prove that the family $(T_v)_{v \in \mathbf{V}}$ is $(\mathbf{d}, \mathcal{V}, M_{Y, \mathbf{d}, \varphi}^o([0, b]))$ -bounded. So we obtain the assertion from Remark 7 and Corollary 3.

Final remarks. The results of [2] can be extended in other ways.

1. Let $x, y \in Y$. By $s(x, y)$ we denote the closed segment joining the points x and y . Let $a \in Y$. Define:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y^a &= \{\lambda a : \lambda \in R\}, \\
 Y_\varphi^{1,a} &= \{F \in M_Y(I) : F(t) = s(b_F(t), e_F(t)) \text{ for every } t \in I, \text{ where} \\
 &\quad b_F(\cdot), e_F(\cdot) \in L^\varphi(I, Y^a)\}, \\
 Y_\varphi^{n,a} &= \{F \in M_Y(I) : F(t) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n s(b_F^i(t), e_F^i(t)) \text{ for every } t \in I, \text{ where} \\
 &\quad b_F^i(\cdot), e_F^i(\cdot) \in L^\varphi(I, Y^a), i = 1, \dots, n, \|e_F^i(t)\|_Y \leq \|b_F^{i+1}(t)\|_Y \text{ for every} \\
 &\quad t \in I, i = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ if } n > 1\}, \\
 \tilde{Y}_\varphi^a &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_\varphi^{n,a}, \\
 Y_{\mathbf{d}}^a &= \{F \in M_Y(I) : \mathbf{d}(F_n, F) \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e. for some } F_n \in \tilde{Y}_\varphi^a, n \in \mathbf{N}\}, \\
 Y_{\mathbf{d},\varphi}^a &= \{F \in Y_{\mathbf{d}}^a : \int_I \varphi(t, \lambda \mathbf{d}(F_n, F)(t)) dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for every } \lambda > 0 \\
 &\quad \text{for some } F_n \in \tilde{Y}_\varphi^a, n \in \mathbf{N}\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The results of [2] will be in force if we replace R by Y , the space $X_{\mathbf{d},\varphi}$ by $Y_{\mathbf{d},\varphi}^a$ and if we introduce the other evident changes.

2. Let $Y = \mathbb{R}^n$. By $\Pi^n(a_i, b_i)$ we denote the Cartesian product of the n closed segments $[a_i, b_i]$, where $a_i, b_i \in \overline{R}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_\varphi^{\Pi^n} &= \{F \in M_Y(I) : F(t) = \Pi^n(a_i^F(t), b_i^F(t)) \text{ for every } t \in I, \\
 &\quad a_i^F(\cdot), b_i^F(\cdot) \in L^\varphi(I, Y) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}, \\
 D(F, G)(t) &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbf{d}([a_i^F, b_i^F], [a_i^G, b_i^G])(t) \text{ for all } F, G \in Y^{\Pi^n}, t \in I.
 \end{aligned}$$

We easily obtain that the space $\langle Y^{\Pi^n}, \mathbb{D} \rangle$ is a complete space. For all $F \in Y^{\Pi^n}$, $v \in \mathbf{V}$, $t \in [0, b)$ we define:

$$T_v^n(F)(t) = \Pi^n(A_v^1(a_i^F)(t), A_v^1(b_i^F)(t)).$$

We easily obtain the following :

Theorem 5. *If the assumptions of Theorem 3 hold, then*

$$T_v^n(F) \xrightarrow{D, \varphi, \mathcal{V}} F \text{ for every } F \in Y_\varphi^{\Pi^n}, n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Kasperski A., *Modular approximation in \tilde{X}_φ by a filtered family of dist-sublinear operators and dist-convex operators*, *Mathematica Japonica* **38** (1993), 119–125.
- [2] ———, *Notes on approximation in the Musielak-Orlicz spaces of multifunctions*, *Commentationes Math.*, in print.
- [3] Musielak J., *Modular approximation by a filtered family of linear operators*, *Functional Analysis and Approximation*, Proc. Conf. Oberwolfach, August 9-16, 1980; Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1981, pp. 99–110.
- [4] ———, *Orlicz spaces and Modular spaces*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* Vol. 1034, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [5] Wisła M., *On completeness of Musielak-Orlicz spaces*, *Chin. Ann. of Math.* **10B (3)** (1989), 292–300.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SILESIAN TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, KASZUBSKA 23,
44-100 GLIWICE, POLAND

(Received January 14, 1993, revised June 25, 1993)