

Michael Tischendorf; Jiří Tůma
Announcements of new results

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 35 (1994), No. 1, 211--214

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118656>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

ANNOUNCEMENTS OF NEW RESULTS

(of authors having an address in Czech Republic)

CHARACTERIZING CONGRUENCE LATTICES OF LATTICES

M. Tischendorf, J. Tůma (Fachbereich Math., Schlossgartenstr. 7, TH Darmstadt, Germany; Math. Inst. Acad. Sci., Žitná 25, 115 67 Prague 1, Czech Republic; received January 21, 1994)

It has been known since the forties that the congruence lattice of a lattice \mathbf{L} is algebraic and satisfies the distributive law. In this paper we announce a proof of the converse:

Theorem. *Every distributive algebraic lattice is isomorphic to the congruence lattice of some lattice.*

Let \mathbf{S} be the semilattice of compact elements of a distributive algebraic lattice \mathbf{L} . We take an upward directed system $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathbf{S}_A : A \in I\}$ of finite distributive subsemilattices of \mathbf{S} such that $S = \bigcup_{A \in I} S_A$. Thus \mathbf{S} is isomorphic to the colimit of the system \mathcal{S} together with the inclusion embeddings $\phi_{A,B} : \mathbf{S}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_B$, where $A \leq B$ in I . Then we construct a system $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathbf{L}_A : A \in I\}$ of finite lattices, a corresponding system $\{\chi_{A,B} : \mathbf{L}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_B : A \leq B, A, B \in I\}$ of lattice embeddings, and for every $A \in I$ an isomorphism ι_A from \mathbf{S}_A to the semilattice $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}_A$ of compact congruences of \mathbf{L}_A satisfying the commuting identity

(1) $\text{con}(\chi_{A,B}) \circ \iota_A = \iota_B \circ \phi_{A,B}$.
Here $\text{con}(\chi_{A,B})$ is the mapping from $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}_A$ to $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}_B$ assigning to every compact congruence θ of \mathbf{L}_A the congruence of \mathbf{L}_B generated by $\{(\chi_{A,B}(a), \chi_{A,B}(b)) : (a, b) \in \theta\}$. We refer to a system \mathcal{L} satisfying these conditions as a simultaneous representation of \mathcal{S} . The following result is crucial for the method (originally proposed by P. Pudlák).

Lemma 1. *If $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathbf{L}_A : A \in I\}$ is a simultaneous representation of $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathbf{S}_A : A \in I\}$ then the semilattice of compact congruences of the colimit of \mathcal{L} is isomorphic to the colimit of \mathcal{S} , i.e. to \mathbf{S} .*

We start by defining the limit system \mathcal{S} . As the index set I we choose the set of all finite subsets of nonzero elements of S ordered by inclusion. We set $S_\emptyset = \{0\}$ and $S_{\{z\}} = \{0, z\}$ for every $z \in S$. If B is a finite subset of S containing more than one element and if \mathbf{S}_A has already been defined for every proper subset A of B , then we choose \mathbf{S}_B as an arbitrary finite distributive subsemilattice of \mathbf{S} containing $\bigcup_{A \subset B} S_A$.

Next we construct a simultaneous representation of the limit system \mathcal{S} . First of all we define finite atomistic lattices \mathbf{L}_B , for $B \in I$. By $J(\mathbf{S}_A)$ we denote the set of join-irreducible elements of \mathbf{S}_A .

We choose $\mathbf{L}_\emptyset = \{0\}$. If $B \neq \emptyset$, then the atoms of \mathbf{L}_B are of the form

$$\langle (A_0, p_0, i_0), \dots, (A_n, p_n, i_n) \rangle,$$

where

- (i) $\emptyset \neq A_0 \prec A_1 \prec \dots \prec A_n = B$,
- (ii) $p_k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$,
- (iii) $i_k \in J(\mathbf{S}_{A_k})$ and $i_{k+1} \leq i_k$ for $k < n$.

For a sequence b as above we define $\mu_B(b) = i_n$. Note also that the initial part of length $k + 1$ of any atom is an atom of the corresponding \mathbf{L}_{A_k} .

Next we specify the defining inequalities Φ_B for the lattices \mathbf{L}_B . We shall proceed by induction on B . If $B = \{z\}$, then we set $\mathbf{L}_{\{z\}}$ to be isomorphic to \mathbf{M}_3 . Suppose now that $|B| \geq 2$ and that for every proper subset A of B , the lattice \mathbf{L}_A has already been defined by a set of minimal inequalities Φ_A . If $a \leq \sum_{w \in W} b_w$ is an inequality of Φ_A and $i \in J(\mathbf{S}_B)$ satisfying $i \leq \mu_A(a)$, then we add to Φ_B the inequality

$$(2) \quad \langle a, (B, p, i) \rangle \leq \sum_{w, q} \langle b_w, (B, q, i) \rangle.$$

Suppose now A_1, A_2 are distinct proper maximal subsets of B and $a \in At(\mathbf{L}_{A_1 \cap A_2})$. For every $j \leq \mu_{A_1 \cap A_2}(a)$ we add to Φ_B the inequalities

$$(3) \quad \sum \{ \langle a, (A_1, p_1, i_1), (B, p, j) \rangle \} = \sum \{ \langle a, (A_2, p_2, i_2), (B, q, j) \rangle \}$$

To assure that $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}_B$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{S}_B we add new inequalities

$$(4) \quad \langle (B, p, i) \rangle \leq \langle (B, q, i) \rangle + \langle (B, r, j) \rangle,$$

where $i, j \in J(\mathbf{S}_B)$, $i \leq j$ and $p \neq q \neq r \neq p$, and

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle a, (B, p, i) \rangle &\leq \langle a, (B, q, i) \rangle + \langle (B, r, i) \rangle, \\ \langle (B, p, i) \rangle &\leq \langle a, (B, q, i) \rangle + \langle a, (B, r, i) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now we define \mathbf{L}_B as the atomistic lattice defined on $At(\mathbf{L}_B)$ by inequalities (2)–(5). It is straightforward to prove that the mapping $\iota_B : \mathbf{S}_B \rightarrow \mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}_B$ assigning to every order ideal J of $J(\mathbf{S}_B)$ the least congruence of \mathbf{L}_B identifying with 0 every atom $b \in L_B$ such that $\mu_B(b) \in J$ is an isomorphism. It is considerably more difficult to prove that the formula $\chi_{A,B}(a) = \sum \{ b \in At(\mathbf{L}_B) : b = \langle a, (B, p, i) \rangle \}$ determines a lattice embedding $\chi_{A,B} : \mathbf{L}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_B$ for every maximal proper subset A of B .

If $A \subset B$ is not maximal, then we compose an embedding $\chi_{A,B} : \mathbf{L}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_B$ from the embeddings of the previous paragraph. The inequalities (3) imply that this definition is correct. A straightforward verification of the commuting identity leads to the following lemma. Combined with Lemma 1 it proves the theorem.

Lemma 2. *The family $\mathcal{L} = \{L_A : A \in I\}$ with the embeddings $\{\chi_{A,B} : A, B \in I, A \subseteq B\}$ and isomorphisms $\{\iota_A : A \in I\}$ is a simultaneous representation of \mathcal{S} .*

Complete proofs are given in

M. Tischendorf, J. Tůma, *The Characterization of Congruence Lattices of Lattices*, Preprint 1559, TH Darmstadt, 1993.

A PERTURBATION THEOREM FOR LINEAR EQUATIONS

J. Rohn (Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Malostranské nám. 25, 118 00 Prague 1, Czech Republic; received January 24, 1994)

We describe here explicit formulae for componentwise bounds on solution of a system of linear equations

$$Ax = b$$

(A square) under perturbation of all data. To make the result numerically tractable, we avoid the use of exact inverses, using instead some matrices R and M required only to satisfy certain inequalities. Hansen's optimality result [1], [2] is a special case of our theorem. Notations used: I is the unit matrix, ϱ denotes the spectral radius, for $A = (a_{ij})$ we denote $|A| = (|a_{ij}|)$ and inequalities are understood componentwise.

Theorem. Let $A, \Delta \in R^{n \times n}$, $b, \delta \in R^n$, $\Delta \geq 0$, $\delta \geq 0$ and let R and M be arbitrary matrices satisfying

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} MG + I &\leq M, \\ M &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G = |I - RA| + |R|\Delta.$$

Then for each A' and b' such that

$$\begin{aligned} |A' - A| &\leq \Delta, \\ |b' - b| &\leq \delta, \end{aligned}$$

A' is nonsingular and the solution of the system

$$A'x' = b'$$

for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ satisfies

$$(2) \quad \min \left\{ \frac{x_i}{\alpha_i}, \frac{\tilde{x}_i}{\beta_i} \right\} \leq x'_i \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\tilde{x}_i}{\alpha_i}, \frac{x_i}{\beta_i} \right\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_i &= -(M(|Rb| + |R|\delta))_i + m_i(Rb + |Rb|)_i \\ \tilde{x}_i &= (M(|Rb| + |R|\delta))_i + m_i(Rb - |Rb|)_i \\ \alpha_i &= 1 + (|r_i| - r_i)m_i + h_i \\ \beta_i &= 2m_i - 1 - (|r_i| + r_i)m_i - h_i \\ m_i &= M_{ii} \\ r_i &= (I - RA)_{ii} \\ h_i &= (M - MG - I)_{ii} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\beta_i \geq \alpha_i \geq 1.$$

Moreover, if $A = I$ and $\varrho(\Delta) < 1$, and if we take $R := I$ and $M := (I - \Delta)^{-1}$, then the bounds (2) are exact (i.e. achieved).

The *proof* employs the ideas of the proofs of Theorems 1 and 3 in [2]; details are omitted here.

Comments. The quantities r_i and h_i correct the influence of the approximate inverses R and M ; they vanish if $R = A^{-1}$ and $M = (I - G)^{-1} \geq 0$ are used. The last statement of the theorem is Hansen's optimality result [1] as reformulated in [2]. It can be shown that matrices R and $M \geq 0$ satisfying (1) exist if and only if

$$\varrho(|A^{-1}|\Delta) < 1$$

holds. In this case, if R is chosen sufficiently close to A^{-1} to achieve $\varrho(G) < 1$, then M can be computed by the following *finite* algorithm:

$F :=$ a (small) positive matrix; $M' := 0$;
repeat $M := M'$; $M' := MG + I + F$ **until** $|M' - M| < F$;
 {then the last M is positive and satisfies (1)}.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hansen E.R., *Bounding the solution of interval linear equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **29** (1992), 1493–1503.
- [2] Rohn J., *Cheap and tight bounds: the recent result by E. Hansen can be made more efficient*, to appear in Interval Computations.