

Valentin G. Gutev

Continuous selections, G_δ -subsets of Banach spaces and usco mappings

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 35 (1994), No. 3, 533--538

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118693>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Continuous selections, G_δ -subsets of Banach spaces and usco mappings

VALENTIN G. GUTEV

Abstract. Every l.s.c. mapping from a paracompact space into the non-empty, closed, convex subsets of a (not necessarily convex) G_δ -subset of a Banach space admits a single-valued continuous selection provided every such mapping admits a convex-valued usco selection. This leads us to some new partial solutions of a problem raised by E. Michael.

Keywords: set-valued mapping, lower semi-continuous, upper semi-continuous, selection, countable-dimensional space

Classification: Primary 54C60, 54C65; Secondary 54F45

1. Introduction

The famous Michael Theorem [2, Theorem 3.2''] tells that every lower semi-continuous (l.s.c.) mapping from a paracompact space into the non-empty, closed, convex subsets of a Banach space admits a single-valued continuous selection. In the present paper we show that, in a more general situation, this is actually equivalent to the existence of “nice” set-valued selections. The following theorem will be proved.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a paracompact space, E be a Banach space, and let $Y \subset E$ be a G_δ -subset. Then, the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) every l.s.c. $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$ admits a single-valued continuous selection;
- (b) every l.s.c. $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$ admits a convex-valued usco selection.

Here, $\mathcal{F}_c(Y) = \{S \in \mathcal{F}(Y) : S \text{ is convex}\}$, where $\mathcal{F}(Y) = \{S \in 2^Y : S \text{ is closed in } Y\}$ and $2^Y = \{S \subset Y : S \neq \emptyset\}$. A set-valued mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is l.s.c. if $\varphi^{-1}(U) = \{x \in X : \varphi(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ is open in X for every open $U \subset Y$. A set-valued mapping $\theta : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semi-continuous (u.s.c.) if $\theta^\#(U) = \{x \in X : \theta(x) \subset U\}$ is open in X for every open $U \in Y$. A set-valued mapping $\theta : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is called usco provided that it is u.s.c. and compact-valued simultaneously. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ (resp. $\theta : X \rightarrow 2^Y$) is a selection for φ if $f(x) \in \varphi(x)$ (resp. $\theta(x) \subset \varphi(x)$) for every $x \in X$.

Turning to the possible applications of Theorem 1.1, let us especially mention that this result is closely related to the following E. Michael’s problem in [6]:

Problem 396. *Let X be paracompact, E be a Banach space, $Y \subset E$ a convex G_δ -subset, and let $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$ be l.s.c.; Does there exist a single-valued continuous selection f for φ ?*

What is known to this question in all, is that it has an affirmative answer if X is finite-dimensional [3, Theorem 1.2 and Example 2.5] or if Y is such that $\overline{\text{conv}(K)} \subset Y$ for every compact $K \subset Y$ [4, Theorem 1.1] and [2, Propositions 2.6 and 2.3 and Theorem 3.2'']. But, in general, it is still open even if X is a compact metric space (see [6]).

Using Theorem 1.1 we now obtain two further partial results to this problem. First, let us recall that a space X is of countable dimension provided that it is a countable union of finite-dimensional subsets; A space X is strongly countable-dimensional provided it is a countable union of closed finite-dimensional subsets.

Corollary 1.2. *Let X be a countable-dimensional metric space, E be a Banach space, and let $Y \subset E$ be a G_δ -subset. Then every l.s.c. $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$ admits a single-valued continuous selection.*

PROOF: Suppose $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$ is l.s.c.. Since X is countable-dimensional and Y is completely metrizable, by [1, Theorem 2.1], φ admits a finite-valued u.s.c. selection ψ . Setting then $\theta(x) = \text{conv}(\psi(x))$, we get a convex-valued usco selection θ for φ . Finally, Theorem 1.1 completes the proof. \square

Corollary 1.3. *Let X be a strongly countable-dimensional paracompact space, E be a Banach space, and let $Y \subset E$ be a G_δ -subset. Then every l.s.c. $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$ admits a single-valued continuous selection.*

PROOF: Following the previous proof, it suffices to show that every l.s.c. $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$ admits a finite-valued u.s.c. selection. That this is so, it follows from [9, Theorem 4.5]. \square

A construction of continuous selections avoiding F_σ -sets is exhibited in the next Section 2. A proof of Theorem 1.1 is obtained in the last Section 3.

2. A construction of continuous selections avoiding F_σ -sets

Throughout this section, (E, d) will denote a Banach space with a metric d generated by the norm of E , and $Y = \bigcap \{V_n : 1, 2, \dots\}$ where each $V_n \subset E$ is open. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $F \in 2^E$, we use $B_\varepsilon(F)$ to denote the ε -neighbourhood of F in (E, d) . If $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^E$, we shall, for convenience, denote by $\bar{\varphi} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(E)$ the mapping defined by $\bar{\varphi}(x) = \overline{\varphi(x)}$ (i.e. the closure of $\varphi(x)$ in E).

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a topological space such that, for every l.s.c. mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$ and every n , there is a continuous selection $f : X \rightarrow V_n$ for $\bar{\varphi}$. Then every l.s.c. mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$ admits a single-valued continuous selection.*

PROOF: Suppose $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$ is l.s.c.. By our hypotheses, there is a continuous selection $f_1 : X \rightarrow V_1$ for $\bar{\varphi}$. Define a continuous mapping $r_1 : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$ by

letting

$$r_1(x) = \min\{d(f_1(x), E \setminus V_1), 1\}, \quad x \in X.$$

By induction, we shall construct a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of continuous selections $f_n : X \rightarrow V_n$ for $\bar{\varphi}$ and a sequence $\{r_n\}$ of continuous maps $r_n : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that, for every n and $x \in X$,

- (1) $r_n(x) \leq \min\{d(f_k(x), E \setminus V_k) : k \leq n\}$, and
- (2) $d(f_{n+1}(x), f_n(x)) \leq 3^{-n} \cdot r_n(x)$.

This will be sufficient because, by (2), $\{f_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. So, it must converge to some continuous $f : X \rightarrow E$. By (1) and [8, Lemma 6.1.1], $f(x) \notin \bigcup\{E \setminus V_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$. That is, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and therefore f is a selection for φ .

So, it only remains to define these f_n and r_n . Since f_1 and r_1 were defined above, we may suppose that f_1, \dots, f_n and r_1, \dots, r_n have already been defined, and we must define f_{n+1} and r_{n+1} . Define a set-valued mapping $\varphi_n : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$ by letting

$$\varphi_n(x) = \overline{B_{3^{-n} \cdot r_n(x)}(f_n(x)) \cap \varphi(x)}^Y, \quad x \in X.$$

Note, by [7, Lemma 2.2] and [2, Proposition 2.3], φ_n is l.s.c.. Then, by our hypotheses, there is a continuous $f_{n+1} : X \rightarrow V_{n+1}$ such that $f_{n+1}(x) \in \overline{\varphi_n(x)} \subset \overline{\varphi(x)}$ for every $x \in X$. Since $f_{n+1}(x) \in \overline{B_{3^{-n} \cdot r_n(x)}(f_n(x))}$, (2) holds. Defining finally $r_{n+1} : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$ by $r_{n+1}(x) = \min\{d(f_{n+1}(x), E \setminus V_{n+1}), r_n(x)\}$, we finish the proof. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let Y be such that, for every n and every $K \subset Y$ compact, $\text{conv}(K) \cap V_n$ is convex. Then every l.s.c. $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$, with X a paracompact space, admits a single-valued continuous selection.*

PROOF: Suppose $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$ is l.s.c. and n is a positive integer. Define first a set-valued mapping $\psi : X \rightarrow 2^E$ by $\psi(x) = V_n$ for every $x \in X$. Next, define $\theta : X \rightarrow 2^E$ by $\theta(x) = \bar{\varphi}(x) \cap \psi(x)$. Notice, that ψ has an open graph in $X \times E$ and $\theta(x)$ is convex and non-empty for all $x \in X$. Then, by [5, Theorem 9.1], θ admits a continuous selection f . That is, there exists a continuous $f : X \rightarrow V_n$ which is a selection for $\bar{\varphi}$. Applying finally Lemma 2.1, we complete the proof. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

In preparation for the proof of Theorem 1.1 we begin by proving the following

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a paracompact space, (E, d) a metric space, $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^E$ l.s.c., and let θ be an usco selection for φ . Then, for every open cover \mathcal{W} of X and every map $\delta : X \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ there exist*

- (1) a locally-finite open cover \mathcal{U} of X ,
- (2) a map $u : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$, and
- (3) a map $\varkappa : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$,

such that

- (a) $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$ and $\bigcap \mathcal{U}' \neq \emptyset$ implies $\varkappa(\mathcal{U}') \subset \bigcap u(\mathcal{U}') = \bigcap \{u(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}'\}$,
- (b) $\theta(z) \subset B_{\delta(\varkappa(U))}(\theta(\varkappa(U)))$, $z \in U \in \mathcal{U}$,
- (c) $\theta(\varkappa(U)) \subset B_{\delta(\varkappa(U))}(\varphi(z))$, $z \in U \in \mathcal{U}$.

PROOF: Since X is a paracompact space, by [3, Lemma 11.4], there is an open cover \mathcal{V} of X and a map $v : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ such that

$$(*) \quad \mathcal{V}' \subset \mathcal{V} \text{ and } \bigcap \mathcal{V}' \neq \emptyset \text{ implies } \bigcup \mathcal{V}' \subset \bigcap v(\mathcal{V}').$$

Define, in a natural fashion, a map $S : X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ such that, for every $x \in X$, $x \in S(x)$. Next, for every $x \in X$, we set

$$G_x = \{z \in S(x) \cap \theta^\#(B_{\delta(x)}(\theta(x))) : \theta(x) \subset B_{\delta(x)}(\varphi(x))\}.$$

Since $\theta(x)$ is compact and since φ is l.s.c., by [3, Lemma 11.3], G_x is a neighbourhood of x . So, $\{G_x : x \in X\}$ is an open cover of X refining \mathcal{V} . Let, then, \mathcal{U} be a locally-finite open cover of X which refines $\{G_x : x \in X\}$. For every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ pick a fixed point $\varkappa(U) \in X$ such that $U \subset G_{\varkappa(U)}$, and then define $u : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ by

$$u(U) = v(S(\varkappa(U))), \quad U \in \mathcal{U}.$$

These \mathcal{U} , u and \varkappa satisfy all our requirements. In fact, we have only to check

(a). Suppose $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$ with $\bigcap \mathcal{U}' \neq \emptyset$. Then $\varkappa(U) \in S(\varkappa(U))$ and $U \subset G_{\varkappa(U)} \subset S(\varkappa(U))$, $U \in \mathcal{U}'$, implies $\varkappa(\mathcal{U}') \subset \bigcup \{S(\varkappa(U)) : U \in \mathcal{U}'\} \subset \bigcap \{v(S(\varkappa(U))) : U \in \mathcal{U}'\} = \bigcap u(\mathcal{U}')$ (see $(*)$), which completes the proof. \square

Having established Lemma 3.1, we now proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.1. In fact, we have only to prove (b) \rightarrow (a). Suppose $Y = \bigcap \{V_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$, where each $V_n \subset E$ is open. Pick a fixed n , and let $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$ be l.s.c.. By virtue of Lemma 2.1, it suffices to construct a continuous selection $f : X \rightarrow V_n$ for $\bar{\varphi}$. Towards this end, let θ be a convex-valued usco selection for φ , which exists by virtue of (b).

Define $\varrho : X \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ by $\varrho(x) = d(\theta(x), E \setminus V_n)$, $x \in X$. Note that this definition is correct because $\theta(x) \subset \varphi(x) \subset V_n$ and because $\theta(x)$ is compact. Next, let \mathcal{W} be a locally-finite open cover of X and let $\eta : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow X$ be such that

$$(3.2) \quad \theta(x) \subset B_{\varrho(\eta(W))}(\theta(\eta(W))) \subset V_n \text{ for every } x \in W \in \mathcal{W}.$$

Such \mathcal{W} and η can be obtained by using, for instance, Lemma 3.1.

Next, define another map $\delta : X \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ by

$$\delta(x) = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} d(\theta(x), E \setminus B_{\varrho(\eta(W))}(\theta(\eta(W)))) : W \in \mathcal{W}, x \in W \right\}, \quad x \in X.$$

This is possible because θ is compact-valued and \mathcal{W} is locally-finite. Let us note the following property of δ :

$$(3.3) \quad B_{2\delta(x)}(\theta(x)) \subset B_{\varrho(\eta(W))}(\varrho(\eta(W))) \text{ for every } x \in W \in \mathcal{W}.$$

Let now \mathcal{U} , $u : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ and $\varkappa : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$ be as in Lemma 3.1 (applied with these particular φ , θ , \mathcal{W} and δ). Because of the paracompactness of X , there is an open cover $\{G_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ of X such that $\overline{G_U} \subset U$ for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Whenever $U \in \mathcal{U}$, we define a set-valued mapping $\varphi_U : \overline{G_U} \rightarrow 2^E$ by letting

$$\varphi_U(z) = \overline{\varphi(z) \cap B_{\delta(\varkappa(U))}(\theta(\varkappa(U)))}, \quad z \in \overline{G_U}.$$

The following holds:

$$(3.4) \quad \varphi_U(z) \in \mathcal{F}_c(E).$$

Indeed, by (c) of Lemma 3.1, $\varphi(z) \cap B_{\delta(\varkappa(U))}(\theta(\varkappa(U))) \neq \emptyset$. Then, (3.4) follows from the convexity of $\varphi(z)$ and $\theta(\varkappa(U))$.

$$(3.5) \quad \varphi_U(z) \subset \overline{\varphi(z)}, \text{ which follows immediately from the definition of } \varphi_U.$$

$$(3.6) \quad \varphi_U \text{ is l.s.c. because } \varphi|_{\overline{G_U}} \text{ is l.s.c. and because } B_{\delta(\varkappa(U))}(\theta(\varkappa(U))) \text{ is open (see, [2, Propositions 2.3 and 2.4]).}$$

Now, by (3.4) and (3.6), making use of [2, Theorem 3.2''], we get a continuous selection $f_U : \overline{G_U} \rightarrow E$ for φ_U . Let $\{g_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ be a partition of unity on X indexed-subordinated to $\{G_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. We finally define $f : X \rightarrow E$ by letting

$$f(x) = \sum \{g_U(x) \cdot f_U(x) : U \in \mathcal{U}\},$$

and let us check that f is the required one. Since φ is convex-valued, by (3.5), f is a selection for $\overline{\varphi}$. So, it only remains to check that $f(X) \subset V_n$. Towards this end, let $x \in X$. Set $\mathcal{U}_x = \{U \in \mathcal{U} : x \in G_U\}$. Note that $g_U(x) \neq 0$ implies $U \in \mathcal{U}_x$. Pick a fixed $W \in u(\mathcal{U}_x)$. Then, by (a) of Lemma 3.1, we get that

$$\varkappa(\mathcal{U}_x) \subset \bigcap u(\mathcal{U}_x) \subset W.$$

Together with (3.3), this leads us to the inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} f_U(x) \in \overline{B_{\delta(\varkappa(U))}(\theta(\varkappa(U)))} &\subset B_{2\cdot\delta(\varkappa(U))}(\theta(\varkappa(U))) \subset \\ &\subset B_{\varrho(\eta(W))}(\theta(\eta(W))), \quad U \in \mathcal{U}_x. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum \{g_U(x) \cdot f_U(x) : U \in \mathcal{U}\} = \\ &= \sum \{g_U(x) \cdot f_U(x) : U \in \mathcal{U}_x\} \subset B_{\varrho(\eta(W))}(\theta(\eta(W))) \subset V_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Gutev V., *Open mappings looking like projections*, Set-valued Analysis **1** (1993), 247–260.
- [2] Michael E., *Continuous selections I*, Ann. of Math. **63** (1956), 361–382.
- [3] ———, *Continuous selections II*, Ann. of Math. **64** (1956), 562–580.
- [4] ———, *A theorem on semi-continuous set-valued functions*, Duke Math. J. **26:4** (1959), 647–656.
- [5] ———, *Continuous selections avoiding a set*, Top. Appl. **28** (1988), 195–213.
- [6] ———, in *Open problems in Topology*, J. van Mill and J.M. Reed (Editors), Chapter 17, 272–278, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1990.
- [7] ———, *Some refinements of a selection theorem with 0-dimensional domain*, Fund. Math. **140** (1992), 279–287.
- [8] J. van Mill, *Infinite Dimensional Topology*, Prerequisites and Introduction, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [9] Nedev S., *Selection and factorization theorems for set-valued mappings*, Serdica **6** (1980), 291–317.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOFIA, SOFIA, BULGARIA
mailing address: INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES,
1090 SOFIA, BULGARIA

(Received May 28, 1993)