

Jiří Rohn

Checking positive definiteness or stability of symmetric interval matrices is NP-hard

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 35 (1994), No. 4, 795--797

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118721>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Checking positive definiteness or stability of symmetric interval matrices is NP-hard

JIŘÍ ROHN*

Abstract. It is proved that checking positive definiteness, stability or nonsingularity of all [symmetric] matrices contained in a symmetric interval matrix is NP-hard.

Keywords: positive definiteness, stability, nonsingularity, NP-hardness

Classification: 15A48, 15A18, 68Q25

As is well known, a square (not necessarily symmetric) matrix A is called positive definite if $x^T Ax > 0$ for each $x \neq 0$, stable if $\text{Re } \lambda < 0$ for each eigenvalue λ of A , and Schur stable if $\varrho(A) < 1$. We prove here that checking these properties is NP-hard (see [1]) for a symmetric interval matrix $A^I = [\underline{A}, \overline{A}] := \{A; \underline{A} \leq A \leq \overline{A}\}$. By definition, A^I is called symmetric if both \underline{A} and \overline{A} are symmetric; hence, a symmetric A^I may contain nonsymmetric matrices. If A^I is symmetric and $A \in A^I$, then $\frac{1}{2}(A + A^T) \in A^I$. Let $\lambda_{\min}(A)$ denote the minimal eigenvalue of a symmetric matrix A . We have these results:

Theorem. *For a symmetric interval matrix A^I with rational bounds, each of the following problems is NP-hard:*

- (i) *check whether each $A \in A^I$ is positive definite,*
- (ii) *check whether each symmetric $A \in A^I$ is positive definite,*
- (iii) *check whether each $A \in A^I$ is stable,*
- (iv) *check whether each symmetric $A \in A^I$ is stable,*
- (v) *check whether each $A \in A^I$ is nonsingular,*
- (vi) *check whether each symmetric $A \in A^I$ is nonsingular,*
- (vii) *check whether each symmetric $A \in A^I$ is Schur stable,*
- (viii) *given rational numbers $a, b, a < b$, check whether $\lambda_{\min}(A) \in (a, b)$ for each symmetric $A \in A^I$.*

PROOF: Let us call a *symmetric* real $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ an MC-matrix if $a_{ii} = n$ and $a_{ij} \in \{0, -1\}$ for $i \neq j$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$). Then for each $x \neq 0$ we have $x^T Ax \geq n\|x\|_2^2 - \sum_{i \neq j} |x_i x_j| = (n + 1)\|x\|_2^2 - \|x\|_1^2 \geq \|x\|_2^2 > 0$, hence A is

*This work was supported by the Charles University Grant Agency under grant GAUK 237.

positive definite (and so is A^{-1}). For an MC-matrix A and a positive integer L , let us form three symmetric interval matrices

$$A^I = \left[A^{-1} - \frac{1}{L}ee^T, A^{-1} + \frac{1}{L}ee^T \right],$$

$$A_0^I = \left[-A^{-1} - \frac{1}{L}ee^T, -A^{-1} + \frac{1}{L}ee^T \right]$$

and

$$A_1^I = \left[I + \frac{1}{m}(-A^{-1} - \frac{1}{L}ee^T), I + \frac{1}{m}(-A^{-1} + \frac{1}{L}ee^T) \right],$$

where $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$, I is the unit matrix and $m = \|A^{-1}\|_\infty + \frac{n}{L} + 1$. Hence, $A_0^I = \{-A; A \in A^I\}$, $A_1^I = \{I + \frac{1}{m}A; A \in A_0^I\}$ and $\varrho(A') \leq \|A'\|_\infty < m$ for each $A' \in A^I$. We shall prove that the following assertions are mutually equivalent:

- 0) $z^T Az \geq L$ for some $z \in \{-1, 1\}^n$,
- 1) A^I contains a matrix which is not positive definite,
- 2) A^I contains a symmetric matrix which is not positive definite,
- 3) A_0^I contains an unstable matrix,
- 4) A_0^I contains a symmetric unstable matrix,
- 5) A^I contains a singular matrix,
- 6) A^I contains a symmetric singular matrix,
- 7) A_1^I contains a symmetric matrix which is not Schur stable,
- 8) $\lambda_{\min}(A') \notin (0, m)$ for some symmetric $A' \in A^I$.

We prove 0) \Rightarrow 6) \Rightarrow 2) \Rightarrow 8) \Rightarrow 2) \Rightarrow 4) \Rightarrow 7) \Rightarrow 4) \Rightarrow 3) \Rightarrow 1) \Rightarrow 5) \Rightarrow 0). 0) \Rightarrow 6): If $z^T Az \geq L$ for some $z \in \{-1, 1\}^n$, then the matrix $A' = A^{-1} - (z^T Az)^{-1} z z^T$ is symmetric, belongs to A^I and satisfies $A'Az = 0$, hence it is singular. 6) \Rightarrow 2) is obvious. 2) \Leftrightarrow 8): For a symmetric $A' \in A^I$, since $\varrho(A') < m$, we have that A' is not positive definite if and only if $\lambda_{\min}(A') \notin (0, m)$. 2) \Rightarrow 4): If a symmetric $A' \in A^I$ is not positive definite, then $\lambda_{\max}(-A') = -\lambda_{\min}(A') \geq 0$, hence $-A'$ is unstable and $-A' \in A_0^I$. 4) \Leftrightarrow 7): For each symmetric $A' \in A_0^I$, since $\varrho(A') < m$, we have that A' is unstable if and only if $I + \frac{1}{m}A' \in A_1^I$ is not Schur stable. 4) \Rightarrow 3) is obvious. 3) \Rightarrow 1): If $\tilde{A} \in A_0^I$ is unstable, then by Bendixson theorem $0 \leq \text{Re } \lambda \leq \lambda_{\max}(\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{A} + \tilde{A}^T))$, hence for $A' = -\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{A} + \tilde{A}^T)$ we have $A' \in A^I$ and $\lambda_{\min}(A') \leq 0$, so that A' is not positive definite. 1) \Rightarrow 5): Let $\tilde{A} \in A^I$ be not positive definite. Put $t_0 = \sup \left\{ t \in [0, 1]; A^{-1} + t(\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{A} + \tilde{A}^T) - A^{-1}) \text{ is positive definite} \right\}$. Then the matrix $A' = A^{-1} + t_0(\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{A} + \tilde{A}^T) - A^{-1})$ is symmetric, belongs to A^I (due to its convexity) and is positive semidefinite, but not positive definite, hence $\lambda_{\min}(A') = 0$, so that A' is singular. 5) \Rightarrow 0): Let $A'x = 0$ for some $A' \in A^I$, $x \neq 0$. Define $z \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ by $z_j = 1$ if $x_j \geq 0$ and $z_j = -1$ otherwise ($j = 1, \dots, n$). Then $e^T|x| = z^T x = z^T A(A^{-1} - A')x \leq |z^T A| \frac{1}{L} e e^T |x|$, which implies $L \leq |z^T A| e = z^T Az$ (since A is diagonally dominant). This proves that the

assertions 0) to 8) are equivalent. Now, in [3, Theorem 2.6] it is proved that the decision problem

Instance. An MC-matrix A and a positive integer L .

Question. Is $z^T A z \geq L$ for some $z \in \{-1, 1\}^n$?

is NP-complete. In view of the above equivalences, this problem can be polynomially reduced to each of the problems (i)–(viii), hence all of them are NP-hard. \square

Comments. The result (v) was proved in [3, Theorem 2.8]; here it was included for completeness. Cf. also Nemirovskii's results in [2]. Characterizations of positive definiteness, stability and Schur stability of symmetric interval matrices are given in [4].

REFERENCES

- [1] Garey M.E., Johnson D.S., *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*, Freeman, San Francisco, 1979.
- [2] Nemirovskii A., *Several NP-hard problems arising in robust stability analysis*, Math. of Control, Signals, and Systems **6** (1993), 99–105.
- [3] Poljak S. and Rohn J., *Checking robust nonsingularity is NP-hard*, Math. of Control, Signals, and Systems **6** (1993), 1–9.
- [4] Rohn J., *Positive definiteness and stability of interval matrices*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **15** (1994), 175–184.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, MALOSTRANSKÉ NÁM. 25,
118 00 PRAGUE 1, CZECH REPUBLIC

(Received March 23, 1994)