

Boris Aronov; Jiří Matoušek

On stabbing triangles by lines in 3-space

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 36 (1995), No. 1, 109--113

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118736>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## On stabbing triangles by lines in 3-space

BORIS ARONOV, JIŘÍ MATOUŠEK

*Abstract.* We give an example of a set  $P$  of  $3n$  points in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that, for any partition of  $P$  into triples, there exists a line stabbing  $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$  of the triangles determined by the triples.

*Keywords:* combinatorial geometry, computational geometry, crossing number

*Classification:* 52C99, 68U05

We begin with a definition. Let  $T$  be a set of points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $f$  be a flat. We say that  $f$  crosses  $T$  if  $f$  transversally intersects the relative interior of the convex hull of  $T$ . In particular, a line crosses a triple of non-collinear points in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  if it intersects the triangle determined by the triple in a single interior point.

Given a set  $P$  of  $2n$  points in the plane, one can partition  $P$  into  $n$  pairs in such a way that no line crosses more than  $C\sqrt{n}$  of the pairs, where  $C$  is an absolute constant. It is easy to construct point sets for which this bound is best possible, up to the value of the constant. This result was proved by Chazelle and Welzl [CW89], and it found numerous applications in the design of geometric algorithms (see e.g. [Aga90]) as well as in combinatorial geometry [Pac91] and discrepancy theory [MWW93]. It has been generalized in various directions.

One possible generalization to higher dimension is to consider a set  $P$  of  $2n$  points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and to ask for a partition of  $P$  into pairs such that the maximum number of pairs crossed by any single hyperplane is as small as possible. In dimension  $d$ , a tight bound on this crossing number is  $\Theta(n^{1-1/d})$  [CW89]. Another generalization arising naturally in various applications and posed explicitly as an open problem by Welzl [Wel92] is the following:

*Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a partition of a set  $P$  of  $3n$  points in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  into triples, and denote by  $\kappa(\mathcal{T})$  the maximum number of triples of  $\mathcal{T}$  which can be simultaneously crossed by a single line. Put*

$$\kappa(n) = \max_P \min_{\mathcal{T}} \kappa(\mathcal{T}),$$

---

Work on this paper by Boris Aronov has been supported by NSF Grant CCR-92-11541. Work by Jiří Matoušek has been supported by Charles University grant No. 351 and Czech Republic grant GAČR 201/93/2167

where the maximum is taken over all sets  $P$  of  $3n$  points in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and the minimum over all partitions of  $P$  into triples. Find the order of magnitude of  $\kappa(n)$ .

It is known (although, to our knowledge, it has not appeared in print) that  $\kappa(n) = O(\sqrt{n})$ ; this can be established, e.g. by projecting  $P$  orthogonally onto a general plane  $\rho$ , and by partitioning the projected set  $\bar{P}$  into triples such that any line within  $\rho$  crosses  $O(\sqrt{n})$  of the triples. Such a partition within  $\rho$  is possible by a result of [Mat92], which generalizes the aforementioned Chazelle-Welzl result in yet another direction. It is not difficult to check that by ‘lifting’ this partition back to  $P$ , we obtain the desired partition. In this note we show that this result is asymptotically tight:

**Proposition.**  $\kappa(n) = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$ .

PROOF: Let  $\Gamma$  denote the hyperbolic paraboloid with equation  $z = xy$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . This surface plays a key role in our example as well as in many other constructions related to the geometry of lines in 3-space, see e.g. [CEGS89] [CP90].

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $3n$  is of the form  $m^2$ , and we define an auxiliary point set

$$P = \{(i, j, ij); i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m\} \subset \Gamma.$$

Our example, a point set  $\tilde{P}$  witnessing  $\kappa(n) = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$ , arises by a small perturbation of  $P$ . We choose a small enough number  $\varepsilon > 0$  and put

$$\tilde{P} = \{(i + \varepsilon j, j + \varepsilon i, (i + \varepsilon j)(j + \varepsilon i)); i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m\} \subset \Gamma.$$

The only lines intersecting the quadric surface  $\Gamma$  in more than 2 points are parallel to the  $yz$ - or  $xz$ -planes. From this it is easily seen that no 3 points of  $\tilde{P}$  are collinear.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a partition of  $\tilde{P}$  into triples. Consider a triple  $\tilde{T} = \{\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}\} \in \mathcal{T}$ , let  $T = \{a, b, c\}$  be the corresponding triple of points of the ‘unperturbed’ set  $P$ , and let  $\bar{T}$  be the vertical projection of the triangle  $\Delta abc$  onto the  $xy$ -plane. We say that the triple  $\tilde{T}$  is of one of three types, as follows:

- I if none of the sides of  $\bar{T}$  are parallel to the  $x$ - or  $y$ -axes,
- II if at least one but not all sides of  $\bar{T}$  are parallel to the axes, and
- III if the entire  $\bar{T}$  is a segment parallel to the  $x$ -axis or  $y$ -axis.

It can be checked that this classification exhausts all possibilities. We analyze three cases according to which type has the majority of triples.

*Case I* (at least  $n/3$  triples are of type I): We show that there is a line crossing  $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$  of the triples of the form  $T$ , with  $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{T}$  (that is, the triangles formed by the unperturbed points). If  $\varepsilon > 0$  is chosen small enough, this line also crosses the corresponding triples after the perturbation.




Figure 1: Type I triple: (a) vertical projection, (b) situation within the plane  $\xi_u$ .

Consider a triple  $\tilde{T}$  of type I (see Fig. 1). The points  $a, b, c$ , have distinct  $x$ -coordinates; let  $b$  be the one with the middle  $x$ -coordinate, and let this coordinate  $x(b)$  be equal to  $u$ . We consider the situation in the plane  $\xi_u = \{x = u\}$ . The surface  $\Gamma$  intersects  $\xi_u$  in the line  $\ell_u = \{x = u, z = uy\}$ . While the point  $b$  lies on  $\ell_u$ , the intersection of the segment  $ac$  with  $\xi_u$  has a positive distance from  $\ell_u$  (as the line  $ac$  only intersects the surface  $\Gamma$  at the two points  $a$  and  $c$ ). Hence, any line in  $\xi_u$  parallel to  $\ell_u$ , lying on the appropriate side of and sufficiently close to it crosses the triangle  $abc$ .

For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , choose one line  $\ell'_i \subset \xi_i$  parallel to  $\ell_i$ , lying below  $\ell_i$  and very close to it, and similarly  $\ell''_i$  above  $\ell_i$ . By the above considerations, each of the at least  $n/3$  triples of type I is crossed by at least one line of the form  $\ell'_i$  or  $\ell''_i$ . Hence there is a line crossing at least  $(n/3)/2m = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$  triples of type I.

*Case II* (at least  $n/3$  triples are of type II): We again carry out the argument with the unperturbed points. Let  $\tilde{T}$  be a type II triple, let  $a, b, c$  be the corresponding points of  $P$ , and let the side  $ab$  be parallel to the  $xz$ -plane (the other case,  $ab$  parallel to the  $yz$ -plane, is handled symmetrically), let  $d$  be the midpoint of the segment  $ab$ , set  $u = x(d)$ , and consider the situation in the vertical plane  $\xi_u = \{x = u\}$ . The triangle  $abc$  intersects  $\xi_u$  in a segment whose one endpoint  $d$  lies on the surface  $\Gamma$  while the other endpoint does not lie on  $\Gamma$ . Thus, as in the case I, any line in  $\xi_u$  parallel to the line  $\ell_u = \xi_u \cap \Gamma$ , lying on appropriate side of  $\ell_u$  and close enough to it crosses the triple  $\{a, b, c\}$ . As the  $x$ -coordinate  $u$  of the midpoint of  $ab$  has fewer than  $2m$  distinct values for all triples, we can choose fewer than  $8m$  lines (including the lines for the symmetric case with  $ab$  parallel to the  $yz$ -plane) so that every type II triple is crossed by at least one of them. Thus one of these lines crosses  $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$  triples.

*Case III* (at least  $n/3$  triples are of type III): Let  $\tilde{T}$  be a type III triple, suppose that its triangle is almost parallel to the  $xz$ -plane; the other case is handled

symmetrically. Let the points of  $\tilde{T}$  be

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{a} &= (u + \varepsilon j, j + \varepsilon u, (u + \varepsilon j)(j + \varepsilon u)), \\ \tilde{b} &= (v + \varepsilon j, j + \varepsilon v, (v + \varepsilon j)(j + \varepsilon v)), \\ \tilde{c} &= (w + \varepsilon j, j + \varepsilon w, (w + \varepsilon j)(j + \varepsilon w)),\end{aligned}$$

with  $u < v < w$ . We consider the situation within the plane  $\xi_v = \{x = v\}$ . We calculate the intersections  $d, e$  of the segments  $ab$  and  $ac$ , respectively, with the plane  $\xi_v$ , and we find their vertical distance to the line  $\ell_v = \xi_v \cap \Gamma$ . It turns out that the vertical distance of  $d$  from  $\ell_v$  is  $\varepsilon^2 j(v - u) - \varepsilon^3 j$ ; the important fact for us that it is  $O(\varepsilon^2)$  (with  $n$  fixed and  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ) — this can also be seen by geometric considerations. On the other hand, the vertical distance of the point  $e$  from  $\ell_v$  is  $\varepsilon(w - v)(v - u) + O(\varepsilon^2) = \Omega(\varepsilon)$  as  $u, v, w$  are all distinct. Hence, if we choose lines  $\ell'_v, \ell''_v \subset \xi_v$  parallel to  $\ell_v$ , lying above and below it at a vertical distance  $\varepsilon^{3/2}$  (say), then one of them intersects the segment  $de$  and thus it crosses the triple  $\tilde{T}$ , provided that  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough. The argument is concluded as in cases I and II, finishing the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

**Remarks.** (1) One might object that the above construction does not produce a set of points “in general position”, as the entire point set is contained in the algebraic surface  $\Gamma$ . This objection can be addressed as follows: Start with the set  $\tilde{P}$  as above. For every partition  $\mathcal{T}$  of points of  $\tilde{P}$  into triples, we can fix a line witnessing the crossing number of the partition. This line transversally intersects the interior of each of the crossed triangles, and hence if the vertices are moved by a sufficiently small amount, the crossing still exists. Taking the minimum of these amounts over all witnessing lines and all crossed triangles, we obtain a distance  $\delta$  such that any perturbation of  $\tilde{P}$  moving each point by at most  $\delta$  preserves the property that any partition of the point set into triples has a line crossing  $\Omega(n^{1/2})$  triples.

(2) The same proof, with little modification, can be used to show the following more general result (the same point set will do):

*For any  $n > 0$ , there is a set of  $n$  points in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that any collection of  $m$  triangles (not necessarily distinct) spanned by these points has a line crossing, in the above sense,  $\Omega(m/n^{1/2})$  triangles.*

In particular, we have

**Corollary.** *For any  $n > 0$ , there exists a set  $S_n$  of  $n$  points in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that for any triangulation of the convex hull of  $S_n$  there is a line meeting  $\Omega(n^{1/2})$  simplices of the triangulation.*

## REFERENCES

- [Aga90] Agarwal P.K., *Partitioning arrangements of lines: II. Applications*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **5** (1990), 533–573.

- [CEGS89] Chazelle B., Edelsbrunner H., Guibas L.J., Sharir M., *Lines in space: combinatorics, algorithms, and applications*, In: Proc. 21st Annual ACM Sympos. Theory Comput., 1989, pp. 382–393.
- [CP90] Chazelle B., Palios L., *Triangulating a non-convex polytope*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **5** (1990), 505–526.
- [CW89] Chazelle B., Welzl E., *Quasi-optimal range searching in spaces of finite VC-dimension*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **4** (1989), 467–489.
- [MWW93] Matoušek J., Welzl E., Wernisch L., *Discrepancy and  $\varepsilon$ -approximations for bounded VC-dimension*, Combinatorica **13** (1993), 455–466.
- [Pac91] Pach J., *Geometric graphs*, In J.E. Goodman, R. Pollack, and W. Steiger, editors, Computational Geometry: Papers from the DIMACS special year; Amer. Math. Soc., 1991.
- [Mat92] Matoušek J., *Efficient partition trees*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **8** (1992), 315–334.
- [Wel92] Welzl E., private communication, 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK  
11201, USA

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, MALOSTRANSKÉ NÁM. 25,  
118 00 PRAHA 1, CZECH REPUBLIC

(Received April 26, 1994)