Wojciech Bartoszek On uniformly smoothing stochastic operators

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 36 (1995), No. 1, 203--206

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118745

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

On uniformly smoothing stochastic operators

WOJCIECH BARTOSZEK

Abstract. We show that a stochastic operator acting on the Banach lattice $L^1(m)$ of all *m*-integrable functions on (X, \mathcal{A}) is quasi-compact if and only if it is uniformly smoothing (see the definition below).

Keywords: stochastic operators, quasi-compact Classification: Primary 47A35; Secondary 47B55, 47D07

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, m) be a σ -finite measure space. By \mathcal{D} we denote the set of all densities from $L^1(m)$, i.e. m integrable positive functions f such that $\int_X f dm = 1$.

A linear operator $P: L^1(m) \to L^1(m)$ is said to be stochastic if $P(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$.

Stochastic operators have broad applications. The reader may find appropriate references in [LM]. Among other properties, usually the asymptotic behaviour of the iterates P^n is studied. In the middle of the eighties Komornik and Lasota introduced to the theory of stochastic operators the concept of smoothness. Namely, P is said to be smoothing if

there exist a set $F \in \mathcal{A}$ of finite measure and

(S) constants $0 < \eta < 1$, $0 < \delta$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{D}$

and $E \in \mathcal{A}$ with $m(E) \leq \delta$ we have

$$\overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} \int_{E \cup F^c} P^n f dm \le \eta \,,$$

where F^c stands here and in the sequel for the complementation $X \setminus F$.

Smoothing stochastic operators have nice asymptotic properties. It is proved in [KL] that any smoothing stochastic operator P is asymptotically periodic i.e. there exist pairwise orthogonal densities g_1, \ldots, g_r , positive functionals $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_r$ and a permutation α of the set $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} || P^n f - \sum_{i=1}^r \Lambda_i(f) g_{\alpha^n(i)} || = 0$ and $Pg_i = g_{\alpha(i)}$ $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. In particular, for some constant d the sequence P^{nd} converges in the strong operator topology to $\sum_{i=1}^r \Lambda_i \otimes g_i$. The most general result in this direction was finally obtained by Komornik. Namely, it was

I thank the Foundation for Research Development for financial support

proved in [K] that any power bounded positive, and linear operator on $L^{1}(m)$ is asymptotically periodic.

In this note we discuss the uniform version of (S). Following [B3] (see Problem 3, page 57) we adapt here:

Definition. Let $0 < \eta < 1$. A stochastic operator P is said to be uniformly η -smoothing if there are $F \in \mathcal{A}$ with $m(F) < \infty$, and a constant $0 < \delta$ such that for some natural n_0

(US-
$$\eta$$
)
$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{D}} \int_{E \cup F^c} P^{n_0} f \, dm \le \eta$$

for all $E \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $m(E) \leq \delta$.

We will show that operators satisfying $(\text{US}-\eta)$, are quasi-compact. Let us recall that an operator P is quasi-compact if $||P^n - K|| < 1$ for some compact operator K and natural n. It is known (see for instance [B2]) that quasi-compact stochastic operators P are exactly those which satisfy $||P^{nd} - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \Lambda_i \otimes g_i|| \longrightarrow 0$, for suitable d, r, Λ_i , and g_i . We will exploit here the characterization of quasicompact operators obtained in [B1]. In particular we shall apply some of the results from the mentioned paper to Markov operators acting on the Banach lattice $C(\Delta)$ of all continuous functions on Δ , where Δ stands for the set of all linear and multiplicative functionals on $L^{\infty}(m)$ equipped with the *-weak topology, so Hausdorff and compact. We recall that a linear operator $T: C(\Delta) \rightarrow$ $C(\Delta)$ is Markov if $T\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ and $Tf \geq 0$ for $f \geq 0$. The dual space to $C(\Delta)$ is identified with Radon, finite (signed) measures on Δ . The *-weak compact (nonempty) set of all probability measures μ on Δ such that $T^*\mu = \mu$ is denoted by $P_T(\Delta)$. Clearly the adjoint to P operator $T = P^*$ is markovian.

A linear operator R acting on a Banach space \mathcal{X} is said to be strongly ergodic if for all $x \in X$ the Cesaro means $n^{-1}(I + R + \cdots + R^{n-1})x$ are convergent in the norm of \mathcal{X} . Sine's mean ergodic theorem (see [S]) provides necessary and sufficient conditions for strong ergodicity. Namely, it holds if and only if R-invariant vectors separate R^* -invariant ones. It is easy to verify that R^* -invariant vectors always separate R-invariant ones. In [B1] it is proved that a Markov operator T on $C(\Delta)$ is quasi-compact if T^* is strongly ergodic and the topological support $S(\mu)$ of any μ from $P_T(\Delta)$ is non-meager. Finally we notice that the quasi-compactness of Pis equivalent to the quasi-compactness of its adjoint P^* .

Theorem. Let P be a stochastic operator on $L^1(m)$. Then P is quasi-compact if and only if P is η -uniformly smoothing for some (for all) $0 < \eta < 1$.

PROOF: Assume that P is η -uniformly smoothing with F, n_0 , η , δ as in (US- η), and let $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j$ where X_j are pairwise disjoint with positive finite measure.

We assume that $X_1 = F$. Now let us define a probability measure

$$m_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j m|_{X_j} \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j m(X_j) = 1 \,, \quad \text{and} \quad t_j > 0.$$

Clearly m_0 and m are equivalent, so $L^{\infty}(m_0) = L^{\infty}(m)$. The measure m_0 may be transported on Δ by the Gelfand transform $\hat{}$. Then, for any $f \in L^{\infty}$ we have

$$\int\limits_X f dm_0 = \int\limits_\Delta \widehat{f} d\widehat{m}_0$$

where $\widehat{f} \in C(\Delta)$ is the image of f by $\widehat{}$. By $\widehat{}$ let us denote the inverse operation to $\widehat{}$.

First we show that measures from $P_T(\Delta)$ are absolutely continuous with respect to \hat{m}_0 . Since $T^*L^1(\hat{m}_0) \subseteq L^1(\hat{m}_0)$, it is sufficient to show that any $\hat{\nu} \in P_T(\Delta)$ has a nonzero absolutely continuous with respect to \hat{m}_0 component. If not, let us suppose that for some $\hat{\nu} \in P_T(\Delta)$ one has $\hat{\nu} \perp \hat{m}_0$. Then there exists a clopen set $\hat{U} \subseteq \Delta$ so that

$$(\star) \qquad \qquad \widehat{m}_0(\widehat{U}) < t_1 \delta \quad \text{with} \quad \widehat{\nu}(\widehat{U}) = 1.$$

Let $\widehat{f} \in C(\Delta)$ be such that $\int \widehat{f}d\widehat{m}_0 = 1$ and $T^{*n_0}(\widehat{fm_0})(\widehat{U}) > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2}$. We get $\int_U P^{n_0} \frac{d(\widehat{fm_0})}{dm} dm > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2} > \eta$. This implies $m(U \cap F) > \delta$, so $m_0(U \cap F) > t_1\delta$, and finally contradicting (\star) we get $\widehat{m}_0(\widehat{U}) \ge \widehat{m}_0(\widehat{U} \cap \widehat{F}) > t_1\delta$. Therefore $P_T(\Delta) \subseteq L^1(\widehat{m}_0)$, which easily implies that the topological support of $\nu \in P_T(\Delta)$ is non-meager.

Applying Sine's mean ergodic from [S] we notice that the operator T is strongly ergodic. In particular, $A_n^*\nu = n^{-1}(I^* + T^* + \dots + T^{*(n-1)})\nu$ is *-weak convergent. Since Δ has the Grothendieck property (*-weak convergent sequences from $C(\Delta)^*$ are weakly convergent) thus $A_n^*\nu$ is weakly convergent. But weakly convergent Cesaro means are norm convergent. Therefore, T^* is strongly ergodic. Using results of [B1] we easily obtain quasi-compactness of $T = P^*$. By Theorem 2 from [B2], there is a natural d such that P^{*nd} is convergent in the operator norm to a finite dimensional projection. This is equivalent to the norm convergence of P^{nd} , and P is quasi-compact.

To prove the opposite let us assume that a stochastic operator P is quasicompact. For some d we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} P^{nd} = \sum_{i=1}^r \Lambda_i \otimes g_i$, where $g_i \in \mathcal{D}$ are pairwise orthogonal (i.e. $g_i \cdot g_j = 0$ m a.e. for $i \neq j$) and $\Lambda_i(f) = \int f h_i dm$ where $\|h_i\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. For a given $0 < \eta < 1$ we choose a set $F \in \mathcal{A}$ of finite measure and positive δ that if $m(E) < \delta$ then $\int_{E \cup F^c} \sum_{j=1}^r g_j dm < \frac{\eta}{2}$. If n is such that $\| P^{nd} - \sum_{j=1}^r \Lambda_j \otimes g_j \| < \frac{\eta}{2}$, then we have

$$\int_{E \cup F^c} P^{nd}f \, dm = \int_{E \cup F^c} \left(P^n f - \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j(f)g_j \right) dm + \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j(f) \int_{E \cup F^c} g_j \, dm$$

$$\leq \frac{\eta}{2} + \int\limits_{E \cup F^c} \sum_{j=1}^r g_j \ dm \leq \eta$$

where f is an arbitrary density.

References

- [B1] Bartoszek W., On quasi-compactness and invariant measures of Markov operators on C(X), Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. **34** (1986), 69–72.
- [B2] _____, Asymptotic periodicity of the iterates of positive contractions on Banach lattices, Studia Math. XCI (1988), 179–188.
- [B3] _____, On the asymptotic behaviour of iterates of positive linear operators, Die Suid-Afrikaanse Wiskundevereniging Mededelings 25:1 (1993), 48–78.
- [K] Komorník J., Asymptotic decomposition of smoothing positive operators, Acta Universitatis Carolinae 30:2 (1989), 77–81.
- [KL] Komorník J., Lasota A., Asymptotic decomposition of Markov operators, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 35 no. 5–6 (1987), 321–327.
- [LM] Lasota A., Mackey M.C., Probabilistic Properties of Deterministic Systems, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [S] Sine R., A mean ergodic theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (1970), 438–439.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AFRICA, P.O. BOX 392, PRETORIA 0001, SOUTH AFRICA

E-mail: bartowk@risc5.unisa.ac.za

(Received August 29, 1994)