

Anna Kucia; Andrzej Nowak

Normal integrands and related classes of functions

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 36 (1995), No. 4, 775--781

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118804>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## Normal integrands and related classes of functions

ANNA KUCIA, ANDRZEJ NOWAK

*Abstract.* Let  $D \subset T \times X$ , where  $T$  is a measurable space, and  $X$  a topological space. We study inclusions between three classes of extended real-valued functions on  $D$  which are upper semicontinuous in  $x$  and satisfy some measurability conditions.

*Keywords:* normal integrand, Carathéodory function

*Classification:* 54C30, 28A20

### 1. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper  $(T, \mathcal{T})$  is a measurable space,  $X$  a topological space, and  $D$  a subset of  $T \times X$ . For a set  $A \subset T \times X$ ,  $\text{proj}_T A$  denotes the projection of  $A$  on  $T$ . We shall always assume that  $\text{proj}_T D = T$ . We say that  $X$  is Souslin if it is a continuous image of a Polish space. By  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$  we mean, respectively, the Borel  $\sigma$ -field on  $X$  and the product  $\sigma$ -field on  $T \times X$ . The set  $D$  is always considered with the trace  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{D} = \{D \cap A \mid A \in \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a family of sets. By  $S(\mathcal{R})$  we denote the family of all sets obtained from  $\mathcal{R}$  by the Souslin operation. If  $S(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}$ , we say  $\mathcal{R}$  is a Souslin family. If the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{T}$  is complete with respect to a  $\sigma$ -finite measure, then  $\mathcal{T}$  is a Souslin family. We refer to Wagner [14] and Levin [10, Theorem D.7] for other sufficient conditions for  $S(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{T}$ .

We shall use the following projection theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** ([4, Theorem 1.3], [10, Theorem D.3]). *Suppose  $\mathcal{T}$  is a Souslin family and  $X$  is a Souslin space. Then  $\text{proj}_T A \in \mathcal{T}$  for each  $A \in S(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{B}(X))$ .*

Let  $\psi : T \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Y)$ , where  $Y$  is a topological space and  $\mathcal{P}(Y)$  is the family of all subsets of  $Y$ . The set-valued map  $\psi$  is measurable if

$$\psi^{-1}(V) = \{t \in T \mid \psi(t) \cap V \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{T}$$

for each open  $V \subset Y$  (note that Himmelberg [5] calls such a mapping weakly measurable).

By  $D_t$  we denote  $t$ -section of  $D$ , i.e.  $D_t = \{x \in X \mid (t, x) \in D\}$ ,  $t \in T$ . The set  $D$  may be treated as a graph of the multifunction  $t \rightarrow D_t$ . We say that  $D$  has a Castaing representation if there exists a countable family  $U$  of measurable functions  $u : T \rightarrow X$  such that for each  $t \in T$ ,  $u(t) \in D_t$  and the set  $\{u(t) \mid u \in U\}$  is dense in  $D_t$ .

The set  $D$  has a Castaing representation provided one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i)  $D = T \times X$  and  $X$  is separable.
- (ii) There is a countable subset  $E \subset X$  such that  $E \cap D_t$  is dense in  $D_t$  for  $t \in T$ , and  $D^x = \{t \in T \mid (t, x) \in D\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}$  for  $x \in E$ .
- (iii)  $X$  is a Souslin space,  $\mathcal{T}$  is a Souslin family and  $D \in S(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{B}(X))$  (see e.g. [10, Theorem D.4]).
- (iv)  $X$  is separable and metrizable,  $D_t$  are complete, and the multifunction  $t \rightarrow D_t$  is measurable (see [5, Theorem 5.6]).

Throughout this paper we deal with extended real-valued functions  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ . By a set-valued map associated to  $f$  we mean  $\phi : T \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X \times \mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$\phi(t) = \{(x, r) \in X \times \mathbb{R} \mid (t, x) \in D \text{ and } f(t, x) \geq r\}.$$

Note that  $\phi(t)$  is the subgraph of  $f(t, \cdot)$ . We say that such a function  $f$  is a Carathéodory integrand if it is finite, measurable (with respect to  $\mathcal{D}$ ), and for each  $t \in T$ ,  $f(t, \cdot)$  is continuous on  $D_t$ . It is well known that if  $X$  has a countable base and  $f : T \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable in  $t$  and continuous in  $x$ , then  $f$  is product measurable (i.e.  $f$  is a Carathéodory integrand).

We shall study inclusions between the following classes of functions:

$$F_1(D) = \{f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \mid f \text{ is measurable and for each } t \in T, f(t, \cdot) \text{ is upper semicontinuous on } D_t\},$$

$$F_2(D) = \{f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \mid f \text{ is the limit of a decreasing sequence of Carathéodory integrands}\},$$

$$F_3(D) = \{f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \mid \text{the set-valued map associated to } f \text{ is measurable and for each } t \in T, f(t, \cdot) \text{ is upper semicontinuous on } D_t\}.$$

Elements of  $F_3(D)$  are called normal integrands (cf. Rockafellar [12]; note that in [7] we use a different terminology).

The study of these functional classes is motivated by their applications in optimization and mathematical economy. In particular, they appear when we deal with the following problem: Let  $f$  be a real-valued function on  $D$ . We ask under which assumptions the function

$$(1.1) \quad v(t) = \sup\{f(t, x) \mid x \in D_t\}, \quad t \in T,$$

is measurable. Suppose for each  $t \in T$  this supremum is attained. Does there exist measurable  $u : T \rightarrow X$  such that  $u(t) \in D_t$  and  $v(t) = f(t, u(t))$ ,  $t \in T$ ? Such a function  $u$  is called an optimal measurable selection. The following theorem holds:

**Theorem 1.2** ([13], [3]). *Suppose  $X$  is separable and metrizable. If the multifunction  $t \rightarrow D_t$ ,  $t \in T$ , is measurable and compact-valued, and  $f \in F_2(D)$ , then there exists an optimal measurable selection.*

In general, the assumption  $f \in F_2(D)$  cannot be replaced by the weaker condition  $f \in F_1(D)$  (cf. [3]).

**2. Main result**

We start with two auxiliary lemmata. Remind that we have assumed  $\text{proj}_T D = T$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose  $D$  has a Castaing representation. If  $A \subset D$  is such that  $A \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $A_t$  is open in  $D_t$  for each  $t \in T$ , then  $\text{proj}_T A \in \mathcal{T}$ .*

PROOF: Let  $U$  be a Castaing representation of  $D$ . Since  $A_t$  are open in  $D_t$ ,

$$\text{proj}_T A = \{t \in T \mid u(t) \in A_t \text{ for some } u \in U\} = \bigcup_{u \in U} \{t \in T \mid (t, u(t)) \in A\}.$$

The observation that the function from  $T$  to  $D$  given by  $t \rightarrow (t, u(t))$  is measurable, completes the proof. □

The next lemma is a slight generalization of a result from [8, Lemma], but for the sake of completeness we give its proof.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ , and  $\phi$  be the set-valued map associated to  $f$ . Then:*

- (i) *If  $\phi$  is measurable then the function  $v$  defined by (1.1) is measurable.*
- (ii) *If  $f$  is a Carathéodory integrand and  $D$  has a Castaing representation, then  $f$  is a normal integrand.*
- (iii) *If  $X$  is separable and metric,  $\phi$  is measurable and  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is uniformly continuous, then the set-valued map  $\psi$  associated to  $h$ ,  $h(t, x) = f(t, x) - g(x)$ ,  $(t, x) \in D$ , is also measurable.*

PROOF: Observe that for any  $V \subset X$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a < b$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad \phi^{-1}(V \times (a, b)) = \phi^{-1}(V \times (a, \infty)) = \text{proj}_T(f^{-1}((a, \infty)) \cap (T \times V)).$$

Now the assertion (i) follows from the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} v^{-1}((a, \infty)) &= \{t \in T \mid f(t, x) > a \text{ for some } x \in D_t\} = \\ &= \text{proj}_T f^{-1}((a, \infty)) = \phi^{-1}(X \times (a, \infty)). \end{aligned}$$

If  $f(t, \cdot)$  is continuous, then the  $t$ -section of  $f^{-1}((a, \infty) \cap (T \times V))$  is open in  $D_t$  for each open  $V \subset X$ . The application of Lemma 1 together with the equality (2.1) prove the assertion (ii).

In order to prove (iii), take for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  a number  $\delta_n > 0$  such that  $|g(x) - g(y)| < \frac{1}{n}$  provided  $d(x, y) < \delta_n$ , where  $d$  is a metric on  $X$ . Let  $E \subset X$  be countable and dense. It is not difficult to check that for open  $V \subset X$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{(t, x) \in D \cap (T \times V) \mid h(t, x) > a\} &= \\ &= \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{e \in V \cap E} \left\{ (t, x) \in D \cap (T \times B(e, \delta_n)) \mid f(t, x) > g(e) + a + \frac{1}{n} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B(e, \delta_n)$  is the open ball with center  $e$  and radius  $\delta_n$ . This equality together with (2.1) imply the measurability of  $\psi$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

The following theorem summarizes our knowledge of relations between classes  $F_i(D)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Some of these inclusions were already known. We refer to Remark 2 for the comparison of our theorem with previous results.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be separable and metrizable, and  $D \subset T \times X$  such that  $\text{proj}_T D = T$ . Then:*

- (i)  $F_3(D) \subset F_2(D) \subset F_1(D)$ .
- (ii) If  $T$  is a Souslin family,  $X$  a Souslin space and  $D \in S(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{B}(X))$ , then  $F_1(D) = F_2(D) = F_3(D)$ .
- (iii) If  $T$  and  $X$  are Polish spaces,  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{B}(T)$  and  $D \in S(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{B}(X))$ , then  $F_1(D) = F_2(D)$ .
- (iv) If  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, and  $D$  has a Castaing representation and closed  $t$ -sections  $D_t$ ,  $t \in T$ , then  $F_2(D) = F_3(D)$ .

PROOF: (i) The inclusion  $F_2(D) \subset F_1(D)$  is obvious, thus we prove  $F_3(D) \subset F_2(D)$ . Let  $h$  be an increasing homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  and  $[-1, 1)$ . It is immediate that if  $f \in F_3(D)$  then  $h \circ f \in F_3(D)$ . Similarly, if  $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Carathéodory integrand such that  $|g(t, x)| < 1$ ,  $(t, x) \in D$ , then  $h^{-1} \circ g$  is a Carathéodory integrand too. Hence, it suffices to prove that any  $f \in F_3(D)$  which satisfies  $-1 \leq f(t, x) < 1$  is the limit of a decreasing sequence of Carathéodory integrands with values in the interval  $(-1, 1)$ .

We adopt the classical proof of the theorem of Baire on the approximation of a semicontinuous function by a monotone sequence of continuous ones (see e.g. [1, p. 390]). Let the functions  $f_n : T \times X \rightarrow [-1, 1)$  and  $g_n : T \times X \rightarrow (-1, 1)$  be defined by the formulae

$$f_n(t, x) = \sup\{f(t, y) - nd(x, y) \mid y \in D_t\},$$

$$g_n(t, x) = \max\left\{f_n(t, x), -1 + \frac{1}{n}\right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $d$  is a metric compatible with the topology of  $X$ . By Lemma 2, the functions  $f_n$  are measurable in  $t$ . Consequently,  $g_n$  are also measurable in  $t$ . From the proof of the theorem of Baire we know that  $g_n(t, \cdot)$  are continuous, and the sequence  $g_n \mid D$  is convergent to  $f$ . Being measurable in  $t$  and continuous in  $x$  the functions  $g_n$  are product measurable. Hence,  $g_n \mid D$  are also measurable. It means that  $f \in F_2(D)$ .

(ii) It suffices to prove that  $F_1(D) \subset F_3(D)$ . Note that under our assumptions  $\mathcal{D} \subset S(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{B}(X))$ . If  $f \in F_1(D)$  then  $f^{-1}((a, \infty)) \in \mathcal{D}$  for each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now (2.1) together with Theorem 1.1 imply the measurability of the set-valued map  $\phi$  associated to  $f$  (cf. [10, Theorem D.6]).

(iii) This is a consequence of Theorem 3.1 from [7].

(iv) We prove the inclusion  $F_2(D) \subset F_3(D)$ . Any  $f \in F_2(D)$  is the limit of a decreasing sequence  $\{f_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of Carathéodory integrands. Denote by  $\phi$  and  $\phi_n$ , respectively, the set-valued maps associated to  $f$  and  $f_n$ . It is not difficult to check that

$$\phi(t) = \bigcap \{\phi_n(t) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

By Lemma 2(ii), each  $\phi_n$  is measurable (and closed-valued). Since  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, it implies the measurability of  $\phi$  ([5, Corollary 4.2]). It means that  $f$  is a normal integrand, which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remarks.** 1. Theorem 2.1 is a generalization of the main result from [8], where we studied the case  $D = T \times X$ .

2. We shall discuss some previous results, but note that the definition of the normal integrand varies from paper to paper. An analogous result to (ii) for  $D = T \times X$  was already given by Berliocchi and Lasry ([2, Theorem 2 and Theorem 2']). In Theorem 2 they studied the case when  $T$  is a locally compact Polish space endowed with a Radon measure, and the corresponding properties of  $f(t, \cdot)$  are required for almost all  $t \in T$ . Theorem 2' for an abstract measure space was given without proof. Rockafellar ([12, Theorem 2A]) proved that  $F_1(T \times \mathbb{R}^n) = F_3(T \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ , under assumption that the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{T}$  is complete. The equality  $F_1(T \times X) = F_2(T \times X)$  was given by Pappas ([11, Corollary 1]) for the case, when  $\mathcal{T}$  is complete and  $X$  is a locally compact Polish space. Levin ([9, Theorem 7]) gave the equality  $F_2(T \times X) = F_3(T \times X)$  for compact  $X$ , but without proof. Related result to (ii) for  $D = T \times X$  was obtained by Zygmunt ([15, Theorem 3.4]).

3. If there is a function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  such that its associated set-valued map  $\phi$  is measurable, then  $D$  is the graph of a measurable multifunction. In the proof of this fact we may assume that  $-1 \leq f(t, x) < 1$  for  $(t, x) \in D$  (cf. the proof of (i)). Then for any open  $V \subset X$ ,

$$\{t \in T \mid D_t \cap V \neq \emptyset\} = \{t \in T \mid (t, x) \in D \text{ for some } x \in V\} = \phi^{-1}(V \times \mathbb{R}) \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Hence,  $t \rightarrow D_t, t \in T$ , is a measurable multifunction.

### 3. Examples

In this section we give two examples which show that in general, the classes  $F_i(D), i = 1, 2, 3$ , do not coincide.

**Example 1.** Recently the first author ([6]) gave an example of a non-Borel function  $g : T \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with the graph  $W$  being a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $T \times [0, 1]$ , where  $T$  is a coanalytic subset of the plane. It is based on the Sierpiński example from 1931. Let  $X$  be the interval  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{B}(T)$  and  $D = T \times X$ . We show that  $F_1(D) \neq F_2(D)$ .

Let  $f$  be the characteristic function of the set  $W$ . It is obvious that  $f \in F_1(D)$ . We claim that  $f$  does not belong to  $F_2(D)$ . If not, there is a decreasing sequence of Carathéodory functions  $f_n$ , which converges to  $f$ . Replacing  $f_n$  by  $\min\{f_n, 1\}$ , we may assume that  $0 \leq f_n(t, x) \leq 1$ ,  $(t, x) \in D$ , and  $f_n(t, x) = 1$  for  $(t, x) \in W$ . Denote

$$A_n = f_n^{-1}\left(\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]\right), \quad B_n = f_n^{-1}\left(\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]\right), \\ \overline{A}_n = \{(t, x) \in T \times X \mid x \in \text{cl}(A_n)_t\}.$$

We have

$$(3.1) \quad W \subset A_n \subset \overline{A}_n \subset B_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(3.2) \quad W = \bigcap \{B_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Since vertical sections of  $A_n$  are open in  $[0, 1]$ , the set-valued map  $t \rightarrow (A_n)_t$  is measurable. Indeed, for each open  $V \subset X$ ,

$$\{t \in T \mid (A_n)_t \cap V \neq \emptyset\} = \text{proj}_T(A_n \cap T \times V) \in \mathcal{T},$$

because of Lemma 1. Consequently,  $\overline{A}_n$  is a graph of a measurable multifunction too. It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$W = \bigcap \{\overline{A}_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

The intersection of countably many measurable multifunctions with compact values is a measurable set-valued map ([5, Theorem 4.1]). Hence  $W$  is a graph of a Borel function, which is a contradiction.

This example gives a negative answer to the question from [7]. Recently Burgess and Maitra [3] constructed a function  $f \in F_1(T \times X)$ , where  $X$  is a compact metric space, for which there is no optimal measurable selection. It follows from Theorem 1.2 that such a function does not belong to  $F_2(T \times X)$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $X$  be the set of irrationals,  $T$  the interval  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{B}(T)$  and  $D = T \times X$ . Let  $A \subset T \times X$  be closed and such that  $\text{proj}_T A$  is not Borel. Finally, let  $f$  be the characteristic function of  $A$ . It is immediate that  $f \in F_1(D)$ , and the function  $v$  corresponding to  $f$  by (1.1) is the characteristic function of  $\text{proj}_T A$ . It follows from Lemma 2 (i) that  $f \notin F_3(D)$ . Thus  $F_1(D) = F_2(D) \neq F_3(D)$ .

Note that in Example 1 we have  $F_1(D) \neq F_2(D) = F_3(D)$ . Therefore it is interesting to construct a set  $D$  such that  $F_1(D) \neq F_2(D) \neq F_3(D)$ . It can be done by combining Examples 1 and 2; we omit the details.

**Acknowledgement.** This research was supported by Silesian University Mathematics Department (Iterative Functional Equations and Real Analysis Program).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ash R.B., *Real Analysis and Probability*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [2] Berliocchi H., Lasry J.-M., *Intégrandes normales et mesures paramétrées en calcul de variations*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **101** (1973), 129–184.
- [3] Burgess J., Maitra A., *Nonexistence of measurable optimal selections*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **116** (1992), 1101–1106.
- [4] Christensen J.P.R., *Topology and Borel Structure*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1974.
- [5] Himmelberg C.J., *Measurable relations*, Fund. Math. **87** (1975), 53–72.
- [6] Kucia A., *Some counterexamples for Carathéodory functions and multifunctions*, submitted to Fund. Math.
- [7] Kucia A., Nowak A., *On Baire approximations of normal integrands*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **30:2** (1989), 373–376.
- [8] ———, *Relations among some classes of functions in mathematical programming*, Mat. Metody Sots. Nauk **22** (1989), 29–33.
- [9] Levin V.L., *Measurable selections of multivalued mappings into topological spaces and upper envelopes of Carathéodory integrands* (in Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **252** (1980), 535–539; English transl.: Sov. Math. Dokl. **21** (1980), 771–775.
- [10] ———, *Convex Analysis in Spaces of Measurable Functions and its Applications to Mathematics and Economics* (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1985.
- [11] Pappas G.S., *An approximation result for normal integrands and applications to relaxed controls theory*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **93** (1983), 132–141.
- [12] Rockafellar R.T., *Integral functionals, normal integrands and measurable selections*, in: Nonlinear Operators and Calculus of Variations (L. Waelbroeck, ed.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics **543**, Springer, Berlin, 1976, pp. 157–207.
- [13] Schäl M., *A selection theorem for optimization problem*, Arch. Math. **25** (1974), 219–224.
- [14] Wagner D.H., *Survey of measurable selection theorems*, SIAM J. Control **15** (1977), 859–903.
- [15] Zygmunt W., *Scorza-Dragoni property* (in Polish), UMCS, Lublin, 1990.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, UNIwersYTET ŚLĄSKI, 40-007 KATOWICE, POLAND

(Received September 15, 1994, revised June 8, 1995)