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# Pointwise estimates of nonnegative subsolutions of quasilinear elliptic equations at irregular boundary points 
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#### Abstract

Let $u$ be a weak solution of a quasilinear elliptic equation of the growth $p$ with a measure right hand term $\mu$. We estimate $u(z)$ at an interior point $z$ of the domain $\Omega$, or an irregular boundary point $z \in \partial \Omega$, in terms of a norm of $u$, a nonlinear potential of $\mu$ and the Wiener integral of $\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega$. This quantifies the result on necessity of the Wiener criterion.
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## 1. Introduction

We study quasilinear elliptic equations of type

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u)+\mathbf{B}(x, u, \nabla u)=\mu \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are Carathéodory functions (precise conditions depending on a growth exponent $p \in(1, \infty)$ will be given later) and $\mu \in\left(W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$ is a nonnegative Radon measure. We refer to (1.10) if $\mu=0$.

The model equation for (1.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+\lambda|u|^{p-2} u=\mu, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. Sometimes we mention monotone type equations, by this we will understand equations satisfying the structure conditions of [13] (unweighted case). These equations satisfy additional assumptions which guarantee existence and uniqueness results.

We will work with the integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{w}_{p}(x, E)=\int_{0}^{r_{0}}\left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_{p}(E \cap B(x, r), r)}{r^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{d r}{r} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]and
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{W}_{p}^{\mu}(x)=\int_{0}^{r_{0}}\left(\frac{\mu(B(x, r))}{r^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{d r}{r} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The function $\mathbf{W}_{p}^{\mu}$ is a kind of nonlinear potential of the measure $\mu$. These potentials were introduced by Adams and Meyers [3], Hedberg [9] and Hedberg and Wolff [10]. For more information on $\mathbf{W}_{p}$ potentials, we refer to the recent monograph by Adams and Hedberg [2].

We present pointwise estimates for subsolutions of (1.1) in terms of $\mathbf{W}_{p}^{\mu}$ and $\mathbf{w}_{p}\left(\cdot, \mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega\right)$.

In the interior case, and with $\mu=0$, the presented estimate is a version of the Trudinger's Harnack inequality for subsolutions [27]. The interior estimate with a nontrivial $\mu$ has been proved for monotone type equations by Kilpeläinen and Malý [16]. Notice that lower interior estimates for supersolutions of (1.1) in terms of $\mathbf{W}_{p}^{\mu}$, generalizing Trudinger's Harnack inequality for supersolutions, are also valid, see Kilpeläinen and Malý [14] (for monotone type equations), Malý [20], and Malý and Ziemer [23]. Related, but different results are due to Rakotoson and Ziemer [25], Lieberman [17] and Adams [1].

Let $u_{0} \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and $u$ be a solution of $\left(1.1_{0}\right)$. We say that $u$ solves the Dirichlet problem with the boundary data $u_{0}$ if $u-u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. A point $z \in \partial \Omega$ is said to be regular for the equation (1.10) if

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow z, x \in \Omega} u(x)=u_{0}(z)
$$

whenever $u \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ is a solution of the Dirichlet problem with boundary data $u_{0} \in W^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$. Wiener [28] showed that $z$ is regular for the Laplace equation if and only if the classical Wiener criterion is satisfied. This more or less says that $z$ is regular for the Laplace equation if and only if the Wiener integral $\mathbf{w}_{2}\left(z, \mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega\right)$ diverges. Littman, Stampacchia, Weinberger [19] proved that the same condition applies to linear elliptic divergence form equations with discontinuous bounded measurable coefficients. If $p \neq 2$, we say that the Wiener condition is satisfied at $z$ if $\mathbf{w}_{p}\left(z, \mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega\right)$ diverges, i.e. if $\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega$ is not $p$ thin at $\Omega$. Maz'ya [21] established the sufficiency of the Wiener criterion under simpler structure assumptions. Gariepy and Ziemer [8] proved the sufficiency in the general case of equation $\left(1.1_{0}\right)$.

The Wiener criteria established by Wiener [28] and Littman, Stampacchia, Weinberger [19] were presented as both necessary and sufficient. On the other hand, the sufficient condition by Maz'ya [21] waited a longer time for its necessity counterpart. For a special class of equations, some necessary conditions differing in an exponent from the sufficient conditions were proved by Skrypnik [26]. The necessity of the Wiener condition for equations of the monotone type was shown by Lindqvist and Martio [18] and Heinonen and Kilpeläinen [11] with the restriction $p>n-1$. For all $p \in(1, \infty)$, it was proved by Kilpeläinen and Malý in [16].

The estimate given in the present paper implies in some sense the necessity of the Wiener criterion for equations of type (1.10) and quantifies the pointwise behavior of solutions at irregular points.

For a wider information about the topic we refer to the prepared monograph [23] by Malý and Ziemer. For consequences and relations to A-superharmonic functions in nonlinear potential theory we refer also to the papers by Kilpeläinen and Malý [16], Heinonen, Kilpeläinen and Martio [12] and to the monograph [13] by Heinonen, Kilpeläinen and Martio.

## 2. Preliminaries

In what follows, $\Omega$ is an open subset of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $p$ is an exponent in $(1, n]$. We write $C, C^{\prime}$ etc. for various constants (they may differ from line to line). We denote by $B(z, r)$ the open ball in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ with center at $z$ and radius $r$. If $B=B(z, r)$, then $2 B$ means the ball $B(z, 2 r)$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ the set of all infinitely differentiable functions with a compact support in $\Omega$. The norm in the Lebesgue space $L^{p}(\Omega)$, resp. in the Sobolev space $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is denoted by $\|\ldots\|_{p}$, resp. $\|\ldots\|_{1, p}$. We use $|E|$ for the Lebesgue measure of the set $E$.

We define the $p$-capacity of a set $E \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ by $\operatorname{cap}_{p} E=\operatorname{cap}_{p}(E, 1)$, where

$$
\operatorname{cap}_{p}(E, r)=\inf \left\{\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla \varphi|^{p}+r^{-p}|\varphi|^{p}\right) d x: \varphi \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right.
$$

$\varphi \geq 1$ on an open set containing $E\}$
This scale of capacities is natural in connection with the Wiener criterion; for $E \subset B$ it is equivalent to the "condenser capacity" of $E$ w.r.t. $2 B$, cf. [13].

A set $U \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is said to be $p$-quasiopen if for each $\varepsilon>0$ there is an open set $G \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that $\operatorname{cap}_{p} G<\varepsilon$ and $U \cup G$ is open. Similarly, a function $u$ is said to be p-quasicontinuous on $\Omega$ if for each $\varepsilon>0$ there is an open set $G \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that $\operatorname{cap}_{p} G<\varepsilon$ and $u \mid \Omega \backslash G$ is continuous.

We use the abbreviation $p$-q.e. ( $p$-quasi everywhere) for the phrase "except a set of $p$-capacity zero". We say that a set $E \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is $p$-thin at a point $z \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ if the Wiener integral $\mathbf{w}_{p}(z, E)$ converges. The $p$-fine closure adds to every set $E$ the set of all points where $E$ is not $p$-thin. This introduces the $p$-fine topology.

Notice that every $u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ has a $p$-quasicontinuous representative (see Federer and Ziemer [5], Maz'ya and Khavin [22], Meyers [24], Frehse [6] and that a function $u$ on $\Omega$ is $p$-quasicontinuous if and only if it is $p$-finely continuous $p$-q.e. (Fuglede [7], Brelot [4], Hedberg and Wolff [10]).

Due to Poincaré's inequality and approximation arguments,

$$
\operatorname{cap}_{p}(E, r) \leq C \int_{B\left(x_{0}, 2 r\right)}|\nabla \psi|^{p} d x
$$

holds whenever $E \subset B\left(x_{0}, r\right), \psi \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(B\left(x_{0}, 2 r\right)\right), \psi$ is $p$-quasicontinuous and $\psi \geq 1 p$-q.e. on $E$.

Now, let us state our assumptions concerning the equation (1.1). We suppose that the functions $\mathbf{A}: \mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $\mathbf{B}: \mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ are Borel measurable and satisfy the following structure conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
|\mathbf{A}(x, \zeta, \xi)| & \leq a_{1}|\xi|^{p-1}+a_{2}|\zeta|^{p-1}+a_{3} \\
|\mathbf{B}(x, \zeta, \xi)| & \leq b_{1}|\xi|^{p-1}+b_{2}|\zeta|^{p-1}+b_{3}+b_{0}|\xi|^{p}  \tag{2.1}\\
\mathbf{A}(x, \zeta, \xi) \cdot \xi & \geq c_{1}|\xi|^{p}-c_{2}|\zeta|^{p}-c_{3}, \quad c_{1}>0
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}$ are nonnegative constants. We write $b=b_{0} / c_{1}$. The model example $\mathbf{A}(x, \zeta, \xi)=|\xi|^{p-2} \xi, \mathbf{B}(x, \zeta, \xi)=\lambda|\zeta|^{p-2} \zeta$ leads to (1.2).

We say that $u$ is a subsolution (frequently termed a "weak subsolution") of (1.1) in $\Omega$ if $u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p}(\Omega), u$ is $p$-quasicontinuous (i.e. we admit $p$-quasicontinuous representatives only) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}(\mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi+\mathbf{B}(x, u, \nabla u) \varphi) d x \leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi d \mu \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all nonnegative "test functions" $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Similarly we define solutions using the equality sign.

## 3. Main estimate

We consider an exponent

$$
\gamma \in(p-1, n(p-1) /(n-p+1))
$$

and write

$$
\tau=\frac{\gamma}{p-1}, \quad q=\frac{p \gamma}{p-\tau}
$$

Notice that $\tau>1$ and $q>p$. Let $\Omega$ be an open set and $R_{0}>0$ a fixed radius. We consider a fixed equation of type (1.1). We will denote by $C$ a general constant (not necessarily the same at different occurrences) depending only on $n, p, \gamma, R_{0}$, on the upper bound of $b_{0} u$ and on the structure constants.
3.1 Lemma. Let $u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ be a subsolution of $-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}=\mu$ in $\Omega$. Suppose that either $u$ is upper bounded or $b_{0}=0$. Let $\ell \in[0, \infty)$, $\Phi$ be a nonnegative bounded Borel measurable function on $\mathbf{R}$ which vanishes on $(-\infty, \ell)$ and $\lambda$ be the $L^{1}$-norm of $\Phi$. Let $\omega \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega), 0 \leq \omega \leq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \Phi(u)|\nabla u|^{p} \omega^{p} d x \\
& \quad \leq C \int_{\Omega \cap\{u>\ell\}} \Phi(u)\left(1+u^{p}\right) \omega^{p} d x \\
& \quad+C \lambda\left(\int_{\Omega \cap\{u>\ell\}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-1}+u^{p-1}+1\right) \omega^{p-1}(\omega+|\nabla \omega|) d x+\mu(\{\omega>0\})\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: We write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \Phi(s) d s \\
& L=\Omega \cap\{u>\ell\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the test function

$$
\varphi=\Psi(u) e^{b u} \omega^{p}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \varphi= & \Phi(u) \nabla u e^{b u} \omega^{p} \\
& +b \Psi(u) \nabla u e^{b u} \omega^{p} \\
& +p \Psi(u) e^{b u} \omega^{p-1} \nabla \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{L} \mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \Phi(u) e^{b u} \omega^{p} d x \\
& +b \int_{L} \mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \Psi(u) e^{b u} \omega^{p} d x \\
& +p \int_{L} \mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \Psi(u) e^{b u} \omega^{p-1} \nabla \omega d x  \tag{3.1}\\
& +\int_{L} \mathbf{B}(x, u, \nabla u) \Psi(u) e^{b u} \omega^{p} d x \\
& \quad \leq \int_{L} \Psi(u) e^{b u} \omega^{p} d \mu
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the structure into account, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{L} \mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \Phi(u) e^{b u} \omega^{p} d x  \tag{3.2}\\
\geq \int_{L}\left(c_{1}|\nabla u|^{p}-c_{2} u^{p}-c_{3}\right) \Phi(u) e^{b u} \omega^{p} d x \\
-b \int_{L} \mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \Psi(u) e^{b u} \omega^{p} d x \\
\leq-b c_{1} \int_{L}|\nabla u|^{p} \Psi(u) e^{b u} \psi^{p} \eta^{p} d x  \tag{3.3}\\
\quad+b \int_{L}\left(c_{2} u^{p}+c_{3}\right) \Psi(u) e^{b u} \omega^{p} d x \\
-\int_{L} \mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \Psi(u) e^{b u} \omega^{p-1} \nabla \omega d x  \tag{3.4}\\
\leq \int_{L}\left(a_{1}|\nabla u|^{p-1}+a_{2} u^{p-1}+a_{3}\right) \Psi(u) e^{b u} \omega^{p-1} \nabla \omega d x
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{L} \mathbf{B}(x, u, \nabla u) \Psi(u) e^{b u} \omega^{p} d x \\
& \quad \leq \int_{L}\left(b_{1}|\nabla u|^{p-1}+b_{2} u^{p-1}+b_{3}\right) \Psi(u) e^{b u} \omega^{p} d x  \tag{3.5}\\
& \quad+b_{0} \int_{L}|\nabla u|^{p} \Psi(u) e^{b u} \omega^{p} d x
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.1)-(3.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1} \int_{L} \Phi(u)|\nabla u|^{p} e^{b u} \omega^{p} d x \\
&+b c_{1} \int_{L} \Psi(u)|\nabla u|^{p} e^{b u} \omega^{p} d x \\
& \leq \int_{L} \Phi(u)\left(c_{2} u^{p}+c_{3}\right) e^{b u} \omega^{p} d x \\
& \quad+\int_{L} \Psi(u)\left(p\left(a_{1}|\nabla u|^{p-1}+a_{2} u^{p-1}+a_{3}\right)|\nabla \omega|\right.  \tag{3.6}\\
& \quad\left.+\left(b_{1}|\nabla u|^{p-1}+\left(c_{2} b u+b_{2}\right) u^{p-1}+c_{3} b+b_{3}\right) \omega\right) e^{b u} \omega^{p-1} d x \\
&+b_{0} \int_{L} \Psi(u)|\nabla u|^{p} e^{b u} \omega^{p} d x \\
& \leq \int_{L} \Psi(u) \omega^{p} d \mu
\end{align*}
$$

Since $b_{0}=b c_{1}, b u \leq C, \omega \leq 1$ and $\Psi \leq \lambda$, it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{L} \Phi(u)|\nabla u|^{p} \omega^{p} d x \\
& \quad \leq C \int_{L} \Phi(u)\left(1+u^{p}\right) \omega^{p} d x \\
& \quad+C \lambda\left(\int_{\Omega \cap\{u>\ell\}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-1}+u^{p-1}+1\right) \omega^{p-1}(\omega+|\nabla \omega|) d x+\mu(\{\omega>0\})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
3.2 Lemma. Let $u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ be a subsolution of $-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}=\mu$ in $\Omega$. Suppose that either $u$ is upper bounded or $b_{0}=0$. Let $B=B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$, where $0<r<R_{0}$, be an open ball in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Let $\eta, \varphi, \psi \in W^{1, p}(B)$. Suppose that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1,0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $0 \leq \psi \leq 1, \eta \psi \in W^{1, p}(B \cap \Omega),(1-\varphi)(1-\psi)=0$ and $\nabla \eta \leq 5 / r$. Suppose that $\ell \geq 0$.
(a) If $\delta>0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{L}\left|\nabla w_{\delta}\right|^{p} d x \leq C\left(\delta^{-p} r^{n}\left(1+\ell^{p}\right)\right. \\
&+r^{-p} \int_{B \cap\{u>\ell\} \cap\{\varphi<1\}}\left(1+\frac{u-\ell}{\delta}\right)^{\gamma} d x \\
&+\delta^{1-p} \mu\left(B\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) \\
&\left.+\delta^{1-p}\left(1+\|u\|_{\infty}\right)^{p-1} \int_{B}\left(r^{-p} \varphi^{p}+|\nabla \varphi|^{p}+|\nabla \psi|^{p}\right) d x\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
w_{\delta}=\left(\left(1+\frac{(u-\ell)^{+}}{\delta}\right)^{\gamma / q}-1\right) \psi \eta
$$

(b) There is a constant $\kappa>0$, depending only on $n, p, \gamma, R_{0}$, on the upper bound of $b_{0} u$ and on the structure constants, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(r^{-n}\right. & \left.\int_{B \cap \Omega \cap\{u>\ell\}}(u-\ell)^{\gamma} \psi^{q} \eta^{q} d x\right)^{(p-1) / \gamma} \\
\leq & C\left(r^{p-1}(1+\ell)^{p-1}\right. \\
& +r^{p-n} \mu\left(B\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) \\
\quad & \left.+\left(1+\|u\|_{\infty}\right)^{p-1} r^{p-n} \int_{B}\left(r^{-p} \varphi^{p}+|\nabla \varphi|^{p}+|\nabla \psi|^{p}\right) d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|B \cap\{u>\ell\} \cap\{\varphi<1\}| \leq(2 r)^{n} \kappa \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B \cap\{u>\ell\} \cap\{\varphi<1\}}(u-\ell)^{\gamma} d x \leq 2^{n+\gamma} \int_{B \cap \Omega \cap\{u>\ell\}}(u-\ell)^{\gamma} \psi^{q} \eta^{q} d x \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: (a) We write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega=\psi \eta \\
& \sigma=\omega \varphi \\
& v=\frac{(u-\ell)^{+}}{\delta}, \\
& M=1+\|u\|_{\infty}, \\
& L=B \cap \Omega \cap\{u>\ell\}, \\
& E=L \cap\{\varphi<1\} \\
& F=L \cap\{\varphi=1\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
w_{\delta}=\left((1+v)^{\gamma / q}-1\right) \omega \\
\nabla w_{\delta}=\frac{\gamma}{q}(1+v)^{-\tau / p} \nabla v \omega+\left((1+v)^{\gamma / q}-1\right) \nabla \omega
\end{array}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left((1+v)^{\gamma / q}-1\right)^{p} \leq C \min \left(v^{p-\tau}, v^{p}\right) \leq C \min \left((1+v)^{\gamma}, v^{p-1}\right) \\
& v^{p-1} \leq \delta^{1-p} u^{p-1} \leq \delta^{1-p} M^{p-1}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \omega=\eta \text { on } E \\
& \omega=\sigma \text { on } F
\end{align*}
$$

it follows

$$
\int_{L}\left|\nabla w_{\delta}\right|^{p} d x
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\leq & C\left(\int_{E}(1+v)^{\gamma}|\nabla \eta|^{p} d x+M^{p-1} \delta^{1-p} \int_{F}|\nabla \sigma|^{p} d x\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
& +\delta^{-p} \int_{L}(1+v)^{-\tau}|\nabla u|^{p} \omega^{p} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

We use Lemma 3.1 with

$$
\Phi(t)= \begin{cases}\left(1+\frac{(t-\ell)^{+}}{\delta}\right)^{-\tau}, & t>\ell \\ 0, & t \leq \ell\end{cases}
$$

Then the $L^{1}$-norm of $\Phi$ is bounded by $(\tau-1)^{-1} \delta$. We get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{L}(1+v)^{-\tau}|\nabla u|^{p} \omega^{p} d x \\
& \quad \leq C \int_{L}(1+v)^{-\tau}\left(1+u^{p}\right) \omega^{p} d x  \tag{3.11}\\
& \quad+C \delta\left(\int_{L}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-1}+u^{p-1}+1\right) \omega^{p-1}(\omega+|\nabla \omega|) d x+\mu(B)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1+u^{p}\right)(1+v)^{-\tau} & \leq\left(1+u^{p}\right)(1+v)^{-1} \leq C\left(1+\ell^{p}+\delta^{p} v^{p}\right)(1+v)^{-1} \\
& \leq C\left(1+\ell^{p}+\delta^{p} v^{p-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.9) it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{L}(1+v)^{-\tau}\left(1+u^{p}\right) \omega^{p} d x \\
& \quad \leq C r^{n}\left(1+\ell^{p}\right)+\delta^{p} \int_{L} v^{p-1} \omega^{p} d x  \tag{3.12}\\
& \quad \leq C\left(r^{n}\left(1+\ell^{p}\right)+\delta M^{p-1} \int_{F} \sigma^{p} d x+\delta^{p} \int_{E}(1+v)^{\gamma} \omega^{p} d x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $\varepsilon>0$. Young's inequality yields

$$
\begin{align*}
(1 & \left.+u^{p-1}+|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right) \omega^{p-1}(\omega+|\nabla \omega|) \\
& \leq C \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}(1+v)^{-\tau}\left(1+u^{p}+|\nabla u|^{p}\right) \omega^{p}+C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}\right)^{1-p}(1+v)^{\gamma}\left(\omega^{p}+|\nabla \omega|^{p}\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $\omega=\eta$ on $E$. We infer from (3.13) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{E}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-1}+u^{p-1}+1\right) \omega^{p-1}(\omega+|\nabla \omega|) d x \\
& \leq C \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \int_{L}(1+v)^{-\tau}\left(1+u^{p}+|\nabla u|^{p}\right) \omega^{p} d x  \tag{3.14}\\
&+C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}\right)^{1-p} \int_{E}(1+v)^{\gamma}\left(\eta^{p}+|\nabla \eta|^{p}\right) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we will estimate the integration on $F$. We use Lemma 3.1 again with $\Phi$ being the characteristic function of the interval $[\ell, M]$ and with $\sigma$ instead of $\omega$. Then the $L^{1}$-norm of $\Phi$ is bounded by $M$ and we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{L}|\nabla u|^{p} \sigma^{p} d x \\
& \leq C M\left(\int_{L}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-1}+u^{p-1}+1\right) \sigma^{p-1}(\sigma+|\nabla \sigma|) d x+\mu(B)\right) \\
&+C \int_{L}\left(1+u^{p}\right) \sigma^{p} d x  \tag{3.15}\\
& \leq C M^{p} \int_{B}\left(\sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}\right) d x \\
&+C M\left(\int_{L}|\nabla u|^{p-1} \sigma^{p-1}(\sigma+|\nabla \sigma|) d x+\mu(B)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $\varepsilon_{1}>0$. A use of Young's inequality yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& |\nabla u|^{p-1} \sigma^{p-1}(\sigma+|\nabla \sigma|) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{M}|\nabla u|^{p} \sigma^{p}+C\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{M}\right)^{1-p}\left(\sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.15) and (3.16) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{L} & \left(|\nabla u|^{p-1}+u^{p-1}+1\right) \sigma^{p-1}(\sigma+|\nabla \sigma|) d x \\
& \leq C M^{p-1} \int_{B}\left(\sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}\right)+\int_{L}|\nabla u|^{p-1} \sigma^{p-1}(\sigma+|\nabla \sigma|) d x \\
& \leq C\left(1+\varepsilon_{1}^{1-p}\right) M^{p-1} \int_{B}\left(\sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}\right) d x+C \frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{M} \int_{L}|\nabla u|^{p} \sigma^{p} d x  \tag{3.17}\\
\leq & C\left(1+\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{1}^{1-p}\right) M^{p-1} \int_{B}\left(\sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}\right) d x \\
& +C \varepsilon_{1} \int_{L}|\nabla u|^{p-1} \sigma^{p-1}(\sigma+|\nabla \sigma|) d x+C \varepsilon_{1} \mu(B) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using $\varepsilon_{1}$ small enough, by a cancellation we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{L}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-1}+u^{p-1}+1\right) \sigma^{p-1}(\sigma+|\nabla \sigma|) d x \\
& \quad \leq C\left(M^{p-1} \int_{B}\left(\sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}\right) d x+\mu(B)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\sigma=\omega$ on $F$, it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{F}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-1}+u^{p-1}+1\right) \omega^{p-1}(\omega+|\nabla \omega|) d x \\
& \quad \leq C\left(M^{p-1} \int_{B}\left(\sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}\right) d x+\mu(B)\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.11), (3.12), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.18) we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{L}(1+v)^{-\tau}|\nabla u|^{p} \omega^{p} d x \\
& \leq C \int_{L}(1+v)^{-\tau}\left(1+u^{p}\right) \omega^{p} d x \\
&+C \delta\left(\int_{L}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-1}+u^{p-1}+1\right) \omega^{p-1}(\omega+|\nabla \omega|) d x+\mu(B)\right) \\
& \leq C \varepsilon \int_{L}(1+v)^{-\tau}|\nabla u|^{p} \omega^{p} d x \\
&+C(1+\varepsilon) \int_{L}(1+v)^{-\tau}\left(1+u^{p}\right) \omega^{p} d x \\
&+C \delta^{p} \varepsilon^{1-p} \int_{E}(1+v)^{\gamma}\left(\eta^{p}+|\nabla \eta|^{p}\right) d x \\
&+C \delta \mu(B)+\delta M^{p-1} \int_{L}\left(\sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}\right) d x \\
& \leq C \varepsilon \int_{L}(1+v)^{-\tau}|\nabla u|^{p} \omega^{p} d x \\
&+C\left(1+\varepsilon+\varepsilon^{1-p}\right)\left(r^{n}\left(1+\ell^{p}\right)+\delta \mu(B)+\delta M^{p-1} \int_{L}\left(\sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}\right) d x\right. \\
&\left.+\delta^{p} \int_{E}(1+v)^{\gamma}\left(\eta^{p}+|\nabla \eta|^{p}\right) d x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $\varepsilon$ small enough it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{L}(1+v)^{-\tau}|\nabla u|^{p} \omega^{p} d x \\
& \leq C\left(r^{n}\left(1+\ell^{p}\right)+\delta^{p} \int_{E}(1+v)^{\gamma}\left(\eta^{p}+|\nabla \eta|^{p}\right) d x\right.  \tag{3.19}\\
&\left.+\delta M^{p-1} \int_{L}\left(\sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}\right)+\delta \mu(B)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.10) and (3.19) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{L}\left|\nabla w_{\delta}\right|^{p} d x \leq C \delta^{-p} r^{n}\left(1+\ell^{p}\right)+C \int_{E}(1+v)^{\gamma}\left(\eta^{p}+|\nabla \eta|^{p}\right) d x \\
& \quad+C \delta^{1-p}\left(M^{p-1} \int_{L}\left(\sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}\right)+\mu(B)\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{E}(1+v)^{\gamma}\left(\eta^{p}+|\nabla \eta|^{p}\right) d x \leq C r^{-p} \int_{E}(1+v)^{\gamma} d x
$$

and

$$
\sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p} \leq C r^{-p} \varphi^{p}+|\nabla \varphi|^{p}+|\nabla \psi|^{p}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{L}\left|\nabla w_{\delta}\right|^{p} d x \leq C r^{-p} \int_{E}(1+v)^{\gamma} d x+C \delta^{-p} r^{n}(1+\ell)^{p} \\
&+C \delta^{1-p}\left(M^{p-1} \int_{L}\left(r^{-p} \varphi^{p}+|\nabla \varphi|^{p}+|\nabla \psi|^{p}\right) d x+\mu(B)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the part (a).
(b) We consider $\kappa>0$; its choice will be specified latter. We continue to use the notation introduced in the course of the proof of (a) with the choice

$$
\delta:=\left(\frac{1}{\kappa r^{n}} \int_{L}(u-\ell)^{\gamma} \omega^{q} d x\right)^{1 / \gamma}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=r^{-n} \int_{L} v^{\gamma} \omega^{q} d x \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.7) and (3.21),

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \kappa r^{n} & =2 \int_{L} v^{\gamma} \omega^{q} d x \\
& \leq 2^{-n} \int_{L} \omega^{q} d x+\int_{L \cap\left\{v^{\gamma} \geq 2^{-n-1}\right\}} v^{\gamma} \omega^{q} d x \\
& \leq 2^{-n}\left(|E|+\int_{F} \sigma^{q} d x\right)+\int_{L \cap\left\{v^{\gamma} \geq 2^{-n-1}\right\}} v^{\gamma} \omega^{q} d x \\
& \leq \kappa r^{n}+\int_{L \cap\left\{v^{\gamma} \geq 2^{-n-1}\right\}} v^{\gamma} \omega^{q} d x+\int_{B} \sigma^{q} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa r^{n} & \leq \int_{\left.B \cap\left\{v^{\gamma} \geq 2^{-n-1}\right\}\right\}} v^{\gamma} \omega^{q} d x+\int_{B} \sigma^{q} d x \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{L} w_{\delta}^{q} d x+\int_{B} \sigma^{q} d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply the Sobolev inequality to the functions $w_{\delta}$ and $\sigma$ and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \kappa^{p / q} \leq\left(r^{-n} \int_{B \cap \Omega} w_{\delta}^{q} d x+r^{-n} \int_{B} \sigma^{q} d x\right)^{p / q}  \tag{3.22}\\
& \quad \leq C r^{p-n}\left(\int_{B \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla w_{\delta}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{B}|\nabla \sigma|^{p} d x\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From (a) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& r^{n-p} \kappa^{p / q} \leq C\left(\int_{L}\left|\nabla w_{\delta}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{B}|\nabla \sigma|^{p} d x\right) \\
& \quad \leq C r^{-p} \int_{E}(1+v)^{\gamma} d x+C \delta^{-p} r^{n}(1+\ell)^{p}  \tag{3.23}\\
& \quad+C \delta^{1-p}\left((\delta+M)^{p-1} \int_{L}\left(\sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}\right) d x+\mu(B)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.7) and (3.8),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{E}(1+v)^{\gamma} d x \leq C\left(|E|+\int_{E} v^{\gamma} d x\right) \\
& \quad \leq C\left(|E|+\int_{L} v^{\gamma} \omega^{q} d x\right)  \tag{3.24}\\
& \quad \leq C \kappa r^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

We infer from (3.23) and (3.24) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa^{p / q} & \leq C_{1} \kappa+C \delta^{-p} r^{p}(1+\ell)^{p} \\
& +C \delta^{1-p} r^{p-n}\left((\delta+M)^{p-1} \int_{L}\left(r^{-p} \sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}\right) d x\right. \\
& +\mu(B))
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for some constant $C_{1}$. If we specify $\kappa$ to be so small that $\kappa^{p / q}-C_{1} \kappa>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 \leq & C \delta^{-p} r^{p}(1+\ell)^{p} \\
& +C \delta^{1-p} r^{p-n}\left((\delta+M)^{p-1} \int_{L}\left(r^{-p} \sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}\right) d x+\mu(B)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that either

$$
1 \leq C \delta^{-p} r^{p}(1+\ell)^{p}
$$

or

$$
1 \leq C \delta^{1-p} r^{p-n}\left((\delta+M)^{p-1} \int_{L}\left(r^{-p} \sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}\right) d x+\mu(B)\right)
$$

Anyway we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{1}{\kappa r^{n}} \int_{L}(u-\ell)^{\gamma} \psi^{q} \eta^{q} d x\right)^{(p-1) / \gamma} \\
& \quad=\delta^{p-1} \leq C r^{p-1}(1+\ell)^{p-1} \\
& \quad+C r^{p-n}\left((\delta+M)^{p-1} \int_{B}\left(r^{-p} \sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}\right) d x+\mu(B)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account the estimates

$$
r^{-p} \sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p} \leq C\left(r^{-p} \varphi^{p}+|\nabla \varphi|^{p}+|\nabla \psi|^{p}\right)
$$

and

$$
\delta \leq C M
$$

we conclude the proof.
3.3 Theorem. Let $u$ be a subsolution of $-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}=\mu$ in $\Omega$. Suppose that either $u$ is upper bounded or $b_{0}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& p \text {-fine-limsup } u(x) \leq C\left(\left(r_{0}^{-n} \int_{B\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) \cap \Omega \cap\{u>0\}} u^{\gamma} d x\right)^{1 / \gamma}\right. \\
& +\int_{0}^{r_{0}}\left(\frac{\mu B\left(x_{0}, r\right)}{r^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{d r}{r}  \tag{3.25}\\
& \left.+\left(1+\|u\|_{\infty}\right) \int_{0}^{2 r_{0}}\left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_{p}\left(B\left(x_{0}, r\right) \backslash \Omega, r\right)}{r^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{d r}{r}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $r_{0} \leq R_{0}$.
Proof: We denote $M=1+\|u\|_{\infty}$ and set $\kappa \in(0,1)$ to be the constant from Lemma 3.2. We set $r_{j}=2^{-j} r_{0}$ and pick cutoff functions $\eta_{j}$ such that $0 \leq \eta_{j} \leq 1$, $\eta_{j}=0$ outside $B\left(x_{0}, r_{j}\right), \eta_{j}=1$ on $B\left(x_{0}, r_{j+1}\right)$ and $\left|\nabla \eta_{j}\right| \leq 5 / r_{j}$. Further, we find functions $g_{j} \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $0 \leq g_{j} \leq 1$, the interior of $\left\{g_{j}=1\right\}$ contains $B\left(x_{0}, r_{j}\right) \backslash \Omega$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(r_{j}^{-p} g_{j}^{p}+\left|\nabla g_{j}\right|^{p}\right) d x \leq C \operatorname{cap}_{p}\left(B\left(x_{0}, r_{j}\right) \backslash \Omega, r_{j}\right) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{j} & =\min \left(1,\left(2-3 g_{j}\right)^{+}\right), \\
\varphi_{j} & =\min \left(1,3 g_{j}+3 g_{j-1}\right), \quad j \geq 1, \\
B_{j} & =B\left(x_{0}, r_{j}\right) \\
L_{j} & =B_{j} \cap \Omega \cap\left\{u \geq \ell_{j}\right\} \\
E_{j} & =L_{j} \cap\left\{\varphi_{j}<1\right\} \\
F_{j} & =L_{j} \cap\left\{\varphi_{j}=1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by (3.26),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{j}}\left(r_{j}^{-p} \varphi_{j}^{p}+|\nabla \varphi|_{j}^{p}\right) d x \leq C \operatorname{cap}_{p}\left(B_{j-1} \backslash \Omega, r_{j}\right)  \tag{3.27}\\
& \int_{B_{j}}|\nabla \psi|_{j}^{p} d x \leq C \operatorname{cap}_{p}\left(B_{j} \backslash \Omega, r_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We define recursively $\ell_{0}=0$,

$$
\ell_{j+1}=\ell_{j}+\left(\frac{1}{\kappa r_{j}^{n}} \int_{L_{j}}\left(u-\ell_{j}\right)^{\gamma} \psi_{j}^{q} \eta_{j}^{q} d x\right)^{1 / \gamma}, \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

We write

$$
\delta_{j}=\ell_{j+1}-\ell_{j}
$$

We claim that, for $j \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{j} \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta_{j-1}+C\left(r_{j}\left(1+\ell_{j}\right)+\left(\frac{\mu B_{j}}{r_{j}^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}\right. \\
& \left.+M\left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_{p}\left(B_{j-1} \backslash \Omega, r_{j}\right)}{r_{j}^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

This is trivial when $\delta_{j} \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta_{j-1}$, so assume that $\delta_{j-1} \leq 2 \delta_{j}$. In this case, since $\psi_{j-1} \eta_{j-1}=1$ on $E_{j}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|E_{j}\right| & \leq \delta_{j-1}^{-\gamma} \int_{L_{j-1}}\left(u-\ell_{j-1}\right)^{\gamma} \psi_{j-1} \eta_{j-1} d x  \tag{3.29}\\
& =\kappa r_{j-1}^{n} \leq 2^{n} \kappa r_{j}^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{E_{j}}\left(u-\ell_{j}\right)^{\gamma} d x \\
& \quad \leq \int_{L_{j-1}}\left(u-\ell_{j-1}\right)^{\gamma} \psi_{j-1}^{q} \eta_{j-1}^{q} d x=\delta_{j-1}^{\gamma} \kappa r_{j-1}^{n}=2^{n+\gamma} \delta_{j}^{\gamma} \kappa r_{j}^{n}  \tag{3.30}\\
& \quad=2^{n+\gamma} \int_{L_{j}}\left(u-\ell_{j}\right)^{\gamma} \psi_{j}^{q} \eta_{j}^{q} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (3.7) and (3.8) are verified and Lemma 3.2 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{j} \leq C\left(r_{j}\left(1+\ell_{j}\right)+\left(\frac{\mu B_{j}}{r_{j}^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}\right. \\
& \left.+M\left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_{p}\left(B_{j-1} \backslash \Omega, r_{j}\right)}{r_{j}^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (3.28). Summing up (3.28) for $j=1, \ldots, k$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \ell_{k+1}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{0}+\cdots+\delta_{k}\right) \leq \delta_{k}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{0}+\cdots+\delta_{k-1}\right) \\
\leq & \delta_{0}+C\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} r_{j}\left(1+\ell_{j+1}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\mu B_{j}}{r_{j}^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}\right. \\
& \left.+M \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_{p}\left(B_{j-1} \backslash \Omega, r\right)}{r_{j}^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}\right) \\
\leq & C r_{0} \ell_{k+1}+C\left(\left(r_{0}^{-n} \int_{E_{0}} u^{\gamma} d x\right)^{1 / \gamma}\right. \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{r_{j}}^{r_{j-1}}\left(\frac{\mu B\left(x_{0}, r\right)}{r^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{d r}{r} \\
& \left.+M \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{r_{j}}^{r_{j-1}}\left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_{p}\left(B\left(x_{0}, 2 r\right) \backslash \Omega, r\right)}{r^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{d r}{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $r_{0} \leq R_{1}:=\frac{1}{2 C_{2}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{j} \ell_{j} \leq & C\left(\left(r_{0}^{-n} \int_{B\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) \cap \Omega \cap\{u>0\}} u^{\gamma} d x\right)^{1 / \gamma}\right. \\
& +\int_{0}^{r_{0}}\left(\frac{\mu B\left(x_{0}, r\right)}{r^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{d r}{r}  \tag{3.31}\\
& \left.+M \int_{0}^{2 r_{0}}\left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_{p}\left(B\left(x_{0}, r\right) \backslash \Omega, r\right)}{r^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{d r}{r}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

If $R_{1}<r_{0}<R_{0}$, then (3.31) holds as well, because then

$$
r_{0} / R_{1} \leq R_{0} / R_{1} \leq C
$$

It remains to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \text {-fine- } \lim _{x \rightarrow z} \sup u(x) \leq \lim _{j} \ell_{j} . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may assume that the right hand part of (3.25) is finite, otherwise the assertion of the theorem is trivial. We choose $\varepsilon>0$ and denote $\ell=\lim _{j} \ell_{j}$. Set

$$
w_{j}=\left(2^{\gamma / q}-1\right)^{-1}\left(\left(1+\frac{(u-\ell-\varepsilon)^{+}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\gamma / q}-1\right) \psi_{j} \eta_{j}
$$

on $\Omega$ and $w_{j}=0$ elsewhere. Then $w_{j} \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(B_{j}\right), w_{j}+\varphi_{j} \eta_{j} \geq 1$ on $B_{j+1} \cap \Omega \cap$ $\{u>\ell+2 \varepsilon\}$ and thus

$$
\operatorname{cap}_{p}\left(B_{j+1} \cap \Omega \cap\{u>\ell+2 \varepsilon\}, r_{j}\right) \leq C \int_{B_{j}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{j}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla\left(\varphi_{j} \eta_{j}\right)\right|^{p}\right) d x
$$

Denote

$$
E_{j}^{\prime}=B_{j} \cap \Omega \cap\{u>\ell+\varepsilon\} \cap\left\{\varphi_{j}<1\right\}
$$

Using Lemma 3.2.a we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{cap}_{p}\left(B_{j+1} \cap \Omega \cap\{u>\ell+2 \varepsilon\}, r_{j}\right) \\
& \leq C \int_{B_{j}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{j}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla\left(\varphi_{j} \eta_{j}\right)\right|^{p}\right) d x \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{-p} r_{j}^{n}\left(1+(\ell+\varepsilon)^{p}\right)\right. \\
&+r_{j}^{-p} \int_{E_{j}^{\prime}}\left(1+\frac{u-\ell-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\gamma} d x \\
&+\varepsilon^{1-p} \mu\left(B_{j}\right) \\
&\left.+\left(1+\varepsilon^{1-p}\right)\left(1+\|u\|_{\infty}\right)^{p-1} \int_{B_{j}}\left(r_{j}^{-p} \varphi_{j}^{p}+\left|\nabla \varphi_{j}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla \psi_{j}\right|^{p}\right) d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j} & \left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_{p}\left(B_{j+1} \cap \Omega \cap\{u>\ell+2 \varepsilon\}, r_{j}\right)}{r_{j}^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \\
\leq & C\left(\varepsilon^{-p /(p-1)} r_{0}^{p /(p-1)}\left(1+\ell^{p}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}\right. \\
& +\sum_{j}\left(r_{j}^{-n} \int_{E_{j}^{\prime}}\left(1+\frac{u-\ell-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\gamma} d x\right)^{1 /(p-1)}  \tag{3.33}\\
& +\varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{j}\left(\frac{\mu\left(B_{j}\right)}{r_{j}^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \\
& \left.+\left(1+\varepsilon^{-1}\right)\left(1+\|u\|_{\infty}\right) \sum_{j}\left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_{p}\left(B_{j} \backslash \Omega, r_{j}\right)}{r_{j}^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(\delta_{j} / \ell\right)^{\gamma /(p-1)} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(\delta_{j} / \ell\right)=1 \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.27) and (3.34) we estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j}\left(r_{j}^{-n} \int_{E_{j}^{\prime}}\left(1+\frac{u-\ell-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\gamma} d x\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \\
& \quad \leq C \sum_{j}\left(r_{j}^{-n} \int_{E_{j}} \varepsilon^{-\gamma}\left(u-\ell_{j-1}\right)^{\gamma} d x\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \\
& \quad \leq C \sum_{j}\left(r_{j}^{-n} \int_{L_{j-1}} \varepsilon^{-\gamma}\left(u-\ell_{j-1}\right)^{\gamma} \psi_{j-1} \varphi_{j-1} d x\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \\
& \quad \leq C \sum_{j}\left(\kappa \varepsilon^{-\gamma} \delta_{j-1}^{\gamma}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

If the right hand part of (3.32) is finite, then the remaining sums on the right hand part of (3.33) also converge (we assumed this), so that the set

$$
\Omega \cap\{u>\ell+2 \varepsilon\}
$$

is $p$-thin at $x_{0}$ for any $\varepsilon>0$. We proved (3.32), which concludes the proof.

## 4. Necessity of the Wiener condition

4.1 Example. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set and let $u_{0} \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Consider the Dirichlet problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=0  \tag{4.1}\\
u-u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then we obtain a unique solution $u$ of (4.1) by minimizing

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{p} d x
$$

in the closed convex set

$$
\left\{v \in W^{1, p}(\Omega): v-u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p} d x
$$

using Poincaré's inequality we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|u-u_{0}\right|^{p} d x\right) \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p} d x\right) \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p}+\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p} d x\right) \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p} d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $M=\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$. If we test the minimizing property by

$$
v(x)= \begin{cases}u, & |u| \leq M \\ M, & u>M \\ -M, & u<M\end{cases}
$$

then we get that $u \leq M$ a.e. Similar estimates hold for all equations of the monotone type.
4.2 Theorem. In addition to (2.1), suppose that for any $u_{0} \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ there is $u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}=0  \tag{4.2}\\
u-u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{0}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p}\right) d x,\|u\|_{\infty} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant $C$ independent of $u_{0}$. Let $z \in \partial \Omega$ and suppose that

$$
\mathbf{w}_{p}\left(z, \mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega\right)<\infty
$$

Then $z$ is irregular for the equation

$$
-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}=0
$$

Proof: Choose $\varepsilon>0, \rho \in(0,1)$ to be specified later. The singleton $\{z\}$ has zero $p$-capacity. Hence, we find a $\mathcal{C}^{1}$-function $u_{0}$ on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ supported in $B(z, 1)$ such that $u_{0}(z)=1$ and $\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\left|u_{0}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p}\right) d x<\varepsilon$. Let $u$ be a continuous solution of (4.2), (4.3). By Theorem 3.3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \text {-fine-lim } \sup u(x) \leq C_{1}\left(\rho^{-n} \int_{B(z, \rho)} u^{\gamma} d x\right)^{1 / \gamma} \\
& \quad+C_{2} \int_{0}^{\rho}\left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_{p}(B(z, r) \backslash \Omega)}{r^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{d r}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hölder's inequality yields

$$
\left(\rho^{-n} \int_{B(x, \rho)}\left|u^{\gamma}\right| d x\right)^{1 / \gamma} \leq C \rho^{-n / p}\left(\int_{B(x, \rho)}|u|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \leq C_{3} \rho^{-n / p} \varepsilon^{1 / p}
$$

Since $\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega$ is $p$-thin at $z$, we can find $\rho \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
C_{2} \int_{0}^{\rho}\left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_{p}(B(z, r) \backslash \Omega)}{r^{n-p}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{d r}{r}<\frac{1}{3}
$$

Then we can specify the choice of $\varepsilon$ so that

$$
C_{1} C_{3} \rho^{-n / p} \varepsilon^{1 / p} \leq \frac{1}{3}
$$

We obtain that

$$
p-\text {-fine-lim } \sup _{x \rightarrow z} u(x)<1=u_{0}(z)
$$

hence $z$ is not regular.

Note added in proof. In a new preprint Gianazza, Marchi and Villani prove Wiener criteria for a related class of equations which is neither a subclass, nor a superclass of the class of equations investigated here.
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