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# Notes on slender prime rings 

Robert El Bashir, Tomáš Kepka


#### Abstract

If $R$ is a prime ring such that $R$ is not completely reducible and the additive group $R(+)$ is not complete, then $R$ is slender.


Keywords: ring, prime, slender
Classification: 16N60

The purpose of this short note is to discuss a few sufficient conditions for a prime ring to be slender. As concerns the concept of slenderness (various results, references, historical remarks, etc.), a reader is fully referred to [4, Chapter III].

## 1. Introduction

In the sequel, $R$ is a non-zero associative ring with unit and modules are unitary left $R$-modules. The ring $R$ is said to be prime (resp. a domain) if $a R b \neq 0$ (resp. $a b \neq 0)$ for all $a, b \in R, a \neq 0 \neq b$. Commutative domains are also called integral domains.

Let $M$ be a module. By a filtration $\mathcal{F}$ of $M$ we mean any sequence $M_{i}, i<\omega$, of submodules of $M$ such that $M_{i} \supseteq M_{i+1}$. The filtration $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be separating if $\bigcap_{\mathcal{F}} M_{i}=0$ and it is said to be discrete if $0 \in \mathcal{F}$. The filtration $\mathcal{F}$ determines a linear closure operator on $M$ and the module $M$ is said to be $\mathcal{F}$-complete if every Cauchy $\mathcal{F}$-sequence of elements from $M$ is convergent.

A module $M$ is said to be complete if it is $\mathcal{F}$-complete for a non-discrete separating filtration $\mathcal{F}$ of $M$.

A left (right) ideal $I$ of $R$ is said to be l. s. U-compact (r. s. U-compact) if every countable subset $S$ of $I$ is contained in a finitely generated left (right) ideal $K \subseteq I$.

The ring $R$ is said to be left (right) $\cap$-compact if the left (right) module ${ }_{R} R$ $\left(R_{R}\right)$ possesses no non-discrete separating filtration.

We denote by $\mathcal{T}_{R}$ the set of ideals $I$ of $R$ such that the factor $R / I$ is completely reducible. For a module $M$, let $\operatorname{Soct}(M)$ be the set of all $x \in M$ such that $(0: x)$ contains an ideal from $\mathcal{T}_{R}$. Finally, let $V=R^{\omega}, U=R^{(\omega)}$ and $W=V / U$. If $i<\omega$, then $V[i]=\{a \in V ; a(j)=0$ for every $j<i\}$.

For further basic terminology concerning rings and modules, we refer to [1].
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## 2. Slender modules

A module $M$ is said to be slender if, for every homomorphism $\varphi: V \rightarrow M$, $\varphi\left(e_{i}\right)=0$ for almost all $i<\omega$. The following result is implicitly contained in [5] and is proved in [3] for torsionfree modules over integral domains:
2.1 Proposition. A module $M$ is slender if and only if $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(W, M)=0$ and $M$ is not complete.
2.2 Proposition. Let $M$ be a module such that there exists a filtration $I_{i}, i<\omega$, of $R$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $I_{i}$ is a r. s. $\cup$-compact ideal for every $i<\omega$.
(2) If $i<\omega$ and $0 \neq u \in M$, then $I_{i} u \neq 0$.
(3) $\bigcap_{\omega} I_{i} M=0$.

Then the module $M$ is slender if and only if it is not complete.
Proof: The result is an immediate consequence of the following observation:
2.3 Observation. Let $I_{i}, i<\omega$, be a filtration of $R_{R}$ such that all the right ideals $I_{i}$ are r. s. $\cup$-compact. Put $\mathcal{E}=\left\{I_{i} V[i] ; i<\omega\right\}$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is a separating filtration of $V(+)$ and $V(+)$ is $\mathcal{E}$-complete.

Now, let $\varphi: V \rightarrow M$ be a (module) homomorphism. Put $\mathcal{G}=\varphi(\mathcal{E})=$ $\left\{I_{i} \varphi(V[i])\right\}$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is a filtration of $M(+), \varphi$ is continuous and $M(+)$ is $\mathcal{G}$ complete.

Assume $\bigcap \mathcal{G}=0$. Then $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)$ is $\mathcal{E}$-closed in $V$. If $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)$ is $\mathcal{E}$-open, then $I_{m} \varphi(V[m])=0$ for some $m<\omega$. If $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)$ is not $\mathcal{E}$-open, then $\mathcal{G}$ is not discrete. Now, $\mathcal{G}$ is a non-discrete separating filtration of $M(+)$ and $M(+)$ is $\mathcal{G}$-complete. In particular, if the right ideals $I_{i}$ are two-sided, then $M$ is a complete module.
2.4 Corollary. Suppose that there exists a separating filtration $I_{i}, i<\omega$, of $R$ such that $I_{i}$ is an r. s. U-compact ideal and $\left(0: I_{i}\right)_{r}=0$ for every $i<\omega$. Then the ring $R$ is left slender if and only if it is not left complete.
2.5 Corollary. Let $M$ be a module such that there exists a countable non-empty set $\mathcal{M}$ of submodules of $M$ satisfying the following properties:
(1) $\cap \mathcal{M}=0$.
(2) $(0: M / N)$ is $r . s . \cup$-compact for every $N \in \mathcal{M}$.
(3) $(0: M / N) \in \mathcal{T}_{R}$ for every $N \in \mathcal{M}$.

Then $M$ is slender if and only if $\operatorname{Soct}(M)=0$ and $M$ is not complete.
2.6 Corollary. Suppose that $R$ possesses a countable non-empty set $\mathcal{M}$ of maximal left ideals such that $\bigcap \mathcal{M}=0,(0: R / I) \in \mathcal{T}_{R}$ and $(0: R / I)$ is r. s. $\cup$-compact for every $I \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $R$ is left slender if and only if $\operatorname{Soct}_{l}(R)=0$ and $R$ is not left complete.

## 3. Prime rings and slenderness

3.1 Theorem. Let $R$ be a prime ring.
(i) If every right ideal is an ideal and $R$ is not right $\cap$-compact, then $R$ is a domain and $R$ is left slender if and only if $R$ is not left complete.
(ii) If the additive group $R(+)$ is not complete, then $R$ is slender if and only if $R$ is not isomorphic to a (full) matrix ring over a division ring.
(iii) If $\operatorname{card}(R) \geq 2^{\omega}$ and the additive group $R(+)$ is not complete, then $R$ is slender.

Proof: (i) Clearly, $R$ is a right uniform domain, and hence there is a separating filtration $r_{i} R, i<\omega$, of non-zero principal right ideals and it remains to apply 2.4.
(ii) Let $p$ denote the characteristic of $R$. If $p>0$, then $\operatorname{card}(R)<2^{\omega}$ (since $R(+)$ is not complete) and we can use [2, Theorem 4.1]. If $p=0$ and $R(+)$ is reduced, then $R(+)$ is slender (see [6]) and consequently $R$ is also slender. Assume finally that $p=0$ and the divisible part $Q(+)$ of $R(+)$ is non-zero.

Obviously, $Q$ is an ideal of $R$ and the factorgroup $R(+) / Q(+)$ is slender ([6]), and hence the factormodule ${ }_{R} R / Q$ is slender, too. Now, it remains to show that the module ${ }_{R} Q$ is slender. However, since $Q(+)$ is not complete, we have $\operatorname{card}(Q)<2^{\omega}$ and then we can proceed similarly as in the proof of [2, Theorem 4.1].
(iii) This assertion follows easily from (ii).
3.2 Proposition. Let $R$ be a domain satisfying maximal condition on principal left ideals and such that $R$ is not a division ring and that every right ideal of $R$ is an ideal. Then $R$ is left slender if and only if $R$ is not left complete.

Proof: Clearly, $R$ is not right $\cap$-compact and the result follows from 3.1 (i).
3.3 Proposition. Let $R$ be an integral domain, not a field, satisfying at least one of the following conditions:
(1) $R$ is noetherian.
(2) $R$ is a unique factorization domain.
(3) The quotient field of $R$ is a countably generated $R$-module (see [3, Theorem 20]).
(4) $R$ is not $\cap$-compact.

Then $R$ is slender if and only if it is not complete.
Proof: The first two cases follow from 3.2, the condition (3) implies (4) and, when (4) is true, the result follows from 3.1 (i).
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