

Birendra Kumar Sharma; Daya Ram Sahu
Firmly pseudo-contractive mappings and fixed points

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 38 (1997), No. 1, 101--108

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118905>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1997

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Firmly pseudo-contractive mappings and fixed points

B.K. SHARMA, D.R. SAHU

Abstract. We give some fixed point theorems for firmly pseudo-contractive mappings defined on nonconvex subsets of a Banach space. We also prove some fixed point results for firmly pseudo-contractive mappings with unbounded nonconvex domain in a reflexive Banach space.

Keywords: firmly pseudo-contractive mappings on nonconvex domains, fixed points

Classification: 47H10

1. Introduction

Let X be a real Banach space and D be a nonempty subset of X . An operator $T : D \rightarrow X$ is said to be firmly pseudo-contractive if for each $x, y \in D$ and $\lambda > 0$

$$(1) \quad \|x - y\| \leq \|(1 - \lambda)(x - y) + \lambda(T(x) - T(y))\|.$$

If (1) holds locally, i.e. if each $x \in D$ has a neighborhood U such that the restriction of T to U is firmly pseudo-contractive, then T is said to be a local firmly pseudo-contractive.

Following Kato [6], we are able to find an equivalent definition for firmly pseudo-contractive operators. An operator $T : D \rightarrow X$ is firmly pseudo-contractive if and only if for every $x, y \in D$ there exists $j \in J(x - y)$ such that

$$(2) \quad \langle T(x) - T(y), j \rangle \geq \|x - y\|^2,$$

where $j : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is the normalized duality mapping which is defined by

$$J(u) = \{j \in X^* : \langle u, j \rangle = \|u\|^2, \|j\| = \|u\|\}$$

(see Browder [1] and Kato [6]). It is an immediate consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem that $J(u)$ is nonempty for each $u \in X$.

The firmly pseudo-contractive mappings are characterized by the fact a mapping $T : D \rightarrow X$ is firmly pseudo-contractive if and only if the mappings $f = T - I$ is accretive on D (see Lemma 2.2). Recent interest in mapping theory for accretive operators (e.g. [1], [3], [6], [8], [9]) particularly as it relates to existence theorems for nonlinear ordinary and partial differential equations, has prompted a corresponding interest in the fixed point theory for firmly pseudo-contractive mappings.

We prove approximating fixed point and fixed point theorems for firmly pseudo-contractive nonself mapping $T : D \rightarrow X$, where D is a nonconvex closed subset of Banach space X . In Section 3, we present some theorems for firmly pseudo-contractive mappings with unbounded nonconvex domain in Banach space by applying the results derived in Section 2.

Notation 2. Weak (weak*) convergence of a sequence $\{x_n\}$ will be denoted by $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$ ($x_n \xrightarrow{w^*} x$) and strong convergence by $x_n \rightarrow x$. The set of fixed points of a mapping T will be denoted by $F(T)$.

2. Approximating fixed points of firmly pseudo-contractive mappings

Before giving our results, we give some lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(X, (\cdot, \cdot))$ be a real Hilbert space, $\phi \neq D \subset X$ and $T : D \rightarrow X$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) T is firmly pseudo-contractive;
- (b) $\|x - y\|^2 + \|(I - T)(x) - (I - T)(y)\|^2 \leq \|T(x) - T(y)\|^2$ for all $x, y \in D$;
- (c) $T - I$ is monotone.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a real Banach space, $\phi \neq D \subset X$ and $T : D \rightarrow X$. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) T is firmly pseudo-contractive;
- (b) $2I - T$ is pseudo-contractive;
- (c) $T - I$ is accretive.

Above lemmas can be shown by simple calculations.

Lemma 2.3. *Let X be a real Banach space, $\alpha, \beta \in R, x, y \in X$ and*

$$\|x - y\| \leq \|(1 - \alpha)x - (1 - \beta)y\|.$$

Then $\langle \alpha x - \beta y, j \rangle \leq 0$ for all $j \in J(x - y)$.

PROOF: It follows from Kato [6]. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let X be a real smooth Banach space, $\phi \neq D \subset X$ and $T : D \rightarrow X$ is firmly pseudo-contractive. Suppose for $x \in D$ there is a $\lambda > 1$ such that $x = \lambda T(x)$. Then $\langle x, J(y - x) \rangle \geq 0$ for all $y \in F(T)$.*

PROOF: Set $r = -(\lambda^{-1} - 1)$. By firmly pseudo-contractivity of T , we have for all $y \in F(T)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda^{-1}x - y, j(y - x) \rangle &= \langle T(x) - T(y), J(y - x) \rangle \\ &\leq -\|x - y\|^2 \\ &= \langle x - y, J(y - x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

yields

$$\langle -rx, J(y - x) \rangle \leq 0,$$

where $r > 0$. Therefore $\langle x, J(y - x) \rangle \geq 0$, completing the proof. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a real smooth Banach space possessing a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J : X \rightarrow X^*$, $\phi \neq D \subset X$ be closed and $T : D \rightarrow X$ continuous firmly pseudo-contractive. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in D with $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a strictly decreasing real sequence in $(1, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 1$ such that $x_n = \lambda_n T(x_n)$ for all $n \in N$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ and $F(T) \neq \phi$.*

PROOF: For $x_m, x_n \in D$, $m \geq n$, by inequality (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_m - x_n\| &\leq \|(1 - \lambda)(x_m - x_n) + \lambda(\lambda_m^{-1}x_m - \lambda_n^{-1}x_n)\| \\ &= \|(1 - \lambda(1 - \lambda_m^{-1}))x_m - (1 - \lambda(1 - \lambda_n^{-1}))x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\langle (1 - \lambda_m^{-1})x_m - (1 - \lambda_n^{-1})x_n, J(x_m - x_n) \rangle \leq 0,$$

since $(1 - \lambda_m^{-1}) > (1 - \lambda_n^{-1}) \geq 0$ for $m > n$, hence from Lemma 2 of [10] we get

$$\langle x_m, J(x_n - x_m) \rangle \geq 0.$$

For fixed $m \in N$, $(x_n - x_m) \xrightarrow{w} (x - x_m)$, hence by [4] $J(x_n - x_m) \xrightarrow{w^*} J(x - x_m)$ and hence (3) implies

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_m, J(x_n - x_m) \rangle = \langle x_m, J(x - x_m) \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - x_m\|^2 &= \langle x, J(x - x_m) \rangle - \langle x_m, J(x - x_m) \rangle \\ &\leq \langle x, J(x - x_m) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m = x$, because $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle x, J(x - x_m) \rangle = 0$. Since T is continuous and $x_n = \lambda_n T(x_n)$, it follows $T(x) = x$. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let X be a real smooth Banach space possessing a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J : X \rightarrow X^*$, $\phi \neq D \subset X$ and $T : D \rightarrow X$ firmly pseudo-contractive. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in D with $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$ and $T(x) = x$ for $x \in D$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a real sequence in $(1, \infty)$ such that $x_n = \lambda_n T(x_n)$ for all $n \in N$. Then*

- (a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$;
- (b) $\langle x, J(y - x) \rangle \geq 0$ for all $y \in F(T)$.

PROOF: (a) Since $x = T(x)$ for $x \in D$ and $x_n = \lambda_n T(x_n)$ for all $n \in N$, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\langle x_n, J(x - x_n) \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } n \in N.$$

Therefore for all $n \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - x_n\|^2 &= \langle x, J(x - x_n) \rangle - \langle x_n, J(x - x_n) \rangle \\ &\leq \langle x, J(x - x_n) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(x - x_n) \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and J is weakly sequentially continuous at zero, we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x - x_n\| = 0$.

(b) Fix $y \in F(T)$, hence by Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\langle x_n, T(y - x_n) \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } n \in N.$$

Since X is smooth, J is strong-weak* continuous (see e.g. [4]) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y - x_n) = (y - x)$, we conclude that $J(y - x_n) \xrightarrow{w^*} J(y - x)$. Therefore,

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n, J(y - x_n) \rangle = \langle x, J(y - x) \rangle,$$

completing the proof. □

Lemma 2.7. *Let X be a real Banach space, $\phi \neq D \subset X$ be closed, $T : D \rightarrow X$ firmly pseudo-contractive and $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a real sequence in $(1, \infty)$. Suppose $\{S_n\}$ be a surjective mapping from X into itself defined by*

$$(4) \quad S_n = \lambda_n T + (\lambda_n - 1)A \quad \text{for all } n \in N.$$

Then for each $n \in N$ there is exactly one $x_n \in D$ such that

$$x_n = \lambda_n T(x_n) + (\lambda_n - 1)A(x_n) \quad \text{for all } n \in N.$$

(A stands for specific function defined by $A = u + kI$ for some u in X and for some k in $(-1, \infty)$).

PROOF: Since T is firmly pseudo-contractive, then for $x, y \in D$, $n \in N$, there exists $j \in J(x - y)$ so that from (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_n(x) - S_n(y), j \rangle &= \lambda_n \langle T(x) - T(y), j \rangle + (\lambda_n - 1)k \|x - y\|^2 \\ &\geq [\lambda_n + (\lambda_n - 1)k] \|x - y\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

yields

$$\|S_n(x) - S_n(y)\| \geq a_n \|x - y\|,$$

where $a_n = [\lambda_n - (\lambda_n - 1)k] > 1$. Since $a_n > 1$ for all $n \in N$, it follows from Theorem 1 of [12] that S_n possesses exactly one fixed point x_n in D . It means that

$$x_n = \lambda_n T(x_n) + (\lambda_n - 1)A(x_n) \quad \text{for all } n \in N,$$

completing the proof. □

Now we prove our results as below.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a real reflexive Banach space possessing a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J : X \rightarrow X^*$, $\phi \neq D \subset X$ be closed and bounded, $T : D \rightarrow X$ continuous firmly pseudo-contractive and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a strictly decreasing real sequence in $(1, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 1$. Suppose $\{S_n\}$ is a sequence of surjective mappings from X into itself defined by*

$$S_n = \lambda_n T + (\lambda_n - 1)A \text{ for all } n \in N,$$

where A is a linear operator on D into X defined by $Ax = k'x$ for all $x \in D$ and for some $k' \in (-1, \infty)$. Then

(a) for each $n \in N$ there is exactly one $x_n \in D$ such that

$$x_n = (\lambda_n / (1 - (\lambda_n - 1)k')) T(x_n);$$

(b) $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to some fixed point of T .

PROOF: Part (a) follows from Lemma 2.7, so (b) remains to be proved. Since X is reflexive and D is bounded, there exists $z \in X$ and a subsequence $\{x_{\mu_n}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{\mu_n} \xrightarrow{w} z$ (Pettis' theorem). Applying Lemma 2.5, we conclude that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{\mu_n} = z$ and $z = Tz$. Again applying Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\langle z, J(y - z) \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } y \in F(T),$$

and the result follows by Theorem 1.7 of [11]. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a real smooth Banach space possessing a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J : X \rightarrow X^*$, $\phi \neq D \subset X$ be closed and $T : D \rightarrow X$ continuous firmly pseudo-contractive. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in D with $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ a strictly increasing real sequence in $(0, 1)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 1$ such that*

$$(2\lambda_n - 1)x_n = \lambda_n T(x_n) \text{ for all } n \in N.$$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ and $x \in F(T)$.

PROOF: Defining $\delta_n = \lambda[1 - (2\lambda_n - 1)\lambda_n^{-1}]$ for all $n \in N$, hence for $m > n$, $\delta_n > \delta_m \geq 0$ from (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - x_m\| &\leq \|(1 - \lambda)(x_n - x_m) + \lambda[(2\lambda_n - 1)\lambda_n^{-1}x_n - (2\lambda_m - 1)\lambda_m^{-1}x_m]\| \\ &= \|(1 - \delta_n)x_n - (1 - \delta_m)x_m\|. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\langle \delta_n x_n - \delta_m x_m, J(x_n - x_m) \rangle \leq 0,$$

it follows from Lemma 2 and 3 of [10] that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. Since T is continuous and $(2\lambda_n - 1)x_n = \lambda_n T(x_n)$, the result follows. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a real reflexive Banach space possessing a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J : X \rightarrow X^*$, $\phi \neq \emptyset \subset X$ be closed, bounded and starshaped with respect to zero and $T : D \rightarrow X$ continuous firmly pseudo-contractive. Then $F(T) \neq \emptyset$.*

PROOF: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $T_n = \lambda_n(2I - T) : D \rightarrow D$, and $\lambda_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. Then by Lemma 2.2 T_n is strictly pseudo-contractive and hence it follows from Corollary 1 of [3] that T_n possesses exactly one fixed point $x_n \in D$. Since X is reflexive and $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, there exists an $x \in D$ and some subsequence $\{x_{\psi_n}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{\psi_n} \xrightarrow{w} x$. The result follows from Theorem 2.2. □

3. Fixed points of firmly pseudo-contractive mappings with unbounded nonconvex domain

In [5], Goebel and Kuczumow proved a result for nonexpansive mappings on a closed convex subset of a Hilbert space which is expanded in [2], [7], [9], [13].

Thus it is interesting to investigate the existence of fixed points for firmly pseudo-contractive mappings defined on closed unbounded nonconvex subset in Banach space. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a real Banach space, $\phi \neq \emptyset \subset X$ and $T : D \rightarrow X$ firmly pseudo-contractive. Suppose the set*

$$(5) \quad G(z) = \{u \in D : (r - 1)\|u - z\|^2 + r\langle T(z), j \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for some } j \in J(u - z) \text{ and } r > 1\}$$

is bounded for some z in D . Then the set $H = \{x \in D : x = \lambda T(x) \text{ for some } \lambda > 1\}$ is bounded.

PROOF: Without loss of generality we may assume that $z = 0$ and $T(0) \neq 0$. Let $x \in H$, then $x = \lambda T(x)$ for some $\lambda > 1$. Since T is firmly pseudo-contractive, there exists $j \in J(x)$ such that

$$\langle T(x) - T(0), j \rangle \geq \|x - 0\|^2,$$

i.e.

$$\lambda^{-1}\|x\|^2 - \langle T(0), j \rangle \geq \|x\|^2$$

yielding

$$\langle T(0), j \rangle + t\|x\|^2 \leq 0 \text{ for some } j \in J(x),$$

where $t = (1 - \lambda^{-1}) < 1$, hence $x \in G(0)$. Since $G(0)$ is bounded, therefore H is bounded. □

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a real smooth Banach space possessing a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J : X \rightarrow X^*$, $\phi \neq D \subset X$ be closed and $T : D \rightarrow X$ continuous firmly pseudo-contractive. Suppose $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a strictly decreasing real sequence in $(1, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 1$ and $\{S_n\}$ is a sequence of surjective mappings from X into itself defined by*

$$S_n = \lambda_n T + (\lambda_n - 1)A \quad \text{for all } n \in N,$$

where $A : D \rightarrow X$ is a linear operator on D into X defined by $Ax = hx$ for all $x \in D$ and for some $h \in (-1, \infty)$. Also suppose that the set $G(z)$ is bounded for some $z \in D$. Then $F(T) \neq \phi$.

PROOF: For $n \in N$, by Lemma 2.7, we obtain

$$x_n = (1 - (\lambda_n - 1)h)^{-1} \lambda_n T(x_n).$$

Set $c_n = (1 - (\lambda_n - 1)h)^{-1} \lambda_n$ for all $n \in N$. Since $c_n > 1$, $n \in N$, then we conclude from Lemma 3.1 that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Applying Lemma 2.5, we get the result. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a real reflexive Banach space possessing a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J : X \rightarrow X^*$, $\phi \neq D \subset X$ be closed and starshaped with respect to zero and $T : D \rightarrow X$ continuous firmly pseudo-contractive. Suppose that the set $G(z)$ is bounded for some $z \in D$. Then $F(T) \neq \phi$.*

PROOF: As in proof of Theorem 2.4, for each $n \in N$ there exists a unique $x_n \in D$ such that $x_n = (2\lambda_n - 1)^{-1} \lambda_n T(x_n)$, where $\lambda_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. Hence, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Thus the result follows by Theorem 2.2. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Browder F.E., *Nonlinear mappings of nonexpansive and accretive type in Banach spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 875–882.
- [2] Carbone A., Marino G., *Fixed points and almost fixed points of nonexpansive maps in Banach spaces*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (4) **13** (1987), 385–393.
- [3] Deimling K., *Zeros of accretive operators*, Manuscripta Math. **13** (1974), 365–374.
- [4] Diestel J., *Geometry of Banach Spaces, Selected Topics*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 485, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1975.
- [5] Goebel K., Kuczumow T., *A contribution to the theory of nonexpansive mappings*, Bull. Can. Math. Soc. **70** (1978), 355–357.
- [6] Kato T., *Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **19** (1967), 508–520.
- [7] Kirk W.A., Ray W.O., *Fixed point theorem for mappings defined on unbounded sets in Banach spaces*, Studio Math. **64** (1979), 127–138.
- [8] Martin R.H., *Differential equations on closed subsets of a Banach space*, Trans. Math. Soc. **81** (1981), 71–74.
- [9] Ray W.O., *Zeros of accretive operators defined on unbounded sets*, Houston J. Math. **5** (1979), 133–139.

- [10] Schu J., *Iterative approximation of fixed point of nonexpansive mappings with starshaped domain*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **31.2** (1990), 277–282.
- [11] Schu J., *Approximation of fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1991), 143–151.
- [12] Wang S.Z., Y B., Gao M., Iseki K., *Some fixed point theorems on expansion mappings*, Math. Japon. **29** (1984), 631–636.
- [13] Williamson T.E., *A geometric approach to fixed points of nonself mapping $T : D \rightarrow X$* , Contemp. Math. **18** (1983), 243–253.

SCHOOL OF STUDIES IN MATHEMATICS, PT. RAVINSHAKAR SHUKLA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR
492010, INDIA

(Received January 25, 1995, revised November 8, 1995)