

Taras Radul

A functional representation of the hyperspace monad

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 38 (1997), No. 1, 165--168

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118912>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1997

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A functional representation of the hyperspace monad

TARAS RADUL

Abstract. A functional representation of the hyperspace monad, based on the semilattice structure of function space, is constructed.

Keywords: semilattice, hyperspace monad

Classification: 54B20, 54B30, 18C15

0. All spaces are compact Hausdorff spaces (compacta), all mappings are continuous. A functional representation of the hyperspace functor \exp is given in [1]. This representation essentially uses the linear structure on function spaces.

From the algebraic point of view hyperspaces are free Louson semilattices. Hence it would be natural to involve the semi-lattice structure on function spaces into representations of the hyperspace functor. In this paper such a representation is given not only for the hyperspace functor but also for the hyperspace monad.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 1 we give some necessary definitions and recall a result from [1], in Section 2 we construct the monad \mathbb{E} and in Section 3 we prove that the monad \mathbb{E} and the hyperspace monad are isomorphic.

1. By *Comp* we denote the category whose objects are compacta (compact Hausdorff spaces) and morphisms are continuous mappings.

For a compactum X by $\exp X$ we denote the set of non-void compact subsets of X provided with the Vietoris topology. A base of this topology consists of the sets of the form $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle = \{A \in \exp X \mid A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i, A \cap U_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for each } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ where U_1, \dots, U_n are open in X . The space $\exp X$ is called the hyperspace of X .

For a continuous mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ the mapping $\exp f : \exp X \rightarrow \exp Y$ is defined by the formula $\exp f(A) = fA \in \exp Y, A \in \exp X$. It is easy to see that this defines a functor $\exp : \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$ (the hyperspace functor).

Let F, G be two functors in the category *Comp*. We say that a transformation $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$ is defined if for every $X \in \text{Comp}$ is defined the mapping $\varphi X : FX \rightarrow GX$. The transformation $\varphi = \{\varphi X\}$ is called natural if for every mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ we have $\varphi Y \circ F(f) = G(f) \circ \varphi X$.

A monad $\mathbb{T} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ in a category \mathcal{E} consists of an endofunctor $T : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and natural transformations $\eta : \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow T$ (unity), $\mu : T^2 \rightarrow T$ (multiplication) satisfying the relations $\mu \circ T\eta = \mu \circ \eta T = \mathbf{1}_T$ and $\mu \circ \mu T = \mu \circ T\mu$.

A natural transformation $\psi : T \rightarrow T'$ is called a morphism from monad $\mathbb{T} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ into monad $\mathbb{T}' = (T', \eta', \mu')$ if $\psi \circ \eta = \eta'$ and $\psi \circ \mu = \mu' \circ \psi T' \circ T\psi$.

A morphism of monads is called an isomorphism, provided each component ψX is a homeomorphism.

Define the natural transformations $s : \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}_{\text{comp}}} \rightarrow \text{exp}$ and $u : \text{exp}^2 \rightarrow \text{exp}$ as follows: $sX(x) = \{x\}$ for each $x \in X$; $uX(\mathcal{A}) = \cup \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A} \in \text{exp}^2 X$. Then $\mathbb{H} = (\text{exp}, s, u)$ is monad ([2]).

Now we recall the result from [1]. Let us denote by $C_+(X)$ the space of non-negative continuous functions on X with natural metric, order, linear and multiplicative structures. By α_X we denote the function equal α on X .

By $\Phi(X)$ we denote all functionals $\varphi : C_+(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying next conditions:

- (1) $\varphi(f + g) \leq \varphi(f) + \varphi(g)$,
 - (2) $\varphi(fg) \leq \varphi(f)\varphi(g)$,
 - (3) $f \leq g$ implies $\varphi(f) \leq \varphi(g)$,
 - (4) $\psi(\alpha f) = \alpha\varphi(f)$,
 - (5) $\psi(f + \alpha_X) = \psi(f) + \alpha$,
 - (6) $\varphi(\alpha_X) = \alpha$,
- where $f, g \in C_+(X)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

The set $\Phi(X)$ provides a topology with a base consisting of sets of the form $(\mu; \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n; \varepsilon) = \{\mu' \in \Phi(X) \mid |\mu'(\varphi_i) - \mu(\varphi_i)| < \varepsilon \text{ for each } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ where $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in C_+(X)$, $\varepsilon > 0$. It is shown in [1] that the functor $\Phi(X)$ is naturally isomorphic to the hyperspace functor.

2. Let us consider the space $C(X; [0; 1])$ equipped by sup-metric and semilattice operation – pointwise minimum.

Let $\mu \in C(C(X, [0; 1]), [0; 1])$. We say that μ is normed if $\mu(c_X) = c$ for each $c \in [0; 1]$.

We say that μ is supported on a closed set $A \subset X$ if for each functions $g_1, g_2 : X \rightarrow [0; 1]$ such that $g_1|_A = g_2|_A$ we have $\mu(g_1) = \mu(g_2)$.

The minimal closed set A on which μ is supported will be called the support of μ (briefly $A = \text{supp}(\mu)$). So, we can consider μ as an element from $C(C(A, [0; 1]), [0; 1])$ if $A = \text{supp}(\mu)$.

We say that μ is symmetric on its support if for each $\varphi \in C(A, [0; 1])$ for each $h \in \text{Auth}(\varphi(A))$ we have $\mu(\varphi) = \mu(h \circ \varphi)$. Finally we say that μ preserve the semilattice operation if $\mu(\min\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}) = \min\{\mu(\varphi_1), \mu(\varphi_2)\}$ for each $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C(X, [0; 1])$.

Let us define the space $E(X)$ consisting of $\mu \in C(C(X, [0; 1]), [0; 1])$ which are normed, symmetric on its support and preserve the semilattice operation. The space $E(X)$ provides a topology with a base consisting of sets of the form $(\mu; \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n; \varepsilon) = \{\mu' \in \Phi(X) \mid |\mu'(\varphi_i) - \mu(\varphi_i)| < \varepsilon \text{ for each } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ where $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in C(X, [0; 1])$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Define the mapping $E(f) : E(X) \rightarrow E(Y)$ by the formula $E(f)(\mu)(\varphi) = \mu(f^*(\varphi))$ where $\mu \in E(X)$, $\varphi \in C(Y, [0; 1])$ and the mapping $f^* : C(Y, [0; 1]) \rightarrow C(X, [0; 1])$ can be defined by the formula $f^*(\varphi)(x) = \varphi(f(x))$, $x \in X$, $\varphi \in C(Y, [0; 1])$.

Later we shall prove that $E(X)$ is a compactum. So, E is an endofunctor on the category $Comp$.

Let us define the mapping $\eta X : X \rightarrow E(X)$ by the formula $\eta X(x)(\varphi) = \varphi(x)$ and the mapping $\mu X : E^2(X) \rightarrow E(X)$ by the formula $\mu X(\alpha)(g) = \alpha(\tilde{g})$ where $\alpha \in E^2(X)$, $g \in C(X, [0; 1])$ and the mapping $\tilde{g} : E(X) \rightarrow [0; 1]$ is defined by the formula $\tilde{g}(\mu) = \mu(g)$, $\mu \in E(X)$.

It is easy to check that ηX and μX are the components of natural transformations η and μ such that $\mathbb{E} = (E, \eta, \mu)$ is the monad on the category $Comp$.

3. In this section we prove that the monad \mathbb{E} is isomorphic to the monad $\mathbb{H} = (\exp, s, u)$.

Let us consider the mapping $tX : \exp X \rightarrow E(X)$ defined by the formula $t(A)(f) = \inf f(A)$, $f \in C(X, [0; 1])$.

Lemma. *The mapping tX is homeomorphism from $\exp X$ onto $E(X)$.*

PROOF: Let $tX(A) = \mu \in E(X)$ and $(\mu; \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n; \varepsilon)$ be a neighborhood of μ . Choose an open covering O_1, \dots, O_k of A such that $\text{diam } \varphi_i(O_j) < \varepsilon$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then we have $tX(\langle O_1, \dots, O_k \rangle) \subset (\mu; \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n; \varepsilon)$ and hence the mapping tX is continuous.

Consider $A_1, A_2 \in \exp X$ such that $A_1 \neq A_2$. We may assume that there exists a point $a \in A_1$ such that $a \notin A_2$. Choose a function $f \in C(X, [0; 1])$ such that $f(a) = 0$ and $f(A_2) = 1$. Then we have $tX(A_1)(f) = 0 < 1 = tX(A_2)(f)$. Hence the mapping tX is injective.

Let us prove that the mapping tX is surjective. Consider $\nu \in E(X)$. We can assume that $\text{supp}(\nu) = X$. So, we must show that $\nu(f) = \inf f(X)$. We can assume that $\inf f(X) = 0$.

Suppose $\nu(f) = a > 0$. Since ν is normed, there exists a point $x \in X$ such that $f(x) \geq a$. We can assume that $f(x) \leq a$ for each $x \in X$ (in the opposite case we can consider the function $\inf\{f, a_X\}$).

Let us consider two cases:

(1) $f(X) = [0; a]$. Define a homeomorphism $h : [0; a] \rightarrow [0; a]$ by the formula $h(t) = a - t$, $t \in [0; a]$. It follows from the symmetry condition that $\nu(h \circ f) = \nu(f) = a$. Put $g = \inf\{h \circ f, f\}$. Then we have $\nu(g) = \inf\{\nu(h \circ f), \nu(f)\} = a$ but $g(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}a$ for each $x \in X$. Hence $\nu(g) \leq \frac{1}{2}a$ and we obtain the contradiction.

(2) There exists a point $b \in (0; a)$ such that $b \notin f(X)$. Consider the function $f_1 : X \rightarrow \{b; a\}$ defined by the formula:

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} a, & f(x) > b \\ b, & f(x) < b. \end{cases}$$

Since $f \leq f_1 \leq a_X$, we have $\nu(f_1) = a$. Define the function

$$f_2(x) = \begin{cases} b, & f(x) > b \\ a, & f(x) < b. \end{cases}$$

It follows from the symmetry condition that $\nu(f_2) = \nu(f_1) = a$. But $\inf\{f_1, f_2\} = b_X$ and $\nu(\inf\{f_1, f_2\}) = b$. We obtain the contradiction again.

Thus the mapping tX is homeomorphism and the lemma is proved. \square

It follows from Lemma that $E(X)$ is compactum.

Theorem. *A transformation $t = \{tX\}$ is the isomorphism from monad $\mathbb{H} = (\exp, s, u)$ into monad $\mathbb{E} = (E, \eta, \mu)$.*

PROOF: Let us show that t is natural transformation. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping and $A \in \exp X$. Then we have $tY \circ \exp f(A) = \inf \varphi(f(A))$, $\varphi \in C(Y, [0; 1])$ and $E(f) \circ tX(A)(\varphi) = \inf f^*(\varphi)(A) = \inf \varphi(f(A))$.

Now let us show that t is the morphism of monads \mathbb{H} and \mathbb{E} . The identity $t \circ s = \eta$ is obvious. Let us check the identity $t \circ u = \mu \circ tE \circ \exp t$. Take any $\mathcal{A} \in \exp^2 X$ and $\varphi \in C(X, [0; 1])$. Then we have $t \circ u(\mathcal{A})(\varphi) = \inf \varphi(\cup \mathcal{A})$ and $\mu \circ tE \circ \exp t(\mathcal{A})(\varphi) = tE \circ \exp t(\mathcal{A})(\tilde{\varphi}) = \inf\{\inf \varphi(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\} = \inf \varphi(\cup \mathcal{A})$.

Now the statement of the theorem follows from Lemma. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Shapiro L.B., *On function extension operators and normal functors* (in Russian), Vestnik Mosk. Univer. Ser.1 (1991), no. 1, 243–251.
- [2] Fedorchuk V.V., Filippov V.V., *General Topology. Fundamental Constructions* (in Russian), Moscow, 1988, p. 252.

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, LVIV STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSYTETSKA ST. 1, 290602 LVIV, UKRAINE

(Received March 8, 1996, revised May 10, 1996)