

András Hajnal; István Juhász; Zoltán Szentmiklóssy
On CCC boolean algebras and partial orders

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 38 (1997), No. 3, 537--544

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118950>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1997

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

On CCC boolean algebras and partial orders

A. HAJNAL*, I. JUHÁSZ*, Z. SZENTMIKLÓSSY*

Abstract. We partially strengthen a result of Shelah from [Sh] by proving that if $\kappa = \kappa^\omega$ and P is a CCC partial order with e.g. $|P| \leq \kappa^{+\omega}$ (the ω^{th} successor of κ) and $|P| \leq 2^\kappa$ then P is κ -linked.

Keywords: boolean algebra, partial order, CCC

Classification: 06A07, 06E10, 04A20

Shelah has proved in [Sh] that if κ is a cardinal with $\kappa^\omega = \kappa$ then every CCC boolean algebra B with $|B| \leq \kappa^+$ is κ -centered. Equivalently, this means that every CCC compact Hausdorff space X of weight $w(X) \leq \kappa^+$ has density $d(X) \leq \kappa$.

Since $w(X) \leq 2^{d(X)}$ is always valid for a compact T_2 space X , it is natural to raise the question whether κ^+ could be replaced by 2^κ in the above result. Shelah mentions in [Sh] without proof that, at least consistently, this cannot be done. Moreover, we have recently shown in [HJSz] that there is, in ZFC, a compact CCC Hausdorff space of density ω_2 and weight 2^{ω_2} . Thus if $2^\omega = \omega_1$ and $2^{\omega_1} = 2^{\omega_2} = \omega_3$ this yields a CCC compact T_2 space of weight $\omega_3 = 2^{\omega_1}$ with density greater than ω_1 , or equivalently a CCC boolean algebra of size $\omega_3 = 2^{\omega_1}$ that is not ω_1 -centered.

Our aim in this note is to show that some strengthenings of Shelah’s result are nonetheless provable for higher successors of κ . Let us recall for this purpose that a subset A of a partially ordered set $\langle P, \leq \rangle$ is said to be *linked* if for any $p, q \in A$ there is $r \in P$ with $r \leq p, q$, i.e. any two members of A are compatible. We say that P is κ -linked if it is the union of κ many linked subsets and we write

$$\text{link}(P) = \min\{\kappa \geq \omega : P \text{ is } \kappa\text{-linked}\}.$$

If B is a boolean algebra then, of course, we put $\text{link}(B) = \text{link}(B^+)$.

We have, implicitly, referred above to the fact that any κ -centered boolean algebra B satisfies $|B| \leq 2^\kappa$. In fact, the following stronger result is easily provable.

* Research supported by OTKA grant no. 1908

Lemma 1. *If B is a boolean algebra then $|B| \leq 2^{\text{link}(B)}$.*

PROOF: Let $B^+ = \bigcup\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$ where each A_α is linked. By Zorn's lemma, we may actually assume that A_α is a maximal linked subset of B^+ for all $\alpha \in \kappa$. Given $b \in B^+$, let

$$I_b = \{\alpha \in \kappa : b \in A_\alpha\}.$$

Clearly, it suffices to show that if $b \neq b'$ then $I_b \neq I_{b'}$.

Assume that $b - b' \neq 0$ and fix $\alpha \in \kappa$ with $b - b' \in A_\alpha$. Then $b' \notin A_\alpha$ since A_α is linked, while $b \in A_\alpha$ follows from the maximality of A_α . Thus we see that $I_b \neq I_{b'}$. □

We may now formulate a partial strengthening of Shelah's result as follows.

Theorem 2. *Let $\kappa = \kappa^\omega$ and B be a CCC boolean algebra with $|B| \leq 2^\kappa$ and also satisfying the following condition (*):*

- (*) for every cardinal μ if $\kappa < \mu < |B|$ and $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$ then $\mu^\omega = \mu^+$ and \square_μ hold.
Then B is κ -linked.

The proof of this theorem is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *Assume $\kappa^\omega = \kappa$ and that B is a boolean algebra which can be written as*

$$B = \bigcup\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \lambda\},$$

where $\lambda \leq 2^\kappa$ and $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \lambda\}$ is an increasing and continuous sequence of subsets of B such that for each $\alpha \in \lambda$ we have $X_\alpha = \bigcup\{B_\alpha^n : n \in \omega\}$ with every B_α^n being a subalgebra of B that is complete and κ -linked. Then B is also κ -linked.

PROOF: Let us start by defining for any $b \in B$ and $\langle \alpha, n \rangle \in \lambda \times \omega$ the element $\pi_\alpha^n(b)$ of B_α^n by

$$\pi_\alpha^n(b) = \bigwedge\{a \in B_\alpha^n : b \leq a\}.$$

This is always possible since B_α^n is complete.

Then we define $\sigma(b)$ as the set of those $\alpha \in \lambda$ for which there is some $b_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ such that $b \leq b_\alpha$ and for every $c \in X_\beta$ with $\beta < \alpha$ and $b < c$ we have $c - b_\alpha \neq 0$.

We claim that $\sigma(b)$ is a countable subset of λ . Indeed, let us assume indirectly that $|\sigma(b)| \geq \omega_1$ and let $\{\alpha_\xi : \xi \in \omega_1\}$ enumerate in the increasing order the first ω_1 members of $\sigma(b)$. For each $\xi \in \omega_1$, since $\alpha_\xi \in \sigma(b)$ there is some $b_\xi \in X_{\alpha_\xi}$ such that $b \leq b_\xi$ and $c - b_\xi \neq 0$ whenever $b \leq c$ and $c \in \bigcup\{X_\beta : \beta \in \alpha_\xi\}$.

If $\alpha = \bigcup\{\alpha_\xi : \xi \in \omega_1\}$ then there is $n \in \omega$ such that the set

$$z = \{\xi \in \omega_1 : b_\xi \in B_\alpha^n\}$$

is uncountable and hence $\{\alpha_\xi : \xi \in z\}$ is cofinal in α . Now, $\{b_\xi : \xi \in z\} \subset B_\alpha^n$, hence we have $c = \bigwedge\{b_\xi : \xi \in z\} \in B_\alpha^n$, as B_α^n is complete. By continuity, there is

some $\beta < \alpha$ with $c \in X_\beta$. But there is some $\xi \in z$ with $\beta < \alpha_\xi$ as well, and then $b \leq c \leq b_\xi$ contradicts the choice of b_ξ .

Next, given two elements $a, b \in B^+$ we call them “connected”, and denote this by $a \sim b$, if for each $\alpha \in \sigma(a) \cap \sigma(b)$ and for every $n \in \omega$ we have

$$\pi_\alpha^n(a) \wedge \pi_\alpha^n(b) \neq 0.$$

We prove then that $a \sim b$ implies $a \wedge b \neq 0$.

Indeed, if $a \wedge b = 0$ then let α be the smallest cardinal for which there is some $c \in X_\alpha$ that separates a and b , i.e. $a \leq c$ and $b \wedge c = 0$. We first show that

$$\alpha \in \sigma(a) \cap \sigma(b).$$

That $\alpha \in \sigma(a)$ is witnessed by c , because if $\beta < \alpha$ and $d \in X_\beta$ with $a \leq d$ then d cannot separate a and b by the minimality of α , hence $d \wedge b \neq 0$, consequently $d - c \neq 0$. Similarly, we can show that $-c$ witnesses $\alpha \in \sigma(b)$. Let us now choose $n \in \omega$ such that $c \in B_\alpha^n$ (and so $-c \in B_\alpha^n$). Then $a \leq c$ implies $\pi_\alpha^n(a) \leq c$ and similarly $b \leq -c$ implies $\pi_\alpha^n(b) \leq -c$, consequently $\pi_\alpha^n(a) \wedge \pi_\alpha^n(b) = 0$, showing that a and b are not connected.

Given $\langle \alpha, n \rangle \in \lambda \times \omega$ let

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha^n = \{L_\alpha^n(\nu) : \nu \in \kappa\}$$

be a family of linked subsets of B_α^n with $(B_\alpha^n)^+ = \bigcup \mathcal{L}_\alpha^n$, i.e. \mathcal{L}_α^n shows the κ -linkedness of B_α^n .

Consider ${}^{\lambda \times \omega} \kappa$ as a power of the discrete space $D(\kappa)$ of size κ with the countable support product topology. It is well-known (see e.g. [EK]) that since $\kappa = \kappa^\omega$ and $|\lambda \times \omega| = \lambda \leq 2^\kappa$ this space has a dense subset $H \subset ({}^{\lambda \times \omega} \kappa)$ with $|H| = \kappa$.

For any $b \in B^+$ let s_b be the function with domain $\sigma(b) \times \omega$ and having for any $\alpha \in \sigma(b)$ and $n \in \omega$ the value

$$s_b(\alpha, n) = \min\{\nu \in \kappa : \pi_\alpha^n(b) \in L_\alpha^n(\nu)\}.$$

Then s_b determines a basic open set in the above mentioned countable support product space, hence there is some $h \in H$ with $s_b \subset h$ as H is dense in this space. In other words, if we set for $h \in H$

$$L_h = \{b \in B^+ : s_b \subset h\},$$

then

$$B^+ = \bigcup \{L_h : h \in H\},$$

hence we shall be done if we can show that L_h is linked for every $h \in H$.

This, in turn, follows from the following observation: any two members of L_h are connected. Indeed, if $a, b \in L_h$ then $s_a \cup s_b \subset h$, in particular the functions

s_a and s_b are compatible. But this means that for any $\alpha \in \sigma(a) \cap \sigma(b)$ and $n \in \omega$ we have

$$s_a(\alpha, n) = s_b(\alpha, n) = h(\alpha, n) = \nu,$$

hence both $\pi_\alpha^n(a)$ and $\pi_\alpha^n(b)$ belong to $L_\alpha^n(\nu)$, i.e. $\pi_\alpha^n(a) \wedge \pi_\alpha^n(b) \neq 0$. □

The proof of Lemma 3 has thus been completed, and we can now return to that of Theorem 2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2: We do induction on $\lambda = |B|$. Of course, we may assume that $\kappa < \lambda$. So let us assume that $\lambda > \kappa$ is given and Theorem 2 holds for $|B| < \lambda$. We will distinguish three cases.

Case 1. $\text{cf}(\lambda) = \omega$. Now we can write $B = \bigcup\{B_n : n \in \omega\}$, where B_n is a subalgebra of B with $|B_n| < \lambda$ for each $n \in \omega$. Then B is κ -linked because so is every B_n .

Case 2. $\text{cf}(\lambda) > \omega$ and $\mu < \lambda$ implies $\mu^\omega < \lambda$. Clearly, in this case we have $\lambda^\omega = \lambda$, hence the completion of B also has cardinality λ , hence we may actually assume that B is complete. Standard arguments, using that B is CCC and $\mu^\omega < \lambda$ for $\mu < \lambda$, then imply that we can write B in the form

$$B = \bigcup\{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \lambda\},$$

where $\{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \lambda\}$ is an increasing and continuous sequence of subalgebras of B such that $|B_\alpha| < \lambda$, moreover B_α is complete whenever $\text{cf}(\alpha) > \omega$. Note that this automatically implies that for $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega$ the subalgebra B_α is the union of countably many complete subalgebras of B , hence with $B_\alpha = X_\alpha$ all the assumptions of Lemma 2 are clearly satisfied. Consequently, B is κ -linked.

Case 3. $\lambda = \mu^+$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. (Note that, by (*), this must occur if neither Case 1 nor Case 2 applies.) Again, by $\lambda^\omega = \lambda$ we may assume that B is also complete. Let us then index the members of B by the ordinals below λ , i.e. set $B = \{b_\xi : \xi \in \lambda\}$.

Since (*) also implies \square_μ , let us fix a corresponding \square -sequence $\langle C_\alpha : \alpha \in \lambda' \rangle$, that is for each limit ordinal $\alpha \in \lambda$ then C_α is a closed unbounded subset of α such that $|C_\alpha| < \mu$ and $C_\beta = \beta \cap C_\alpha$ whenever $\beta \in C'_\alpha$.

Using $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$ we may write $\mu = \sum\{\mu_n : n \in \omega\}$ with $\mu_n < \mu$ for each $n \in \omega$, moreover every ordinal $\beta \in \lambda$ can be written as $\beta = \bigcup\{S^n_\beta : n \in \omega\}$ with $|S^n_\beta| \leq \mu_n$ for all $n \in \omega$. Next, if $\alpha \in \lambda'$ is a limit ordinal then we set $T^n_\alpha = \bigcup\{S^n_\beta : \beta \in C_\alpha\}$. Then we have $|T^n_\alpha| \leq |C_\alpha| \cdot \mu_n < \mu$.

It is clear from (*) that μ must be ω -inaccessible, i.e. $\varrho < \mu$ implies $\varrho^\omega < \mu$. This and the fact that B is CCC imply that for any subset $A \subset B$ if $|A| < \mu$ then $|\text{gen}(A)| < \mu$ as well, where $\text{gen}(A)$ denotes the *complete* subalgebra of B generated by A . In particular, we always have

$$|\text{gen}(\{b_\xi : \xi \in S^n_\beta\})| < \mu$$

and

$$|\text{gen}(\{b_\xi : \xi \in T_\alpha^n\})| < \mu$$

for any $\beta \in \lambda$, $\alpha \in \lambda'$, $n \in \omega$. Consequently, if we let D denote the set of those limit ordinals $\delta \in \lambda$ that satisfy both

$$\{\eta : b_\eta \in \text{gen}(\{b_\xi : \xi \in S_\beta^n\})\} \subset \delta$$

for each $\langle \beta, n \rangle \in \delta \times \omega$ and

$$\{\eta : b_\eta \in \text{gen}(\{b_\xi : \xi \in T_\alpha^n\})\} \subset \delta$$

for all limit ordinals $\alpha \in \delta$ and $n \in \omega$, then D is closed and unbounded in λ .

Let $D = \{\delta_\nu : \nu \in \lambda\}$ be the increasing (and continuous) enumeration of D and set for each $\nu \in \lambda$

$$X_\nu = \{b_\xi : \xi \in \delta_\nu\}.$$

We claim that $\{X_\nu : \nu \in \lambda\}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3. That it forms an increasing and continuous sequence with B as its union is obvious. To see the rest, it will clearly suffice to show that each X_ν is the union of countably many complete subalgebras of B , for (by the inductive hypothesis) they must all be κ -linked.

Here we have to distinguish two cases. First, if $\text{cf}(\delta_\nu) = \omega$ then we may choose ordinals $\{\beta_i : i \in \omega\} \subset \delta_\nu$ with $\delta_\nu = \bigcup\{\beta_i : i \in \omega\}$ and observe that from

$$\beta_i = \bigcup\{S_{\beta_i}^n : n \in \omega\}$$

and from $\delta_\nu \in D$ we have

$$X_\nu = \bigcup\{\text{gen}(\{b_\xi : \xi \in S_{\beta_i}^n\}) : \langle n, i \rangle \in \omega^2\}.$$

Secondly, if $\text{cf}(\delta_\nu) > \omega$ then we have

$$X_\nu = \bigcup\{\text{gen}(\{b_\xi : \xi \in T_{\delta_\nu}^n\}) : n \in \omega\}.$$

Indeed, this follows from the fact that if $\text{cf}(\delta_\nu) > \omega$ then $\alpha, \beta \in C'_{\delta_\nu}$ with $\alpha \in \beta$ imply $T_\alpha^n \subset T_\beta^n$, moreover we also have

$$T_{\delta_\nu}^n = \bigcup\{T_\alpha^n : \alpha \in C'_{\delta_\nu}\}$$

and

$$\delta_\nu = \bigcup\{T_{\delta_\nu}^n : n \in \omega\}.$$

The proof is now completed, since we have shown that Lemma 3 can be applied to $\{X_\nu : \nu \in \lambda\}$ and consequently B is κ -linked. □

Let us recall now the well-known fact that if P is a CCC partial ordering then its completion B is a CCC boolean algebra with $|B| \geq |P|^\omega$ (see e.g. [K, II. 3.3]). Consequently we immediately obtain the following equivalent formulation of Theorem 2.

Theorem 2'. *Let $\kappa = \kappa^\omega$ and P be a CCC partial ordering such that $|P| \leq 2^\kappa$, moreover if $\kappa < \mu < |P|$ and $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$ then $\mu^\omega = \mu^+$ and \square_μ holds. Then P is κ -linked.*

Note that if 2^κ is a finite successor of κ , i.e. $2^\kappa < \kappa^{+\omega}$, then the latter condition is automatically satisfied.

Now, if \mathcal{G} is any graph and $Q(\mathcal{G})$ is the partial order of finite \mathcal{G} -independent sets (see e.g. [HJSz]) then it is easy to see that $Q(\mathcal{G})$ is κ -linked if and only if it is κ -centered. Consequently, if e.g. $\kappa = \kappa^\omega$ and $2^\kappa < \kappa^{+\omega}$ and \mathcal{G} is a graph for which $Q(\mathcal{G})$ is CCC and $|\mathcal{G}| \leq 2^\kappa$ then $Q(\mathcal{G})$ must be κ -centered. In particular, we obtain the following result which shows that the use of hypergraphs, as opposed to just ordinary graphs, was essential in [HJSz] in producing ZFC examples of CCC partial orders with prescribed centeredness.

Corollary 4. *Let $\kappa = \kappa^\omega < \lambda < 2^\lambda = 2^\kappa < \kappa^{+\omega}$. Then there is no CCC partial order P with $\text{link}(P) = \lambda$. In particular, there is no graph \mathcal{G} such that $Q(\mathcal{G})$ is CCC and $\text{cent}(\mathcal{G}) = \text{cent}(Q(\mathcal{G})) = \lambda$.*

PROOF: Assume, indirectly, that $\text{link}(P) = \lambda$. Then for the completion B of P we also have $\text{link}(B) = \lambda$, hence by Lemma 1 we have $|B| \leq 2^\lambda = 2^\kappa < \kappa^{+\omega}$. Consequently Theorem 2 applies to B and thus we have

$$\text{link}(B) = \text{link}(P) \leq \kappa < \lambda,$$

which is a contradiction. □

As a particular case, we get for instance that $2^\omega = \omega_1$ and $2^{\omega_1} = 2^{\omega_2} = \omega_3$ imply that there is no CCC partial order of linkedness ω_2 , in particular there is no graph \mathcal{G} for which $Q(\mathcal{G})$ is CCC and $\text{cent}(\mathcal{G}) = \omega_2$.

Of course, Corollary 4 remains valid if instead of $2^\kappa < \kappa^{+\omega}$ we only assume the weaker condition that $\kappa < \mu < 2^\kappa$ and $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$ imply both $\mu^\omega = \mu^+$ and \square_μ .

Next we are going to examine the naturally arising question whether condition (*) in Theorem 2 (or the corresponding condition in Theorem 2') is essential. The answer to this question is “yes”, and it necessarily involves large cardinals. Indeed, it is well-known that the existence of a cardinal $\mu > 2^\omega$ for which $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$ but either $\mu^\omega \neq \mu^+$ or \square_μ fails implies the consistency of e.g. measurable cardinals.

Example 5. If there is supercompact cardinal then it is consistent to have a model W of ZFC in which $2^\omega = \omega_1$, $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_{\omega+1} = \lambda$ and there is a graph $\mathcal{G} = \langle \lambda, E \rangle$ of chromatic number ω_2 such that $Q(\mathcal{G})$ is CCC. In particular, we have then that $|Q(\mathcal{G})| = 2^{\omega_1}$ but

$$\text{link}(\mathcal{G}) = \text{cent}(\mathcal{G}) \geq \text{chr}(\mathcal{G}) > \omega_1!$$

PROOF: In [HJSh, 4.6 and 4.7] it was shown that the existence of a supercompact cardinal implies the consistency of GCH with the existence of a stationary set

$S \subset \lambda$ and a sequence $\langle A_\alpha : \alpha \in S \rangle$ such that $\bigcup A_\alpha = \alpha$, $tpA_\alpha = \omega_1$ and $|A_\alpha \cap A_\beta| < \omega$ if $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in [S]^2$, moreover that GCH plus the existence of such a sequence $\langle A_\alpha : \alpha \in S \rangle$ imply the existence of a graph $\mathcal{G} = \langle \lambda, E \rangle$ such that $\text{chr}(\mathcal{G}) = \omega_2$ and $[\omega, \omega]$ does not embed into \mathcal{G} . A closer look at the proof of 4.7 will reveal that from GCH we only need CH and $\diamond(S)$ to obtain this graph \mathcal{G} . Consequently, if we start with a ground model V satisfying GCH and having the above mentioned stationary set $S \subset \lambda$ of ω_1 -limits and the ω -almost disjoint sequence $\langle A_\alpha : \alpha \in S \rangle$ and then we add λ -many Cohen subsets of ω_1 to V , i.e. we set $W = V^{\mathcal{F}n(\lambda; \omega_1)}$, then we have such a graph in the extension W as well.

Indeed, that S remains stationary and CH holds in W are standard. To show that $\diamond(S)$ will also be valid in W , we can use, in V , $\diamond(S)$ together with the facts that $\mathcal{F}n(\lambda; \omega_1)$ has the ω_2 -CC and $|\mathcal{F}n(\lambda; \omega_1)| = \lambda = \lambda^{\omega_1}$ to “capture” all nice names of subsets of λ in W (see [K]).

Consequently, we shall be done if we can show that $Q(\mathcal{G})$ is CCC for every graph \mathcal{G} that does not embed the complete bipartite graph $[\omega, \omega]$. □

Lemma 6. *If $\mathcal{G} = \langle \kappa, E \rangle$ is a graph such that $[\omega, \omega]$ does not embed into \mathcal{G} then $Q(\mathcal{G})$ is CCC.*

PROOF: Assume, indirectly, that there is a pairwise incompatible collection $X \in [Q(\mathcal{G})]^{\omega_1}$. By the usual Δ -system and counting arguments we may assume that $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ with $x_\alpha \cap x_\beta = \emptyset$ and $|x_\alpha| = n$ for $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in [\omega_1]^2$. Let $x_\alpha = \{\zeta_i^{(\alpha)} : i \in n\}$.

We can now define a partition

$$p : \omega \times (\omega_1 \setminus \omega) \longrightarrow n \times n$$

such that if $\langle k, \alpha \rangle \in \omega \times (\omega_1 \setminus \omega)$ and $p(k, \alpha) = \langle i, j \rangle$ then $\{\zeta_i^{(k)}, \zeta_j^{(\alpha)}\} \in E$, for this is exactly what the incompatibility of x_k and x_α means. Applying to this partition p the Erdős-Rado polarized partition relation

$$\binom{\omega_1}{\omega} \longrightarrow \binom{\omega_1, \omega}{\omega, \omega}^{1,1},$$

or rather its easy consequence

$$\binom{\omega_1}{\omega} \longrightarrow \binom{\omega}{\omega}_{n^2}^{1,1}$$

then yields infinite sets $A \subset \omega$ and $B \subset \omega_1 \setminus \omega$ and a fix pair $\langle i, j \rangle \in n \times n$ such that $\{\zeta_i^{(k)}, \zeta_j^{(\alpha)}\} \in E$ whenever $\langle k, \alpha \rangle \in A \times B$, hence we obtain that $[\omega, \omega]$ embeds into \mathcal{G} , and this is a contradiction. □

REFERENCES

- [EK] Engelking R., Karłowicz M., *Some theorems of set-theory and their topological consequences*, Fund. Math. **57** (1965), 275–286.
- [HJSh] Hajnal A., Juhász I., Shelah S., *Splitting strongly almost disjoint families*, Transactions of the AMS **295** (1986), 369–387.
- [HJSz] Hajnal A., Juhász I., Szentmiklóssy Z., *Compact CCC spaces of prescribed density*, in: Combinatorics, P. Erdős is 80, Bolyai Soc. Math. Studies, Keszthely, 1993, pp. 239–252.
- [K] Kunen K., *Set Theory*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.
- [S] Shelah S., *Remarks on Boolean algebras*, Algebra Universalis **11** (1980), 77–89.

A. Hajnal, I. Juhász:

MTA MKI, P.O.Box 127, H-1364 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

Z. Szentmiklóssy:

ELTE TTK ANALIZIS TSZ., MUZEUM KRT 6–8, H-1088 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

(Received October 2, 1996)