## Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

# Jérôme Lemoine <br> On non-homogeneous viscous incompressible fluids. Existence of regular solutions 

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 38 (1997), No. 4, 697--715

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118967

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1997

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these Terms of use.


This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library http://project.dml.cz

# On non-homogeneous viscous incompressible fluids. Existence of regular solutions 

JÉrôme Lemoine


#### Abstract

We consider the flow of a non-homogeneous viscous incompressible fluid which is known at an initial time. Our purpose is to prove that, when $\Omega$ is smooth enough, there exists a local strong regular solution (which is global for small regular data).


Keywords: Navier-Stokes equations
Classification: 35Q30, 76D05

## Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded connected open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{3}, T>0$ and $\left.Q_{T}=\Omega \times\right] 0, T[$. A non homogeneous fluid is described by its velocity $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$, its density $\rho$, its viscosity $\nu=\nu(\rho)$ and its pressure $p$. It is modelized by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho \partial_{t} u-\nabla \cdot\left(\nu(\rho)\left(\nabla u+{ }^{t} \nabla u\right)\right)+\rho(u \cdot \nabla) u+\nabla p=\rho f \\
\nabla \cdot u=0, \\
\partial_{t} \rho+u \cdot \nabla \rho=0, \\
\left.\quad u=0 \text { on } \Sigma_{T}=\partial \Omega \times\right] 0, T[ \\
\left.\quad u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0} \text { and }\left.\rho\right|_{t=0}=\rho_{0} \text { in } \Omega
\end{array}\right. \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

The aim of this work is to prove the existence of a local regular solution of (1)-(3) in $Q_{T}$, when $f$ and $u_{0}$ are regular data and $\rho_{0}$ is supposed to be regular and strictly greater than 0 , i.e.

$$
0<M_{1} \leq \rho_{0} \text { in } \Omega
$$

When the viscosity does not depend on the density, S.A. Antonzev and A.V. Kajikov [1] proved the existence of weak solutions (see also J.L. Lions [7]). O.A. Ladyzenskaya and V.A. Solonnikov [5] proved the local existence of a strong regular solution and the global existence for small data.

When $\nu=\nu(\rho)$, E. Fernández-Cara and F. Guillén [3] obtained the existence of a weak solution for $u_{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}, \nabla \cdot u_{0}=0$ and $u_{0} \cdot n=0, \rho_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \rho_{0} \geq 0$, $f \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that $\nu(s) \geq \beta>0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$(see also P.L. Lions [8]). According to uniqueness, M. Kabbaj [4] gives a result for a regular strong solution of $(1)-(3)$ when $\rho$ is supposed to be in $\mathcal{C}^{2}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)$.

## 1. Existence result

In all the paper long, we suppose that
$\Omega$ is a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with a $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ boundary,
$\rho_{0} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfies $M_{2} \geq \rho_{0}(x) \geq M_{1}>0$ for all $x \in \Omega$
$\nu \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(] 0,+\infty[), \nu(a) \geq \nu_{1}>0$ for all $a>0$,
$f \in L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}, u_{0} \in W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}, \nabla \cdot u_{0}=0, u_{0} \mid \partial \Omega=0$ with $q>3$.

Under these hypotheses, one has the following result:
Theorem 1. There exists $t \leq T$ such that the equations (1)-(3) have a solution $(u, \nabla p, \rho)$ which satisfies

$$
u \in \mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{t}\right), \quad \nabla p \in L^{q}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}, \quad \rho \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\bar{Q}_{t}\right)
$$

Moreover, there exists $R>0$ depending on $\Omega, \nu, T, \rho_{0}$, such that if

$$
\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}} \leq R
$$

then $(u, \nabla p, \rho)$ is a solution of (1)-(3) for $t=T$.
Outline of the proof. We use a fixed point argument, decoupling the variables $u$ and $\rho$. More precisely, let us consider $z \in \mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ satisfying $\nabla . z=0$, $z(0)=u_{0}$ in $\Omega$ and $\left.z\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0$.

In the first part, we prove that there exists a unique regular solution $(u, \nabla p, \rho)$ of the equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho \partial_{t} u-\nabla \cdot\left(\nu(\rho)\left(\nabla u+{ }^{t} \nabla u\right)\right)+\rho(z \cdot \nabla) u+\nabla p=\rho f \text { in } Q_{T},  \tag{4}\\
\nabla \cdot u=0 \text { in } Q_{T}, \\
\partial_{t} \rho+z \cdot \nabla \rho=0 \text { in } Q_{T}, \\
u(0)=u_{0} \text { and } \rho(0)=\rho_{0} \text { in } \Omega \\
\left.u\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the second part, we prove that there exists $R$ such that if $\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+$ $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}} \leq R$ or if $T$ is small enough, then $z \mapsto u$ is a continuous map from a convex closed bounded subset of $\mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ with the topology of a Banach space $X_{q, T}$ defined below into itself, where $\mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \subset X_{q, T}$ with compact imbedding, and by Schauder's theorem, we infer the existence of a fixed point.

Remark. The proof of Theorem 1 is based on results of O.A. Ladyzenskaya and V.A. Solonnikov [5].

## 2. Functional spaces and preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ be the space of $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ functions with compact support in $\Omega, \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ the space of distributions on $\Omega$ and $\langle,\rangle_{\Omega}$ the duality product between $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)$.

For $1 \leq r<+\infty, L^{r}(\Omega)$ is the space of distributions $f$ on $\Omega$ for which $|f|^{r}$ is integrable. This space is endowed with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{r}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|f|^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}
$$

and $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is the space of distributions $f$ on $\Omega$ locally integrable and satisfying

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}=\text { supess }|f|<+\infty
$$

For $1 \leq s \leq+\infty$, the Sobolev spaces are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{1, s}(\Omega) & =\left\{v \in L^{s}(\Omega): \nabla v \in L^{s}(\Omega)^{3}\right\} \\
W_{0}^{1, s}(\Omega) & =\text { closure of } \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \text { in } W^{1, s}(\Omega) \\
W^{-1, s}(\Omega) & =\left\{v \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega): v=v_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_{i} v_{i}: v_{i} \in L^{s}(\Omega), i=0, \ldots, 3\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we denote $H^{1}(\Omega)=W^{1,2}(\Omega), H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)=W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega), H^{-1}(\Omega)=W^{-1,2}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V} & =\left\{v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{3}: \nabla \cdot v=0\right\} \\
V & =\left\{v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{3}: \nabla \cdot v=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us recall that $V$ coincides with the closure of $\mathcal{V}$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)^{3}$ (cf. Temam [12]).
Let $\mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ be the space of distributions $u \in L^{q}\left(0, T ; W^{2, q}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ such that $\partial_{t} u \in L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$. This space, endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{q, Q_{T}}^{(2,1)}=\left\|\partial_{t} u\right\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+\|\nabla(\nabla u)\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{27}}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{9}}+\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}
$$

is a Banach space. All functions of $\mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ are in $\mathcal{C}_{u}\left(0, T ; W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$, where $\mathcal{C}_{u}(0, T)=\mathcal{C}([0, T])$, so we can define $\|\mid\| \|_{T}$ on $\mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ by

$$
\|u u\|_{T}=\|u\|_{q, Q_{T}}^{(2,1)}+\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\|u\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}
$$

Endowed with this norm, $\mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ is a Banach space. Let us recall that for all $u \in \mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and all $t, 0 \leq t \leq T$ we have (cf. V.A. Solonnikov [11]):

$$
\|u(t)\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}+c\|u\|_{q, Q_{t}}^{(2,1)},
$$

where $c$ is independent of $t \in[0, T]$.
We denote by

$$
\|\|(u, \nabla p)\|\|_{T}=\|u u\|_{T}+\|\nabla p\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}
$$

Finally, let $\mathcal{C}^{\varepsilon}(\bar{\Omega}), 0<\varepsilon<1$, be the set of functions $f \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ which satisfy $|f(x)-f(y)| \leq c|x-y|^{\varepsilon}$ for all $x, y \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{1, \varepsilon}(\bar{\Omega})$ the set of functions $f \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ which satisfy $|\nabla f(x)-\nabla f(y)| \leq c^{\prime}|x-y|^{\varepsilon}$ for all $x, y \in \bar{\Omega}$.

Let us now give an evolution case of De Rham's theorem (cf. J. Simon [10, Lemma 2, p. 1096]).
Lemma 2. Let $h \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ satisfy $\langle h, v\rangle_{\Omega}=0$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Then there exists $g \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ such that $h=\nabla g$.

Now one gives a compactness result:
Lemma 3. There exists $1>\varepsilon_{q}>0$ such that

$$
\mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \subset\left(L^{q}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{C}^{1, \varepsilon_{q}}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}\right) \cap \mathcal{C}_{u}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}\right)\right)=: X_{q, T}
$$

with compact imbedding.
The proof is based on the following result (see J. Simon [9, Corollary 8, p. 90])
Lemma 4. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two Banach spaces, $X \subset Y$ with corresponding compact imbedding and $B$ a Banach space, $X \subset B \subset Y$, such that there exists $C$ and $\theta, 0<\theta<1$ such that

$$
\|v\|_{B} \leq C\|v\|_{X}^{1-\theta}\|v\|_{Y}^{\theta} \quad \forall v \in X .
$$

Let $1 \leq s_{0} \leq+\infty, 1 \leq s_{1} \leq+\infty$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a bounded subset of $L^{s_{0}}(0, T ; X)$ such that $\partial_{t} \mathcal{F}$ is bounded in $L^{s_{1}}(0, T ; Y)$. Then,
(i) if $\theta\left(1-1 / s_{1}\right) \leq(1-\theta) / s_{0}, \mathcal{F}$ is relatively compact in $L^{s}(0, T ; B) \forall s<s_{*}$, where $1 / s_{*}=(1-\theta) / s_{0}-\theta\left(1-1 / s_{1}\right)$;
(ii) if $\theta\left(1-1 / s_{1}\right)>(1-\theta) / s_{0}, \mathcal{F}$ is relatively compact in $\mathcal{C}_{u}(0, T ; B)$.

Proof of Lemma 3:
(i) One has $\mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \subset L^{q}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{C}^{1, \varepsilon_{q}}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}\right)$ with corresponding compact imbedding.

For $X=W^{2, q}(\Omega)^{3}$ and $Y=L^{q}(\Omega)^{3}$, since we have $W^{2, q}(\Omega)^{3} \subset L^{q}(\Omega)^{3}$ with compact imbedding, using Lemma $4(\mathrm{i})$, with $s_{1}=s_{0}=q$, we obtain for all $\theta \leq 1 / q$

$$
\mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \subset L^{q}\left(0, T ;\left(W^{2, q}(\Omega)^{3}, L^{q}(\Omega)^{3}\right)_{\theta}\right)=L^{q}\left(0, T ; H_{q}^{2(1-\theta)}(\Omega)^{3}\right)
$$

with compact imbedding (cf. H. Triebel [13, Theorem 2, p. 317] and [11, p. 185]).
In addition we have (cf. H. Triebel [13, p. 328]) $H_{q}^{2(1-\theta)}(\Omega)^{3} \subset \mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}$ for $\alpha=1-2 \theta-3 / q>0$. Therefore we have

$$
\mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \subset L^{q}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{C}^{1, \varepsilon_{q}}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}\right)
$$

with compact imbedding, where $\varepsilon_{q}=1-2 \theta-3 / q$ and $\theta<\inf \{1 / q,(q-3) / 2 q\}$.
(ii) One has $\mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \subset \mathcal{C}_{u}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}\right)$ with corresponding compact imbedding.

Using Lemma 4 (ii) with $s_{1}=s_{0}=q$, we obtain for all $\theta>1 / q$

$$
\mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \subset \mathcal{C}_{u}\left(0, T ; H_{q}^{2(1-\theta)}(\Omega)^{3}\right)
$$

with compact imbedding.
In addition we have (cf. H. Triebel [13, p.328]) $H_{q}^{2(1-\theta)}(\Omega)^{3} \subset \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}$ for all $\theta<1-3 / 2 q$. Since $1 / q<1-3 / 2 q(q>3)$, we have

$$
\mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \subset \mathcal{C}_{u}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}\right)
$$

with compact imbedding.

## 3. Transport equation

Proposition 5. Let $z \in \mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ satisfy $\nabla . z=0$ and $\left.z\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0$. Then for all $\rho_{0} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$, there exists a unique solution $\rho \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)$ of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \rho+z \cdot \nabla \rho=0 \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{5}\\
\left.\rho\right|_{t=0}=\rho_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It satisfies

$$
\min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \rho_{0}(x) \leq \rho(y, t) \leq \max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \rho_{0}(x) \quad \forall(y, t) \in Q_{T},
$$

and the following estimates, for all $t \leq T$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}} \leq \sqrt{3}\left\|\nabla \rho_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}} \exp \left\{\|\nabla z\|_{L^{1}\left(0, t ; L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{9}\right)}\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{t} \rho\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)} \leq \sqrt{3}\left\|\nabla \rho_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}}\|z\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}} \exp \left\{\|\nabla z\|_{L^{1}\left(0, t ; L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{9}\right)}\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K$ be a closed bounded subset of $\mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap L^{2}(0, T ; V)$. Then the map $z \mapsto \rho$ is continuous on $K$ endowed with the topology of $X_{q, T}$ with values in $\mathcal{C}_{u}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{C}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})\right)$.

Proof: The existence and uniqueness of such a solution, and the estimates (6)(7) are given by O.A. Ladyzenskaya and V.A. Solonnikov [5].

Let us remark that if $z \in \mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ satisfies $\nabla \cdot z=0, z_{\mid \Sigma_{T}}=0$, there exists a unique $y(\tau, t, x)$ (cf. O.A. Ladyzenskaya and V.A. Solonnikov [5]) solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{k}(\tau, t, x)=x^{k}-\int_{\tau}^{t} z^{k}(y(\xi, t, x), \xi) d \xi \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, for all $\tau, t, y(\tau, t,$.$) is a one to one map on \Omega$ with Jacobian equal to 1 (cf. V.A. Solonnikov [11]). The solution $\rho$ of (5) satisfies

$$
\rho(x, t)=\rho_{0}(y(0, t, x)) .
$$

Let us prove the continuity of the map $z \mapsto \rho$. It is well known, (see [5]) that if $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ are two solutions of (5) associated to $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ belonging to $\mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and satisfying $\left.z_{1}\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=\left.z_{2}\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0, \nabla \cdot z_{1}=\nabla \cdot z_{2}=0$, we have for all $t, 0<t \leq T$, the following estimate:

$$
\left\|\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)} \leq\left\|\nabla \rho_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}} d \tau
$$

So the map $z \mapsto \rho$ is continuous from $K$ endowed with the topology of $X_{q, T}$ with values in $\mathcal{C}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.

Now, denoting $y_{i}(\xi)=y_{i}(\xi, t, x)$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\partial_{j} \rho_{2}(x, t)-\partial_{j} \rho_{1}(x, t)\right| \leq & \left|\sum_{k}\left(\partial_{k} \rho_{0}\left(y_{2}(0)\right)-\partial_{k} \rho_{0}\left(y_{1}(0)\right)\right) \partial_{j} y_{2}^{k}(0)\right| \\
& +\left|\sum_{k}\left(\partial_{k} \rho_{0}\right)\left(y_{1}(0)\right)\left(\partial_{j} y_{1}^{k}(0)-\partial_{j} y_{2}^{k}(0)\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\rho_{0} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $y_{2} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)^{3}$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\nabla\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}} \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\leq 3\left\|\nabla y_{2}(0)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{9}}\left\|\left(\nabla \rho_{0}\right)\left(y_{1}(0)\right)-\left(\nabla \rho_{0}\right)\left(y_{2}(0)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}} \\
\quad+3\left\|\nabla \rho_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}}\left\|\nabla\left(y_{1}(0)-y_{2}(0)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{9} .}
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove the continuity of the map $z \mapsto \rho$, since $\nabla \rho_{0} \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}$, it is enough to prove that if $z_{1} \rightarrow z_{2}$ in $X_{q, T}$, then $y_{1}(0) \rightarrow y_{2}(0)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{u}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{C}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})\right)$. To prove this property we will estimate $\left\|y_{1}(0)-y_{2}(0)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}$ and $\left\|\nabla\left(y_{1}(0)-y_{2}(0)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{9}}$ in terms of $\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|_{X_{q, T}}$.
Estimate of $\left\|y_{2}(0)-y_{1}(0)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}}$. We have, according to (8):

$$
\left|y_{1}^{k}(\tau)-y_{2}^{k}(\tau)\right| \leq \int_{\tau}^{t}\left|z_{1}^{k}\left(y_{1}(\xi), \xi\right)-z_{1}^{k}\left(y_{2}(\xi), \xi\right)\right|+\left|z_{1}^{k}\left(y_{2}(\xi), \xi\right)-z_{2}^{k}\left(y_{2}(\xi), \xi\right)\right| d \xi
$$

Since $z_{1} \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{C}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}\right)$, for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and almost all $\left.t \in\right] 0, T$ we have:

$$
\left|z_{1}(x, t)-z_{1}(y, t)\right| \leq\left\|\nabla z_{1}(t)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{9}}|x-y|
$$

In addition, taking into account that $y_{2}(\xi, t,$.$) is a one to one map on \Omega$ and $z_{i}$ is in $\mathcal{C}(\bar{Q})^{3}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|y_{1}^{k}(\tau)-y_{2}^{k}(\tau)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\tau}^{t}\left\|\nabla z_{1}(\xi)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{9}}\left|y_{1}(\xi)-y_{2}(\xi)\right| d \xi+\int_{\tau}^{t}\left\|z_{1}^{k}(\xi)-z_{2}^{k}(\xi)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}} d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

So, using Gronwall lemma, we obtain:

$$
\left\|y_{2}(\tau)-y_{1}(\tau)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}} \leq c t\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|_{X_{q, t}} \exp \left\{c t^{1 / q^{\prime}}\left\|z_{1}\right\|_{X_{q, t}}\right\}
$$

where $q^{\prime}$ satisfies $1 / q+1 / q^{\prime}=1$.
Estimate of $\left\|\nabla\left(y_{1}(0)-y_{2}(0)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{9}}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\partial_{i} y_{1}^{k}(\tau)-\partial_{i} y_{2}^{k}(\tau)\right| \leq & \left|\sum_{\ell} \int_{\tau}^{t}\left(\left(\partial_{\ell} z_{1}^{k}\right)\left(y_{1}(\xi), \xi\right)-\left(\partial_{\ell} z_{1}^{k}\right)\left(y_{2}(\xi), \xi\right)\right) \partial_{i} y_{1}^{\ell}(\xi) d \xi\right| \\
& +\left|\sum_{\ell} \int_{\tau}^{t}\left(\left(\partial_{\ell} z_{1}^{k}\right)\left(y_{2}(\xi), \xi\right)-\left(\partial_{\ell} z_{2}^{k}\right)\left(y_{2}(\xi), \xi\right)\right) \partial_{i} y_{1}^{\ell}(\xi) d \xi\right| \\
& +\left|\sum_{\ell} \int_{\tau}^{t}\left(\partial_{\ell} z_{2}^{k}\right)\left(y_{2}(\xi), \xi\right) \partial_{i}\left(y_{1}^{\ell}(\xi)-y_{2}^{\ell}(\xi)\right) d \xi\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $z_{1} \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; C^{1, \varepsilon_{q}}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}\right)$, for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and almost all $\left.t \in\right] 0, T[$ we have:

$$
\left|\nabla z_{1}(x, t)-\nabla z_{1}(y, t)\right| \leq b(t)|x-y|^{\varepsilon_{q}}
$$

where $b(t)=\left\|\nabla z_{1}(t)\right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\varepsilon q}(\Omega)^{9}}$ is in $L^{1}(0, T)$. In addition, $y_{2}(\xi, t,$.$) is a one to$ one map on $\Omega$ and $\nabla z_{i}$ is in $L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})^{9}\right)$, so we have, using the estimate of $\left|y_{1}(\tau)-y_{2}(\tau)\right|:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\nabla\left(y_{1}(\tau)-y_{2}(\tau)\right)\right| \leq c\left(\left\|\nabla y_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{9}} \int_{\tau}^{t}\left\|\nabla\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)(\xi)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{9}} d \xi\right. \\
& +\left\|\nabla y_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{9}} \int_{\tau}^{t} b(\xi)\left(\int_{\xi}^{t}\left\|\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)(\zeta)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}} d \zeta\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times \exp \left\{c \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla z_{1}(\zeta)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{9}} d \zeta\right\}\right)^{\varepsilon_{q}} d \xi \\
& \left.+\int_{\tau}^{t}\left\|\nabla z_{2}(\xi)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{9}}\left|\nabla\left(y_{1}(\xi)-y_{2}(\xi)\right)\right| d \xi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the Gronwall lemma, we deduce the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\nabla\left(y_{1}(0)-y_{2}(0)\right)\right| & \leq c\left\|\nabla y_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{9}} \exp \left\{c t^{1 / q^{\prime}}\left\|z_{2}\right\|_{X_{q, t}}\right\}\left(t^{\varepsilon_{q}}\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|_{X_{q, t}}^{\varepsilon_{q}}\right. \\
& \left.\times\|b\|_{L^{1}(0, t)} \exp \left\{c t^{1 / q^{\prime}} \varepsilon_{q}\left\|z_{1}\right\|_{X_{q, t}}\right\}+t\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|_{X_{q, t}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\nabla\left(y_{1}(0)-y_{2}(0)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{9}} \\
& \quad \leq c\left\|\nabla y_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{9}} \exp \left\{c t^{1 / q^{\prime}}\left\|z_{2}\right\|_{X_{q, t}}\right\}\left(t^{\varepsilon_{q}}\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|_{X_{q, t}}^{\varepsilon_{q}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times\|b\|_{L^{1}(0, t)} \exp \left\{c t^{1 / q^{\prime}} \varepsilon_{q}\left\|z_{1}\right\|_{X_{q, t}}\right\}+t\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|_{X_{q, t}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

With all these estimates, we deduce the continuity of the map $z \mapsto y(0)$, and the proof of Proposition 5 is complete.

## 4. Existence and uniqueness of a solution of the uncoupled equations (4)

### 4.1 The result.

Proposition 6. Let $z \in \mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ satisfy $\nabla . z=0,\left.z\right|_{t=0}=u_{0}$ in $\Omega,\left.z\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0$. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, there exists a unique

$$
u \in \mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right), \quad \nabla p \in L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}, \quad \rho \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)
$$

solution of (4).
It satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|(u, \nabla p)\|_{T}  \tag{9}\\
& \leq c \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}\left(1+T e^{T / 2}+T e^{T / 2}\|z\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}\right)\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{1}=\left(\bar{M}_{3}^{9}+\bar{M}_{4}\right)^{3} \bar{M}_{3}^{12}, M_{3}=\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}, M_{4}=\left\|\partial_{t} \rho\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}, \bar{M}_{i}=$ $M_{i}+1, \alpha=3(q-2)[3(q-2)+4 q]^{-1}$ and $c$ does not depend on $T, M_{3}$ and $M_{4}$.

The proof is given in several steps.
4.2 Simplified auxiliary equations. We consider here the following problem: Find a solution $(u, \nabla p)$ of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho \partial_{t} u-\nu(\rho) \Delta u+\nabla p=f \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{10}\\
\nabla \cdot u=0 \text { in } Q_{T} \\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0} \text { in } \Omega \\
\left.u\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We have the following result:

Proposition 7. Let $\rho \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right), \rho(x, t) \geq M_{1}>0$ for all $(x, t) \in Q_{T}$. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, there exist

$$
u \in \mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right), \quad \nabla p \in L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}
$$

solving (10).
In addition, there exists at most one solution of (10) in the space $\left(\mathcal{C}_{u}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)^{3}\right)\right) \times H^{-1}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$.

Proof: Existence. The existence of a solution of (10) in $\left(L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)^{3}\right) \cap\right.$ $\left.H^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right)\right) \times L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ is well known (see for example [6]).
Uniqueness. Let $\left(u_{1}, \nabla p_{1}\right)$ and $\left(u_{2}, \nabla p_{2}\right)$ be two solutions of (10) in $\left(\mathcal{C}_{u}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)^{3}\right)\right) \times H^{-1}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$. Then $u=u_{1}-u_{2}, \nabla p=$ $\nabla\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)$ is a solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho \partial_{t} u-\nu(\rho) \Delta u+\nabla p=0 \text { in } Q_{T} \\
\nabla \cdot u=0 \text { in } Q_{T} \\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=0 \text { in } \Omega \\
\left.u\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

For all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T ; \mathcal{V})$, we have in $W^{-1,1}(0, T)$

$$
\left\langle\rho \partial_{t} u, \varphi\right\rangle_{\Omega}-\langle\nu(\rho) \Delta u, \varphi\rangle_{\Omega}=0
$$

Since $\langle\nu(\rho) \Delta u, \varphi\rangle_{\Omega}=-\int_{\Omega} \nabla(\nu(\rho)) \cdot \nabla u \cdot \varphi-\int_{\Omega} \nu(\rho) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi$ is in $L^{1}(0, T)$, we have $\left\langle\rho \partial_{t} u, \varphi\right\rangle_{\Omega} \in L^{1}(0, T)$. In addition, $\varphi \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \nu(\rho) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi$ and $\varphi \mapsto$ $\int_{\Omega} \nabla(\nu(\rho)) \cdot \nabla u \cdot \varphi$ are continuous in the space $L^{2}(0, T ; V)$ with values in $L^{1}(0, T)$, so $\varphi \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \rho \partial_{t} u \cdot \varphi$ is continuous in $L^{2}(0, T ; V)$ with values in $L^{1}(0, T)$. Therefore, we deduce that for all $v \in L^{2}(0, T ; V)$, we have in $L^{1}(0, T)$ :

$$
\int_{\Omega} \rho \partial_{t} u \cdot v+\int_{\Omega} \nabla(\nu(\rho)) \cdot \nabla u \cdot v+\int_{\Omega} \nu(\rho) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v=0 .
$$

In particular, for $v=u$, we obtain in $L^{1}(0, T)$ :

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho \partial_{t}|u|^{2}+\nu_{1} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla(\nu(\rho)) \cdot \nabla u \cdot u|
$$

In addition, we have $\partial_{t}\left(\rho|u|^{2}\right)=\rho \partial_{t}|u|^{2}+\partial_{t} \rho|u|^{2}$, so we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} \partial_{t}\left(\rho|u|^{2}\right)+\nu_{1} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq c \int_{\Omega} \rho|u|^{2},
$$

where $c$ depends only on $\nu, \rho$, and we deduce from the Gronwall lemma that $u=0$. The De Rham theorem implies that $\nabla p=0$, and the uniqueness follows.
Regularity of such a solution. Choose $p$ such that $\int_{\Omega} p / \nu(\rho)=0$. Dividing by $\nu(\rho) \geq \nu_{1}>0$ and denoting $P=p / \nu(\rho), \lambda=\rho / \nu(\rho)$, the equation (10) can be rewritten in the following form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda \partial_{t} u-\Delta u+\nabla P=\frac{f}{\nu(\rho)}-\frac{\nu^{\prime}(\rho) \nabla \rho}{\nu(\rho)} P, \\
\nabla \cdot u=0 \\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0} \\
\left.u\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)$ and $\lambda \geq \lambda_{1}>0$.
Let us consider the following equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda \partial_{t} u^{\prime}-\Delta u^{\prime}+\nabla P^{\prime}=\frac{f}{\nu(\rho)}-\frac{\nu^{\prime}(\rho) \nabla \rho}{\nu(\rho)} P  \tag{11}\\
\nabla \cdot u^{\prime}=0 \\
\left.u^{\prime}\right|_{t=0}=u_{0} \\
\left.u^{\prime}\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $P \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ is defined above. Since $f \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$, there exists a unique solution $\left(u^{\prime}, \nabla P^{\prime}\right)$ of (11) in $\left(\mathcal{C}_{u}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)^{3}\right)\right) \times H^{-1}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$. In addition (cf. O.A. Ladyzenskaya and V.A. Solonnikov [5]), $u^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}_{2}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, $\nabla P^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$. Now, since $(u, \nabla P)$ is solution of (11) we deduce that $u \in$ $\mathcal{W}_{2}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right), \nabla P \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$, and therefore $\nabla p \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$. Then, from Lemma 9 (in appendix) we deduce that $p \in L^{\sigma_{0}}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, where $\sigma_{0}=\min (q, 8 / 3)$. Therefore, since $f \in L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$, we deduce from the equation (11) that $u \in \mathcal{W}_{\sigma_{0}}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $\nabla p \in L^{\sigma_{0}}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$ (see O.A. Ladyzenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov [5]). Repeating this process a finite number of times, we obtain Proposition 7.
4.3 Auxiliary equations. We consider now the following problem: Find a solution ( $u, \nabla p$ ) of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho \partial_{t} u-\nabla \cdot\left(\nu(\rho)\left(\nabla u+{ }^{t} \nabla u\right)\right)+\nabla p=f \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{12}\\
\nabla \cdot u=0 \text { in } Q_{T} \\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0} \text { in } \Omega \\
\left.u\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We have the following result:

Proposition 8. Under the hypothesis of Proposition 7, there exist

$$
u \in \mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right), \nabla p \in L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3},
$$

solving (12).
It satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(u, \nabla p)\|_{T} \leq \mathcal{M}_{1}\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}\right), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{1}=c\left(\bar{M}_{3}^{9}+M_{4}\right) \bar{M}_{3}^{12}, \bar{M}_{i}=\left(M_{i}+1\right), 1 \leq i \leq 4$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(u, \nabla p)\|_{t} \leq c \mathcal{M}_{1}^{2}\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}\right) \exp \left\{c \mathcal{M}_{1} t\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t, 0 \leq t \leq T$, where $c$ depends only on $\nu, M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$ and $M_{4}$.
In addition, there exists at most one solution of (12) in the space $\left(\mathcal{C}_{u}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)^{3}\right)\right) \times H^{-1}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$.
Proof: Existence. The existence of a solution of (12) in $\left(L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)^{3}\right) \cap\right.$ $\left.H^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right)\right) \times L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ is known (see for example [6]). As in Proposition 7, we can prove that there exists at most one solution of (12) in $\left(\mathcal{C}_{u}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)^{3}\right)\right) \times H^{-1}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$.
Regularity of this solution. The first equation of (12) can be written in the form

$$
\rho \partial_{t} u-\nu(\rho) \Delta u+\nabla p=f+\nabla(\nu(\rho))\left(\nabla u+{ }^{t} \nabla u\right) .
$$

Since $f+\nabla(\nu(\rho))\left(\nabla u+{ }^{t} \nabla u\right) \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$, there exists (cf. Proposition 7) one solution $u^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}_{2}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right), \nabla p^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$ of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho \partial_{t} u^{\prime}-\nu(\rho) \Delta u^{\prime}+\nabla p^{\prime}=f+\nabla(\nu(\rho))\left(\nabla u+{ }^{t} \nabla u\right),  \tag{15}\\
\nabla \cdot u^{\prime}=0, \\
\left.u^{\prime}\right|_{t=0}=u_{0}, \\
\left.u^{\prime}\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $(u, \nabla p)$ is the solution of (12). In addition, this solution is unique in the space $\left(\mathcal{C}_{u}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)^{3}\right)\right) \times H^{-1}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$. Since the solution ( $u, \nabla p$ ) of (12) is a solution of (15), we deduce that the solution of (12) verifies $u \in$ $\mathcal{W}_{2}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right), \nabla p \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$. Therefore (cf. Lemma 9), there exists $\sigma_{0}, 2<\sigma_{0} \leq q$ such that $u \in L^{\sigma_{0}}\left(0, T ; W^{1, \sigma_{0}}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$. So we deduce from (15), since $f \in L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$, that $u \in \mathcal{W}_{\sigma_{0}}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $\nabla p \in L^{\sigma_{0}}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$. Repeating this process a finite number of times (until $\sigma_{m}=q$ ), we obtain the regularity.

Estimates. Choose $p$ such that $\int_{\Omega} p=0$. Then setting $P=p / \nu(\rho)$, (12) can be rewritten in the following way:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\rho}{\nu(\rho)} \partial_{t} u-\Delta u+\nabla P=\frac{f}{\nu(\rho)}-\frac{\nu^{\prime}(\rho) \nabla \rho}{\nu(\rho)} P+\frac{\nu^{\prime}(\rho) \nabla \rho}{\nu(\rho)} \cdot\left(\nabla u+{ }^{t} \nabla u\right) \\
\nabla \cdot u=0 \\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0} \\
\left.u\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $\nu_{2} \geq \nu(\rho) \geq \nu_{1}>0$ and $\nu_{2}^{\prime} \geq \nu^{\prime}(\rho)$, we have the following estimate (cf. O.A. Ladyzenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov [5]),

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|(u, \nabla P)\|_{T} \leq c\left(M_{4}+\bar{M}_{3}^{9}\right)\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+M_{3}\|P\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)}+M_{3}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{9}}\right. \\
\left.+\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $c$ depends on $\nu, M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ only. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|(u, \nabla p)\|_{T} \leq c\left(M_{4}+\bar{M}_{3}^{9}\right)\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+M_{3}\|p\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)}+M_{3}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{9}}\right. \\
\left.+\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $c$ depends on $\nu, M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ only.
Using (15) we have (cf. Lemma 9):

$$
\|p\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t}\right)} \leq c \bar{M}_{3}^{3}\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}}+\bar{M}_{3}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{9}}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)^{9}}\right)
$$

so we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\|(u, \nabla p)\|_{T} \leq\right. & A_{2}\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}\left(\Sigma_{T}\right)^{3}}\right)+A_{1}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{9}} \\
& +c\left(M_{4}+\bar{M}_{3}^{9}\right)\left(\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=c\left(\bar{M}_{4}+\bar{M}_{3}^{9}\right) \bar{M}_{3}^{5} \\
& A_{2}=c\left(\bar{M}_{4}+\bar{M}_{3}^{9}\right) \bar{M}_{3}^{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c$ depends on $\nu, M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ only.
Using the following interpolation inequalities (cf. O.A. Ladyzenskaya and V.A. Solonnikov [5]), since $\left(a^{q}+b^{q}\right) \leq(a+b)^{q}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{9}} \leq \alpha_{1}\|\nabla(\nabla u)\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{27}}+c \alpha_{1}^{-1}\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}, \\
& \|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}\left(\Sigma_{T}\right)^{9}} \leq \alpha_{2}\|\nabla(\nabla u)\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{27}}+c \alpha_{2}^{-\frac{q+1}{q-1}}\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\left.\left.\alpha_{i} \in\right] 0,1\right]$, and taking $\alpha_{1}=\left(4 A_{1}\right)^{-1}$ and $\alpha_{2}=\left(4 A_{2}\right)^{-1}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{9}} \leq \frac{1}{4}\|\nabla(\nabla u)\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{27}}+c A_{1}^{2}\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}} \\
& A_{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}\left(\Sigma_{T}\right)^{9}} \leq \frac{1}{4}\|\nabla(\nabla u)\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{27}}+c A_{2}^{2 q /(q-1)}\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c$ depends on $\Omega$ and $q$ only. With these estimates, (16) gives:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|(u, \nabla p)\|_{T} \leq c\left(A_{2}\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+A_{1}^{2}\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+A_{2}^{2 q /(q-1)}\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}\right. \\
\left.+\left(M_{4}+\bar{M}_{3}^{9}\right)\left(\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, since $A_{1}^{2}, A_{2}$ and $A_{2}^{2 q /(q-1)}$ are smaller than $c\left(\bar{M}_{3}^{9}+\bar{M}_{4}\right)^{3} \bar{M}_{3}^{12}$, we deduce from the previous inequality the estimate (13).

To prove the estimate (14), let

$$
y(t)=\int_{0}^{t}\|u(\tau)\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)^{3}}^{q} d \tau=\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}}^{q}
$$

We have $y \in W^{1,1}(0, T), y(0)=0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)=\|u(t)\|_{q}^{q}$. In addition, for all $t^{\prime} \leq t$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime}\right)= \int_{0}^{t^{\prime}} \frac{d}{d \tau}\|u(\tau)\|_{q}^{q} d \tau+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}^{q}=\int_{0}^{t^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{d \tau}\left(|u(\tau)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} d \tau+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}^{q} \\
& \leq q\left\|\partial_{t} u\right\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t^{\prime}}\right)^{3}}^{q}\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t^{\prime}}\right)^{3}}^{q-1}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}^{q} \\
& \leq q \mathcal{M}_{1}\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t^{\prime}}\right)^{3}}^{q}+q \mathcal{M}_{1}\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\left.W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}\right)}\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t^{\prime}}\right)^{3}}^{q-1}\right. \\
& \quad+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathcal{M}_{1}>1$, using Young's inequality we obtain:

$$
y^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \leq(2 q-1) \mathcal{M}_{1} y\left(t^{\prime}\right)+q^{2} \mathcal{M}_{1}\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}\right)^{q}
$$

Integrating this equation from 0 to $t$ we have:

$$
y(t) \leq q^{2} \mathcal{M}_{1}\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}\right)^{q} \exp \left\{(2 q-1) \mathcal{M}_{1} t\right\}
$$

Now, taking into account that $\mathcal{M}_{1}>1$ we obtain:

$$
\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}} \leq c \mathcal{M}_{1}\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}\right) \exp \left\{c \mathcal{M}_{1} t\right\} .
$$

Using this estimate, (13) gives

$$
\|(u, \nabla p)\|_{t} \leq c \mathcal{M}_{1}^{2}\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}\right) \exp \left\{c \mathcal{M}_{1} t\right\}
$$

and the proof is complete.

### 4.4 Proof of Proposition 6.

Existence of a regular solution. We prove the existence by successive approximations. Let $u^{0}=0$ and for all $m \geq 1$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho \partial_{t} u^{m}-\nabla \cdot\left(\nu(\rho)\left(\nabla u^{m}+{ }^{t} \nabla u^{m}\right)\right)+\nabla p^{m}=\rho f-\rho(z \cdot \nabla) u^{m-1} \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{17}\\
\partial_{t} \rho+z \cdot \nabla \rho=0 \text { in } Q_{T} \\
\nabla \cdot u^{m}=0 \text { in } Q_{T} \\
\left.u^{m}\right|_{t=0}=u_{0} \text { in } \Omega \\
\left.u^{m}\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0 \\
\left.\rho\right|_{t=0}=\rho_{0} \text { in } \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is known (cf. Proposition 8) that there exists a unique solution of (17). Denoting $w^{m}=u^{m}-u^{m-1}, \nabla P^{m}=\nabla\left(p^{m}-p^{m-1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{W}_{m}(t)=\| \|\left(w^{m}, \nabla p^{m}\right) \|_{t}$, we deduce from (14) the following estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_{m}^{q}(t) & \leq c\left\|\nabla w^{m-1}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}}^{q} \leq c \int_{0}^{t}\left\|w^{m-1}\right\|_{W^{2, q}(\Omega)^{3}}^{q} d \tau \\
& \leq c \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{W}_{m-1}^{q}(\tau) d \tau \leq c^{m-1} \frac{t^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \mathcal{W}_{1}^{q}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the convergence of the series $\sum \mathcal{W}_{m}(t)$ for all $t \leq T$. From this, it follows the convergence of $u^{m}$ in $\mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $\nabla p^{m}$ in $L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$.

The uniqueness of a such solution is obvious.
Estimation. We have the following estimate of $\left\|\|(u, \nabla p)\|_{T}\right.$ given in Proposition 8

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mid(u, \nabla p)\|_{T} \leq \mathcal{M}_{1}\left(F+\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+\|(z \cdot \nabla) u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}$.
Now, let us estimate each term of the right hand side of this inequality. Multiplying the first equation of (4) by $u$ and integrating on $\Omega$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} \rho\left[\frac{1}{2} \partial_{t}\left(u^{2}\right)+(z \cdot \nabla) u \cdot u\right]+\int_{\Omega} \nu(\rho)\left(\nabla u+{ }^{t} \nabla u\right) \cdot \nabla u=\int_{\Omega} \rho f \cdot u
$$

Since $\nu(\rho) \geq \nu_{1}>0$, we obtain, summing this equation with the transport equation multiplied by $(1 / 2)|u|^{2}$ :

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} \rho|u|^{2}+2 \nu_{1} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} \rho f \cdot u
$$

So we deduce the following estimate:

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\right)(t) \leq c e^{t}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\|f\|_{2}^{2} d \tau+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

where $c$ depends on $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ only.
Now, using Hölder inequality, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\right)(t) & \leq c e^{t}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\|f\|_{q}^{2} d \tau+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq c e^{t}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\|f\|_{q}^{q} d \tau\right)^{2 / q}+c e^{t}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{2} \leq c e^{t / 2}\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that

$$
\|u\|_{q} \leq c\left(\|u\|_{W^{2, q}(\Omega)^{3}}\right)^{\alpha}\|u\|_{2}^{1-\alpha}
$$

with $\alpha=3(q-2)[3(q-2)+4 q]^{-1}$ (cf. O.A. Ladyzenskaya and V.A. Solonnikov [5]), the previous estimate gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}} \leq c\| \|(u, \nabla p) \|_{T}^{\alpha}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\|u\|_{2}^{q} d t\right)^{(1-\alpha) / q} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (19) gives

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{T}\|u\|_{2}^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \leq c T e^{T / 2} F
$$

we obtain from (20):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}} \leq c\| \|(u, \nabla p) \|_{T}^{\alpha}\left(T e^{T / 2} F\right)^{1-\alpha} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, to estimate the last term of the right hand of (18), we remark that

$$
\|(z \cdot \nabla) u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}} \leq\|z\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{9}}
$$

Since

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{9}} \leq c\left(\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(0, T ; W^{2, q}(\Omega)^{3}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2}\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}^{1 / 2},
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(z \cdot \nabla) u\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}} & \leq c\|z\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}\| \|(u, \nabla p)\left\|_{T}^{1 / 2}\right\| u \|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq c\|z\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}\| \|(u, \nabla p) \|_{T}^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}\left(T e^{T / 2} F\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce from this estimate and from the estimates (18) and (21)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\|(u, \nabla p)\|_{T} \leq c \mathcal{M}_{1}(F\right. & +\| \|(u, \nabla p) \|_{T}^{\alpha}\left(T e^{T / 2} F\right)^{1-\alpha} \\
& +\|z\|_{\left.L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}\| \|(u, \nabla p) \|_{T}^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}\left(T e^{T / 2} F\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}\right)} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{1}=c\left(\bar{M}_{3}^{9}+\bar{M}_{4}\right)^{3} \bar{M}_{3}^{12}$. Using the following Young's inequalities:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{1}\|(u, \nabla p)\|_{T}^{\alpha}\left(T e^{T / 2} F\right)^{1-\alpha} \leq \alpha \varepsilon\| \|(u, \nabla p) \|_{T}+(1-\alpha) \varepsilon^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} T e^{T / 2} F \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}_{1}\|z\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}\| \|(u, \nabla p) \|_{T^{2}}^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}\left(T F e^{T / 2}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1+\alpha}{2} \varepsilon\| \|(u, \nabla p) \|_{T}+\frac{1-\alpha}{2} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}\|z\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} T F e^{T / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\varepsilon$ small enough, we deduce from (22) the following estimate, since $\mathcal{M}_{1} \geq 1$ :

$$
\|(u, \nabla p)\|_{T} \leq c F \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}\left(1+T e^{T / 2}+T e^{T / 2}\|z\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}\right)
$$

and the proof is complete.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1

As we have seen (cf. Proposition 6), for all $z \in \mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ satisfying $z(0)=u_{0}$, $\left.z\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0$ and $\nabla \cdot z=0$, there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right), \nabla p \in$ $L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}, \rho \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)$ of (4).
Local existence. This proof is based on the Schauder theorem that can be found for example in N. Dunford, J.T. Schwartz [2, Theorem 5, p. 456].

In the first step, let us prove that there exist $T_{M}>0$ and a convex compact subset $K$ of $X_{q, T_{M}}$ such that $z \mapsto u$ maps $K$ into $K$.

For all $t \leq T$, we have:

$$
\|(u, \nabla p)\|_{t} \leq c F \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}\left(1+t e^{t / 2}+t e^{t / 2}\|\mid z\|_{t}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}\right)
$$

with $\mathcal{M}_{1}=c\left({\overline{M_{3}}}^{9}+{\overline{M_{4}}}^{3}{\overline{M_{3}}}^{12}\right.$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{M_{3}}=1+M_{3} \leq 1+\sqrt{3}\left\|\nabla \rho_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}} \exp \left\{c t^{1 / q^{\prime}}\|z\|_{t}\right\} \\
& \overline{M_{4}}=1+M_{4} \leq 1+\sqrt{3}\left\|\nabla \rho_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}}\|z\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}} \exp \left\{c t^{1 / q^{\prime}}\|z\|_{t}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q^{\prime}$ satisfies $1 / q+1 / q^{\prime}=1$. Let $q_{1}$ be a real, $3<q_{1}<q$. Then

$$
\|z\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}} \leq c \sup _{0 \leq \tau \leq t}\|z\|_{W^{2-2 / q_{1}, q_{1}(\Omega)^{3}}} \leq c\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q_{1}, q_{1}(\Omega)^{3}}}+\|z\|_{q_{1}, Q_{t}}^{(2,1)}\right)
$$

where $c$ is a constant which does not depend on $t$ (see V.A. Solonnikov [11]). Moreover

$$
\|z\|_{q_{1}, Q_{t}}^{(2,1)} \leq c t^{\left(q-q_{1}\right) / q q_{1}}\|z\|_{q, Q_{t}}^{(2,1)}
$$

so, since $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q_{1}, q_{1}}(\Omega)^{3}} \leq c\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{M_{4}} \leq 1+c \sqrt{3}\left\|\nabla \rho_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}\right. \\
&\left.+t^{\left(q-q_{1}\right) / q q_{1}}\|z\|_{q, Q_{t}}^{(2,1)}\right) \exp \left\{c t^{1 / q^{\prime}}\|z\|_{t}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\|(u, \nabla p)\|_{t} \leq c H\left(t,\|z\|_{t}\right)
$$

where $H(t, a)$ is continuous function in $(t, a)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(t, a)=F & \left(1+\sqrt{3}\left\|\nabla \rho_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}}\left(1+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}}+t^{\left(q-q_{1}\right) / q q_{1}} a\right)\right)^{\frac{42}{1-\alpha}} \\
& \times \exp \left\{\frac{42}{1-\alpha} c t^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}} a\right\}\left(1+t e^{t / 2}+t e^{t / 2} a^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $H(0, a)=H(0, a)$ for all $a \geq 0$, for $M=H(0,0)$, there exists $T_{M}$ such that, if $\left\|\|z\|_{T_{M}} \leq M\right.$, then $\| \mid(u, \nabla p)\| \|_{T_{M}} \leq M$.

Let us denote

$$
K=\left\{u \in \mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T_{M}}\right), u(0)=u_{0},\left.u\right|_{\Sigma_{T_{M}}}=0, \nabla \cdot u=0,\|u\| \|_{T_{M}} \leq M\right\}
$$

Then $K$ is a convex compact subset of $X_{q, T_{M}}$, and $z \mapsto u$ maps $K$ into $K$.
In the second step, let us prove that $z \mapsto u$ is continuous from $K$ endowed with the topology of $X_{q, T_{M}}$ into itself. Let $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ be two elements of $K$. Then we obtain, setting $z=z_{1}-z_{2}, u=u_{1}-u_{2}, \nabla p=\nabla\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)$ and $\rho=\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{1} \partial_{t} u-\nabla \cdot\left(\nu\left(\rho_{1}\right)\left(\nabla u+{ }^{t} \nabla u\right)\right)+\rho_{1}\left(z_{1} \cdot \nabla\right) u+\nabla p=G \text { in } Q_{T} \\
\nabla \cdot u=0 \text { in } Q_{T}, \\
\partial_{t} \rho+z_{1} \cdot \nabla \rho=-z \cdot \nabla \rho_{2} \text { in } Q_{T} \\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=0 \text { in } \Omega \\
\left.u\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0 \\
\left.\rho\right|_{t=0}=0 \text { in } \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G=\rho f-\rho \partial_{t} u_{2}+\nabla \cdot\left(( \nu ( \rho _ { 1 } ) - \nu ( \rho _ { 2 } ) ) \left(\nabla u_{2}\right.\right. & \left.\left.+{ }^{t} \nabla u_{2}\right)\right) \\
& -\rho_{1}(z \cdot \nabla) u_{2}+\rho\left(z_{1} \cdot \nabla\right) u_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce from (9) the following estimate:

$$
\|(u, \nabla p)\|_{T_{M}} \leq c\|G\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T_{M}}\right)^{3} \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}\left(1+T e^{T / 2}+T e^{T / 2}\| \| z_{1}\| \|_{T_{M}}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}\right), ~ \text {, }}^{1 / 2}
$$

where $\|G\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T_{M}}\right)^{3}}$ verifies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|G\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T_{M}}\right)^{3} \leq} \leq & \|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T_{M}}\right)}\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T_{M}}\right)^{3}}+\left\|\partial_{t} u_{2}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T_{M}}\right)^{3}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|z_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T_{M}}\right)^{3}}\left\|\nabla u_{2}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T_{M}}\right)^{9}}\right) \\
& +\left\|\nabla \cdot\left(\left(\nu\left(\rho_{1}\right)-\nu\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)\left(\nabla u_{2}+{ }^{t} \nabla u_{2}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T_{M}}\right)^{3}} \\
& +\left\|\rho_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T_{M}}\right)}\|z\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T_{M}}\right)^{3}}\left\|\nabla u_{2}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T_{M}}\right)^{9}}
\end{aligned}
$$

As we have proved in Proposition 5, if $z_{2} \rightarrow z_{1}$ in $X_{q, T_{M}}$, then $\rho_{2} \rightarrow \rho_{1}$ in the space $\mathcal{C}_{u}\left(0, T_{M} ; \mathcal{C}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})\right)$. So, $\nu\left(\rho_{2}\right) \rightarrow \nu\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{u}\left(0, T_{M} ; \mathcal{C}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})\right)$. From this, we obtain that if $z_{2} \rightarrow z_{1}$ in $X_{q, T_{M}}$, then $\|G\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T_{M}}\right)^{3}} \rightarrow 0$ and therefore $\left\|\|(u, \nabla p)\|_{T_{M}} \rightarrow 0\right.$. This proves that the map $z \mapsto u$ is continuous. Using the Schauder fixed point theorem, we obtain that there exist $u \in K$ and $\nabla p \in L^{q}\left(Q_{T_{M}}\right)^{3}$ solving (1)-(3).
Global existence. We have

$$
\|(u, \nabla p)\|_{T} \leq c F \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}\left(1+T e^{T / 2}+T e^{T / 2}\|z\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}\right)
$$

Let $M>0$ and suppose $\left\|\|z\|_{T} \leq M\right.$. Then there exists $R>0$ such that if $F=\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega)^{3}} \leq R$, then $\|u\|_{T} \leq M$. As in the proof of the local existence,

$$
K=\left\{u \in \mathcal{W}_{q}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right), u(0)=u_{0},\left.u\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0, \nabla \cdot u=0,\| \| u \|_{T} \leq M\right\}
$$

is a convex compact subset of $X_{q, T}$, and $z \mapsto u$ maps continuously $K$ into $K$. Therefore we deduce the existence of $u \in K$ and $\nabla p \in L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$ solving (1)-(3).

## Appendix

Lemma 9. Let $f \in L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$ and let $(u, \nabla p)$ be the unique solution of (10) satisfying

$$
u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right), \partial_{t} u \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}, \nabla p \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}
$$

Suppose that $u \in L^{s}\left(0, T ; W^{2, s}(\Omega)^{3}\right) \cap W^{1, s}\left(0, T ; L^{s}(\Omega)^{3}\right)$ and $\nabla p \in L^{s}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{3}$ with $2 \leq s<q$. Choosing $p$ such that $\int_{\Omega} p=0$, there exists $\sigma, s<\sigma \leq q$ defined by

$$
\sigma= \begin{cases}q & \text { if } s \geq 5 \\ \min \left(q, \frac{4 s}{5-s}\right) & \text { if } 2 \leq s<5\end{cases}
$$

such that

$$
u \in L^{\sigma}\left(0, T ; W^{1, \sigma}(\Omega)^{3}\right),\left.\nabla u\right|_{\Sigma_{T}} \in L^{\sigma}\left(\Sigma_{T}\right)^{9} p \in L^{\sigma}\left(Q_{T}\right)
$$

Moreover we have

$$
\|p\|_{L^{\sigma}\left(Q_{t}\right)} \leq c_{3}\left(\|f\|_{L^{\sigma}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{3}}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\sigma}\left(Q_{t}\right)^{9}}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\sigma}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)^{9}}\right),
$$

where

$$
c_{3}=c\left[M_{1}^{-2}\left(M_{2}+1\right) M_{3}+M_{1}^{-1}\right] M_{2}\left(1+M_{1}^{-4} M_{2}^{2} M_{3}^{2}\right)
$$

A proof of this lemma is given by M. Kabbaj [4].
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