

Marian Turzański

On the selector of twin functions

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 39 (1998), No. 2, 303--307

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119007>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

On the selector of twin functions

MARIAN TURZAŃSKI

Abstract. A theorem is proved which could be considered as a bridge between the combinatorics which have a beginning in the dyadic spaces theory and the partition calculus.

Keywords: twin functions, selector of twin functions, transfixed selector

Classification: 04A20

The aim of this paper is to prove a pure set-theoretical theorem which could be considered as a bridge between the combinatorics which have a beginning in the dyadic spaces theory (see [1]) and partition calculus (see [3]). As an application of this theorem, the proofs of Erdős-Rado Theorem [2], Strong Sequences Theorem [5] and the Bolzano-Weierstrass Method [4] will be given.

The Erdős-Rado Theorem has been used several times for proving important theorems (for more information see [3]). The same we can say about the role of the strong sequences theorem in dyadic spaces theory (see [1], [6]).

Main theorem

Let X be a set and ϕ be an ordinal.

A pair (F, G) of two multifunctions

$$\begin{aligned} G &: \phi \longrightarrow 2^X \\ F &: X \longrightarrow 2^\phi \end{aligned}$$

such that

(*) for any conditions $\beta < \alpha < \phi$ there exists $b \in G(\beta)$ such that $\alpha \in F(b)$

is said to be **twin functions**.

A map $g : K \longrightarrow X$, $K \subset \phi$, is said to be a **selector of twin functions** if

- (1) for any $\beta \in K$ there is $g(\beta) \in G(\beta)$,
- (2) for any $\alpha, \beta \in K$; $\beta < \alpha < \phi$ implies $\alpha \in F(g(\beta))$.

Fix twin functions (F, G) . The main result of this note is the following:

Theorem. *If $\phi = (\kappa^\lambda)^+$ and $\text{card}(G(\alpha)) \leq \kappa$ for each $\alpha < \phi$ then there is a selector $g : K \rightarrow X$ of the twin functions such that $\text{card}(K) \geq \lambda^+$.*

A selector $g : K \rightarrow X$ is said to be **transfixed** if there is an $\alpha > \sup K$ with

$$\alpha \in \bigcap \{F(g(\beta)) : \beta \in K\}.$$

Denote by $\alpha(g)$ the least ordinal of this property.

For a given λ let us denote by λ^* , $\lambda < \lambda^* \leq \phi$, the ordinal having the following property:

- (I) if $g : K \rightarrow X$ is a transfixed selector such that $K \subset \lambda^*$, $\text{card}(K) \leq \lambda$, then $\alpha(g) < \lambda^*$.

Lemma 1. *If $\lambda^* < \phi$, then there is a selector $g : K \rightarrow X$ of the twin functions such that $\text{card}(K) \geq \lambda^+$.*

PROOF: Consider the family \mathcal{K} of all transfixed selectors $g : K \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\lambda^* \in \bigcap \{F(g(\beta)) : \beta \in K, K \subset \lambda^*, \text{card}(K) \leq \lambda\}$$

with the partial ordering

$$g_1 \leq g_2 \text{ if } \text{dom } g_1 \subset \text{dom } g_2 \text{ and } g_2 \upharpoonright \text{dom } g_1 = g_1.$$

The set \mathcal{K} is non-empty because for each $\alpha < \lambda^*$ in view of (*) we have $\lambda^* \in F(G(\alpha))$. Hence there exists $a \in G(\alpha)$ such that $\lambda^* \in F(a)$. It is clear that the map $g : \{\alpha\} \rightarrow a$, $g(\alpha) = a$, belongs to \mathcal{K} .

Let us observe that there are no maximal elements in \mathcal{K} . To see this, fix $g \in \mathcal{K}$, $g : K \rightarrow X$ and define $g_1 : K_1 \rightarrow X$ with $K_1 = K \cup \{\alpha(g)\}$, $g_1(\beta) = g(\beta)$ for $\beta \in K$ and $g_1(\alpha(g)) = x$, where according to the condition (*) one can find $x \in G(\alpha(g))$ such that $\lambda^* \in F(x)$. Since $\alpha(g) < \lambda^*$, the map $g_1 : K \rightarrow X$ is well defined, $g_1 \in \mathcal{K}$, $g_1 \neq g$ and $g \leq g_1$.

Now let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{K}$ be a chain. Denote by $g_{\mathcal{L}} : K_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow X$ a selector such that $K_{\mathcal{L}} = \bigcup \{\text{dom } g : g \in \mathcal{L}\}$ and $g_{\mathcal{L}} \upharpoonright \text{dom } g = g$. Observe that if $\text{card}(\mathcal{L}) \leq \lambda$, then $g_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{K}$. Since there are no maximal elements in \mathcal{K} , by the Zorn Lemma there is a chain $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{K}$ such that $\lambda^+ \leq \text{card}(\mathcal{L})$. It is clear that $g_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a selector with $\lambda^+ \leq \text{card}(\text{dom } g_{\mathcal{L}})$. □

Lemma 2. *If $\text{card}(G(\alpha)) \leq \kappa$, then for each λ such that $(\kappa^\lambda)^+ \leq \phi$ we have $\lambda^* < \phi$.*

PROOF: Let us observe that if (G, F) are twin functions, then for each κ and λ such that $(\kappa^\lambda)^+ \leq \phi$, the system (G, F) , for which we take $\phi = (\kappa^\lambda)^+$, is a system of twin functions.

Consider the set \mathcal{M} of all transfixed selectors. By induction we shall define an increasing sequence of ordinals $\{\lambda_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda^+\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- 1^o $\lambda_0 = \lambda$,
- 2^o if α is a limit ordinal then $\lambda_\alpha = \sup\{\lambda_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$,
- 3^o if $\alpha = \beta + 1$ then $\lambda_\alpha = \sup\{\alpha(g) : g \in \mathcal{M}, \text{dom } g \subset \lambda_\beta, \text{card}(\text{dom } g) \leq \lambda\} + 1$.

Let us put $\lambda^* = \sup\{\lambda_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda^+\}$. To see that $\lambda^* < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$, let us observe that if $\lambda_\beta < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$ then the set

$$\mathcal{M}_\beta = \{g \in \mathcal{M} : \text{dom } g \subset \lambda_\beta, \text{ card}(g) \leq \lambda\}$$

has cardinality less or equal to κ^λ . Therefore $\lambda_{\beta+1} < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$.

Now let us verify that if $g \in \mathcal{M}$, $\text{card}(\text{dom } g) \leq \lambda$ and $\text{dom } g \subset \lambda^*$, then $\alpha(g) < \lambda^*$. Indeed, if $\text{card}(\text{dom } g) \leq \lambda$, $\text{dom } g \subset \lambda^*$, then there is $\beta < \lambda^+$ such that $\text{dom } g \subset \lambda_\beta$. By our construction we have $\alpha < \lambda_{\beta+1} < \lambda^*$. \square

The Theorem is an easy corollary of Lemmas 1 and 2.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM: From Lemma 2 it follows that $\lambda^* < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$. Hence, by Lemma 1, there exists a selector $g : K \rightarrow X$ of the twin functions such that $\text{card}(K) \geq \lambda^+$. \square

Applications

We shall prove the following theorem of P. Erdős and R. Rado [2]. By $[X]^2$ denote the family of all exactly two points subsets of X .

Theorem (Erdős-Rado [2]). *Suppose λ is an infinite cardinal number and F is a partition of $[X]^2$ of cardinality not greater than λ . If the cardinality of the set X is greater than 2^λ , then there exists a subset $\Gamma \subset X$ of cardinality greater than λ such that the family $[\Gamma]^2$ is contained in some element of F .*

PROOF: Order well the elements of F into the size λ , i.e. $F = \{F_\beta : \beta < \lambda\}$. Order well the set X into the size $(2^\lambda)^+$, i.e. $X = \{\alpha : \alpha < (2^\lambda)^+\}$. For each $\alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$ let $F_\gamma(\alpha) = \{\beta : \{\alpha, \beta\} \in F_\gamma\}$. Let $Z = \{\{F_\gamma(\alpha)\} : \alpha < (2^\lambda)^+ \text{ and } \gamma < \lambda\}$.

Let us define the functions

$$G : (2^\lambda)^+ \rightarrow 2^Z; \alpha \mapsto \{\{F_\gamma(\alpha)\} : \gamma < \lambda\}$$

and

$$F : Z \rightarrow 2^{(2^\lambda)^+} : \{F_\gamma(\alpha)\} \mapsto F_\gamma(\alpha).$$

We shall show that (F, G) are twin functions. For this purpose, take $\beta < \alpha$,

$$G(\beta) = \{\{F_\gamma\} : \gamma < \lambda\} \text{ and } \bigcup \{\{F_\gamma(\beta) : \{F_\gamma(\beta)\} \in G(\beta)\} = (2^\lambda)^+ \setminus \{\beta\}.$$

Hence we have $\alpha \in F_\gamma(\beta) = F(\{F_\gamma(\beta)\})$ for some $\gamma < \lambda$. Hence, by the Theorem there exists a selector $g : K \rightarrow Z$ such that $\lambda^+ \leq \text{card}(K)$. From this it follows that there exist $\gamma < \lambda$ and $\Gamma \subset K$, $\text{card}(\Gamma) = \lambda^+$ such that $g(\beta) = \{F_\gamma(\beta)\}$ for each $\beta \in \Gamma$. Hence for each α and β from Γ , the condition $\beta < \alpha$ implies that $\alpha \in F_\gamma(\beta)$. This means that for each α, β from Γ we have $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in F_\gamma$. Hence $[\Gamma]^2 \subset F_\gamma$. \square

Let X be a set. Let $r \subset [X]^{<\omega} \times [X]^{<\omega}$. Let S_ϕ be a finite subset of X and $H_\phi \subset X$ for $\phi < \alpha$.

Definition. A sequence $(S_\phi, H_\phi); \phi < \alpha$ is called a **strong sequence** if

- 1° for each $T, S \in [S_\phi \cup H_\phi]^{<\omega}$ there is TrS ,
- 2° for each $\beta > \phi$ there exist $T, S \in [S_\beta \cup H_\beta]^{<\omega}$ such that $\sim (TrS)$.

Theorem (On strong sequences [1], [5], [6]). Let X be a set and r be a relation on $[X]^{<\omega}$. Let $(S_\phi, H_\phi); \phi < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$ be a strong sequence such that $card(H_\phi) \leq \kappa$ for each $\phi < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$. Then there exists a strong sequence $(S_\phi, T_\phi); \phi < \lambda^+$, where $card(T_\phi) < \omega$ for each $\phi < \lambda^+$.

PROOF: For each H_ϕ let

$$G(\phi) = \{T : T \subset H_\phi, card(T) < \omega$$

and there exists $\beta > \phi$ such that $\sim (TrS_\beta)\}$.

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{T : T \in G(\phi) \text{ for some } \phi\}$. Let us define the functions:

$$G : (\kappa^\lambda)^+ \longrightarrow 2^{\mathcal{X}} : \phi \longmapsto G(\phi)$$

and

$$F : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow 2^{(\kappa^\lambda)^+} : T \longmapsto \{\beta : \sim (TrS_\beta)\}.$$

We shall show that (F, G) are twin functions. Let $\beta < \alpha < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$, then there exists $T \in G(\beta)$ such that $\sim (TrS_\alpha)$. Hence $\alpha \in F(T)$. By the theorem there exists a selector $g : K \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}, \lambda^+ \leq card(K)$ such that

- 1° for each $\beta \in K$ we have $g(\beta) \in G(\beta)$,
- 2° for each $\alpha, \beta \in K; \beta < \alpha$ implies $\alpha \in F(g(\beta))$.

By 1° we have that $g(\beta) \in [H_\beta]^{<\omega}$. By 2° we have that for $\alpha > \beta, \sim (S_\alpha rg(\beta))$. Hence $(S_\alpha, g(\alpha)); \alpha \in K$ is a strong sequence. □

In [4] the following theorem has been proved.

Theorem (The Bolzano-Weierstrass Method). Suppose λ and κ are cardinal numbers such that $\kappa > 1$ and λ is infinite. Assume that $Y = \{y_\alpha : \alpha < (\kappa^\lambda)^+\}$ is a set of different indexed points. If for any $\alpha < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$ the family

$$F_{y_\alpha} = \{F_{y_\alpha}(\beta) : \beta < \kappa\}$$

consists of pairwise disjoint subsets of X such that

$$(*) \quad \bigcup F_{y_\alpha} \cup \{y_\alpha\} \subset \bigcap \{ \bigcup F_{y_\gamma} : \gamma < \alpha \},$$

then there exist a function $f : \lambda^+ \longrightarrow \kappa$ and an indexed subset $\{p_\gamma : \gamma < \lambda^+\} \subset Y$ such that any condition $\beta < \tau < \lambda^+$ implies $p_\tau \in F_{p_\beta}(f(\beta))$.

PROOF: Let us define the set

$$X = \{F_{y_\alpha}(\beta) : \alpha < (\kappa^\lambda)^+ \text{ and } \beta < \kappa\}.$$

Let $G : (\kappa^\lambda)^+ \longrightarrow 2^X : \alpha \longmapsto \{F_\gamma(\alpha) : \gamma < \kappa\}$ and let $F : X \longrightarrow 2^{(\kappa^\lambda)^+} : F_{y_\alpha}(\beta) \longmapsto \{\gamma : y_\gamma \in F_{y_\alpha}(\beta)\}$.

We shall show that (F, G) are twin functions. By $(*)$ we have that for each $\beta < \alpha$, $y_\alpha \in \bigcup F_{y_\beta}$. Hence $y_\alpha \in F_{y_\beta}(\gamma)$ for some $\gamma < \kappa$. Then $\alpha \in F(F_{y_\beta}(\gamma))$. We have $\text{card}(G(\alpha)) \leq \kappa$ for each $\alpha < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$. Then, by the theorem, there exists a selector $g : K \longrightarrow X$, $\lambda^+ \leq \text{card}(K)$ such that

1^o for each $\beta \in K$ there is $g(\beta) \in G(\beta)$

and

2^o for each $\alpha, \beta \in K$ the condition $\beta < \alpha$ implies $\alpha \in F(g(\beta))$.

From this it follows that

for each $\alpha \in K$, $\alpha \in \bigcap F(g(\beta))$, where $\beta \in K$, $\beta < \alpha$.

The selector $g : K \longrightarrow X$ and any increasing map h from λ^+ into K define a map $f : \lambda^+ \longrightarrow \kappa$ in the following way: $f(\beta) = \gamma$ if $g(h(\beta)) = F_{y_{h(\beta)}}(\gamma)$ and a set $\{p_\gamma : \gamma < \lambda^+, \text{ where } p_\gamma = y_{h(\gamma)}\}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Efimov B.A., *Dyadic bicompecta*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., Providence, 1967.
- [2] Erdős P., Rado R., *A partition calculus in set theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **62** (1956), 427–489.
- [3] Juhasz I., *Cardinal functions in topology*, Mathematical Center Tracts 34, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [4] Kulpa W., Plewik Sz., Turzański M., *On the Bolzano-Weierstrass Method*, preprint.
- [5] Turzański M., *Strong sequences and the weight of regular spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **33,3** (1992), 557–561.
- [6] Turzański M., *Cantor cubes: chain conditions*, Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Śląskiego w Katowicach nr 1612, 1996.

UNIWERSYTET ŚLĄSKI, INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, UL. BANKOWA 14, 40–007 KATOWICE,
POLAND

E-mail: mtturz@gate.math.us.edu.pl

(Received June 23, 1997)