

J. Valuyeva

On some fan-tightness type properties

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 39 (1998), No. 2, 415--421

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119018>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## On some fan-tightness type properties

J. VALUYEVA

*Abstract.* Properties similar to countable fan-tightness are introduced and compared to countable tightness and countable fan-tightness. These properties are also investigated with respect to function spaces and certain classes of continuous mappings.

*Keywords:*  $\omega$ -cover,  $vet^*(X)$ , tightness, fan-tightness, biquotient mapping,  $C_p(X)$

*Classification:* 54A25, 54C35

In this paper, all spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. We denote by  $\mathbb{R}$  the set of real numbers;  $\beta X$  denotes the Stone-Čech compactification of a Tychonoff space  $X$  and  $C_p(X)$  stands for the space of all real-valued continuous functions on  $X$  with the topology of pointwise convergence. A basic open neighborhood of a function  $f \in C_p(X)$  is of the form  $W(x_1, \dots, x_k; f; \epsilon) = \{g \in C_p(X) : |f(x_i) - g(x_i)| < \epsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , where  $k \in \omega$ ,  $x_i \in X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . We denote by  $C_p^0(X)$  the set of all bounded continuous functions on  $X$  equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. A cover  $\gamma$  of  $X$  is said to be an  $\omega$ -cover if for any finite subset  $F$  of  $X$  there is a  $G \in \gamma$  such that  $F \subseteq G$ . The notion of countable fan-tightness was introduced in [1]: a space  $X$  is said to have *countable fan-tightness* (denoted  $vet(X) \leq \omega$ ) if for each point  $x$  in  $X$  and any countable family  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  of subsets of  $X$  satisfying  $x \in \bigcap \{\overline{A_n} : n \in \omega\}$ , there exist finite sets  $H_n \subseteq A_n$  such that  $x \in \overline{\bigcup \{H_n : n \in \omega\}}$ . A space  $X$  is said to have *countable strong fan-tightness* if for each  $x \in X$  and for each countable family  $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$  of subsets of  $X$  such that  $x \in \bigcap \{\overline{A_n} : n \in \omega\}$ , there exist  $a_i \in A_i$  such that  $x \in \overline{\{a_i : i \in \omega\}}$ .

A space  $X$  is said to *have property*  $vet^*(X) \leq \omega$  if for each point  $x$  in  $X$  and any countable family  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  of subsets of  $X$  satisfying  $x \in \bigcap \{\overline{A_n} : n \in \omega\}$ , there exist sets  $H_n \subseteq A_n$  with  $|H_n| \leq n$  such that  $x \in \overline{\bigcup \{H_n : n \in \omega\}}$ . Clearly, every space  $X$  of countable strong fan-tightness has  $vet^*(X) \leq \omega$ , and  $vet^*(X) \leq \omega$  in turn implies that the fan-tightness of  $X$  is countable.

The following theorems were proved in [1] and [5], respectively:

**Theorem 1** (Arhangel'skii). *For a Tychonoff space  $X$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $vet C_p(X) \leq \omega$ ;
- (b) for each  $n \in \omega$ ,  $X^n$  is a Hurewicz space.

**Theorem 2** (Sakai). *For a Tychonoff space  $X$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $C_p(X)$  has countable strong fan-tightness;
- (b) for each sequence  $\{\gamma_n : n \in \omega\}$  of open  $\omega$ -covers of  $X$  there exist  $U_n \in \gamma_n$  such that  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  is an  $\omega$ -cover of  $X$ .

**Lemma 3.** *For a topological space  $X$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $vet^*(X) \leq \omega$ ;
- (b) for each mapping  $\phi : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  such that  $\phi(n) \geq n$  for each  $n \in \omega$ , for each point  $x \in X$  and for each (decreasing) family  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  of subsets of  $X$  satisfying  $x \in \bigcap \{\overline{A_n} : n \in \omega\}$ , there exist  $H_i \subseteq A_i$  such that  $x \in \overline{\{H_n : n \in \omega\}}$  and  $|H_n| \leq \phi(n)$ ;
- (c) for each point  $x \in X$  and for each decreasing family  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  of subsets of  $X$  satisfying  $x \in \bigcap \{\overline{A_n} : n \in \omega\}$ , there exist  $a_i \in A_i$  such that  $x \in \overline{\{a_n : n \in \omega\}}$ .

PROOF: (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) is trivial.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). Assume (b) and fix  $x \in X$  and a decreasing family  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  of subsets of  $X$  such that  $x \in \bigcap \{\overline{A_n} : n \in \omega\}$ . Consider the subset  $\{n_k : k \in \omega\}$  of  $\omega$  defined as follows:  $n_1 = 1$  and  $n_k = n_{k-1} + \phi(k)$ . Since  $x \in \overline{A_{n_k}}$  for each  $k$ , select  $H_k \subseteq A_{n_k}$  with  $|H_k| \leq \phi(k)$  and  $x \in \overline{\{H_n : n \in \omega\}}$ . Without loss of generality it may be assumed that  $H_k = \{x_1^k, x_2^k, \dots, x_{\phi(k)}^k\}$ . For  $i \in \omega$  such that  $n_{k-1} < i \leq n_k$ , put  $a_i = x_{i-n_{k-1}}^k$ . Clearly,  $a_i \in A_i$  and  $x \in \overline{\{a_i : i \in \omega\}} = \overline{\{H_n : n \in \omega\}}$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Assume (c) and fix  $\{B_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  with  $x \in \bigcap \{\overline{B_n} : n \in \omega\}$ . Put  $A_i = \overline{\{B_k : k \geq i\}}$ . The family  $\{A_i : i \in \omega\}$  satisfies (c); select  $a_i \in A_i$  with  $x \in \overline{\{a_n : n \in \omega\}}$  and put  $H_i = B_i \cap \{a_n : 1 \leq n \leq i\}$ . Clearly,  $|H_i| \leq i$  and  $x \in \overline{\{H_n : n \in \omega\}}$ . □

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $X$  be a Fréchet space of countable fan-tightness. Then  $vet^*(X) \leq \omega$ .*

PROOF: Fix a decreasing sequence  $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$  of subsets of  $X$  and a point  $x \in X$  such that  $x \in \bigcap \{\overline{A_n} \setminus A_n : n \in \omega\}$ . There exist finite sets  $H_n \subseteq A_n$  with  $x \in \overline{\{H_n : n \in \omega\}}$ . Choose a sequence  $\{a_n : n \in \omega\} \subseteq \bigcup \{H_n : n \in \omega\}$  converging to  $x$  and define a countable subset of  $A_1$  as follows: for each  $i \in \omega$ , put  $k_i = \max\{n : a_n \in H_i\}$  if  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \omega} \cap H_i \neq \emptyset$  and put  $b_i = a_{k_i}$ , if  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \omega} \cap H_i \neq \emptyset$  and  $b_i = b_{i+1}$  otherwise. Since each  $H_i$  is finite, the sequence  $\{b_i\}_{i \in \omega}$  is well-defined and  $b_i \in A_i$  for each  $i$ . Since  $\{b_i\}_{i \in \omega}$  contains a subsequence of  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ , we have  $x \in \overline{\{b_i : i \in \omega\}}$  and therefore  $vet^*(X) \leq \omega$ . □

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $vet^*C_p(X) \leq \omega$ ;
- (b) for every sequence  $\{\gamma_n : n \in \omega\}$  of open  $\omega$ -covers of  $X$  there exist  $\lambda_n \subseteq \gamma_n$  such that  $|\lambda_n| \leq n$  and  $\bigcup \{\lambda_n : n \in \omega\}$  is an  $\omega$ -cover of  $X$ .

PROOF: Assume (a). Fix a sequence  $\{\gamma_n : n \in \omega\}$  of open  $\omega$ -covers of  $X$  and for each natural number  $n$  put  $A_n = \{f \in C_p(X) : \exists U \in \gamma_n \text{ such that } f(X \setminus U) = \{0\}\}$ . Put  $f^*(x) = 1$  for each  $x \in X$ . Clearly,  $f^* \in \overline{A_n}$  for each  $n$ . Choose  $H_n \subseteq A_n$  such that  $f^* \in \overline{\bigcup\{H_n : n \in \omega\}}$  and  $|H_n| \leq n$ . For each  $n$  and for each  $f \in H_n$  fix  $U_f \in \gamma_n$  such that  $f(X \setminus U_f) = \{0\}$  and put  $\lambda_n = \{U_f : f \in H_n\}$ . To show that  $\bigcup\{\lambda_n : n \in \omega\}$  is an  $\omega$ -cover of  $X$ , fix  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ . There exist  $n \in \omega$  and  $f \in H_n$  such that  $f \in W(x_1, \dots, x_k; f^*; 1/2)$ . Thus for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$  we have  $f(x_i) > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $x_i \in U_f$ .

Assume (b) and fix  $f \in C_p(X)$  and a sequence  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  of subsets of  $X$  such that  $f \in \bigcap\{\overline{A_n} : n \in \omega\}$ . Put  $\gamma_n = \{(g - f)^{-1}(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}) : g \in A_n\}$ . To show that  $\gamma_n$  is an  $\omega$ -cover of  $X$ , fix  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ . Since  $W(x_1, \dots, x_k; f; \frac{1}{n}) \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $g \in A_n$  such that  $x_i \in (g - f)^{-1}(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

Case 1. There exists a subsequence  $\{n_k\}_{k \in \omega}$  such that  $X \in \gamma_{n_k}$  for each  $k$ . Fix  $g_{n_k} \in A_{n_k}$  such that  $X = (g_{n_k} - f)^{-1}(-\frac{1}{n_k}, \frac{1}{n_k})$ . It is easy to see that  $f \in \overline{\{g_{n_k} : k \in \omega\}}$ .

Case 2.  $X$  is an element of finitely many members of  $\{\gamma_n\}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $X \notin \gamma_n$  for each  $n$ . Choose  $\lambda_n \subseteq \gamma_n$  with  $|\lambda_n| \leq n$  and for each  $U \in \lambda_n$ , fix  $g_U \in A_n$  with  $U = (g_U - f)^{-1}(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$ . Put  $H_n = \{g_U : U \in \lambda_n\}$ . Fix a basic open neighborhood  $W(x_1, \dots, x_k; f; \frac{1}{n})$  of  $f$ . Since  $X \notin \bigcup\{\lambda_n : n \in \omega\}$ ,  $|\{U \in \bigcup\{\lambda_n : n \in \omega\} : x_i \in U, \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, k\}| = \omega$  and there exists  $N \geq n$  such that for some  $U \in \lambda_N$ ,  $x_i \in (g_U - f)^{-1}(-\frac{1}{N}, \frac{1}{N})$  for all  $i$ . Hence  $g_U \in W(x_1, \dots, x_k; f; \frac{1}{n}) \cap H_N$  and  $f \in \overline{\bigcup\{H_n : n \in \omega\}}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Question 1.* Does  $vet^*(X) \leq \omega$  imply that  $X$  has countable strong fan-tightness? In particular, are these two properties equivalent for function spaces (equivalently, are condition (b) of Theorem 2 and condition (b) of Theorem 5 equivalent)?

**Corollary 6.** *Condition (b) of Theorem 5 is preserved by  $t$ -equivalence.*

*Remark.* It can be shown that a space  $X$  satisfies condition (b) of Theorem 5 if and only if for each finite power  $X^k$  of  $X$  and for each sequence  $\{\gamma_n : n \in \omega\}$  of open covers of  $X^k$  there exist  $\lambda_n \subseteq \gamma_n$  such that  $|\lambda_n| \leq n$  and  $\bigcup\{\lambda_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a cover of  $X^k$ . It can also be shown that every Tychonoff space  $X$  satisfying condition (b) of Theorem 5 is zero-dimensional.

**Example 7.** Countable fan-tightness does not imply that  $vet^*(X) \leq \omega$ : Consider  $X = C_p(0, 1)$ , where  $(0, 1)$  is the open unit interval. By Arhangel'skii's theorem,  $vet C_p(0, 1) \leq \omega$ . It is easy to see, however, that the sequence  $\{\gamma_n\}$  of open covers of  $(0, 1)$ , where  $\gamma_n = \{\bigcup\{(a_i, b_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} : k \in \omega, a_i, b_i \in (0, 1), \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^k (b_i - a_i) < \frac{1}{n3^n}\}$ , does not admit the choice of  $\lambda_n \subseteq \gamma_n$  satisfying condition (b) of Theorem 5 and, therefore,  $vet^*C_p(0, 1) \not\leq \omega$ .

Denote by  $S_c$  the space obtained by identifying the limit points of continuum many convergent sequences.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space such that  $t(S_c \times X) \leq \omega$ . Then  $\text{vet}^*(X) \leq \omega$ .*

PROOF: Enumerate the convergent sequences of  $S_c$  by the elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $S_c = \{C_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{O\}$ , where  $C_\alpha = \{\frac{\alpha}{n} : n \in \omega\}$  and  $O$  is the only non-isolated point of  $S_c$ .

Fix  $x^* \in X$  and a countable family  $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$  of subsets of  $X$  such that  $x^* \in \overline{\bigcap \{A_n : n \in \omega\}}$ . Since  $t(X) \leq t(S_c \times X) = \omega$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $|A_n| = \omega$ .

Consider  $K = \{(a_i)_{i \in \omega} : a_i \in A_i \forall i \in \omega\}$ . Since  $|K| = 2^\omega$ ,  $K = \{\xi_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , where each  $\xi_\alpha = (a_i^\alpha)_{i \in \omega}$  and  $\xi_\alpha \neq \xi_{\alpha'}$  whenever  $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ .

For each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , put  $\zeta_\alpha = \{(\frac{\alpha}{n}, a_n^\alpha) : n \in \omega\}$ . Let  $B = \bigcup \{\zeta_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq S_c \times X$ .

Claim 1:  $\overline{B} \ni (O, x^*)$ . Fix a neighborhood  $O_{x^*}$  of  $x^*$  in  $X$  and a neighborhood  $V$  of  $O$  in  $S_c$ . For each  $n \in \omega$  there exists an  $a_n^* \in O_{x^*} \cap A_n$ . Also, there is a real number  $\alpha^*$  such that  $\xi_{\alpha^*} = (a_i^*)_{i \in \omega}$ . Since  $V$  contains all but finitely many points of  $C_{\alpha^*}$ ,  $\zeta_{\alpha^*} \cap (V \times O_{x^*}) \neq \emptyset$ .

Choose a countable subset  $M$  of  $B$  such that  $\overline{M} \ni (O, x^*)$ . Without loss of generality, it may be assumed that  $M = \bigcup \{\zeta_{\alpha_k} : k \in \omega\}$ . Put  $H_i = \{a_i^{\alpha_k} : 1 \leq k \leq i\}$ . Clearly, each  $H_i$  is a subset of  $A_i$  and  $|H_i| \leq i$ .

Claim 2:  $x^* \in \overline{\bigcup \{H_i : i \in \omega\}}$ . Fix a neighborhood  $O_{x^*}$  of  $x^*$  in  $X$ . Put  $V = S_c \setminus \{\frac{\alpha_k}{n} : k \in \omega, n \in \omega, n < k\}$ . Clearly,  $V$  is an open neighborhood of  $O$ , and, consequently,  $M \cap (V \times O_{x^*}) \neq \emptyset$ . Fix natural numbers  $k$  and  $n$  such that  $(\frac{\alpha_k}{n}, a_n^{\alpha_k}) \in (V \times O_{x^*}) \cap M$ . Since  $\frac{\alpha_k}{n} \in V$ , we have  $n \geq k$  and, therefore,  $a_n^{\alpha_k} \in H_n \cap O_{x^*}$ . This completes the proof. □

The following two corollaries provide answers to questions posed in [3]:

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space such that  $t(S_c \times X) \leq \omega$ . Then  $\text{vet}(X) \leq \omega$ .*

*Remark.* Example 7 shows that the last corollary cannot be reversed: It follows from theorem (on product) that  $t(C_p(0, 1) \times S_c) > \omega$ . In fact, it follows from Example 2 in [6] that  $t(C_p(0, 1) \times S_c) \geq 2^\omega$ .

*Question 2.* Can Theorem 8 be reversed? Also, is it true that for a space  $X$  of countable strong fan-tightness we have  $t(S_c \times X) \leq \omega$ ?

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space such that  $t(C_p(X) \times S_c) \leq \omega$ . Then  $X$  is a Hurewicz space.*

It was shown in [3] that for a regular countably compact space  $X$  of countable tightness, the tightness of the product space  $S_c \times X$  is countable. It was also proved that for a regular countably compact space, countable fan-tightness and countable tightness are equivalent. The following corollary improves the last result:

**Corollary 11.** *Let  $X$  be a regular countably compact space of countable tightness. Then  $vet^*(X) \leq \omega$ .*

*Question 3.* Let  $X$  be a regular pseudocompact space of countable tightness. Is it true that  $t(S_c \times X) \leq \omega$ ? In particular, is it true that  $vet^*(X) \leq \omega$ ?

**Definition.** A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *countably biquotient*, if for each point  $y \in Y$  and for each increasing open cover  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  of  $f^{-1}(y)$  there is a number  $n$  such that  $y \in Int(f(U_n))$ .

**Proposition 12.** *Let  $X$  be a space such that  $vet^*(X) \leq \omega$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous countably biquotient mapping onto  $Y$ . Then  $vet^*(Y) \leq \omega$ .*

PROOF: Use condition (c) of Lemma 3. Fix  $y \in Y$  and a countable decreasing family  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  of subsets of  $Y$  such that  $y \in \bigcap \overline{A_n}$ . Put  $B_n = f^{-1}(A_n)$ . There is a point  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  such that  $x \in \bigcap \overline{B_n} : n \in \omega$ . Indeed, otherwise  $\{X \setminus \overline{B_n} : n \in \omega\}$  be an increasing cover of  $f^{-1}(y)$  and for some  $n$ , we would have  $y = f(x) \in Int(f(X \setminus f^{-1}(A_n))) \subseteq Y \setminus A_n$ , a contradiction to  $y \in \overline{A_n}$ .

Fix  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  such that  $x \in \overline{B_n}$  for each  $n$  and choose  $b_i \in B_i$  with the property  $x \in \overline{\{b_i : i \in \omega\}}$ . It is easy to see that  $f(b_i) \in A_i$  and  $y \in \overline{\{f(b_i) : i \in \omega\}}$ . By Lemma 3,  $vet^*(Y) \leq \omega$ . □

**Corollary 13.** *If  $X$  is a topological space such that  $vet^*(X) \leq \omega$  and  $Y$  is an image of  $X$  under a continuous open mapping, then  $vet^*(Y) \leq \omega$ .*

We shall say that a space  $X$  has *countable omega-fan-tightness* ( $vet_\omega(X) \leq \omega$ ) if for each point  $x \in X$  and any countable family  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  of countable subsets of  $X$  satisfying  $x \in \bigcap \overline{A_n} : n \in \omega$ , there exist finite sets  $H_n \subseteq A_n$  such that  $x \in \bigcup \overline{H_n} : n \in \omega$ .

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space such that for every finite  $k$  and for every sequence  $\{\gamma_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  of countable open covers of  $X^k$  there exist finite subfamilies  $\lambda_n \subseteq \gamma_n$  such that  $\bigcup \{\lambda_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a cover of  $X^k$ . Then  $vet_\omega C_p(X) \leq \omega$ .*

PROOF: Fix a family  $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$  of countable subsets of  $C_p(X)$  and a function  $f \in C_p(X)$  such that  $f \in \bigcap \overline{A_n}$ . Fix natural numbers  $n$  and  $k$  and for each  $g \in A_k$ , put  $V_n(g) = (g - f)^{-1}(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$ . Put  $\gamma_k^n = \{V_n(g)^n : g \in A_k\}$ . The family  $\gamma_k^n$  is an open cover of  $X^n$ . Indeed, for  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$  there is  $h \in A_k$  such that  $h \in W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; f; \frac{1}{n})$  and hence  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V_n(h)^n$ .

Consider a sequence  $\{\gamma_k^n : k \geq n\}$  of open countable covers of  $X^n$  and select finite families  $\lambda_k^n = \{V_n(g) : g \in H_k^n\} \subseteq \gamma_k^n$ , where  $H_k^n$  is a finite subset of  $A_n$  and  $\bigcup \{\lambda_k^n : k \geq n\} \supseteq X$ . Put  $H_i = \bigcup \{H_i^n : n \leq i\}$ . Clearly,  $H_i$  is a finite subset of  $A_i$ .

Claim:  $f \in \overline{\{H_i : i \in \omega\}}$ . Fix  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . It may be assumed without loss of generality that  $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$ . For some natural number  $k \geq n$ , we have  $\bigcup \lambda_k^n \ni (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and there is an  $h \in H_k^n \subseteq H_k$  such that

$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V_n(h)^n$ , i.e.  $h \in W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; f; \epsilon) \cap H_k$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

The following two theorems were proved by Professor Arhangel'skii, who kindly permitted me to include them in this paper.

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff pseudocompact space. Then  $\text{vet}_\omega C_p(X) \leq \omega$ .*

PROOF: The restriction mapping  $r : C_p(\beta X) \rightarrow C_p(X)$  is a continuous bijection. Fix a countable subset  $A \subseteq C_p(X)$ . Then the restriction of the inverse mapping  $r^{-1}|_A : A \rightarrow C_p(\beta X)$  is continuous. Indeed, for each  $z \in \beta X \setminus X$  the set  $F = \bigcap \{\tilde{g}^{-1}(g(z)) : g \in A\}$ , where  $\tilde{g}$  is an extension of function  $g$  to a continuous function on  $\beta X$ , is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $\beta X$  and, therefore, there exists a  $y_z \in X \cap F$ , i.e.  $g(z) = g(y_z)$  for each  $g \in A$ . From here,  $r(W(z, r^{-1}(f), \epsilon) \cap r^{-1}(A)) = W(y_z, f, \epsilon) \cap A$  for any  $f \in A$ . Clearly, for each  $x \in X$  and each  $f \in A$  we have  $r(W(x, r^{-1}(f), \epsilon) \cap r^{-1}(A)) = W(x, f, \epsilon) \cap A$ .

Fix  $f \in C_p(X)$  and a sequence  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  of subsets of  $C_p(X)$  such that  $f \in \bigcup \overline{A_n}$ . Then  $r^{-1}(f) \in \bigcap r^{-1}(A_n)$  and by Arhangel'skii's Theorem,  $\text{vet}(C_p(\beta X)) \leq \omega$ . Fix finite  $H_n \subseteq r^{-1}(A_n)$  such that  $r^{-1}(f) \in \overline{\bigcup \{H_n : n \in \omega\}}$ . It follows that  $f \in \overline{\bigcup \{r(H_n) : n \in \omega\}}$  and each  $r(H_n)$  is a finite subset of  $A_n$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* The last theorem shows that Theorem 14 cannot be reversed: a pseudocompact Tychonoff space not satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 14 would be a counterexample.

It is known that countable fan-tightness is preserved by continuous open surjective mappings. The next theorem shows that it is not true for countable omega-fan-tightness.

**Theorem 16.** *Let  $Y$  be a Tychonoff space. Then there exist a Tychonoff space  $X$  with  $\text{vet}_\omega(X) \leq \omega$  and a continuous open surjection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .*

PROOF: Consider the space  $Z = ((\omega_1 + 1) \times \beta(C_p(Y))) \setminus (\{\omega_1\} \times (\beta(C_p(Y)) \setminus C_p(Y)))$ . Since  $Z$  contains a dense countably compact space  $\omega_1 \times \beta(C_p(Y))$ , the space  $Z$  is pseudocompact, and therefore  $\text{vet}_\omega(C_p(Z)) \leq \omega$ . It is easy to see that  $\{\omega_1\} \times C_p(Y)$  is closed in  $Z$  and every bounded continuous function on  $\{\omega_1\} \times C_p(Y)$  can be extended to a continuous function on  $Z$ . Thus the restriction mapping  $r : C_p(Z) \rightarrow C_p(\{\omega_1\} \times C_p(Y)) = C_p(C_p(Y))$  is an open mapping; a topological copy of  $Y$  is contained in  $C_p^0(C_p(Y)) \subseteq r(C_p(Z))$ . Put  $X = r^{-1}(Y)$  and put  $f = r|_X$ . It is easy to see that  $f$  is a continuous open mapping onto  $Y$  and  $\text{vet}_\omega(X) \leq \omega$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** After this paper had been submitted, the author proved independently from S. Garcia-Ferreira and A. Tamariz-Mascarua that for a Tychonoff space  $X$ ,  $\text{vet}^* C_p(X) \leq \omega$  implies that  $C_p(X)$  has countable strong fan-tightness. In the

article “Some generalizations of rapid ultrafilters in topology and id-fan tightness”, Tsukuba J. Math, 19 (1) (1995), 173–185, the two authors also showed that  $vet^*(X) \leq \omega$  does not imply in general that  $X$  has countable strong fan-tightness. This provides a complete answer to Question 1. It is not clear, however, whether the two properties coincide for topological groups.

Also, A. Bella noticed that the answer to Question 2 is negative.

**Acknowledgment.** The author thanks Professor A.V. Arhangel'skii for questions and interesting discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Arhangel'skii A., *Hurewicz spaces, analytic sets and fan tightness of function spaces*, Soviet Math. Doklady **33** (1986), 396–399.
- [2] Arhangel'skii A., *Topological Function Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [3] Arhangel'skii A., Bella A., *Countable tightness versus countable fan-tightness*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **37.3** (1996), 565–576.
- [4] Engelking R., *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [5] Sakai M., *Property  $C''$  and function spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), 917–919.
- [6] Uspenskii V.V., *Frequency spectrum of functional spaces*, Moscow Univ. Math. Bulletin **37** (1) (1982), 40–45.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MORTON 321, OHIO UNIVERSITY, ATHENS, OH 45701-2979, USA

*E-mail:* jvaluyev@oucsace.cs.ohiou.edu

(Received May 29, 1997)