

Phil Delaney; Winfried Just  
Two remarks on weaker connected topologies

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 40 (1999), No. 2, 327--329

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119088>

**Terms of use:**

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## Two remarks on weaker connected topologies

P. DELANEY, W. JUST

*Abstract.* It is shown that no generalized Luzin space condenses onto the unit interval and that the discrete sum of  $\aleph_1$  copies of the Cantor set consistently does not condense onto a connected compact space. This answers two questions from [2].

*Keywords:* condensation, weaker connected topology, Luzin space

*Classification:* 54D05, 54A35

We say that a topological space  $\langle X, \mathcal{T}_0 \rangle$  *condenses onto a space*  $\langle Y, \mathcal{T}_1 \rangle$  if there exists a topology  $\mathcal{T}_2$  on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{T}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_0$ , and the spaces  $\langle X, \mathcal{T}_2 \rangle$  and  $\langle Y, \mathcal{T}_1 \rangle$  are homeomorphic. In [2], Tkačenko, Tkachuk, Uspenskij, and Wilson showed that hereditarily separable Luzin spaces do not condense onto the unit interval, and asked whether there are any Luzin spaces that do (Problem 3.4). They also asked whether it is true in ZFC that the discrete sum of  $\aleph_1$  copies of the Cantor set condenses onto a connected compact space (Problem 3.10). We answer both questions in the negative. We thank M. Tkačenko for commenting on an earlier version of this note and suggesting an improvement.

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that the real line cannot be covered by  $\aleph_1$  nowhere dense sets. Then no discrete sum of  $\aleph_1$  zero-dimensional compact Hausdorff spaces of which at least two are nonempty condenses onto a connected compact Hausdorff space.*

PROOF: Let  $X = \bigoplus_{\xi < \omega_1} C_\xi$ , where each  $C_\xi$  is a zero-dimensional compact Hausdorff space. Let  $\mathcal{T}_0$  denote the topology of the discrete sum on  $X$ , and suppose  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is a topology on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{T}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}_0$ , and  $\langle X, \mathcal{T}_1 \rangle$  is a connected compact Hausdorff space.

Assuming that the real line cannot be covered by  $\aleph_1$  nowhere dense sets, one can show that no compact Hausdorff space is a union of  $\aleph_1$  pairwise disjoint nowhere dense closed subsets (see [1]). From that we will derive a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.** *Each  $C_\xi$  is closed in  $\mathcal{T}_1$ . More generally, each closed (in  $\mathcal{T}_0$ ) subset of  $C_\xi$  remains closed in  $\mathcal{T}_1$ .*

PROOF: Since  $\mathcal{T}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_0$ , the identity mapping  $id : \langle X, \mathcal{T}_0 \rangle \rightarrow \langle X, \mathcal{T}_1 \rangle$  is continuous. Each closed (in  $\mathcal{T}_0$ ) subset of a  $C_\xi$  is compact, hence its continuous image is compact in the Hausdorff space  $\langle X, \mathcal{T}_1 \rangle$ , and thus is in particular closed.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Each  $C_\xi$  is nowhere dense in  $\langle X, \mathcal{T}_1 \rangle$ .*

PROOF: Suppose towards a contradiction that for some  $\xi_0 \in \omega_1$  and  $U \in \mathcal{T}_1 \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , the set  $C_{\xi_0} \cap U$  is dense in  $U$ . Since  $C_{\xi_0}$  is closed, this implies  $U \subset C_{\xi_0}$ . Let  $x \in U$ . Since  $C_{\xi_0}$  is zero-dimensional, there exists  $V \in \mathcal{T}_0$  with  $x \in V = cl_{\mathcal{T}_0}(V) \subset U$ . Because the restrictions of  $\mathcal{T}_0$  and  $\mathcal{T}_1$  to  $C_{\xi_0}$  coincide, Lemma 2 implies that  $V$  is nonempty, clopen in  $\mathcal{T}_1$ , and different from the whole space  $X$ . This contradicts connectedness of  $\mathcal{T}_1$ .  $\square$

Thus  $\langle X, \mathcal{T}_1 \rangle$  is the union of the pairwise disjoint nowhere dense closed subsets  $\{C_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ , and we get a contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 4.** *An uncountable space  $X$  without isolated points is Luzin if all its nowhere dense sets are countable. A space  $X$  is a generalized Luzin space if  $|X| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ ,  $X$  has no isolated points, and every nowhere dense subset of  $X$  has cardinality  $< 2^{\aleph_0}$ .*

**Theorem 5.** *No generalized Luzin space can be condensed onto the unit segment.*

PROOF: Let  $X$  be a generalized Luzin space. Recall that a space  $X$  satisfies the  $\kappa$ -c.c. if each family of pairwise disjoint nonempty open subsets of  $X$  has cardinality  $< \kappa$ .

**Lemma 6.** *The space  $X$  satisfies the  $2^{\aleph_0}$ -c.c.*

PROOF: Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a family of pairwise disjoint nonempty open subsets of  $X$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , pick  $x_U \in U$ , and let  $A = \{x_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . Then  $A$  is a nowhere dense subset of  $X$ , and hence has cardinality  $< 2^{\aleph_0}$ . On the other hand,  $|A| = |\mathcal{U}|$ , which gives the desired result.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *If  $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $f$  is 1-1, onto, and continuous,  $Y \subset [0, 1]$  with  $|Y| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ , and  $Y$  is closed in  $[0, 1]$ , then  $f^{-1}(Y)$  has nonempty interior.*

PROOF: Follows immediately from the definition of a generalized Luzin space.  $\square$

**Fact 8.** *There exists a family  $\mathcal{K} = \{K_\xi : \xi < 2^{\aleph_0}\}$  of pairwise disjoint closed subspaces of  $[0, 1]$  such that  $|K_\xi| = 2^{\aleph_0}$  for each  $\xi$ .*

PROOF: This follows immediately from the existence of a continuous mapping of  $[0, 1]$  onto  $[0, 1]^2$ .  $\square$

Suppose  $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is such that  $f$  is continuous and bijective. By Fact 8, there exists  $\mathcal{K} = \{K_\xi : \xi < 2^{\aleph_0}\}$ , a collection of pairwise disjoint closed subspaces of  $[0, 1]$ , such that  $|K_\xi| = |2^{\aleph_0}|$  for each  $\xi < 2^{\aleph_0}$ . By Lemma 7, for every  $K_\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ , the inverse image  $f^{-1}(K_\xi)$  has nonempty interior. Thus  $\mathcal{U} = \{int(f^{-1}(K_\xi)) : \xi < 2^{\aleph_0}\}$  is a collection of pairwise disjoint nonempty open sets in  $X$ . But this implies that  $X$  does not satisfy the  $2^{\aleph_0}$ -c.c., contradicting Lemma 6.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Gruenhage G., *Partitions of compact Hausdorff spaces*, Fund. Math. **142** (1993), 89–100.
- [2] Tkačenko M.G., Tkachuk V.V., Uspenskij V.V., Wilson R.G., *In quest of weaker connected topologies*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **37.4** (1996), 825–841.

TRW CENTER FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY, FAIRFAX, VA 22033, USA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO UNIVERSITY, ATHENS, OHIO 45701, USA

(Received April 28, 1998, revised June 1, 1998)