

Théodore K. Boni

On blow-up and asymptotic behavior of solutions to a nonlinear parabolic equation of second order with nonlinear boundary conditions

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 40 (1999), No. 3, 457--475

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119102>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## On blow-up and asymptotic behavior of solutions to a nonlinear parabolic equation of second order with nonlinear boundary conditions

THÉODORE K. BONI

*Abstract.* We obtain some sufficient conditions under which solutions to a nonlinear parabolic equation of second order with nonlinear boundary conditions tend to zero or blow up in a finite time. We also give the asymptotic behavior of solutions which tend to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Finally, we obtain the asymptotic behavior near the blow-up time of certain blow-up solutions and describe their blow-up set.

*Keywords:* blow-up, global existence, asymptotic behavior, maximum principle

*Classification:* 35K55, 35K60, 35B40

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Consider the following boundary value problem:

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial \varphi(u)}{\partial t} = Lu - a(x, t)f(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial N} = b(x, t)g(u) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$(1.3) \quad u(x, 0) = u_o(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where

$$Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x, t)u + d(x, t),$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial N} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \cos(\nu, x_i) a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j},$$

$\nu$  is the exterior normal unit vector on  $\partial\Omega$ . The coefficients  $a_{ij}(x, t)$ ,  $a_i(x, t)$ ,  $c(x, t)$  and  $d(x, t)$  are defined in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ . Moreover,  $a_{ij}$  satisfy the following inequality

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \alpha |\xi|^2$$

for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with positive constant  $\alpha$ ,  $a(x, t)$  is a nonnegative function in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ ,  $b(x, t)$  is a nonnegative function on  $\partial\Omega \times (0, T)$ . Here  $u_o(x) \in C^1(\Omega)$  is a positive function in  $\Omega$  which satisfies the compatibility condition  $\frac{\partial u_o}{\partial N} = b(x, 0)g(u_o)$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . For positive values of  $s$ ,  $\varphi(s)$ ,  $f(s)$ ,  $g(s)$  are positive and increasing functions. We want to determine when the solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) are global, i.e. defined for every  $t \in (0, \infty)$ .

**Definition 1.1.** We say that a solution  $u$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a finite time if there exists a finite time  $T_o$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_o} \|u(x, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \infty.$$

The time  $T_o$  is the blow-up time of the solution  $u$ . A point  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$  is a blow-up point of the solution  $u$  if there exists a sequence  $(x_n, t_n)$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $t_n \uparrow T_o$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(x_n, t_n) = \infty$ . The set

$$E_B = \{x \in \bar{\Omega} \text{ such that } x \text{ is a blow-up point of the solution } u\}$$

is the blow-up set of the solution  $u$ .

The problem of blow-up of solutions to parabolic equations of second order with nonlinear boundary conditions has been the subject of investigation of many authors (see, for instance [1], [2], [3], [6] and others). In [3], Egorov and Kondratiev have considered the problem (1.1)–(1.3). They have given some conditions under which the solutions of (1.1)–(1.3) exist globally, tend to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  or blow up in a finite time. In [1], we have described the asymptotic behavior of some solutions of (1.1)–(1.3) which tend to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in the case where  $\varphi(u) = u$ ,  $f(u) = g(u)$ ,  $a(x, t) = a(x)$  and  $b(x, t) = b(x)$ . An interesting question of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) is the localization of the blow-up set. This problem has been studied in [2] by Fila, Chipot and Quittner in the case where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $\varphi(u) = u$ ,  $L = \Delta$ ,  $a(x, t) = a = \text{const}$ ,  $b(x, t) = 1$ . In [1], we have generalized some results of [2] concerning the localization of blow-up set in  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \geq 1$ .

In this paper, we generalize the results of [1] considering the problem of the form (1.1)–(1.3). We also describe the asymptotic behavior of some solutions of (1.1)–(1.3) which tend to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in the case where  $\varphi(u) \neq u$ ,  $f(u) \neq g(u)$  and precise some results of Egorov and Kondratiev ([3]) in the case of blow-up solutions.

The paper is written in the following manner. In Section 2, some conditions of blow-up are given. In Section 3, we obtain some conditions under which the solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) tend to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . In Section 4, we give the asymptotic behavior of the solutions which tend to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . In Section 5, we obtain the asymptotic behavior near the blow-up time of certain blow-up solutions and finally in Section 6, we describe their blow-up set.

## 2. Blow-up solutions

In this section, we suppose that

$$Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

We give some conditions under which the solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blow up in a finite time for any positive initial data.

The following lemma will be useful in the proofs of some theorems below.

**Comparison lemma 2.1.** *Let  $u, v \in C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]) \cap C^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$  satisfy the following inequalities:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi(u)}{\partial t} - Lu + a(x, t)f(u) &> \frac{\partial \varphi(v)}{\partial t} - Lv + a(x, t)f(v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial N} - b(x, t)g(u) &> \frac{\partial v}{\partial N} - b(x, t)g(v) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) &> v(x, 0) \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$u(x, t) > v(x, t) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T).$$

PROOF: The function  $w(x, t) = u(x, t) - v(x, t)$  is continuous in  $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$ . Then its minimum value  $m$  is attained at a point  $(x_o, t_o) \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$ . Suppose that  $u(x_o, t_o) \leq v(x_o, t_o)$ . If  $t_o = 0$ , then  $m > 0$  which is a contradiction. If  $0 < t_o \leq T$ , then there exists a  $t_1$  such that  $0 < t_1 \leq t_o$  with  $u(x, t) > v(x, t)$  in  $\Omega \times [0, t_1[$  but  $u(x_1, t_1) = v(x_1, t_1)$  for some  $x_1 \in \overline{\Omega}$ .

If  $x_1 \in \Omega$ , then we obtain

$$\frac{\partial(\varphi(u) - \varphi(v))}{\partial t}(x_1, t_1) \leq 0, Lw(x_1, t_1) \geq 0, f(u(x_1, t_1)) = f(v(x_1, t_1)),$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\partial(\varphi(u) - \varphi(v))}{\partial t}(x_1, t_1) - Lw(x_1, t_1) + a(x_1, t_1)[f(u(x_1, t_1)) - f(v(x_1, t_1))] \leq 0.$$

But, this contradicts the first inequality of the lemma. Finally if  $x_1 \in \partial\Omega$ , then  $\frac{\partial w}{\partial N}(x_1, t_1) \leq 0$ . It follows that

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial N}(x_1, t_1) - b(x_1, t_1)[g(u(x_1, t_1)) - g(v(x_1, t_1))] \leq 0,$$

which contradicts the second inequality of the lemma. Therefore, we have  $m > 0$ . □

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose that for positive values of  $s$ ,  $\varphi(s)$  is positive, increasing, convex and  $\frac{\varphi'(s)}{g(s)}$  is decreasing. Suppose also that  $\int^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(s)ds}{g(s)} < +\infty$  and there exist  $k \geq 0, T_* > 0$  such that*

$$f(s) \leq kg(s) \quad \text{for } s > 0$$

and

$$\int_0^{T_*} [-k \int_{\Omega} a(x, t) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) dS_x] dt > \int_{\Omega} \int_{u_o(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(s)ds}{g(s)} dx.$$

Then any solution  $u$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a finite time for  $u_o(x) > 0$ .

PROOF: Let  $(0, T)$  be the maximum time interval in which the solution  $u$  of (1.1)–(1.3) exists. Our aim in this proof is to show that  $T$  is finite. Since  $u_o(x) > 0$  in  $\Omega$ , from the maximum principle we have  $u(x, t) > 0$  in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ . Put

$$(2.1) \quad v(x, t) = F(u(x, t)) = \int_u^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(s)ds}{g(s)}.$$

The function  $v$  is well defined because  $\int^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(s)ds}{g(s)} < \infty$ . Moreover, for positive values of  $u$ , the function  $F(u)$  is positive and decreasing. We have

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{\varphi'(u)}Lv = -\frac{1}{g(u)}((\varphi(u))_t - Lu) + \frac{1}{\varphi'(u)} \frac{d}{du} \left( \frac{\varphi'(u)}{g(u)} \right) \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}.$$

Since  $\varphi(u)$  is increasing and  $\frac{\varphi'(u)}{g(u)}$  is decreasing, from (1.1) and (2.2) we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{\varphi'(u)}Lv - a(x, t) \frac{f(u)}{g(u)} \leq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T).$$

From (1.2) and (2.1), we also have

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial N} = -\frac{\varphi'(u)}{g(u)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial N} = -b(x, t)\varphi'(u) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T).$$

Put

$$(2.5) \quad w(t) = \int_{\Omega} v(x, t) dx.$$

From (2.3) and (2.5), we get

$$(2.6) \quad w'(t) = \int_{\Omega} v_t(x, t) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{1}{\varphi'(u)} Lv(x, t) + a(x, t) \frac{f(u)}{g(u)} \right] dx.$$

Using Green's formula, (2.4) and (2.6), we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad w'(t) \leq - \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) dS_x - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi''(u)\varphi'(u)}{(\varphi'(u))^2 g(u)} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x, t) \frac{f(u)}{g(u)} dx.$$

Since by hypotheses  $f(u) \leq kg(u)$  and  $\varphi(u)$  is increasing and convex, from (2.7) it follows that

$$(2.8) \quad w'(t) \leq - \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) dS_x + k \int_{\Omega} a(x, t) dx.$$

Integrating (2.8) over  $(0, s)$ , we deduce that

$$(2.9) \quad w(s) \leq w(0) + \int_0^s \left[ - \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) dS_x + k \int_{\Omega} a(x, t) dx \right] dt.$$

Since  $v(x, t)$  is nonnegative and defined in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ , then in virtue of (2.5),  $w(t)$  is also nonnegative and defined for every  $t \in (0, T)$ . This implies that  $T \leq T_* < \infty$ . In fact, if  $T_* < T$  then by hypothesis, we have

$$w(T_*) \leq \int_0^{T_*} \left[ - \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) dS_x + k \int_{\Omega} a(x, t) dx \right] dt + w(0) < 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $u$  blows up in a finite time, which yields the result. □

**Corollary 2.3.** *Suppose that  $f(u) = 0$ ,  $\int^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(z)}{g(z)} dz < +\infty$  and for positive values of  $s$ ,  $\varphi(s)$  is positive, increasing, convex and  $\frac{\varphi'(s)}{g(s)}$  is decreasing. Suppose also that there exists  $T_* > 0$  such that*

$$\int_0^{T_*} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) dx dt > \int_{\Omega} \int_{u_o(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(s) ds}{g(s)} dx.$$

*Then any solution  $u$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a finite time for  $u_o(x) > 0$ .*

**Corollary 2.4.** Suppose that  $\int^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(z)}{g(z)} dz < +\infty$  and for positive values of  $s$ ,  $f(s) = g(s)$ ,  $\varphi(s)$  is positive, increasing, convex and  $\frac{\varphi'(s)}{g(s)}$  is decreasing. Suppose also that there exists  $T_* > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^{T_*} [- \int_{\Omega} a(x, t) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) dS_x] dt > \int_{\Omega} \int_{u_o(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(s) ds}{g(s)} dx.$$

Then any solution  $u$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a finite time for  $u_o(x) > 0$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** Suppose that  $\varphi(u) = u^m$ ,  $f(u) = u^p$ ,  $g(u) = u^q + u^s$  where  $q \geq p \geq s \geq m - 1$  and  $q > m \geq 1$ . Suppose also that there exists  $T_* > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^{T_*} [- \int_{\Omega} a(x, t) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) dS_x] dt > \int_{\Omega} \int_{u_o(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(s) ds}{g(s)} dx.$$

Then any solution  $u$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a finite time for  $u_o(x) > 0$ . If  $a(x, t) = a(x) \geq 0$ ,  $b(x, t) = b(x) > 0$ , then the last hypothesis is satisfied when

$$- \int_{\Omega} a(x) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) dS_x > 0.$$

### 3. Global solutions

In this section, we give some conditions under which the solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) exist globally and tend to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that  $0 \leq b(x, t) \leq b_o < \infty$ ,  $0 < a(x, t) \leq a_o < \infty$ ,  $c(x, t) \leq 0$ ,  $d(x, t) \leq 0$ ,  $f'(0) = g'(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} \in \{0, \beta\}$  where  $\beta$  is a positive constant. Suppose also that there exist a function  $\psi(x) > 0$  and positive constants  $A, B$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} -L_1\psi &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, t) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \geq -a(x, t) + A, \\ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial N} &\geq \varepsilon_g^{(f)} b(x, t) + B, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon_g^{(f)} = 0$  if  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} = 0$  and  $\varepsilon_g^{(f)} = \beta$  if  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} = \beta$ . Finally suppose that for positive values of  $s$ , the function  $\frac{f(s)}{\varphi(s)}$  is positive, increasing,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon_g^{(f)} \frac{\varphi''(s)f(s)}{\varphi'(s)} = 0$  and  $\int_0 \frac{\varphi'(z) dz}{f(z)} = \infty$ . Then there exists a positive function

$v(x, t)$  continuous in  $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty[$  and tending to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$  such that, if  $u$  is a solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3), the inequality  $u(x, 0) < v(x, t_0)$  ( $t_0 \geq 0$ ) implies that  $u(x, t) < v(x, t + t_0)$  and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \Omega} u(x, t) = 0.$$

**Remark 3.2.** We have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \varepsilon_g^{(f)} - \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} \right\} = 0.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1: Put  $v(x, t) = \alpha(t) + \psi(x)f(\alpha(t))$  with

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi'(\alpha(t))\alpha'(t) = -\lambda f(\alpha(t)), \quad \alpha(0) = 1,$$

where  $\lambda = A - \delta$  and  $\delta < A$  is a positive constant. Since  $\int_0^1 \frac{\varphi'(z)dz}{f(z)} = +\infty$ , then the function  $\alpha(t)$  is defined for  $0 \leq t < \infty$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha(t) = 0$ . In fact  $\alpha(t)$  satisfies the following relation:

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\alpha(t)}^1 \frac{\varphi'(s)ds}{f(s)} = \lambda t.$$

Suppose that there is a finite time  $T$  such that  $\alpha(T) = 0$ . But this contradicts (3.2), because  $\int_0^1 \frac{\varphi'(s)ds}{f(s)} = \infty$ . Therefore, we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(t) = 0$ . We also have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi(v))_t - Lv + a(x, t)f(v) = \varphi'(\alpha(t))\alpha'(t) \\ & + \varphi'(\alpha(t))\alpha'(t)f'(\alpha(t))\psi(x) + \psi(x)f(\alpha(t))\varphi''(z)\alpha'(t) \\ & + \psi^2(x)f(\alpha(t))f'(\alpha(t))\alpha'(t)\varphi''(z) \\ & - f(\alpha(t))L_1\psi(x) - c(x, t)v - d(x, t) + a(x, t)f(\alpha(t)) + a(x, t)\psi(x)f(\alpha(t))f'(y), \\ & \frac{\partial v}{\partial N} - b(x, t)g(v) = \frac{\partial \psi(x)}{\partial N}f(\alpha(t)) - b(x, t)g(\alpha(t)) - b(x, t)\psi(x)f(\alpha(t))g'(\tilde{y}), \end{aligned}$$

with  $\{y, \tilde{y}, z\} \in [\alpha(t), \alpha(t) + \psi(x)f(\alpha(t))]$ . Since  $\alpha'(s) = -\lambda \frac{f(s)}{\varphi'(s)}$  is a decreasing function,  $c(x, t) \leq 0$ ,  $d(x, t) \leq 0$  and  $\psi > 0$  satisfies the following inequalities

$$-\lambda - L_1\psi \geq -a(x, t) + \delta, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial N} \geq \varepsilon_g^{(f)}b(x, t) + B,$$



we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\varphi(v))_t - Lv + a(x, t)f(v) \geq \delta f(\alpha(t)) \\
 & - \lambda f(\alpha(t))f'(\alpha(t))\psi(x) - \lambda\psi(x)f(\alpha(t))|\varphi''(z)|\frac{f(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \\
 & - \lambda\psi^2(x)f(\alpha(t))f'(\alpha(t))\frac{f(z)}{\varphi'(z)}|\varphi''(z)| + a(x, t)\psi(x)f(\alpha(t))f'(y), \\
 & \frac{\partial v}{\partial N} - b(x, t)g(v) \geq (B + \varepsilon_g^{(f)}b(x, t))f(\alpha(t)) - b(x, t)g(\alpha(t)) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad - b(x, t)\psi(x)f(\alpha(t))g'(\tilde{y}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f'(0) = g'(0) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi''(s)f(s)}{\varphi'(s)} = 0$ , by Remark 3.2 there exists  $t_1 \geq 0$  such that

$$(3.3) \quad (\varphi(v))_t - Lv + a(x, t)f(v) > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (t_1, \infty),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial N} - b(x, t)g(v) > 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (t_1, \infty).$$

Then if  $u(x, 0) < v(x, t_1)$ , by Comparison lemma 2.1, we deduce that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \Omega} u(x, t) = 0$$

because  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(x, t) = 0$  uniformly in  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ . □

**Corollary 3.3.** *Suppose that  $Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}) + c(x, t)u + d(x, t)$ ,  $f'(0) = g'(0) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} \in \{0, \beta\}$  where  $\beta$  is a positive constant. Suppose also that for positive values of  $s$ , the function  $\frac{f(s)}{\varphi'(s)}$  is positive, increasing,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi''(s)f(s)}{\varphi'(s)} = 0$  and  $\int_0^1 \frac{\varphi'(z)dz}{f(z)} = \infty$ . Finally suppose that  $0 \leq b(x, t) \leq b_o(x)$ ,  $0 < a_o(x) \leq a(x, t)$ ,  $c(x, t) \leq 0$ ,  $d(x, t) \leq 0$ ,  $-\varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b_o(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a_o(x) dx > 0$ , where  $\varepsilon_g^{(f)} = 0$  if  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} = 0$  and  $\varepsilon_g^{(f)} = \beta$  if  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} = \beta$ . Then there exists a positive function  $v(x, t)$  continuous in  $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty[$  and tending to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$  such that, if  $u$  is a solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3), the inequality  $u(x, 0) < v(x, t_o)$  ( $t_o \geq 0$ ) implies that  $u(x, t) < v(x, t + t_o)$  and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \Omega} u(x, t) = 0.$$

PROOF: Let  $\psi$  be a positive solution of the following problem:

$$-\lambda - L_1\psi = \delta - a_o(x), \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial N} = \varepsilon_g^{(f)}b_o(x) + \delta,$$

where  $L_1\psi = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j})$ . Taking

$$\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2(|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|)} [-\varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b_o(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a_o(x) dx]$$

and putting

$$\delta = \frac{1}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|} [-\varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b_o(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a_o(x) dx] - \lambda,$$

we see that the function  $\psi$  exists and  $\delta > 0$ . Take  $A = \lambda + \delta$ ,  $B = \delta$ . Then all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, which yields the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Suppose that  $Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}) + c(x, t)u + d(x, t)$ ,  $0 \leq b(x, t) \leq b_o(x)$ ,  $0 < a_o(x) \leq a(x, t)$ ,  $c(x, t) \leq 0$ ,  $d(x, t) \leq 0$ . Suppose also that  $\varphi(u) = u^m$ ,  $f(u) = u^p$ ,  $g(u) = u^q$ ,  $-\varepsilon_q^{(p)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b_o(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a_o(x) dx > 0$  with  $q \geq p > 1$ ,  $p \geq m > 0$  where  $\varepsilon_q^{(p)} = 0$  if  $q > p$  and  $\varepsilon_q^{(p)} = 1$  if  $q = p$ . Then if  $u$  is a solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3), there exists a positive constant  $b$  such that the solution  $u$  tends to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$  for  $u_o(x) \leq b$ .*

#### 4. Asymptotic behavior of solutions which tend to zero

In Section 3, we have shown that under some conditions, the solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) tend to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ . In this section, we describe the asymptotic behavior of these solutions in the case where  $a(x, t) = a(x)$ ,  $b(x, t) = b(x)$  and

$$Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

Consider the following boundary value problem:

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{\partial \varphi(u)}{\partial t} - Lu + a(x)f(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial N} - b(x)g(u) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(4.3) \quad u(x, 0) = u_o(x) > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

We are dealing with the asymptotic behavior as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  of the solutions for the problem (4.1)–(4.3).

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose that  $f'(0) = g'(0) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} \in \{0, \beta\}$  where  $\beta$  is a positive constant and for positive values of  $s$ , the function  $\frac{f(s)}{\varphi'(s)}$  is positive, increasing,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi''(s)f(s)}{\varphi'(s)} = 0$  and  $\int_0^\infty \frac{\varphi'(z)dz}{f(z)} = \infty$ . Suppose also that*

$-\varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a(x) dx > 0$ , where  $\varepsilon_g^{(f)} = 0$  if  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} = 0$  and  $\varepsilon_g^{(f)} = \beta$  if  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} = \beta$ . Then there exists a constant  $b > 0$  such that, if  $u$  is a solution of the problem (4.1)–(4.3), we have

(i) 
$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = 0$$

uniformly in  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$  for  $u_o(x) \leq b$ .

(ii) Moreover, if there exists a positive constant  $c_1$  such that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{sf(H(s))}{\varphi'(H(s))H(s)} \leq c_1,$$

we also have

$$u(x, t) = \alpha(t)(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $H(s)$  is the inverse function of  $G(s) = \int_s^1 \frac{\varphi'(\sigma)d\sigma}{f(\sigma)}$  and

$$\varphi'(\alpha(t))\alpha'(t) = -c_{ab}f(\alpha(t)), \quad \alpha(0) = 1,$$

with  $c_{ab} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} [\int_{\Omega} a(x) dx - \varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) ds]$ .

The proof of Theorem 4.1(i) is a direct consequence of Corollary 3.3, but that of Theorem 4.1(ii) is based on the following lemmas:

**Lemma 4.2.** For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, there exist  $\tau > 0$  and  $t_1 > 0$  such that

$$u(x, t + \tau) \leq \alpha_1^\varepsilon(t + t_1) + \psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t + t_1)),$$

where  $\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)$  satisfies the following equation:

$$\varphi'(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))(\alpha_1^\varepsilon)'(t) = -(c_{ab} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)), \quad \alpha_1^\varepsilon(0) = 1,$$

and  $\psi_1(x)$  is a certain function.

PROOF: Put  $v_1(x, t) = \alpha_1^\varepsilon(t) + \psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))$ , where  $\psi_1$  will be indicated later. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi(v_1))_t - Lv_1 + a(x)f(v_1) = \varphi'(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))(\alpha_1^\varepsilon)'(t) \\ &+ \varphi'(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))(\alpha_1^\varepsilon)'(t)f'(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))\psi_1(x) + \psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))\varphi''(z_1)(\alpha_1^\varepsilon)'(t) \\ &\quad + \psi_1^2(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))f'(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))(\alpha_1^\varepsilon)'(t)\varphi''(z_1) \\ &- f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))L\psi_1(x) + a(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)) + a(x)\psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))f'(y_1), \\ &\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial N} - b(x)g(v_1) = \frac{\partial \psi_1(x)}{\partial N}f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)) - b(x)g(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)) - b(x)\psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))g'(\tilde{y}_1), \end{aligned}$$

with  $\{y_1, \tilde{y}_1, z_1\} \in [\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t), \alpha_1^\varepsilon(t) + \psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))]$ . Let  $\psi_1$  be a positive solution of the following problem:

$$-(c_{ab} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) - L\psi_1 = \delta - a(x), \quad \frac{\partial\psi_1}{\partial N} = \varepsilon_g^{(f)}b(x) + \delta.$$

$\psi_1$  exists if and only if

$$\delta = \frac{1}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|} [-\varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a(x) dx] - \frac{|\Omega|}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|} (c_{ab} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}).$$

If  $\varepsilon = 0$  then  $\delta = 0$ . Put

$$\delta(r) = \frac{1}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|} [-\varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a(x) dx] - \frac{|\Omega|}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|} (c_{ab} - r).$$

We have  $\delta'(0) > 0$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, it follows that  $\delta(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) > 0$ . Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi(v_1))_t - Lv_1 + a(x)f(v_1) \geq \delta f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)) \\ &-(c_{ab} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))f'(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))\psi_1(x) - (c_{ab} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))|\varphi''(z_1)|\frac{f(z_1)}{\varphi'(z_1)} \\ &-(c_{ab} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\psi_1^2(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))f'(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))\frac{f(z_1)}{\varphi'(z_1)}|\varphi''(z_1)| + a(x)\psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))f'(y_1), \\ &\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial N} - b(x)g(v_1) = (\delta + \varepsilon_g^{(f)}b(x))f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)) - b(x)g(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)) - b(x)\psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))g'(\tilde{y}_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f'(0) = g'(0) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi''(s)f(s)}{\varphi'(s)} = 0$ , by Remark 3.2 there exists  $t_1 \geq 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi(v_1))_t - Lv_1 + a(x)f(v_1) > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (t_1, \infty), \\ &\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial N} - b(x)g(v_1) > 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (t_1, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = 0$  uniformly in  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , then there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that

$$u(x, \tau) < v_1(x, t_1) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

By Comparison lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$u(x, t + \tau) \leq v_1(x, t + t_1) = \alpha_1^\varepsilon(t + t_1) + \psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t + t_1)),$$

which yields the result. □

**Lemma 4.3.** *For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, there exists  $t_2 > 0$  such that:*

$$u(x, t + \tau) \geq \alpha_2^\varepsilon(t + t_2) + \psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t + t_2)),$$

where  $\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)$  satisfies the following equation:

$$\varphi'(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))(\alpha_2^\varepsilon)'(t) = -(c_{ab} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)), \quad \alpha_2^\varepsilon(0) = 1,$$

and  $\psi_2(x)$  is a certain function.

PROOF: Put  $v_2(x, t) = \alpha_2^\varepsilon(t) + \psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))$ , where  $\psi_2$  will be indicated later. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi(v_2))_t - Lv_2 + a(x)f(v_2) = \varphi'(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))(\alpha_2^\varepsilon)'(t) \\ &+ \varphi'(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))(\alpha_2^\varepsilon)'(t)f'(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))\psi_2(x) + \psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))\varphi''(z_2)(\alpha_2^\varepsilon)'(t) \\ &\quad + \psi_2^2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))f'(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))(\alpha_2^\varepsilon)'(t)\varphi''(z_2) \\ &\quad - f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))L\psi_2(x) + a(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)) + a(x)\psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))f'(y_2), \\ &\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial N} - b(x)g(v_2) = \frac{\partial \psi_2(x)}{\partial N}f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)) - b(x)g(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)) - b(x)\psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))g'(\tilde{y}_2), \end{aligned}$$

with  $\{y_2, \tilde{y}_2, z_2\} \in [\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t), \alpha_2^\varepsilon(t) + \psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))]$ . Let  $\psi_2$  be a positive solution of the following problem:

$$-(c_{ab} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) - L\psi_2 = \mu - a(x), \quad \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial N} = \varepsilon_g^{(f)}b(x) + \mu.$$

$\psi_2$  exists if and only if

$$\mu = \frac{1}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|}[-\varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a(x) dx] - \frac{|\Omega|}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|}(c_{ab} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}).$$

Put

$$\mu(r) = \frac{1}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|}[-\varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a(x) dx] - \frac{|\Omega|}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|}(c_{ab} + r).$$

Since  $\mu(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) = \delta(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})$  and  $\delta'(0) > 0$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, it follows that  $\mu(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) < 0$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi(v_2))_t - Lv_2 + a(x)f(v_2) \leq \mu f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)) \\ &- (c_{ab} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))f'(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))\psi_1(x) + (c_{ab} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))|\varphi''(z_2)|\frac{f(z_2)}{\varphi'(z_2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+(c_{ab} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\psi_2^2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))f'(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))\frac{f(z_2)}{\varphi'(z_2)}|\varphi''(z_2)| + a(x)\psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))f'(y_2), \\
 \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial N} - b(x)g(v_2) &\leq (\mu + \varepsilon_g^{(f)}b(x))f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)) - b(x)g(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)) - b(x)\psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))g'(\tilde{y}_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f'(0) = g'(0) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi''(s)f(s)}{\varphi'(s)} = 0$ , by Remark 3.2 there exists  $t_* \geq 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\varphi(v_2))_t - Lv_2 + a(x)f(v_2) &< 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (t_*, \infty), \\
 \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial N} - b(x)g(v_2) &< 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (t_*, \infty).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v_2(x, t) = 0$  uniformly in  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , there exists  $t_2 > t_*$  such that

$$u(x, \tau) > v_2(x, t_2) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

By Comparison lemma 2.1, we deduce that

$$u(x, t + \tau) \geq v_2(x, t + t_2) = \alpha_2^\varepsilon(t + t_2) + \psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t + t_2)),$$

which gives the result. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1(ii): For any  $\gamma > 0$ , we have

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma + t)}{\alpha(t)} = 1.$$

In fact, since  $\alpha(t)$  is decreasing and convex, it follows that

$$\alpha(t) - \gamma c_{ab} \frac{f(\alpha(t))}{\varphi'(\alpha(t))} \leq \alpha(t + \gamma) \leq \alpha(t).$$

Moreover, since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\alpha(t))}{\varphi'(\alpha(t))\alpha(t)} = 0$ , we deduce that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma + t)}{\alpha(t)} = 1$ . On the other hand, if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough, we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad 1 - \frac{c_1\varepsilon}{2c_{ab}} \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq 1.$$

In fact

$$1 \geq \frac{\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)}{\alpha(t)} = \frac{H(c_{ab}t + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}t)}{H(c_{ab}t)} \geq \frac{H(c_{ab}t) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}t \frac{f(H(c_{ab}t))}{\varphi'(H(c_{ab}t))}}{H(c_{ab}t)}.$$

Since  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{sf(H(s))}{\varphi'(H(s))H(s)} \leq c_1$ , we obtain the result. We also have

$$(4.6) \quad 1 \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq 1 + \frac{2c_1\varepsilon}{c_{ab}}.$$

In fact

$$1 \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{c_1 \varepsilon}{2(c_{ab} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})}} \leq 1 + \frac{2c_1 \varepsilon}{c_{ab}}.$$

Then from (4.4)–(4.6), Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we deduce that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough

$$1 - k_1 \varepsilon \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x, t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x, t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq 1 + k_2 \varepsilon$$

where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are two positive constants. Consequently,

$$u(x, t) = \alpha(t)(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

which gives the result. □

**Remark 4.4.** Let  $\varphi(u) = u^m$ ,  $f(u) = u^p$ ,  $g(u) = u^q$  with  $p \geq m > 0$ ,  $q \geq p > 1$ . Suppose also that  $\int_\Omega a(x) dx - \varepsilon_q^{(p)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) ds > 0$  where  $\varepsilon_q^{(p)} = 0$  if  $q > p$  and  $\varepsilon_q^{(p)} = 1$  if  $q = p$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $b$  such that, if  $u$  is a solution of the problem (4.1)–(4.3),  $u$  tends to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$  for  $u_o(x) \leq b$ . Moreover,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x, t)}{t^{-\frac{1}{p-m}}} = \left( \frac{p-m}{m|\Omega|} \left[ \int_\Omega a(x) dx - \varepsilon_q^{(p)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) ds \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{m-p}}.$$

### 5. Asymptotic behavior near the blow-up time

In this section, we give another condition under which the solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blow up in a finite time in the case where

$$Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}.$$

We also give the asymptotic behavior near the blow-up time of these solutions.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Suppose that  $a_t(x, t) \leq 0$ ,  $b_t(x, t) \geq 0$ . Suppose also that there exists a function  $F(s)$  such that  $\int^\infty \frac{ds}{F(s)} < \infty$  and for positive values of  $s$ ,  $F(s)$  is positive, increasing, convex satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} -f'(s)F(s) + F'(s)f(s) &\geq 0 \quad \text{for } s > 0, \\ -F'(s)g(s) + F(s)g'(s) &\geq 0 \quad \text{for } s > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, suppose that  $Lu_o(x) + a(x, 0)f(u_o(x)) > 0$  and for positive values of  $s$ ,  $\varphi(s)$  is concave. Then any solution  $u$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a

finite time  $T$  and there exists a positive constant  $\delta$  such that the following estimate holds

$$\sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x, t) \leq H(\delta(T - t)),$$

where  $H(s)$  is the inverse function of  $G(s) = \int_s^\infty \frac{d\sigma}{F(\sigma)}$ .

PROOF: Let  $(0, T)$  be the maximum time interval in which the solution  $u$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) exists. Our aim is to show that  $T$  is finite and the above estimate holds. Since  $u_o(x) > 0$  in  $\Omega$ , from the maximum principle, we have  $u(x, t) \geq 0$  in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ . Let  $w = u_t$ . Since  $w(x, 0) = Lu_o(x) - a(x, 0)f(u_o(x)) > 0$ ,  $a_t(x, t) \leq 0$ ,  $b_t(x, t) \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$(5.1) \quad (\varphi'(u)w)_t - Lw \geq -a(x, t)f'(u)w \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial N} \geq b(x, t)g'(u)w \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$(5.3) \quad w(x, 0) > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

From the maximum principle, there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$(5.4) \quad u_t(x, t) \geq c \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (\varepsilon_o, T)$$

for  $\varepsilon_o > 0$ . Consider the following function:

$$(5.5) \quad J(x, t) = u_t - \delta F(u),$$

where  $\delta > 0$  small enough will be indicated later. We have

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\varphi'(u)J)_t - LJ \\ &= ((\varphi(u))_t - Lu)_t - \delta F'(u)((\varphi(u))_t - Lu) \\ & \quad + \delta F''(u) \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)u_{x_i}u_{x_j} - \delta \varphi''(u)F(u)u_t \\ &= -a(x, t)f'(u)J - a_t(x, t)f(u) + \delta a(x, t)[F'(u)f(u) - F(u)f'(u)] \\ & \quad + \delta F''(u) \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)u_{x_i}u_{x_j} - \delta \varphi''(u)F(u)u_t, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial N} = b_t(x, t)g(u) + b(x, t)g'(u)J + \delta b(x, t)[g'(u)F(u) - F'(u)g(u)].$$

Since  $a_t \leq 0$ ,  $b_t \geq 0$ ,  $u_t \geq 0$  and for positive values of  $u$ ,  $F''(u)$ ,  $-\varphi''(u)$ ,  $-f'(u)F(u) + F'(u)f(u)$  and  $g'(u)F(u) - F'(u)g(u)$  are nonnegative by hypotheses, we obtain

$$(5.7) \quad (\varphi'(u)J)_t - LJ + a(x, t)f'(u)J \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{\partial J}{\partial N} \geq b(x, t)g'(u)J \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T).$$



From (5.4) and (5.5), take  $\delta$  so small that

$$(5.9) \quad J(x, \varepsilon_o) > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Therefore, from the maximum principle, we deduce that

$$(5.10) \quad u_t \geq \delta F(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (\varepsilon_o, T),$$

that is

$$(5.11) \quad -(G(u))_t = \frac{u_t}{F(u)} \geq \delta.$$

Integrating (5.11) over  $(\varepsilon_o, T)$  we have

$$(5.12) \quad G(u(x, \varepsilon_o)) \geq G(u(x, \varepsilon_o)) - G(u(x, T)) \geq \delta(T - \varepsilon_o).$$

Therefore  $T$  is finite and  $u$  blows up in a finite time. Integrating again (5.11) over  $(t, T)$ , we see that

$$(5.13) \quad G(u(x, t)) \geq G(u(x, t)) - G(u(x, T)) \geq \delta(T - t).$$

Since the inverse function  $H$  of  $G$  is decreasing, from (5.13) we obtain

$$u(x, t) \leq H[\delta(T - t)],$$

which yields the result. □

**Corollary 5.2.** *Suppose that  $a_t \leq 0, b_t \geq 0, \varphi(u) = u^m, f(u) = u^p, g(u) = u^q, Lu_o - a(x, 0)u_o^p > 0$  where  $q > 1 \geq m > 0, q \geq p > 0$ . Then any solution  $u$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a finite time  $T$  and there exists a positive constant  $c_2$  such that*

$$\sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x, t) \leq \frac{c_2}{(T - t)^{\frac{1}{q-m}}}.$$

**Remark 5.3.** The argument in the proof of Theorem 5.1 is a classical one. It was introduced in [4] and later used and modified by many authors. Unfortunately, this method does not yield optimal results if blow-up occurs on the boundary. More precisely, it is known that Corollary 5.2 is not sharp if  $m = 1$ . In this case, the blow-up rate is

$$(T - t)^{-\frac{1}{2(q-1)}},$$

see [6].

### 6. Blow-up set

In this section, we describe the blow-up set of some blow-up solutions for the problem (1.1)–(1.3). More precisely, we show that under some conditions, certain solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blow up in a finite time and their blow-up set is on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  of the domain  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 are satisfied. Suppose also that there are positive constants  $C_o, c_o$  such that*

$$\varphi'(s) \geq c_o \quad \text{for } s > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad sF'(H(s)) \leq C_o \quad \text{for } s > 0.$$

*Then any solution  $u$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a finite time  $T$  and  $E_B \subset \partial\Omega$ , where  $E_B$  is the blow-up set of the solution  $u$ .*

**Remark 6.2.** If  $F(s) = s^q$  with  $q > 1$ , then we may take  $C_o = \frac{q}{q-1}$ .

PROOF: By Theorem 5.1, we know that  $u$  blows up in a finite time  $T$ . Thus our aim in this proof is to show that  $E_B \subset \partial\Omega$ . Let  $d(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$  and  $v(x) = d^2(x)$  for  $x \in N_\varepsilon(\partial\Omega)$  where

$$N_\varepsilon(\partial\Omega) = \{x \in \Omega \quad \text{such that} \quad d(x) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Since  $\partial\Omega$  is of class  $C^2$ , then the function  $v(x) \in C^2(\overline{N_\varepsilon(\partial\Omega)})$  if  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small. On  $\partial\Omega$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Lv - \frac{C_o}{v} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)v_{x_i}v_{x_j} \\ &= 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)d_{x_i}d_{x_j} + 2d \sum_{i,j=1}^n d_{x_ix_j} + 2d \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x)d_{x_i} - 4C_o \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)d_{x_i}d_{x_j} \\ &\geq 2\lambda_1 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ii}(x)| - 2d \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i(x)| - 4C_o\lambda_2 - 4\lambda_2 \\ &\geq 2\lambda_1 - 2C_1 - 2d' C_2 - 4C_o\lambda_2 - 4\lambda_2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $d' = \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}, y \in \overline{\Omega}} \|x - y\|$ . Therefore, there exists a positive constant  $C_1$  such that

$$(6.1) \quad Lv - \frac{C_o}{v} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)v_{x_i}v_{x_j} \geq -C_1 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega.$$

Since  $v \in C^2(\overline{N_\varepsilon(\partial\Omega)})$  for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small, let  $\varepsilon_o$  be so small that

$$(6.2) \quad Lv - \frac{C_o}{v} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)v_{x_i}v_{x_j} \geq -2C_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \overline{N_{\varepsilon_o}(\partial\Omega)}.$$

We extend  $v$  to a function of class  $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $v \geq C_o^* > 0$  in  $\overline{\Omega - N_{\varepsilon_o}(\partial\Omega)}$ . Therefore, we deduce that

$$(6.3) \quad Lv - \frac{C_o}{v} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)v_{x_i}v_{x_j} \geq -C^* \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega}$$

for some  $C^* > 0$ . Multiplying (6.3) by  $\epsilon$  small enough, we may assume without loss of generality that  $C^* < 1$ . Put  $w_*(x, t) = C_1H(\tau)$  where  $\tau = \delta(v(x) + \frac{C_o^*}{C_o}(T - t))$  and  $C_1 > 1$  is a constant which will be indicated later. We get

$$(6.4) \quad (\varphi(w_*))_t - Lw_* \geq -\delta C_1 H'(\tau)[C^* + Lv + \delta \frac{H''(\tau)}{H'(\tau)} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)v_{x_i}v_{x_j}].$$

Since  $H(s)$  is the inverse function of  $G(s)$ , we have  $H'(s) = -F(H(s))$  and  $H''(s) = -H'(s)F'(H(s))$ . Consequently,

$$(6.5) \quad (\varphi(w_*))_t - Lw_* \geq \delta C_1 F(H(s))[C^* + Lv - \delta F'(H(\tau)) \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)v_{x_i}v_{x_j}].$$

Since  $sF'(H(s)) \leq C_o$  for  $s > 0$ , using the fact that  $F'(H(s))$  is a decreasing function ( $F'$  is increasing and  $H$  is decreasing), we have

$$(6.6) \quad (\varphi(w_*))_t - Lw_* \geq \delta C_1 F(H(\tau))[C^* + Lv - \frac{C_o}{v} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)v_{x_i}v_{x_j}].$$

Therefore from (6.3), we deduce that

$$(6.7) \quad (\varphi(w_*))_t - Lw_* + a(x, t)f(w_*) \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (\varepsilon_o, T).$$

On  $\partial\Omega$ , we have  $w_*(x, t) = C_1H(\delta C^*(T - t)) > H(\delta(T - t))$  because  $C_1 > 1$  and  $C^* < 1$ . Then by Theorem 5.1, we obtain

$$(6.8) \quad w_*(x, t) > u(x, t) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (\varepsilon_o, T).$$

Choose  $C_1$  large enough that

$$(6.9) \quad w_*(x, \varepsilon_o) = C_1H(\delta(v(x) + C^*(T - \varepsilon_o))) > u(x, \varepsilon_o).$$

Consequently, from the maximum principle we deduce that

$$u(x, t) < w_*(x, t) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (\varepsilon_o, T).$$

Then if  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$  we have

$$u(x, t) \leq C_1H(\delta(v(x) + C^*(T - t))) \leq C_1H(\delta v(x)).$$

It follows that

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega', t \in [\varepsilon_o, T]} u(x, t) \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega'} C_1H(\delta v(x)) < \infty,$$

which yields the result. □

**Corollary 6.2.** *Suppose that  $a_t \leq 0$ ,  $b_t \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi(u) = au + bu^m$ ,  $f(u) = u^p$ ,  $g(u) = u^q$ ,  $Lu_o - a(x, 0)u_o^p > 0$  where  $a > 0$ ,  $b \geq 0$ ,  $q > 1 \geq m > 0$ ,  $q \geq p > 0$ . Then any solution  $u$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a finite time and  $E_B \subset \partial\Omega$  where  $E_B$  is the blow-up set of the solution  $u$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [1] Boni T.K., *Sur l'explosion et le comportement asymptotique de la solution d'une équation parabolique semi-linéaire du second ordre*, C.R. Acad. Paris, t. 326, Série I, **1** (1998), 317–322.
- [2] Chipot M., Fila M., Quittner P., *Stationary solutions, blow-up and convergence to stationary solutions for semilinear parabolic equations with nonlinear boundary conditions*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, Vol. LX, **1** (1991), 35–103.
- [3] Egorov Yu.V., Kondratiev V.A., *On blow-up solutions for parabolic equations of second order*, in 'Differential Equations, Asymptotic Analysis and Mathematical Physics', Berlin, Academie Verlag, 1997, pp.77–84.
- [4] Friedman A., McLeod B., *Blow-up of positive solutions of semilinear heat equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **34** (1985), 425–447.
- [5] Protter M.H., Weinberger H.F., *Maximum Principles in Differential Equations*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1967.
- [6] Rossi J.D., *The blow-up rate for a semilinear parabolic equation with a nonlinear boundary condition*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, Vol. LXVII, **2** (1998), 343–350.
- [7] Walter W., *Differential-und Integral-Ungleichungen*, Springer, Berlin, 1964.

UNIVERSITÉ PAUL SABATIER, UFR-MIG, MIP, 118 ROUTE DE NARBONNE, 31062 TOULOUSE, FRANCE

*E-mail:* boni@mip.ups-tlse.fr

(Received July 23, 1998, revised November 24, 1998)