

Bruno Bassan; Daniela Capello; Marco Scarsini

An improved version of a theorem concerning finite row-column exchangeable arrays

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 41 (2000), No. 1, 197--198

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119154>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## An improved version of a theorem concerning finite row-column exchangeable arrays

BRUNO BASSAN, DANIELA CAPELLO, MARCO SCARSINI

*Abstract.* We improve a result of Bassan and Scarsini (1998) concerning necessary conditions for finite and infinite extendibility of a finite row-column exchangeable array, and provide a simpler proof for the result.

*Keywords:* extendibility, partial exchangeability

*Classification:* Primary 60G09

Bassan and Scarsini (1998) generalized the idea of extendibility to row-column exchangeable processes and provided necessary conditions for the  $(r, q)$ -extendibility of a  $(n \times m)$  row-column exchangeable matrix. Their result will be proved here under more general conditions and by means of a simpler proof.

We will keep their notation, and we will prove their Theorem 4 under the assumptions  $r, q \geq 2$  instead of  $r, q \geq 4$ . The proof is also somewhat simpler.

Theorem 4 can be reformulated as follows:

- (1)  $A \geq 0,$
- (2)  $C(k) \geq 0$  and  $D(2, k) \geq 0, \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, q\},$
- (3)  $B(h) \geq 0$  and  $D(h, 2) \geq 0, \quad \forall h \in \{1, \dots, r\},$
- (4)  $D(h, k) \geq 0, \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, q\}, \quad \forall h \in \{1, \dots, r\}.$

To prove that  $A \geq 0$ , observe that

$$0 \leq \text{Var}(X_{11} - X_{12} - X_{21} + X_{22}) = 4\sigma^2(1 - \rho - \beta + \alpha) = 4\sigma^2 A.$$

The relation  $B(h) \geq 0 \quad \forall h \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  can be proved as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \text{Var}([X_{11} + \dots + X_{h1}] - [X_{12} + \dots + X_{h2}]) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^h \text{Var}(X_{i1}) + \sum_{j=1}^h \text{Var}(X_{j2}) + \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Cov}(X_{i1}, X_{j1}) + \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Cov}(X_{i2}, X_{j2}) \end{aligned}$$

---

Work partially supported by MURST and CNR.

$$\begin{aligned}
& - 2 \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{j=1}^h \text{Cov}(X_{i1}, X_{j2}) \\
& = 2 \sum_{i=1}^h \text{Var}(X_{i1}) + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Cov}(X_{i1}, X_{j1}) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^h \text{Cov}(X_{i1}, X_{i2}) \\
& \quad - 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Cov}(X_{i1}, X_{j2}) \\
& = 2h\sigma^2[1 + (h-1)\beta - \rho - (h-1)\alpha] \\
& = 2hB(h).
\end{aligned}$$

Relation (2) is proved similarly, by considering the sum of the terms on the first row minus the terms on the second row. Finally, inequalities (4) were proved in Lemma 7 of Bassan and Scarsini (1998), by showing that  $0 \leq \text{Var}(\sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{j=1}^k X_{ij}) = hk\sigma^2 D(h, k)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bassan B., Scarsini M., *Finite row-column exchangeable arrays*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **39** (1998), 137–145.

Bruno Bassan, Daniela Capello:  
 DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ “LA SAPIENZA”, PIAZZALE ALDO MORO 5,  
 I-00185 ROMA, ITALY

*E-mail:* bassan@mat.uniroma1.it

Marco Scarsini:  
 DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE, UNIVERSITÀ D’ANNUNZIO, VIALE PINDARO 42, I-65127 PESCARA,  
 ITALY

*E-mail:* scarsini@sci.unich.it

(Received January 20, 1999, revised May 3, 1999)