

Miroslav Zelený

An example of a $C^{1,1}$ function, which is not a d.c. function

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 43 (2002), No. 1, 149--154

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119306>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2002

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

An example of a $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ function, which is not a d.c. function

MIROSLAV ZELENÝ

Abstract. Let $X = \ell_p$, $p \in (2, +\infty)$. We construct a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which has Lipschitz Fréchet derivative on X but is not a d.c. function.

Keywords: Lipschitz Fréchet derivative, d.c. functions

Classification: 46B20, 26B25

We start with the following two definitions.

Definition 1. Let X be a normed linear space and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. We say that f is a *d.c. function* if f is a difference of two continuous convex functions on X .

It is easy to see that $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a d.c. function if and only if there exists a continuous convex function h on X such that $f + h$ and $-f + h$ are continuous convex functions. Every such h is called a *control function* for f .

Definition 2. Let X be a normed linear space and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. We say that f is a $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ *function* if its Fréchet derivative $f'(x)$ exists at each point $x \in X$ and the mapping f' is Lipschitz on X .

The reader may consult [VZ] and [DVZ] for basic properties and also for generalizations of these notions.

The main aim of this note is to answer the following question posed in [DVZ].

Question. Does there exist a Banach space X and a $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ function on X , which is not d.c.?

The question is answered in the positive by the following theorem.

Theorem. Let $X = \ell_p$, $p \in (2, +\infty)$. Then there exists a $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is not a d.c. function.

Remark. Let us remark that the class of d.c. functions contains the class of $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ functions on ℓ_p , where $p \in (1, 2]$. This result is a consequence of a more general theorem due to Duda, Veselý and Zajíček ([DVZ, Theorem 11]).

Research supported by Research Grant GAUK 160/1999, GAČR 201/00/0767 and MSM 113200007.

We denote the set of all finite sequences from $\{0, 1\}$ by $\text{Seq}\{0, 1\}$ and if $s \in \text{Seq}\{0, 1\}$, then $s\hat{\ }0$ ($s\hat{\ }1$, respectively) stands for the concatenation of the sequences s and (0) (s and (1) , respectively). The length of $s \in \text{Seq}\{0, 1\}$ is denoted by $|s|$. Let X be a normed linear space. The open ball with center $x \in X$ and radius $r > 0$ is denoted by $B(x, r)$.

The following auxiliary notion will be helpful in the sequel.

Definition 3. Let X be a Banach space. We say that points x_s , $s \in \text{Seq}\{0, 1\}$, form an *S-family* in X , if there exists a sequence $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of positive real numbers such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) $\frac{1}{2}(x_{s\hat{\ }0} + x_{s\hat{\ }1}) = x_s$ for every $s \in \text{Seq}\{0, 1\}$,
- (b) the set $\{x_s; s \in \text{Seq}\{0, 1\}\}$ is bounded,
- (c) $\|x_s - x_t\| \geq \max\{r_{|s|}, r_{|t|}\}$ for every $s, t \in \text{Seq}\{0, 1\}$, $s \neq t$,
- (d) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{2n}^2 = +\infty$,
- (e) $\lim r_n = 0$.

Lemma 1. Let X be a Banach space, let $T = (x_s)_{s \in \text{Seq}\{0,1\}}$ be an indexed set with elements in X . Let $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers. If $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function satisfying

$$(\star) \quad \forall s \in \text{Seq}\{0, 1\} : \frac{1}{2}(h(x_{s\hat{\ }0}) + h(x_{s\hat{\ }1})) - h(x_s) \geq c_{|s|+1},$$

then for every $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ there exists $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$ with $h(x_s) \geq h(x_{\emptyset}) + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j$.

PROOF: We will proceed by induction over n . The case $n = 0$ is obvious. (Note that we use the convention saying that $\sum_{j=1}^0 c_j = 0$.) Suppose that the assertion holds for n and we will deal with the case “ $n + 1$ ”. Using induction hypothesis we have $h(x_s) \geq h(x_{\emptyset}) + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j$ for some $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$. According to (\star) we have $h(x_{s\hat{\ }i}) \geq h(x_s) + c_{n+1}$ for some $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Thus we conclude

$$h(x_{s\hat{\ }i}) \geq h(x_{\emptyset}) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \right) + c_{n+1}$$

and we are done. □

The next lemma is very easy to prove, so the proof will be omitted.

Lemma 2. Let X be a Banach space and f be a d.c. function on X with a control function h . Then for every $x \in X$ and $v \in X$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2}(h(x+v) + h(x-v)) - h(x) \geq \left| \frac{1}{2}(f(x+v) + f(x-v)) - f(x) \right|.$$

The next lemma uses the notion of bump function, which means a function with nonempty bounded support.

Lemma 3. *Let X be a Banach space with a $C^{1,1}$ bump function. Suppose that there exists an S -family in X . Then there exists a $C^{1,1}$ function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is not a d.c. function.*

PROOF: Let $T = (x_s)_{s \in \text{Seq}\{0,1\}}$ be an S -family in X and let $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be the corresponding sequence of real numbers from Definition 3. Let φ be a $C^{1,1}$ bump function on X . We may assume that the support of φ is contained in the unit ball of X and $\varphi(0) = 1$. We may also assume that $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$ for every $x \in X$. Indeed, we can use $h \circ \varphi$, where $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a C^∞ function with $h(0) = 0$ and $h(1) = 1$, instead of φ , if necessary. Denote $E = \{s \in \text{Seq}\{0, 1\}; |s| \text{ is even}\}$. For every $s \in E$ we define a function $\psi_s : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\psi_s(x) = r_{|s|}^2 \varphi \left(\frac{4}{r_{|s|}} (x - x_s) \right).$$

We denote $B_s = B(x_s, \frac{1}{4}r_{|s|})$ for $s \in E$. Now we define a function $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ putting $\psi(x) = \sum_{s \in E} \psi_s(x)$. We will verify the following properties of ψ :

- (i) ψ is well defined on X ,
- (ii) Fréchet derivative $\psi'(x)$ exists for each $x \in X$,
- (iii) the mapping $x \mapsto \psi'(x)$ is Lipschitz.

(i) We have $\text{supp } \psi_s \subset B_s$ for every $s \in E$. The system $\{B_s; s \in E\}$ of balls is disjoint by the property (c) of S -family T and thus ψ is well defined on X .

(ii) If $x \in \overline{B_s}$ for some $s \in E$, then $\psi'(x)$ exists since $\psi = \psi_s$ on some neighborhood of x . If $x \in X \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{s \in E} B_s}$, then $\psi'(x)$ exists since $\psi = 0$ on some neighborhood of x .

It remains to deal with $x \in \overline{\bigcup_{s \in E} B_s} \setminus \bigcup_{s \in E} \overline{B_s}$. Then we have $\psi(x) = 0$. We show that $\psi'(x) = 0$. Take $y \in X, y \neq x$. We distinguish two cases.

- a) If $y \notin \bigcup_{s \in E} \overline{B_s}$, then $\psi(y) = 0$ and we have $|\psi(x) - \psi(y)|/\|x - y\| = 0$.
- b) If $y \in \overline{B_s}$ for some $s \in E$, then $\|x - y\| \geq \frac{1}{4}r_{|s|}$ since $B(x_s, \frac{1}{2}r_{|s|})$ intersects no ball $B_t, t \in E, t \neq s$. We obtain

$$\frac{|\psi(x) - \psi(y)|}{\|x - y\|} \leq \frac{r_{|s|}^2}{\frac{1}{4}r_{|s|}} = 4r_{|s|}.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0$, we have $4r_n < \varepsilon$. Then we can find $\delta > 0$ such that $B(x, \delta)$ intersects only those B_s 's with $|s| \geq n_0$. Now the above discussion gives

$$\frac{|\psi(x) - \psi(y)|}{\|x - y\|} < \varepsilon$$

for every $y \in B(x, \delta) \setminus \{x\}$. This proves $\psi'(x) = 0$.

(iii) Let $K_0 > 0$ be the Lipschitz constant of the mapping $x \mapsto \varphi'(x)$. According to the definition of ψ we have that the mapping $x \mapsto \psi'(x)$ is Lipschitz on $\overline{B_s}$, $s \in E$, with the Lipschitz constant $K_1 = 16K_0$. Now take $x, y \in X$ such that these points are not elements of the same B_t , $t \in E$. If $x \in B_s$ for some $s \in E$, then we find $\tilde{x} \in X$ such that \tilde{x} is an element of the segment with endpoints x and y and lies on the boundary of B_s . If $x \in X \setminus \bigcup_{t \in E} B_t$ we put $\tilde{x} = x$. The element \tilde{y} is defined in the analogical way. We have $\psi'(\tilde{x}) = 0$ and $\psi'(\tilde{y}) = 0$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi'(x) - \psi'(y)\| &\leq \|\psi'(x) - \psi'(\tilde{x})\| + \|\psi'(\tilde{x}) - \psi'(\tilde{y})\| + \|\psi'(\tilde{y}) - \psi'(y)\| \\ &\leq K_1\|x - \tilde{x}\| + 0 + K_1\|\tilde{y} - y\| \leq K_1\|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have verified the property (iii).

Since T is a bounded set and $\lim r_n = 0$ we have that $\text{supp } \psi$ is bounded. So take $R > 0$ with $\text{supp } \psi \subset B(0, R)$. We find a sequence $\{B(z_n, d_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of balls with disjoint closures such that $\lim z_n = 0$ and $0 < 2d_n < \|z_n\|$. The desired function f is defined as follows:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x), \text{ where } f_n(x) = d_n^2 \psi\left(\frac{R}{d_n}(x - z_n)\right).$$

We have to verify the following properties:

- (iv) f is well defined on X ,
- (v) $f'(x)$ exists for each $x \in X$,
- (vi) the mapping $x \mapsto f'(x)$ is Lipschitz,
- (vii) f is not a d.c. function.

(iv) The supports of f_n 's are disjoint and thus f is well defined.

(v) The function ψ is obviously bounded. Let C be a constant such that $|\psi(x)| \leq C$ for every $x \in X$. If $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$, then $f = f_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ on some neighborhood of x . Thus the derivative $f'(x)$ clearly exists for every $x \neq 0$. We show that $f'(0) = 0$. We have $f(0) = 0$, therefore it is sufficient to show that $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} |f(y)|/\|y\| = 0$.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Cd_n < \varepsilon$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq n_0$. Find $\delta > 0$ such that $B(0, \delta)$ intersects no ball $B(z_n, d_n)$ with $n < n_0$. Take $y \in B(0, \delta) \setminus \{0\}$. If $y \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B(z_n, d_n)$, then $f(y) = 0$ and therefore $|f(y)|/\|y\| = 0$. If $y \in B(z_n, d_n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $n \geq n_0$ and we have $|f(y)|/\|y\| \leq Cd_n^2/d_n = Cd_n < \varepsilon$. Thus we have $|f(y)|/\|y\| < \varepsilon$ for every $y \in B(0, \delta) \setminus \{0\}$. This gives $f'(0) = 0$.

(vi) The mapping $x \mapsto f'_n(x)$ is Lipschitz on $\overline{B(z_n, d_n)}$ with the Lipschitz constant K_1R^2 . Using the same method as in the proof of the property (iii) we obtain that $x \mapsto f'(x)$ is Lipschitz with the constant K_1R^2 .

(vii) Suppose to the contrary that f is a d.c. function. Let h be a control function for f . Since h is continuous there exists $\tau > 0$ such that $|h(x)| < 1$ for every $x \in B(0, \tau)$. Then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $B(z_m, d_m) \subset B(0, \tau)$. Put

$$y_s := \frac{d_m}{R}x_s + z_m, \quad s \in \text{Seq}\{0, 1\}.$$

Using Lemma 2 we have that

$$\frac{1}{2}(h(y_{s^{\wedge}0}) + h(y_{s^{\wedge}1})) - h(y_s) \geq \left| \frac{1}{2}(f(y_{s^{\wedge}0}) + f(y_{s^{\wedge}1})) - f(y_s) \right|$$

for every $s \in \text{Seq}\{0, 1\}$ and $i \in \{0, 1\}$. The construction of f and ψ_s 's gives

$$f(y_s) = f_m(y_s) = d_m^2 \psi(x_s) = \begin{cases} 0, & |s| \text{ is odd;} \\ d_m^2 r_{|s|}^2, & |s| \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{2}(h(y_{s^{\wedge}0}) + h(y_{s^{\wedge}1})) - h(y_s) \geq \begin{cases} d_m^2 r_{|s|+1}^2, & |s| \text{ is odd;} \\ d_m^2 r_{|s|}^2, & |s| \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Put $c_{2n-1} = d_m^2 r_{2n-2}^2$ and $c_{2n} = d_m^2 r_{2n}^2$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $s \in \text{Seq}\{0, 1\}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2}(h(y_{s^{\wedge}0}) + h(y_{s^{\wedge}1})) - h(y_s) \geq c_{|s|+1}.$$

Using Lemma 1 and the fact that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{2n}^2 = +\infty$ we obtain that there exists $y_s \in B(z_m, d_m) \subset B(0, \tau)$ with $h(y_s) > 1$, a contradiction. \square

For the sake of completeness we prove the following well-known result.

Lemma 4. *Let $X = \ell_p$, $p \in (2, +\infty)$. Then there exists a $C^{1,1}$ bump function on X .*

PROOF: Fix $p \in (2, +\infty)$. Using [DGZ, Theorem 1.1., p. 184] it is easy to see that the function $w : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $w(x) = \|x\|^p$ has bounded second Fréchet derivative on the unit ball. (The symbol $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the canonical norm on ℓ_p .) Let $\tau : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^∞ bump with $\text{supp } \tau \subset [-1, 1]$. Putting $g = \tau \circ w$ we obtain the desired bump. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM: According to Lemma 4 there exists a $C^{1,1}$ bump on X . Thus it is sufficient to show that X contains an S -family. Such a set can be defined as follows. We put

$$x_\emptyset = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$x_s = \left((-1)^{s_1}, (-1)^{s_2}/\sqrt{2}, \dots, (-1)^{s_n}/\sqrt{n}, 0, 0, \dots \right), \quad s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n.$$

The corresponding r_n 's are defined by $r_n = 1/\sqrt{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. A direct calculation shows that $T = (x_s)_{s \in \text{Seq}\{0,1\}}$ satisfies the conditions (a)—(e) from Definition 3. Using Lemma 3 we are done. \square

REFERENCES

- [DGZ] Deville R., Godefroy G., Zizler V., *Smoothness and Renormings in Banach Spaces*, Longman, 1993.
- [DVZ] Duda J., Veselý L., Zajíček L., *On d.c. functions and mappings*, submitted to Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena.
- [VZ] Veselý L., Zajíček L., *Delta-convex mappings between Banach spaces and applications*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy mat.) **289** (1989), 52 pp.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS,
CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAGUE 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail: zeleny@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

(Received October 25, 2001)