

He Wei; Jiang Shouli

Remarks on the sobriety of Scott topology and weak topology on posets

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 43 (2002), No. 3, 531--535

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119343>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2002

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Remarks on the sobriety of Scott topology and weak topology on posets

HE WEI, JIANG SHOULI

Abstract. We give some necessary and sufficient conditions for the Scott topology on a complete lattice to be sober, and a sufficient condition for the weak topology on a poset to be sober. These generalize the corresponding results in [1], [2] and [4].

Keywords: sober topological space, Scott topology, weak topology

Classification: 06B30, 54F05

1. Preliminaries

Let X be a T_0 space. Then there is an induced partial order defined by setting $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \in \text{cl}\{y\}$. Conversely, any partial order on X can be deduced in this way. In fact, if (L, \leq) is a partially ordered set (briefly poset), we define the Alexandroff topology $A(L)$ to be the collection of all upper sets in L (i.e. sets U satisfying $x \in U$ and $x \leq y$ implies $y \in U$), and the weak topology $W(L)$ to be the smallest topology for which all sets of the form $\downarrow x$ are closed. A topology on L is said to be compatible if it induces the given partial order. It is well known that a topology Ω on L is compatible if and only if

$$W(L) \subset \Omega \subset A(L).$$

Let L, M be two posets and $f : L \rightarrow M$ an isotone map. Then $f : (L, A(L)) \rightarrow (M, A(M))$ is continuous. If we do not distinguish $(L, A(L))$ and $A(L)$, then A is a functor from the category *POSET* of posets and isotone maps to the category $T_0\text{TOP}$ of T_0 topological spaces and continuous maps.

Lemma 1. *The assignment $P : X \mapsto (X, \leq)$ defines a functor from the category $T_0\text{TOP}$ to the category *POSET* (where \leq is the induced partial order) which is a right adjoint to the functor A .*

PROOF: It suffices to show that any continuous map $f : A(L) \rightarrow X$ factors uniquely through $i : A(P(X)) \rightarrow X$ by an isotone map $\bar{f} : L \rightarrow X$ for a T_0

Project supported by NSFC.

topological space X and a poset L . But this is clear since f preserves order and $\bar{f} = f$.

We call a T_0 space X an Alexandroff space if its topology coincides with the Alexandroff topology for the induced partial order. It is easy to show that X is a Alexandroff space if and only if its topology is closed under arbitrary meets if and only if each point of X has a smallest open neighborhood. \square

Proposition 1. *The category ATOP of Alexandroff topological spaces and continuous maps is isomorphic to the category POSET.*

2. Main results

Let L be a poset. It is well known that if Ω is a sober topology on L inducing the given order then $W(L) \subset \Omega \subset \sigma(L)$, where $\sigma(L)$ is the Scott topology on L . In [3], J. Isbell showed that there is a complete lattice for which the Scott topology on it is not sober. In [4], it was shown that if L is a complete lattice such that $\sigma(L)$ is a continuous lattice then the Scott topology on L is sober. In [1], J. Isbell showed that a T_0 topological complete lattice is sober. We give some necessary and sufficient conditions for the Scott topology on a complete lattice to be sober.

Let X be a T_0 space. We call X a weakly Scott topological space if its topology is contained in the Scott topology and X is a complete lattice for the induced partial order. Every complete lattice endowed with the weak topology is a weakly Scott topological space. If $x \in X$, a class of open sets Ψ of X is said to be a prime open neighborhood basis of x if for any prime open neighborhood P of x there is a $Q \in \Psi$ such that $x \in Q \subset P$. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be primal continuous if for any prime open set P of Y , $f^{-1}(P)$ is an open subset of X .

Proposition 2. *Let X be a weakly Scott topological space. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) X is sober;
- (b) for each $x, y \in X$ and $z = x \vee y$, the set $\Psi_z = \{P \cap Q \mid P \text{ is a prime open neighborhood of } x, Q \text{ is a prime open neighborhood of } y\}$ is a prime open neighborhood basis of z ;
- (c) for every set I , the I -indexed supremum map $\text{sup} : X^I \rightarrow X$ is primal continuous;
- (d) the supremum map $\text{sup} : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is primal continuous.

PROOF: (a) \Rightarrow (b): If X is sober, then any prime open set has the form $X \setminus \{t\}^- = X \setminus (\downarrow t)$, so if $z = x \vee y \in U$ for some prime open set U , we may assume $x \neq \perp$, $y \neq \perp$, where \perp is the least element. Then we have either $x \in U$ or $y \in U$. Assuming $x \in U$, then U is a prime open neighborhood of x and $X \setminus \{\perp\}$ is a prime open neighborhood of y , $U \cap (X \setminus \{\perp\}) \subset U$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Let P be a prime open set of X . If $\bigvee_{i \in I} x_i \in P$, there exist finitely many members x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} , such that $x_{i_1} \vee \dots \vee x_{i_n} \in P$ since P is open in

the Scott topology. By (b), we have prime open sets P_1, \dots, P_n with $x_{i_k} \in P_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, such that $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n \subset P$, i.e. $P_1 \vee \dots \vee P_n \subset P$, so $\prod_{i \in I} \bar{P}_i$ is an open neighborhood of (x_i) and $\bigvee \bar{P}_i \subset P$, where $\bar{P}_j = P_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, $\bar{P}_i = X_i$ otherwise.

(c) \Rightarrow (d): Clear.

(d) \Rightarrow (a): Let A be an irreducible closed set of X . If A is directed, then $\sup A \in A, A = \downarrow \sup A$. So we need only to show that A is directed.

Let $a, b \in A$. If $a \vee b \in X \setminus A$ then by (d), we have open sets U, V with $a \in U, b \in V$, and $U \vee V \subset X \setminus A$, i.e. $U \cap V \subset X \setminus A$. Thus $U \subset X \setminus A$ or $V \subset X \setminus A$. This shows $a \in X \setminus A$ or $b \in X \setminus A$, a contradiction. So $a \vee b \in A, A$ is directed. □

Corollary 1. *Let L be a complete lattice. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *the Scott topology on L is sober;*
- (b) *for any $a, b \in L, a \vee b = c$, the set $\Psi_c = \{P \vee Q \mid P \text{ is a prime open neighborhood of } a, Q \text{ is a prime open neighborhood of } b\}$ is a prime open neighborhood basis of c ;*
- (c) *for each set I , the I -indexed supremum map $\sup : L^I \rightarrow L$ is primal continuous;*
- (d) *the supremum map $\sup : L \times L \rightarrow L$ is primal continuous.*

Let X be a T_0 topological space. We call X a primal topological complete sup-semi-lattice if X is a complete lattice for its induced partial order and the supremum map $\sup : X^I \rightarrow X$ is primal continuous for any indexed set I .

Lemma 2. *Every primal topological complete sup-semi-lattice is sober.*

PROOF: Let X be a primal topological complete sup-semi-lattice, A an irreducible closed set of $X, \sup A = a$. If $a \in X \setminus A$, then $\sup^{-1}(X \setminus A)$ is an open neighborhood of $(x)_{x \in A}$ by the primal continuity of supremum map $X^A \rightarrow X$, thus there are finitely many members a_1, \dots, a_n of A and open sets U_1, \dots, U_n with $x_i \in U_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $U_1 \times \dots \times U_n \times X^{\{x \mid x \in A, x \neq a_i, i=1, \dots, n\}} \subset \sup^{-1}(X \setminus A)$, so $U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_n = U_1 \vee \dots \vee U_n \subset X \setminus A$, i.e. $A \subset (X \setminus U_1) \cup \dots \cup (X \setminus U_n)$. There must be a U_i such that $A \subset X \setminus U_i$. Then $a_i \in A$ but $a_i \notin U_i$, a contradiction. □

Let L be a poset. It is well known that if there is a compatible sober topology on L , then L is directed complete. In view of Lemma 2, we have the following result.

Proposition 3. *Let L be a lattice with a compatible topology. Then L is a sober topological space if and only if L is a primal topological complete sup-semi-lattice.*

In the end of this note, we give a sufficient condition for the weak topology on a poset to be sober. This generalizes the corresponding results in [2]. In [5],

P.T. Johnstone showed that there is no compatible sober topology on a directed complete poset. In [2], R.-E. Hoffmann showed that the weak topology is sober for a complete lattice.

Let L be a poset. We call L a weakly complete poset if $\forall A \subset L, A \neq \emptyset$, there are finite many members s_1, \dots, s_n of L such that $\bigcap \{\downarrow a \mid a \in A\} = \downarrow s_1 \cup \dots \cup \downarrow s_n$. A poset with nonempty meets is a weakly complete poset, especially every complete lattice is weakly complete, but the converse is not true.

Example 1. Let $L = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$. The partial order on L is defined by $a \leq a, b \leq b, c \leq a, b, c, d \leq a, b, d, e \leq a, b, c, d, e$. Then L is a weakly complete poset, but $a \wedge b$ does not exist.

Proposition 4. *Let L be a weakly complete poset. Then $(L, W(L))$ is sober.*

PROOF: Let A be an irreducible closed set of $(L, W(L))$. A can be expressed as $A = \bigcap \{\downarrow s_1 \cup \dots \cup \downarrow s_{n_s} \mid s \in S, n_s \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. If there is a $p \in S$ with

$$\bigcap_{s \neq p} \{\downarrow s_1 \cup \dots \cup \downarrow s_{n_s}\} \cap \downarrow p_j \neq A, \quad j = 1, \dots, n_p,$$

then

$$A = \left(\bigcap_{s \neq p} \{\downarrow s_1 \cup \dots \cup \downarrow s_{n_s}\} \cap \downarrow p_1 \right) \cup \dots \cup \left(\bigcap_{s \neq p} \{\downarrow s_1 \cup \dots \cup \downarrow s_{n_s}\} \cap \downarrow p_{n_p} \right),$$

contradicting the irreducibility of A . So for each $p \in S$, there is a $p_{j_p} \in L$ such that $(\bigcap_{s \neq p} \{\downarrow s_1 \cup \dots \cup \downarrow s_{n_s}\}) \cap \downarrow p_{j_p} = A$. Then we have

$$A \subset \bigcap_{p \in S} \downarrow p_{j_p} \subset \bigcap \{\downarrow s_1 \cup \dots \cup \downarrow s_{n_s} \mid n_s \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in S\} = A,$$

so $A = \bigcap_{p \in S} \downarrow p_{j_p}$. If L is weakly complete, then there are finite many members a_1, \dots, a_n such that $A = \downarrow a_1 \cup \dots \cup \downarrow a_n$. But A is irreducible, so there must be an $a_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, such that $A = \downarrow a_i$. □

The weak completeness is not necessary for sobriety of posets.

Example 2. Let $A = \coprod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} 2_i$ be the disjoint union of copies of two-element sets $2 = \{0, 1\}$ and let $B = \mathbb{Z}$ be the set of natural numbers. Let $L = A \cup B \cup \{\perp\}$ be partially ordered by

$$x \leq y \text{ if and only if either } x \in B, y \in \coprod_{i \geq x} 2_i, \text{ or } x = y, \text{ or } x = \perp.$$

Then it is not difficult to show that L is a directed complete poset, the weak topology and Scott topology on L are both sober, but L is not weakly complete.

Question. Characterize those posets such that the weak topology on them is sober.

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to the referee for his helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Isbell J.R., *Function spaces and adjoints*, Math. Scand. **36** (1975), 317–339.
- [2] Hoffmann R.-E., *Essentially complete T_0 spaces*, Manuscripta Math. **27** (1979), 401–432.
- [3] Isbell J.R., *Completion of a construction of Johnstone*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1982), 333–334.
- [4] Gierz G., Hoffmann K.H., Keimel K., Lawson J.D., Mislove M., Scott D.S., *A Compendium of Continuous Lattices*, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [5] Johnstone P.T., *Scott is not always sober*, Lecture Notes in Math. **871**, Springer-Verlag, 1981, pp. 282–283.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, NANJING, 210097,
P.R.CHINA

E-mail: weihe@njnu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY, JINAN, 250100, P.R.CHINA

(Received December 29, 2001, revised April 22, 2002)