

Ofelia Teresa Alas; Richard Gordon Wilson

Spaces in which compact subsets are closed and the lattice of T_1 -topologies on a set

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 43 (2002), No. 4, 641--652

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119353>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2002

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Spaces in which compact subsets are closed and the lattice of T_1 -topologies on a set

OFELIA T. ALAS, RICHARD G. WILSON

Abstract. We obtain some new properties of the class of KC-spaces, that is, those topological spaces in which compact sets are closed. The results are used to generalize theorems of Anderson [1] and Steiner and Steiner [12] concerning complementation in the lattice of T_1 -topologies on a set X .

Keywords: KC-space, T_1 -complementary topology, T_1 -independent, sequential space

Classification: Primary 54A10; Secondary 54D10, 54D25, 54D55

The lattice $\mathcal{L}_1(X)$ of T_1 -topologies on a set X has a least element 0 (the cofinite topology) and a greatest element 1 (the discrete topology) but it is known that there is T_1 -topology τ (even a T_2 -topology) with no T_1 -complement, that is there is no topology μ such that $\mu \vee \tau = 1$ and $\mu \wedge \tau = 0$ (see [11] and [13]). These negative results notwithstanding, many T_1 -spaces with “nice” properties have T_1 -complements which do not share these properties. For example, it is known that the T_1 -complements of many Hausdorff spaces are not Hausdorff (see [12] and [1]) and it is the purpose of this article to extend results of this kind. We study T_1 -complementarity using two weaker properties:

Say that two T_1 -topologies τ and τ' on a set X are T_1 -independent (respectively, transversal) if $\tau \cap \tau'$ is the cofinite topology (respectively, $\tau \vee \tau'$ is the discrete topology). As we mentioned in the previous paragraph, if τ and τ' are both T_1 -independent and transversal, they are said to be T_1 -complementary.

Central to our results will be the following property: A topological space (X, τ) is said to be a KC-space if every compact subspace is closed. The topology will then be termed a KC-topology. Note that KC-spaces are T_1 and T_2 -spaces are KC (but not vice versa necessarily) and that a sequence in a KC-space can converge to at most one point. The KC-spaces (which sometimes have been called T_B -spaces) have been studied by a number of authors (see for example [4] and [14]). We will obtain some new properties of this class of spaces with the aim of applying the results to problems concerning the lattice $\mathcal{L}_1(X)$.

Research supported by Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (México), grants 28411E and 38164-E and Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (Brasil)

Following [7], we say that a space X has the *finite derived set property* (which we abbreviate as the *FDS-property*) if whenever A is infinite, there is an infinite subset $B \subseteq A$ such that B has only a finite number of accumulation points in X , that is to say, its derived set B^d is finite. It is not hard to show that each weakly Whyburn T_1 -space (introduced and called a WAP-space in [8], but see [6] for the reasons for the change of name) and each sequential KC-space has the FDS-property.

To complete our list of definitions, we recall that if \mathcal{P} is a topological property, then a space (X, τ) is said to be *minimal* \mathcal{P} (respectively *maximal* \mathcal{P}) if (X, τ) has property \mathcal{P} but no topology on X which is strictly smaller (respectively, strictly larger) than τ has \mathcal{P} . A space (X, τ) is said to be *Katětov* \mathcal{P} if there is a topology $\sigma \subseteq \tau$ such that (X, σ) is minimal \mathcal{P} . Specifically, we are here interested in minimal KC-spaces, Katětov KC-spaces and maximal compact spaces. All other terms are standard and can be found in [3].

In 1967, Steiner and Steiner proved that no Hausdorff topology on a countably infinite set has a Hausdorff complement. In fact, although they did not explicitly say so, they proved that no Hausdorff topology on a countably infinite set has a complementary KC-topology. In the same article they showed that any complement of a first countable topology on an infinite set X must be countably compact on cofinite subspaces and Anderson [1] showed that such a complement cannot be both first countable and Hausdorff. In this paper, we generalize results of [7] to non-Hausdorff spaces and in the process, we generalize the above results of [1] and [12].

The following result is a slight generalization of Theorem 3.1 of [7].

Theorem 1. *Suppose (X, τ) is a T_1 -space with the FDS-property and τ' is an independent topology for τ ; if (X, τ') is a KC-space, it is countably compact and has no non-trivial convergent sequences.*

PROOF: Suppose first that (X, τ') is not countably compact, then it contains some countably infinite closed discrete subspace D , whose complement we can also assume to be infinite. Since τ and τ' are complements, D is not closed in (X, τ) and since this latter space has the FDS-property, there is some $B \subseteq D$ such that B has only a finite number of accumulation points $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ in X . Since $B \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is an infinite proper τ' -closed subset of X , we have constructed an infinite subset which is closed in both topologies, a contradiction.

Now suppose that S is a non-trivial convergent sequence in (X, τ') (convergent to x say) such that $X \setminus S$ is infinite. Since (X, τ') is a KC-space, $S \cup \{x\}$, being compact, is an infinite τ' -closed set and hence is not τ -closed. However, since (X, τ) has the FDS-property, there is some infinite $B \subseteq S$ with only a finite number of accumulation points which we again denote by $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. It is then clear that $B \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_n, x\}$ is an infinite set which is closed in both topologies, a contradiction. \square

Note that in the first part of the above proof we need only to require that (X, τ') be a T_1 -space.

Every countably infinite, compact KC-space has a non-trivial convergent sequence. Suppose X is such a space and let $p \in X$ be non-isolated. Then $X \setminus \{p\}$ is not compact, hence not countably compact and so there is an infinite closed discrete subspace $A \subseteq X \setminus \{p\}$. Enumerating $A = \{x_n : n \in \omega\}$, it is clear that $\{x_n\}$ converges to p in X . However, with a little care we can prove much more.

Theorem 2. *If X is a countable, compact T_1 -space and $A \subseteq X$ then either A is compact or there is a sequence in A converging to a point of $X \setminus A$.*

PROOF: Suppose $A \subset X$ is not compact. Let D be an infinite discrete subset of A which is closed in A . Since X is compact, $D^d \neq \emptyset$ and $D^d \subseteq X \setminus A$. We enumerate $\text{cl}(D) \setminus D$ as $\{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ and we will show that for some n , x_n is the limit of a sequence in D , showing that A is not sequentially closed.

If each neighborhood U of $x_0 = z_0$ is such that $D \setminus U$ is finite, then any enumeration of D converges to z_0 . If not, then pick an open set U_0 such that $D \setminus U_0$ is infinite and $z_0 \in U_0$; note that since X is compact and D is discrete, $(\text{cl}(D) \setminus D) \setminus U_0 \neq \emptyset$. Now let $z_1 = x_{m_1}$, where $m_1 = \inf\{n \in \omega : x_n \notin U_0\}$. If each neighborhood U of z_1 is such that $(D \setminus U_0) \setminus U$ is finite, then any enumeration of $D \setminus U_0$ will converge to z_1 . Having chosen points z_0, \dots, z_{k-1} and open sets containing them U_0, \dots, U_{k-1} in such a way that $D \setminus \bigcup\{U_j : 0 \leq j \leq k-1\}$ is infinite, it is clear as before that $(\text{cl}(D) \setminus D) \setminus \bigcup\{U_j : 0 \leq j \leq k-1\}$ is non-empty and we let $z_k = x_{m_k}$ where $m_k = \inf\{n \in \omega : x_n \notin \bigcup\{U_j : 0 \leq j \leq k-1\}\}$. As before, either every neighborhood U of z_k is such that $(D \setminus U) \setminus \bigcup\{U_j : 0 \leq j \leq k-1\}$ is finite (in which case we obtain a sequence convergent to z_k) or there is some $U = U_k$ for which this set is infinite.

However, since D is locally compact, $\text{cl}(D) \setminus D$ is compact and hence for some $n \in \omega$, $(\text{cl}(D) \setminus D) \setminus \bigcup\{U_j : 0 \leq j \leq n\} = \emptyset$, but $(\text{cl}(D) \setminus D) \setminus \bigcup\{U_j : 0 \leq j \leq n-1\} \neq \emptyset$. It is then the case that any enumeration of $D \setminus \bigcup\{U_j : 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}$ will converge to z_n . □

Corollary 3. *A compact, countable KC-space is sequential.*

PROOF: If A is not closed, then it is not compact. The result now follows from the previous theorem. □

However, a compact countable KC-space does not have to be first countable as the one-point compactification (see [3, 3.5.11]) of a sequential, non-first countable space (for example, the space of [3, 1.6.19]), illustrates. Nor does such a space have to be scattered — the one-point compactification of the rationals is the relevant example here.

Corollary 4. *A countable KC-space has no non-trivial convergent sequences if and only if every compact subspace is finite.*

The next result generalizes Proposition 3.2 of [7].

Corollary 5. *No countably infinite sequential T_2 -space has an independent topology which is KC.*

PROOF: Let (X, τ) be a Hausdorff sequential space. (X, τ) can be condensed onto a second countable Hausdorff space (X, τ') and hence by Theorem 1, any independent T_1 -topology μ must be compact and have no non-trivial convergent sequences. It follows from Corollary 3 that (X, μ) is not KC. \square

A similar result was first proved by Steiner and Steiner who showed:

Theorem 6 ([12, Corollary to Theorem 2]). *If (X, τ) is a countable Hausdorff space and τ' is T_1 -complementary, then every cofinite subset of (X, τ') is compact.*

The next corollary improves (for countable spaces) a result of Wilansky [14, Theorem 5], who showed that the 1-point compactification of a KC-space is KC if and only if X is a k -space (see [3, 3.3.18]). We note in passing that a countable Hausdorff k -space is clearly sequential, but we are not aware of a direct proof that a countable KC-space which is a k -space is sequential.

Corollary 7. *The 1-point compactification of a countable KC-space X is KC if and only if X is sequential.*

PROOF: The sufficiency is clear since an open subspace of a sequential space is sequential.

For the necessity, suppose that C is a compact subspace of the 1-point compactification $Y = X \cup \{\infty\}$ of X . If $\infty \notin C$ then C is a compact subspace of X , hence closed and so $Y \setminus C$ is open in Y . If on the other hand $\infty \in C$, then if C is not closed in Y , $C \cap X$ is not closed in X and hence there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $C \cap X$ converging to some $p \notin C$. Since X is KC, the compact set $S = \{p\} \cup \{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ is closed in X and so $Y \setminus S$ is a neighborhood of ∞ and so ∞ is not an accumulation point of $\{x_n\}$, implying that C is not compact, a contradiction. \square

A problem attributed to R. Larson by Fleissner in [4] is whether a space is maximal compact if and only if it is minimal KC. It was shown in [9] that a maximal compact space is KC, and hence is minimal KC, since any topology weaker than a compact KC topology cannot be KC. However, the converse problem of whether every minimal KC topology is compact appears to be still open. We now show that Larson's question has a positive answer in the case of countable spaces, but for clarity, we split the proof into two parts. First we show that a countable KC-space has the FDS-property.

Lemma 8. *If X is a countable KC-space, then every infinite $D \subseteq X$ contains an infinite subset with only a finite number of accumulation points (in X).*

PROOF: Enumerate X as $\{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ and suppose that $D \subseteq X$ is infinite and every infinite subset of D has infinitely many accumulation points. Let $n_0 \in \omega$ be

the smallest integer such that x_{n_0} is an accumulation point of D . If each neighborhood V of x_{n_0} has the property that $D \setminus V$ is finite, then any enumeration of D converges to x_{n_0} and hence D has only one accumulation point, a contradiction. Thus we may choose an open neighborhood V_0 of x_{n_0} such that $D_1 = D \setminus V_0$ is infinite. Having chosen points $x_{n_0}, x_{n_1}, \dots, x_{n_{j-1}}$ and open sets V_0, V_1, \dots, V_{j-1} such that $x_{n_k} \in V_k$ for each $1 \leq k \leq j-1$ and $D_j = D \setminus (\bigcup\{V_k : 1 \leq k \leq j-1\})$ is infinite, we let n_j be the least integer such that x_{n_j} is an accumulation point of D_j and we choose a neighborhood V_j of x_{n_j} such that $D_j \setminus V_j = D_{j+1}$ is infinite. Such a choice is again possible for if every neighborhood V of x_{n_j} is such that $D_j \setminus V$ is finite, then any enumeration of D_j is a sequence which converges to x_{n_j} and hence D_j has only one accumulation point.

Now for each $j \in \omega$, we choose $y_j \in D_j \setminus \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}\}$ and we denote the set $\{y_n : n \in \omega\}$ by S . It is clear that S is infinite and all but finitely many points of S are contained in D_j for each $j \in \omega$ and so an accumulation point of S is an accumulation point of $S \cap D_j$ for each $j \in \omega$. Thus S can have no accumulation point, since if p were such a point, then for some $k \in \omega$, $p = x_k$ and from the construction, we would have that $k \geq n_j$ for each $j \in \omega$, which is absurd. \square

Lemma 9. *If (X, τ) is a countable non-compact KC-space with the FDS-property, then X can be condensed onto a weaker KC-space.*

PROOF: Since X is not countably compact, there is some countably infinite closed discrete subspace $D = \{d_n : n \in \omega\} \subseteq X$. Fix $p \in X$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \beta\omega \setminus \omega$ and define a new topology σ on X as follows:

(i) if $p \notin U$, then $U \in \sigma$ if and only if $U \in \tau$,

and

(ii) if $p \in U$, then $U \in \sigma$ if and only if $U \in \tau$ and $\{n \in \omega : d_n \in U\} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Clearly (X, σ) is a T_1 -space, $\sigma \subset \tau$ and for each $B \subseteq X$, $\text{cl}_\sigma(B) \subseteq \text{cl}_\tau(B) \cup \{p\}$. We show that (X, σ) is a KC-space. To this end, suppose to the contrary that A is a non-closed compact subset of (X, σ) . Obviously $p \in \text{cl}_\sigma(A)$ and there are two cases to consider:

(a) If $p \notin A$, then $\sigma|A = \tau|A$ and so A is compact and hence closed in (X, τ) . Thus there is some $U \in \tau$ such that $p \in U$ and $U \cap A = \emptyset$. If $\{n \in \omega : d_n \in A\} \notin \mathcal{F}$, then $\{n \in \omega : d_n \in D \setminus A\} \in \mathcal{F}$ and for each $t \in D \setminus A$ we can choose $U_t \in \tau$ such that $t \in U_t$ and $U_t \cap A = \emptyset$. Then $p \in U \cup \bigcup\{U_t : t \in D \setminus A\} \in \sigma$ contradicting the fact that $p \in \text{cl}_\sigma(A)$. Thus $\{n \in \omega : d_n \in A\} \in \mathcal{F}$ and then there is some infinite set $S \subset A \cap D$ such that $S \notin \mathcal{F}$ and S is then an infinite closed discrete subset of A in (X, σ) , implying that $(A, \sigma|A)$ is not compact.

(b) If $p \in A$, then $\text{cl}_\sigma(A) = \text{cl}_\tau(A)$. If A is not closed in (X, τ) , then A is not compact (thus not countably compact) in (X, τ) , and so there is an infinite discrete subset $C \subseteq A$ which is closed in $(A, \tau|A)$. However, C is not closed in $(A, \sigma|A)$ and so $\text{cl}_\sigma(C) \cap A = C \cup \{p\}$. This implies that $\{n : d_n \in \text{cl}_\tau(C)\} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Since (X, τ) has the FDS-property, there is some infinite subset $B \subseteq C$ with only a finite number of accumulation points in X . Thus $\{n : d_n \in \text{cl}_\tau(B)\} \notin \mathcal{F}$ which implies that B is closed and discrete in $(A, \sigma|_A)$, implying in its turn that A is not compact in (X, σ) . \square

The following result, an immediate consequence of the previous two lemmas, is then a partial positive answer to the above-mentioned question of Larson.

Theorem 10. *Every minimal KC-topology on a countable set is compact.*

These results should be contrasted with the case of minimal Hausdorff spaces. An example of a countable minimal Hausdorff space which is not countably compact is given in [10, Example 100].

We also note that in [4], Fleissner constructed a countably compact KC-topology t on ω_1 which is not Katětov KC, that is to say, if $\tau \subseteq t$ is KC then there is a $\tau' \subset \tau$ which is also KC. It is easy to see that (ω_1, t) has the FDS-property and furthermore, if $\tau \subseteq t$ has the FDS-property then so does τ' . Thus (ω_1, t) cannot be condensed onto a space which is minimal with respect to being both KC and having the FDS-property.

We turn now to the problem of whether a second countable KC-topology can have a KC-topology which is complementary. Recall that if κ is a cardinal, then a space is κ -discrete if it is the union of (at most) κ discrete subspaces, (however, if $\kappa = \omega$, then we use the standard terminology, σ -discrete). First we need some preliminary results, the first of which is a slight generalization of Theorem 2.3 of [13] and we omit the similar proof. For a definition of network and network weight we refer the reader to [3, 3.1.17].

Lemma 11. *Let (X, τ) be a space of network weight κ ; if μ is a transversal for τ , then μ is κ -discrete.*

Our aim now is to show that each infinite, countably compact σ -discrete T_1 -space has a non-trivial convergent sequence, but for convenience, we first prove a preliminary lemma and separate the cases of countable and uncountable X .

Lemma 12. *If X is an infinite countably compact T_1 -space which is the union of two discrete subspaces, then X has a non-trivial convergent sequence.*

PROOF: Suppose X is the union of two discrete subsets, E and F . Without loss of generality, we assume that the points of E are isolated in X and those of F are the accumulation points of X ; since X is countably compact, F is finite, say $F = \{x_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. If $X \setminus \{x_1\}$ is not countably compact, then there is a discrete subspace $G_1 \subseteq X \setminus \{x_1\}$ whose unique accumulation point is x_1 . $G_1 \cup \{x_1\}$ is then a countably compact (and hence compact) Hausdorff space with only one non-isolated point and thus must contain a non-trivial convergent sequence. If $X \setminus \{x_1\}$ is countably compact, then we replace X by $X \setminus \{x_1\}$ and consider the subspace $X \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$. Since $X \setminus F$ is not countably compact, there

is some m ($1 \leq m \leq n$) for which $X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}\}$ is countably compact but $X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ is not. There is then a sequence converging to x_m . \square

Lemma 13. *A countably infinite, compact T_1 -space has a non-trivial convergent sequence.*

PROOF: Let (X, τ) be such a space. We consider two cases, either (a) all discrete subspaces of X are finite, or (b) X has an infinite discrete subspace.

(a) Let $X = X_0$; if all discrete subspaces are finite, then either X has the cofinite topology and hence has a non-trivial convergent sequence or there is an infinite proper closed subset $X_1 \subset X_0$. In this case, $X_0 \setminus X_1 \neq \emptyset$ and we can choose $x_0 \in X_0 \setminus X_1$. Having chosen closed sets X_k and points x_k for each $k < n$ with the property that X_k is an infinite proper closed subset of X_{k-1} for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, and $x_k \in X_{k-1} \setminus X_k$ for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, there are two possibilities:

Either X_{n-1} has the cofinite topology, in which case it has a non-trivial convergent sequence and the recursive process ends, or there is some infinite closed proper subspace $C \subset X_{n-1}$, in which case we define $X_n = C$ and choose $x_n \in X_{n-1} \setminus X_n$.

If it were the case that for all $n \in \omega$, X_n contains a proper closed infinite subset, then for each n , we would have that $U_n = X \setminus (X_n \cup \{x_k : 1 \leq k \leq n-1\})$ is an open set with the property that $x_k \in U_n$ if and only if $k = n$. Thus $\{x_k : k \in \omega\}$ would be an infinite relatively discrete set, contradicting the hypothesis. Hence there is some $m \in \omega$ for which the infinite set X_m contains no proper closed infinite subset, implying that X_m has the cofinite topology and thus contains a non-trivial convergent sequence.

(b) Suppose now that X contains an infinite discrete subspace D_0 ; denote by F_0 the closed subspace $\text{cl}(D_0) \setminus D_0 \subseteq X$. Having defined closed subspaces F_γ for each $\gamma < \alpha$ ($\alpha < \omega_1$), we define F_α as follows:

If α is a limit ordinal, then $F_\alpha = \bigcap \{F_\gamma : \gamma < \alpha\}$. If $\alpha = \beta + 1$ and F_β contains an infinite discrete subset D_β , then let $F_\alpha = \text{cl}(D_\beta) \setminus D_\beta$; otherwise define $F_\alpha = F_\beta$.

Note that if F_α contains an infinite discrete subspace, then $F_{\alpha+1}$ is a proper subset of F_α . The family $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ is a nested family of closed sets in the compact T_1 -space X , and hence has non-empty intersection. Furthermore, since X is countable, there is some minimal $\lambda < \omega_1$ such that $F_\alpha = F_\lambda$ for all $\alpha > \lambda$; thus F_λ can contain no infinite discrete subspace. There are now three cases to consider:

(i) If F_λ is infinite, then we apply (a) above to obtain a non-trivial convergent sequence in F_λ .

(ii) If F_λ is finite and λ is a non-limit ordinal, say $\lambda = \gamma + 1$ then since $\gamma < \lambda$, $F_\lambda = \text{cl}(D_\gamma) \setminus D_\gamma$ where D_γ is an infinite discrete subspace of F_γ . Since F_λ

is finite, it is discrete and so F_γ is the union of two discrete subspaces, namely D_γ and F_λ . The existence of a non-trivial convergent sequence now follows from Lemma 12.

(iii) If F_λ is finite and λ is a limit ordinal, say $\lambda = \sup\{\lambda_n : n \in \omega\}$ where $\lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1} \in \omega + 1$, then since $\lambda_n < \lambda$ for each $n \in \omega$, it follows that $F_{\lambda_n} \setminus F_\lambda$ is infinite for each n . Thus we can choose $p_n \in F_{\lambda_n} \setminus (F_\lambda \cup \{p_k : 1 \leq k \leq n - 1\})$. Using an argument similar to that in (a) above, for each $m \in \omega$, $U_m = X \setminus (F_{\lambda_{m+1}} \cup \{p_k : 1 \leq k \leq m - 1\})$ is an open set meeting $\{p_n : n \in \omega\}$ in $\{p_m\}$; thus $\{p_n : n \in \omega\}$ is discrete and hence $C = F_\lambda \cup \{p_n : n \in \omega\}$ is the union of two discrete subspaces. Furthermore, since $p_n \in F_{\lambda_n}$ and $F_\lambda = \bigcap\{F_{\lambda_n} : n \in \omega\}$, it follows that all the accumulation points of $\{p_n : n \in \omega\}$ lie in F_λ and so $C = \{p_n : n \in \omega\} \cup F_\lambda$ is compact. The existence of a non-trivial convergent sequence in C again follows from Lemma 12. \square

Theorem 14. *An infinite, countably compact, σ -discrete T_1 -space X has a non-trivial convergent sequence.*

PROOF: If X is countable, the result follows from the previous lemma. If X is uncountable, then suppose $X = \bigcup\{D_n : n \in \omega\}$, where D_n is discrete for each $n \in \omega$. At least one of the sets D_n is necessarily infinite and we denote by n_0 , the smallest integer for which this occurs. We define $X_0 = \text{cl}(D_{n_0}) \setminus D_{n_0}$ and note that $X_0 \subset X$ is closed. There are three alternatives:

- i) X_0 is finite and hence discrete, in which case $\text{cl}(D_{n_0})$ is an infinite countably compact T_1 -space which is the union of two discrete subspaces; the existence of a non-trivial convergent sequence in X_0 now follows from Lemma 12. Or,
- ii) X_0 is countably infinite, in which case X_0 is a countably infinite compact T_1 -space and the existence of a non-trivial convergent sequence in X_0 now follows from Lemma 13. Or,
- iii) $X_0 \subseteq \bigcup\{D_n : n \in \omega \setminus \{n_0\}\}$ is uncountable, and hence for some $n \in \omega$, $X_0 \cap D_n$ is uncountable, in which case we denote by n_1 the smallest integer for which this occurs and let $X_1 = \text{cl}(D_{n_1} \cap X_0) \setminus (D_{n_1} \cap X_0)$. The above process can now be repeated with X_1 in place of X_0 .

Proceeding in this way, either:

- (a) for some $j \in \omega$, the closed subspace X_j constructed at the j th step of the recursion is countable, in which case the arguments of i) or ii) above apply and we obtain a non-trivial convergent sequence in $X_j \subseteq X$, or
- (b) condition iii) holds for each $j \in \omega$ and we obtain a nested (infinite) sequence of uncountable, countably compact closed subspaces $\{X_j : j \in \omega\}$, in which case we let $Y = \bigcap\{X_j : j \in \omega\}$.

Clearly Y is a non-empty, closed subset of X which meets each of the discrete sets D_n in a finite set and hence Y is countable. If Y is infinite, the existence of a non-trivial convergent sequence in Y follows from Lemma 13. If, on the

other hand, Y is finite then it is discrete, and for each $k \in \omega$ we can choose $p_k \in X_k \setminus (Y \cup \{p_0, \dots, p_{k-1}\})$. As in the proof of Lemma 13, $\{p_n : n \in \omega\}$ is discrete and the subspace $Z = Y \cup \{p_n : n \in \omega\}$ is compact. Thus Z is the union of two discrete subsets, $\{p_n : n \in \omega\}$ and Y . The existence of a non-trivial sequence again follows from Lemma 12. \square

Theorem 15. *No infinite KC-space with a countable network and the FDS-property (in particular, no infinite second countable KC-space) has a T_1 -complementary topology which is KC.*

PROOF: Suppose (X, τ) is an infinite KC-space with a countable network and the FDS-property and μ is a complement for τ . By Lemma 11, (X, μ) is σ -discrete and by Theorem 1, μ is countably compact and has no non-trivial convergent sequences. This contradicts Theorem 14. \square

For countable spaces we can do better, applying Lemma 8, we have the following strengthening of Theorem 3 of [12]:

Corollary 16. *No KC-topology on a countably infinite set has a complementary KC-topology.*

Steiner and Steiner [12, Theorem 2] have shown that any T_1 -complement of an infinite first countable Hausdorff space must have non-closed countably compact subspaces, while Anderson and Stewart [2, Theorem 2] have shown that such a T_1 -complement cannot be both Hausdorff and first countable. Furthermore, Anderson [1, Corollary 1] showed that every Hausdorff Fréchet-Urysohn space has (at least) one T_1 -complement which is not KC. These results should be compared with the following theorem which is an immediate consequence of Theorems 1, 11, 15 and the fact that a sequential KC-space has the FDS-property:

Theorem 17. *A T_1 -complement of an infinite sequential KC-space with a countable network is countably compact, σ -discrete, has no non-trivial convergent sequences and is not KC.*

A number of questions still remain open; some may have been posed before, but still seem interesting.

Question A. *Can every KC-space which is not countably compact be condensed onto a strictly weaker KC-topology?*

Theorem 10 gives a positive answer for countable spaces and in the general case a positive answer obviously implies that minimal KC-spaces are countably compact. Note that a KC-space cannot necessarily be condensed onto a KC-space with a convergent sequence — any compact Hausdorff space with no non-trivial convergent sequences is an example.

Question B. *When can a KC-space of network weight κ be condensed onto a KC-space of weight κ (or even onto a KC-space with the FDS-property)?*

In the case $\kappa = \aleph_0$, the answer is negative as the example of the 1-point compactification of the space of [3, 1.6.19] shows. This space is countable, compact KC (and hence minimal KC) with uncountable weight. Hence we are led to ask:

Question C. *Is every countable KC-space Katětov KC?*

It turns out that Question C has a somewhat simpler formulation; we need the following result:

Theorem 18. *A countable KC-space (X, τ) is Katětov KC if and only if there is a weaker sequential KC-topology $\sigma \subseteq \tau$.*

PROOF: If (X, τ) is a countable Katětov KC space, then by Corollary 12, there is a weaker compact KC-topology σ on X . However, by Corollary 3, (X, σ) is sequential and the necessity follows.

For the sufficiency, suppose that (X, μ) is a countable KC-space and that $\tau \subseteq \mu$ is a sequential KC-topology. If (X, τ) is compact, then it is minimal KC and hence (X, μ) is Katětov KC. So we assume that (X, τ) is not compact. It follows from Lemma 7 that the one-point compactification $(\omega X, \omega)$ is sequential. Following [3, 3.5.11], we identify X with $\omega(X) \subseteq \omega X$ and denote the singleton $\omega X \setminus \omega(X) = \omega X \setminus X$ by $\{\Omega\}$. The topology of ωX will be denoted by τ_ω . Let y be any point of X and define a partition \mathcal{P} of ωX by $\mathcal{P} = \{\{x\} : x \in X \text{ and } x \neq y\} \cup \{\{y, \Omega\}\}$ and denote by σ the quotient topology on \mathcal{P} . To further simplify the notation, we identify $x \in X$ ($x \neq y$) with $\{x\} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $y \in X$ with $\{y, \Omega\} \in \mathcal{P}$ and in future we refer to (X, σ) rather than (\mathcal{P}, σ) . The quotient map from $(\omega X, \tau_\omega)$, to (X, σ) will be denoted by q and so:

† Since X is identified with a subset of ωX , if $x \in (X, \sigma)$ and $x \neq y$, then $q^{-1}[x] = x$ and $q^{-1}[y] = \{y, \Omega\}$.

Note that if $x \neq y$, then U is a τ -neighborhood of $x \in X$ if and only if it is a σ -neighborhood of x and $W \in \sigma$ is a σ -neighborhood of y if and only if $q^{-1}[W]$ is a τ_ω -open set containing $\{y, \Omega\}$. Thus $\sigma \subseteq \tau \subseteq \mu$ and clearly (X, σ) is a compact T_1 -space. We will show that the space (X, σ) is KC and hence is minimal KC.

To this end, we note first that it follows from [5, Proposition 1.2] that any quotient of a sequential space is sequential and hence (X, σ) is sequential. To show that (X, σ) is a KC-space, suppose to the contrary that C is compact but not closed in (X, σ) . Since (X, σ) is sequential:

‡ There is a sequence of distinct points $\{s_n\} \subseteq C$ convergent to $s \notin C$ in (X, σ) and since this space is T_1 , we can assume without loss of generality that for each n , $s_n \neq y$.

However, C is compact and so $\{s_n\}$ must have an accumulation point $z \in C$, showing that the compact subspace $A = \{s_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{s\}$ is not closed. Thus to prove that (X, σ) is a KC-space it suffices to show that the convergent sequence $\{s_n\}$ together with its limit s , is closed in (X, σ) . However, if A is not closed, then since (X, σ) is sequential, there is a sequence in A converging to $t \notin A$. This sequence is a subsequence of the original sequence $\{x_n\}$ and hence must also converge to s . Thus in (X, σ) there is a sequence with two distinct limits s and t . We show that this leads to a contradiction.

Now if $y \notin \{s, t\}$, then by \dagger and \ddagger , $\{s_n\}$ is sequence with two distinct limits s and t in ωX , contradicting the fact that ωX is a KC-space. Alternatively, if $y \in \{s, t\}$, say $y = s$, then since $t \neq y$, it again follows from \dagger and \ddagger , that $\{s_n\}$ converges to t in the space ωX .

Now, since $S = \{s_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{t\}$ is compact in the KC-space ωX , it is closed and hence y is not an accumulation point of the sequence $\{s_n\}$ in ωX . Thus by \ddagger , there is $U \in \tau_\omega$ with $y \in U$ such that $s_n \notin U$ for all n (and we can assume that $\Omega \notin U$ so that with the identifications we are making, $q[U] = U$). Furthermore, since S is compact, $V = \omega X \setminus S$ is an open neighborhood of Ω in ωX and $s_n \notin q[V]$ for all n . Now, since $q^{-1}[q[U \cup V]] = U \cup V$, it follows that $q[U \cup V]$ is a σ -neighborhood of y with the property that $s_n \notin q[U \cup V]$ for all n , contradicting the fact that the sequence $\{s_n\}$ converges to y in (X, σ) . Clearly, the case $y = t$ is identical and we are done. \square

Thus Question C is equivalent to the following:

Question C'. *Can every countable KC-space be condensed onto a KC-space which is sequential?*

Since each infinite compact Hausdorff space of size less than the continuum is scattered and has a non-trivial convergent sequence, we are led to ask:

Question D. *Does every countably compact KC-space of size less than 2^{\aleph_0} have the FDS-property?*

REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson B.A., *A class of topologies with T_1 -complements*, Fund. Math. **69** (1970), 267–277.
- [2] Anderson B.A., Stewart D.G., *T_1 -complements of T_1 -topologies*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (1969), 77–81.
- [3] Engelking R., *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [4] Fleissner W.G., *A T_B -space which is not Katětov T_B* , Rocky Mountain J. Math. **10** (1980), no. 3, 661–663.
- [5] Franklin S.P., *Spaces in which sequences suffice*, Fund. Math. **57** (1965), 107–115.
- [6] Pelant J., Tkačenko M.G., Tkachuk V.V., Wilson R.G., *Pseudocompact Whyburn spaces need not be Fréchet*, submitted.
- [7] Shakhmatov D., Tkačenko M.G., Wilson R.G., *Transversal and T_1 -independent topologies*, submitted.

- [8] Simon P., *On accumulation points*, Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catégoriques **35** (1994), 321–327.
- [9] Smythe N., Wilkins C.A., *Minimal Hausdorff and maximal compact spaces*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **3** (1963), 167–177.
- [10] Steen L.A., Seebach J.A., *Counterexamples in Topology*, Second Edition, Springer Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [11] Steiner A.K., *Complementation in the lattice of T_1 -topologies*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 884–885.
- [12] Steiner E.F., Steiner A.K., *Topologies with T_1 -complements*, Fund. Math. **61** (1967), 23–28.
- [13] Tkačenko M.G., Tkachuk V.V., Wilson R.G., Yaschenko I.V., *No submaximal topology on a countable set is T_1 -complementary*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (1999), no. 1, 287–297.
- [14] Wilansky A., *Between T_1 and T_2* , Amer. Math. Monthly **74** (1967), 261–266.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, CAIXA POSTAL 66281, 05311-970 SÃO PAULO, BRASIL

E-mail: alas@ime.usp.br

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA METROPOLITANA, AVENIDA SAN RAFAEL ATLIXCO, #186, 09340 MÉXICO, D.F., MÉXICO

E-mail: rgw@xanum.uam.mx

(Received December 10, 2001, revised May 10, 2002)