

Boris Lavrič

Monotonicity of the maximum of inner product norms

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 45 (2004), No. 3, 383--388

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119467>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Monotonicity of the maximum of inner product norms

BORIS LAVRIČ

Abstract. Let \mathbb{K} be the field of real or complex numbers. In this note we characterize all inner product norms p_1, \dots, p_m on \mathbb{K}^n for which the norm $x \mapsto \max\{p_1(x), \dots, p_m(x)\}$ on \mathbb{K}^n is monotonic.

Keywords: finite dimensional vector space, monotonic norm, absolute norm, inner product norm

Classification: 52A21

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{K}^n be the n -dimensional real or complex vector space of column vectors $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$, and let $\mathbb{K}^{n,n}$ be the space of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{K} . The space \mathbb{K}^n is endowed with the standard inner product $(x, y) \mapsto y^*x$, where y^* is the conjugate transpose of y , and with the standard vector space topology. If C is a positive definite matrix, the functional $p_C : x \mapsto (x^*Cx)^{1/2}$ is an inner product norm on \mathbb{K}^n . As is well known, each norm on \mathbb{K}^n generated by an inner product is of the form p_C for some positive definite matrix $C \in \mathbb{K}^{n,n}$.

A norm p on \mathbb{K}^n is called *monotonic* if $|x| \leq |y|$ (componentwise) implies $p(x) \leq p(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{K}^n$, and *absolute* if $p(x) = p(|x|)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$. Monotonic norms were introduced in [1] and have been extensively studied. It is well known that monotonicity and absoluteness are equivalent, and easy to see that a norm p is absolute if and only if $p(Dx) \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ and all $D \in \Delta_n(\mathbb{K})$, where $\Delta_n(\mathbb{K})$ denotes the set of all diagonal matrices $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{K}^{n,n}$ such that $|d_i| = 1$ for all i . A list of characterizations of monotonic norms is contained in [2] and [3].

Let p_1, \dots, p_m be norms on \mathbb{K}^n . If all p_i are monotonic, then the norm $\max\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ is monotonic as well. The converse fails even in case when all p_i are inner product norms. In this paper we characterize all inner product norms p_1, \dots, p_m for which the norm $p = \max\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ is monotonic. More precisely, if $p_i = p_{A_i}$ with $A_i \in \mathbb{K}^{n,n}$ positive definite, then we describe all A_i for which p is monotonic. The special case $m = 2$ is considered in [4, Theorem 7], where a similar characterization is obtained with a completely different method that is not applicable to the case $m > 2$.

Research supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Sport of Slovenia, Research program: Analysis and Geometry P1-0291.

2. Results

From now on let $p_i = p_{A_i} : x \mapsto (x^* A_i x)^{1/2}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, be given inner product norms on \mathbb{K}^n defined by positive definite matrices $A_i \in \mathbb{K}^{n,n}$, and let p be the norm $p = \max\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$. For every nonempty $X \subseteq \mathbb{K}^n$ let

$$I(X) = \{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} : p_i(x) = p(x) \text{ for all } x \in X\},$$

and for each $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ denote $I(x) = I(\{x\})$. It is clear that the sets $I(x)$ are nonempty. The following auxiliary result gives a useful information about the sets $I(X)$.

Lemma 1. *Let $p = \max\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$, and let \mathcal{V} be the collection of all nonempty open subsets $V \subseteq \mathbb{K}^n$.*

- (a) *For every $U \in \mathcal{V}$ there exists a $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $V \subseteq U$ and $I(V)$ is nonempty.*
- (b) *If $J = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} I(V)$, then $p = \max\{p_j : j \in J\}$.*

PROOF: (a) First, let us show that for every $x_0 \in \mathbb{K}^n$ there exists a neighborhood U_0 of x_0 such that

$$(1) \quad I(x) \subseteq I(x_0) \text{ for all } x \in U_0.$$

If $i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus I(x_0)$, then $p_i(x_0) < p(x_0)$. The continuity of norms implies that there is a neighborhood U_0 of x_0 such that $p_i(x) < p(x)$ for all $x \in U_0$. Therefore $i \notin I(x)$ for every $x \in U_0$, and hence (1) follows.

Suppose $U \in \mathcal{V}$ does not satisfy (a). Take any $x_1 \in U$ and choose an open neighborhood U_1 of x_1 such that $U_1 \subseteq U$ and

$$I(x) \subseteq I(x_1) \text{ for all } x \in U_1.$$

If $I(x) = I(x_1)$ for all $x \in U_1$, then $I(U_1) = I(x_1)$, and hence $V = U_1$ satisfies (a). Since by assumption this is not the case, there exists an $x_2 \in U_1$ such that $I(x_2) \subsetneq I(x_1)$. Choose an open neighborhood U_2 of x_2 such that $U_2 \subseteq U_1$ and

$$I(x) \subseteq I(x_2) \text{ for all } x \in U_2.$$

Proceeding like before we get an infinite sequence $I(x_1) \supsetneq I(x_2) \supsetneq \dots$. Since $I(x_1)$ is finite, this is impossible, hence (a) follows.

(b) Suppose $p(x_0) > \max\{p_j(x_0) : j \in J\}$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{K}^n$. Then there exists a $U \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $p(x) > \max\{p_j(x) : j \in J\}$ for all $x \in U$. It follows that $I(V) = \emptyset$ for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $V \subseteq U$. This contradicts (a), thus $p = \max\{p_j : j \in J\}$. □

The set J in Lemma 1 can be replaced by any minimal subset $M \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ for which $p = \max\{p_i : i \in M\}$. For the proof it suffices to apply Lemma 1 with M instead of $\{1, \dots, m\}$.

If $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n,n}$ is positive definite, let from now on

$$\mathcal{F}_A = \{D^* A D : D \in \Delta_n(\mathbb{K})\}.$$

Lemma 2. *Let $p = \max\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$, and let J be as in Lemma 1. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) p is monotonic;
- (b) $\mathcal{F}_{A_j} \subseteq \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ for each $j \in J$.

PROOF: (a) \Rightarrow (b). Suppose (a), and let $j \in J$, $D \in \Delta_n(\mathbb{K})$. Lemma 1 ensures the existence of a nonempty open subset $U_0 \subseteq \mathbb{K}^n$ such that $p_j(x) = p(x)$ for all $x \in U_0$. Since p is monotonic, $p_j(Dx) = p(Dx) = p(x)$ for every $x \in U = D^*(U_0)$. The set U is nonempty and open, hence by Lemma 1 there exists a nonempty open subset $V \subseteq U$ and a $k \in J$ such that $p(x) = p_k(x)$ for all $x \in V$. It follows that $p_j(Dx) = p_k(x)$ and therefore

$$x^* D^* A_j D x = x^* A_k x \text{ for all } x \in V.$$

Let us prove that this implies $A_k = D^* A_j D$. Put $A = D^* A_j D - A_k$, notice that $A^* = A$, and take any $x_0 \in V$, $y \in \mathbb{K}^n$. Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for every positive $\epsilon < \delta$ we have $x_0 + \epsilon y \in V$, and therefore $(x_0 + \epsilon y)^* A (x_0 + \epsilon y) = 0$. It is clear that $x_0^* A x_0 = 0$, and hence $x_0^* A y + y^* A x_0 + \epsilon y^* A y = 0$ for every positive $\epsilon < \delta$. It follows that $y^* A y = 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{K}^n$, thus $A = 0$ and therefore $A_k = D^* A_j D$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Suppose (b) and let $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$, $D \in \Delta_n(\mathbb{K})$. Lemma 1(b) ensures that there is some $j \in J$ such that $p(Dx) = p_j(Dx)$. It follows from (b) that there exists a $k \in J$ such that $A_k = D^* A_j D$, hence

$$p_j(Dx) = ((Dx)^* A_j D x)^{1/2} = (x^* A_k x)^{1/2} = p_k(x) \leq p(x).$$

Therefore, $p(Dx) \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ and all $D \in \Delta_n(\mathbb{K})$, and hence p is monotonic. □

Lemma 3. *Let $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n,n}$ be positive definite.*

- (a) *If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, then \mathcal{F}_A is finite if and only if A is diagonal. Both conditions are equivalent to $\mathcal{F}_A = \{A\}$.*
- (b) *If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, then \mathcal{F}_A has $2^{n-\kappa(A)}$ elements, where $\kappa(A)$ is the number of connected components of the directed graph $\Gamma(A)$.*

PROOF: (a) If A is diagonal, then $D^* A D = A$ for all $D \in \Delta_n(\mathbb{C})$, and hence $\mathcal{F}_A = \{A\}$.

Suppose that A is not diagonal, and take a nonzero entry a_{ij} of A such that $i \neq j$. Let $(\delta_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of different complex numbers of absolute value 1, and let

$$D_k = I_n + (\delta_k - 1) E_{jj} \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where I_n is the identity and E_{jj} is an elementary matrix. Then $D_k \in \Delta_n(\mathbb{C})$ and

$$(D_k^* A D_k)_{ij} = \delta_k a_{ij}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

hence \mathcal{F}_A contains an infinite number of different matrices $D_k^*AD_k$.

(b) We shall prove first that the subset

$$\Delta_A = \{D \in \Delta_n(\mathbb{R}) : D^*AD = A\}$$

of $\Delta_n(\mathbb{R})$ has $2^{\kappa(A)}$ elements.

It is clear that a $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \Delta_n(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $D^*AD = A$ if and only if $d_i d_j a_{ij} = a_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. This implies that $D \in \Delta_n(\mathbb{R})$ belongs to Δ_A if and only if

$$d_i = d_j \text{ for all } i, j \text{ such that } a_{ij} \neq 0.$$

It follows that $d_i \in \{1, -1\}$ depends only on the connected component of $\Gamma(A)$, and that therefore Δ_A has $2^{\kappa(A)}$ elements.

Observe now that Δ_A is a subgroup of the multiplicative group $\Delta_n(\mathbb{R})$. Since for each $D_1, D_2 \in \Delta_n(\mathbb{R})$ we have the equivalence

$$D_1^*AD_1 = D_2^*AD_2 \iff D_1 D_2^{-1} \in \Delta_A,$$

the map $\phi : D \mapsto D^*AD$ is constant on equivalence classes from the quotient group $\Delta_n(\mathbb{R})/\Delta_A$. It may be easily verified that ϕ generates a bijection $\Delta_n(\mathbb{R})/\Delta_A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_A$, hence \mathcal{F}_A has $2^{n-\kappa(A)}$ elements. \square

Theorem 4. *The norm $p = \max\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ is monotonic if and only if there exists a subset $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $p = \max\{p_j : j \in J\}$ and one of the following conditions is satisfied.*

- (a) *If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, then A_j is diagonal for every $j \in J$;*
- (b) *If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, then $\{A_j : j \in J\}$ is a union of a pairwise disjoint sets of the form $\mathcal{F}_A = \{D^*AD : D \in \Delta_n(\mathbb{R})\}$ each consisting of $2^{n-\kappa(A)}$ elements.*

PROOF: Suppose that p is monotonic and put $J = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} I(V)$. Then Lemma 2 ensures that $\{A_j : j \in J\}$ is a union of sets of the form \mathcal{F}_A , $A \in \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$. If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, then by Lemma 3(a) each A_j , $j \in J$, is diagonal. If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, then by Lemma 3(b) each \mathcal{F}_A has $2^{n-\kappa(A)}$ elements. It can be easily verified that the sets \mathcal{F}_{A_i} and \mathcal{F}_{A_j} are either equal or disjoint (they are the equivalence classes of $\{A_j : j \in J\}$ for the equivalence relation $B \sim A$ if $B \in \mathcal{F}_A$).

The converse is clear. \square

Theorem 4 shows how to form all monotonic norms that are maximum of inner product norms. In the case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ such norms are exactly the norms $p = \max\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ with diagonal positive definite A_1, \dots, A_m , while in the case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ such norm are the norms $q = \max\{q_1, \dots, q_m\}$ with each q_i of the form $q_i = \max\{p_A : A \in \mathcal{F}_{A_i}\}$ for some positive definite $A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$. To prove this observation it suffices to apply Theorem 4 and use the fact that all norms p_i and q_i are monotonic.

The following characterization facilitates to check the monotonicity of the maximum of inner product norms.

Theorem 5. *Let $p = \max\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$, and let K be the set of all indices $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ for which $\mathcal{F}_{A_k} \subseteq \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ (if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, then K consists of all indices k for which A_k is diagonal). Then p is monotonic if and only if K is nonempty and*

$$(2) \quad p_i \leq \max\{p_k : k \in K\} \text{ for each } i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus K.$$

PROOF: First, notice that if $K \neq \emptyset$, then (2) is equivalent to $p = \max\{p_k : k \in K\}$.

Now, suppose that p is monotonic. Then by Lemma 2 $J \subseteq K$, thus K is nonempty. If (2) is not satisfied, take an $x_0 \in \mathbb{K}^n$ such that $p(x_0) > \max\{p_k(x_0) : k \in K\}$. A continuity argument gives an open neighborhood U of x_0 such that

$$p(x) > \max\{p_k(x) : k \in K\} \text{ for all } x \in U.$$

Lemma 1 ensures that there exists a nonempty open $V \subseteq U$ such that $I(V) \neq \emptyset$. It follows that each $j \in I(V)$ satisfies

$$p_j(x) = p(x) > \max\{p_k(x) : k \in K\} \text{ for all } x \in V.$$

Therefore $j \notin K$, and hence $\mathcal{F}_{A_j} \not\subseteq \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$. By Lemma 2 this contradicts the monotonicity of p , hence (2) follows.

To show the converse suppose K is nonempty. Then (2) gives $p = \max\{p_k : k \in K\}$, hence Lemma 2 ensures that p is monotonic. \square

It follows from Theorem 5 that if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, then A_k is diagonal for each $k \in K$, and that if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, then $2^{n-\kappa(A_k)} \leq m$ for each $k \in K$. If $m \leq 3$ and $k \in K$, then $\kappa(A_k)$ equals n or $n - 1$. In the first case A_k is diagonal, while in the second case A_k is of the form $D + E$, where D is diagonal, and

$$(3) \quad E = \lambda(E_{rs} + E_{sr}), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad r \neq s.$$

For $m = 2$ this implies [4, Theorem 7], while for $m = 3$ we get the following result.

Corollary 6. *The norm $p = \max\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ is monotonic if and only if one of the following conditions in which $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ is satisfied:*

- (a) A_1, A_2, A_3 are diagonal;
- (b) A_i, A_j are diagonal, and $p_k \leq \max\{p_i, p_j\}$;
- (c) A_i is diagonal, $A_i - A_j$ and $A_i - A_k$ are positive semidefinite;
- (d) $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, $A_i = D + E$, $A_j = D - E$ with D diagonal, E of the form (3), and A_k is diagonal or $p_k \leq \max\{p_i, p_j\}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bauer F.L., Stoer J., Witzgall C., *Absolute and monotonic norms*, Numer. Math. **3** (1961), 257–264.
- [2] Horn R.A., Johnson C.R., *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1985.
- [3] Johnson C.R., Nylén P., *Monotonicity properties of norms*, Linear Algebra Appl. **148** (1991), 43–58.
- [4] Lavrič B., *Monotonicity and \ast -orthant-monotonicity of certain maximum norms*, Linear Algebra Appl. **367** (2003), 29–36.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, JADRANSKA 19,
1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

E-mail: Boris.Lavric@fmf.uni-lj.si

(Received February 28, 2004)