

Mohamed Tahar Kadaoui Abbassi

Note on the classification theorems of g -natural metrics on the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold (M, g)

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 45 (2004), No. 4, 591--596

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119487>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Note on the classification theorems of g -natural metrics on the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold (M, g)

MOHAMED TAHAR KADAOUI ABBASSI

Abstract. In [7], it is proved that all g -natural metrics on tangent bundles of m -dimensional Riemannian manifolds depend on arbitrary smooth functions on positive real numbers, whose number depends on m and on the assumption that the base manifold is oriented, or non-oriented, respectively. The result was originally stated in [8] for the oriented case, but the smoothness was assumed and not explicitly proved. In this note, we shall prove that, both in the oriented and non-oriented cases, the functions generating the g -natural metrics are, in fact, smooth on the set of all nonnegative real numbers.

Keywords: Riemannian manifold, tangent bundle, natural operation, g -natural metric, curvatures

Classification: Primary 53C07; Secondary 53A55

If (M, g) is an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold, then we use the terminology of “ g -natural metrics” (cf. [2]) on the tangent bundle TM to describe metrics on TM which come from g by a first order natural operator ([8] and [7]). We have studied these metrics in [1], [2] and [3]. The well-known example of such metrics is the Sasaki metric g^s [11]. All natural metrics are characterized by the following result:

Theorem 1 ([8]). *There is a bijective correspondence between natural (possibly degenerated) metrics G on the tangent bundles of (oriented) Riemannian manifolds and the triples of first order natural F -metrics $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3)$, where ζ_1 and ζ_3 are symmetric. The correspondence is given by*

$$G = \zeta_1^s + \zeta_2^h + \zeta_3^v,$$

where ζ^s , ζ^h and ζ^v denote the Sasaki lift, the horizontal lift and the vertical lift of ζ , respectively.

For the definitions of F -metrics and their lifts, we refer to [8] (see also [7] for more details on the concept of naturality).

It is proved, furthermore, in [7] that all first order natural F -metrics on (oriented) Riemannian manifolds form a family parameterized by some arbitrary smooth function on positive real numbers, where the number of functions depends on the dimensions of manifolds (the result was originally stated in [8] for the oriented case, but the smoothness was assumed and not explicitly proved). Precisely, with the notations of [7], we have

Theorem 2 ([7]). 1) All first order natural F -metrics ζ on non-oriented Riemannian manifolds of dimension $m > 1$ form a family parametrized by two arbitrary smooth functions $\alpha, \beta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the following way: For every Riemannian manifold (M, g) and tangent vectors $u, X, Y \in M_x$

$$(1) \quad \zeta_{(M,g)}(u)(X, Y) = \alpha(g(u, u))g(X, Y) + \beta(g(u, u))g(u, X)g(u, Y).$$

If $m = 1$, then the same assertion holds, but we can always choose $\beta = 0$. In particular, all first order natural F -metrics are symmetric.

2) On oriented Riemannian manifolds, we have the same results for dimensions $m = 1$ and $m > 3$, but for $m = 2$ and $m = 3$, there exist other arbitrary smooth functions φ, γ and $\delta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that:
If $m = 3$, then

$$(2) \quad \zeta_{(M,g)}(u)(X, Y) = \alpha(g(u, u))g(X, Y) + \beta(g(u, u))g(u, X)g(u, Y) \\ + \varphi(g(u, u))g(u, X \times Y),$$

where \times means the vector cross-product.
If $m = 2$, then

$$(3) \quad \zeta_{(M,g)}(u)(X, Y) = \alpha(g(u, u))g(X, Y) + \beta(g(u, u))g(u, X)g(u, Y) \\ + \gamma(g(u, u))(g(J^g(u), X)g(u, Y) + g(u, X)g(j^g(u), Y)) \\ + \delta(g(u, u))(g(J^g(u), X)g(u, Y) - g(u, X)g(j^g(u), Y)),$$

where J^g is the canonical almost complex structure on (M, g) .

Actually, the arbitrary parameterizing functions are smooth on all the set of nonnegative real numbers:

Theorem 3. All basic functions from Theorem 2 can be prolonged, in fact, to smooth functions on the set \mathbb{R}^+ of all nonnegative real numbers.

PROOF: Note that we will use the technique from [7] throughout the whole proof.

1) Using the same arguments as in [7], we have to discuss all $O(m)$ -equivariant maps $\zeta : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m*}$. Denote by $g^0 = \sum_i dx^i \otimes dx^i$ the canonical Euclidean metric, and by $|\cdot|$ the induced norm. Each vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ can be transformed in $|v| \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}|_0$ by an element of $O(m)$. Hence ζ is determined by its values on the one-dimensional subspace spanned by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}|_0$. Moreover, we can also change the orientation of the first axis by an element of $O(m)$, i.e., we have to define ζ only on $\{t \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}|_0, t \geq 0\}$.

Let us define a smooth map $\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m*}$ by $\xi(t) = \zeta(t \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}|_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m*}$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and consider the group K_m of all linear orthogonal

transformations keeping $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}|_0$ fixed. So for $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ (or generally \mathbb{R}), the tensor $\xi(t)$ is K_m -invariant. On the other hand, every such smooth ξ on \mathbb{R}^+ determines a natural F -metric.

So let us assume $s_{ij}dx^i \otimes dx^j$ is K_m -invariant. Since we can change the orientation of any coordinate axis, except the first one, by elements of K_m , then $s_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Further we can exchange any couple of coordinate axes different from the first one by elements of K_m , and so $s_{ii} = s_{jj}$, for all $i \neq 1$ and $j \neq 1$. Hence all K_m -invariant tensors are of the form

$$(4) \quad \bar{\nu}dx^1 \otimes dx^1 + \bar{\mu}g^0,$$

the reals $\bar{\mu}$ and $\bar{\nu}$ being independent, if $m > 1$. In dimension 1, all K_1 -invariant tensors are of the form $\bar{\mu}g^0 = \bar{\mu}dx^1 \otimes dx^1$.

Thus, our mapping ξ is defined by

$$(5) \quad \xi(t) = \bar{\nu}(t)dx^1 \otimes dx^1 + \bar{\mu}(t)g^0,$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\bar{\mu}$ and $\bar{\nu}$ are arbitrary smooth functions on \mathbb{R} (and they reduce to one function if $m = 1$).

For $t = 0$, since ζ is $O(m)$ -invariant, then the tensor $\xi(0)$ is $O(m)$ -invariant and so it is a multiple of g^0 (cf. [6, I; p. 277]). It follows, by virtue of (5) that $\bar{\nu}(0) = 0$. On the other hand, if we consider the linear orthogonal transformation A_m which changes the orientation of the first coordinate axis, then the equivariance of ζ by A_m implies that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\bar{\mu}(-t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$ and $\bar{\nu}(-t) = \bar{\nu}(t)$, i.e., $\bar{\mu}$ and $\bar{\nu}$ are even.

Now, given $v = t\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}|_0$, $t > 0$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{(\mathbb{R}^m, g^0)}(v)(X, Y) &= \xi(|v|)(X, Y) \\ &= \bar{\mu}(|v|)g^0(X, Y) + \bar{\nu}(|v|)|v|^{-2}g^0(v, X)g^0(v, Y). \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4 ([4]). *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function.*

- (a) *If f is even, then there exists a smooth function $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(t) = f(0) + t^2.g(t^2)$ for any t .*
- (b) *If f is odd, then there exists a smooth function $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(t) = t.(f'(0) + t^2.g(t^2))$ for any t .*

Let us define the functions $\mu(t)$ and $\nu(t)$ by $\nu(t) = t^{-1}\bar{\nu}(\sqrt{t})$ and $\mu(t) = \bar{\mu}(\sqrt{t})$, for all $t > 0$. The functions μ and ν being clearly smooth on the set of positive real numbers, it remains to prove that they prolong to smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^+ . For this, applying (a) of Lemma 4 to $\bar{\mu}$ and $\bar{\nu}$, there exist two smooth functions $\alpha, \beta : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that $\bar{\mu}(t) = \bar{\mu}(0) + t^2\alpha(t^2)$ and $\bar{\nu}(t) = \bar{\nu}(0) + t^2\beta(t^2) = t^2\beta(t^2)$

(since $\bar{\nu}(0) = 0$), for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. We deduce that $\mu(t) = \bar{\mu}(\sqrt{t}) = \bar{\mu}(0) + t\alpha(t)$ and $\nu(t) = t^{-1}\bar{\nu}(\sqrt{t}) = \beta(t)$, for all $t > 0$. In other words, μ and ν coincide on \mathbb{R}_*^+ with two smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^+ , and the formula (1) of Theorem 2 is extended to \mathbb{R}^+ . Obviously, every such operator is natural and 1) of the Theorem is proved.

2) For the oriented situation, when $m > 3$ and $m = 1$, the same proof remains valid if we replace K_m by $K_m^+ := K_m \cap SO(m)$ and A_m by the element B_m of $SO(m)$ which changes the orientations of the first and the second axes.

It remains to extend the formulas (2) and (3) from Theorem 2 to \mathbb{R}^+ . We can use a similar procedure as before.

For $m = 3$, let us assume $s_{ij}dx^i \otimes dx^j$ is K_3^+ -invariant. If we change the orientation of any coordinate axis, different from the first one, by an element of K_3^+ , then we must change the orientation of the other. It follows that $s_{12} = s_{21} = s_{13} = s_{31} = 0$. Further the element of K_3^+ which exchanges the couple of second and third coordinate axes must change the orientation of one of them, and so $s_{22} = s_{33}$ and $s_{23} = -s_{32}$. Hence all K_3^+ -invariant tensors are of the form

$$(6) \quad \bar{\nu}dx^1 \otimes dx^1 + \bar{\mu}g^0 + \bar{\kappa}(dx^2 \otimes dx^3 - dx^3 \otimes dx^2),$$

the reals $\bar{\mu}$, $\bar{\nu}$ and $\bar{\kappa}$ being independent. Thus, our mapping ξ is defined by

$$(7) \quad \xi(t) = \bar{\nu}(t)dx^1 \otimes dx^1 + \bar{\mu}(t)g^0 + \bar{\kappa}(t)(dx^2 \otimes dx^3 - dx^3 \otimes dx^2),$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\bar{\mu}$, $\bar{\nu}$ and $\bar{\kappa}$ are arbitrary smooth functions on \mathbb{R} . By similar arguments as in 1) we have $\bar{\nu}(0) = \bar{\kappa}(0) = 0$ and also, if we consider the equivariance of ζ by B_3 , then we deduce that the functions $\bar{\nu}$ and $\bar{\nu}$ are even and that the function $\bar{\kappa}$ is odd.

As in 1), let us define $\mu(t)$, $\nu(t)$ and $\kappa(t)$ by $\mu(t) = \bar{\mu}(\sqrt{t})$, $\nu(t) = t^{-1}\bar{\nu}(\sqrt{t})$ and $\kappa(t) = t^{-1/2}\bar{\kappa}(\sqrt{t})$ for all $t > 0$. The functions μ , ν and κ being clearly smooth on the set of positive real numbers, it remains to prove that they prolong to smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^+ . But we can just apply (a) of Lemma 4 to $\bar{\mu}$ and $\bar{\nu}$ and (b) of Lemma 4 to $\bar{\kappa}$, and the result follows.

For $m = 2$, we have $K_2^+ := K_2 \cap SO(2) = \{I_2, -I_2\}$, where I_2 denotes the identity matrix in $GL(2)$. Since every tensor in $\mathbb{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m*}$ is K_2^+ -invariant, all K_2^+ -invariant tensors are of the form

$$(8) \quad \bar{\nu}dx^1 \otimes dx^1 + \bar{\mu}g^0 + \bar{\lambda}(dx^1 \otimes dx^2 + dx^2 \otimes dx^1) + \bar{\tau}(dx^1 \otimes dx^2 - dx^2 \otimes dx^1),$$

the reals $\bar{\mu}$, $\bar{\nu}$, $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\tau}$ being independent. Thus, our mapping ξ is defined by

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi(t) = & \bar{\nu}(t)dx^1 \otimes dx^1 + \bar{\mu}(t)g^0 \\ & + \bar{\tau}(t)(dx^2 \otimes dx^1 + dx^1 \otimes dx^2) \\ & + \bar{\lambda}(t)(dx^2 \otimes dx^1 - dx^1 \otimes dx^2), \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\bar{\mu}$, $\bar{\nu}$, $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\tau}$ are arbitrary smooth functions on \mathbb{R} . By similar arguments as in 1) we have $\bar{\nu}(0) = \bar{\lambda}(0) = \bar{\tau}(0) = 0$ and also all the functions $\bar{\mu}$, $\bar{\nu}$, $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\tau}$ are even (it suffices to take the equivariance of ζ by $-I_2$).

As in 1), let us define $\mu(t)$, $\nu(t)$, $\lambda(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ by $\mu(t) = \bar{\mu}(\sqrt{t})$, $\nu(t) = t^{-1}\bar{\nu}(\sqrt{t})$, $\lambda(t) = t^{-1}\bar{\lambda}(\sqrt{t})$ and $\tau(t) = t^{-1}\bar{\tau}(\sqrt{t})$ for all $t > 0$. The functions μ , ν , λ and τ being clearly smooth on the set of positive real numbers, it remains to prove that they prolong to smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^+ . But we can just apply (a) of Lemma 4 to the functions $\bar{\mu}$, $\bar{\nu}$, $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\tau}$ and the result follows. \square

Combining Theorems 1–3, we obtain for the non-oriented case (an analogous result can be stated for the oriented case):

Corollary 5. *Let (M, g) be a non-oriented Riemannian manifold and G be a g -natural metric on TM . Then there are smooth functions $\alpha_i, \beta_i : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that for every $u, X, Y \in M_x$, we have*

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} G_{(x,u)}(X^h, Y^h) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)(r^2)g_x(X, Y) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad + (\beta_1 + \beta_3)(r^2)g_x(X, u)g_x(Y, u), \\ G_{(x,u)}(X^h, Y^v) = \alpha_2(r^2)g_x(X, Y) + \beta_2(r^2)g_x(X, u)g_x(Y, u), \\ G_{(x,u)}(X^v, Y^h) = \alpha_2(r^2)g_x(X, Y) + \beta_2(r^2)g_x(X, u)g_x(Y, u), \\ G_{(x,u)}(X^v, Y^v) = \alpha_1(r^2)g_x(X, Y) + \beta_1(r^2)g_x(X, u)g_x(Y, u), \end{cases}$$

where $r^2 = g_x(u, u)$.

For $m = 1$, the same holds with $\beta_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Professor O. Kowalski for his valuable comments and suggestions on this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abbassi K.M.T., Sarih M., *On natural metrics on tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds*, to appear in Arch. Math. (Brno).
- [2] Abbassi K.M.T., Sarih M., *The Levi-Civita connection of Riemannian natural metrics on the tangent bundle of an oriented Riemannian manifold*, preprint.
- [3] Abbassi K.M.T., Sarih M., *On some hereditary properties of Riemannian g -natural metrics on tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds*, to appear in Differential Geom. Appl. (2004).
- [4] Calvo M. del C., Keilhauer G.G.R., *Tensor fields of type $(0, 2)$ on the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold*, Geom. Dedicata **71** (2) (1998), 209–219.
- [5] Dombrowski P., *On the geometry of the tangent bundle*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **210** (1962), 73–82.
- [6] Kobayashi S., Nomizu K., *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Interscience Publishers, New York (I, 1963 and II, 1967).
- [7] Kolář I., Michor P.W., Slovák J., *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [8] Kowalski O., Sekizawa M., *Natural transformations of Riemannian metrics on manifolds to metrics on tangent bundles - a classification*, Bull. Tokyo Gakugei Univ. (4) **40** (1988), 1–29.

- [9] Krupka D., Janyška J., *Lectures on Differential Invariants*, University J.E. Purkyně, Brno, 1990.
- [10] Nijenhuis A., *Natural bundles and their general properties*, in *Differential Geometry in Honor of K. Yano*, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1972, pp. 317–334.
- [11] Sasaki S., *On the differential geometry of tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds*, *Tohoku Math. J.*, I, **10** (1958), 338–354; II, **14** (1962), 146–155.
- [12] Willmore T.J., *An Introduction to Differential Geometry*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1959.
- [13] Yano K., Ishihara S., *Tangent and Cotangent Bundles: Differential Geometry*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1973.

DÉPARTEMENT DES MATHÉMATIQUES, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DHAR EL MAHRAZ, UNIVERSITÉ SIDI MOHAMED BEN ABDALLAH, B.P. 1796, FÈS-ATLAS, FÈS, MOROCCO

E-mail: mtk_abbassi@Yahoo.fr

(Received February 8, 2004)