

Sobhy Ghoneim; Marian Kechlibar; Tomáš Kepka
Ordinary selfdistributive rings

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 46 (2005), No. 2, 293--299

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119525>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Ordinary selfdistributive rings

S. GHONEIM, M. KECHLIBAR, T. KEPKA

Abstract. Left selfdistributive rings (i.e., $xyz = xyxz$) which are semidirect sums of boolean rings and rings nilpotent of index at most 3 are studied.

Keywords: ring, selfdistributive, ordinary

Classification: 16399

1. Introduction

The present short note is an immediate continuation of [3] and the reader is referred to [3] as concerns terminology, notation, prerequisites, comments, further references, etc.

2. Preliminaries

In what follows, all rings are associative, possibly non-commutative and with or without unity.

If R is a ring, then $\text{Id}(R)$ is the set of idempotent elements of R and $\text{Nl}(R)$ that of nilpotent elements of R . The ring R will be called *id-generated*, if R is generated by the set $\text{Id}(R)$ (as a ring). If A is a subset of R , then $(0 : A)_1 = \{r \in R \mid rA = 0\}$ and $(0 : A)_r = \{r \in R \mid Ar = 0\}$. The subset A will be called *reduced*, if $A \cap \text{Nl}(R) \subseteq \{0\}$.

A ring R is called *left selfdistributive* (an LD-ring) if it satisfies the equation $xyz = xyxz$. An id-generated LD-ring will be called an *ILD-ring* in the sequel.

2.1 Proposition ([2]). *Let R be an LD-ring, $I = \text{Id}(R)$ and $N = \text{Nl}(R)$. Then:*

- (i) $a^3 = a^n \in I$ for all $a \in R$ and $n \geq 4$;
- (ii) $2abc = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in R$ (i.e., $2R^3 = 0$);
- (iii) N is an ideal of R , $N^3 = 0$ and R/N is a boolean ring;
- (iv) $RN \cup NR \subseteq (0 : R)_1 \subseteq N$ and $NR \subseteq (0 : R)_r \subseteq N$;
- (v) $S = R/(0 : R)_1$ is a commutative ring satisfying $2S^2 = 0$ and $w^2 = w^3$, $w \in S$;
- (vi) $(0 : I)_1 = N$;

This research was supported by the Grant No. 201/03/937 of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic and by the institutional grant MSM 0021620839.

- (vii) if R contains a right unity, then R is a boolean ring;
- (viii) $(0 : R)_r R \subseteq (0 : R)_1 \cap (0 : R)_r$;
- (ix) if $R = R^2$, then $(0 : R)_r \subseteq (0 : R)_1$.

2.2 Corollary. A ring R is an LD-ring if and only if R satisfies the equations $xyz = yxz$ and $xyz = x^2yz$ (or $(x - x^2)yz = 0$).

2.3 Lemma. Let A be a generator set of an LD-ring R . Denote by K the ideal generated by $\{a - a^2 \mid a \in A\}$ and by L the left ideal generated by $\{ab - ba \mid a, b \in A\}$. Then $K + L = \text{Nl}(R)$.

PROOF: Clearly, $J = K + L \subseteq \text{Nl}(R)$ and J is an ideal. On the other hand, the factor-ring $S = R/J$ is generated by a set of pair-wise commuting idempotents. Consequently, S is a boolean ring and $\text{Nl}(R) \subseteq K$. □

2.4 Remark. According to [2, 2.6], a subdirectly irreducible LD-ring is either nilpotent of index at most 3 or a two-element field or is isomorphic to the semi-group ring $\mathbb{Z}_2(T)$, T being a two-element semigroup of left units.

2.5 Lemma. Let R be an LD-ring. Then:

- (i) $(a - a^2)^2 = a^2 - a^3 = (a - a^3)^2$ for every $a \in R$;
- (ii) $(a - a^2)^3 = (a - a^3)^2 = (a^2 - a^3)^2 = 0$ for every $a \in R$;
- (iii) $(a - a^2)bc = 0 = (a - a^3)bc$ for all $a, b, c \in R$;
- (iv) $(a^2 - a^3)b = 0$ for all $a, b \in R$.

PROOF: Use 2.1(i) and 2.2. □

2.6 Lemma. The following conditions are equivalent for an LD-ring R :

- (i) $\text{Nl}(R) \subseteq (0 : R)_1$;
- (ii) $\text{Nl}(R) = (0 : R)_1$;
- (iii) $(a + a^2)b = 0$ for all $a, b \in R$;
- (iv) $(a + a^3)b = 0$ for all $a, b \in R$.

PROOF: Clearly, (i) is equivalent to (ii) and (ii) implies (iii) by 2.5(ii).

If (iii) is true, then $0 = (a + a^3)b = (a + a^2)b + (a^2 + a^3)b = (a + a^2)b$, since $a^2b = a^3b$.

Finally, if (iv) is true, and $a \in \text{Nl}(R)$, then $a^3 = 0$ by 2.1(iii), and hence $ab = 0$ for every $b \in R$. □

An LD-ring satisfying the equations $2x = 0 = (x + x^2)y$ will be called an SILD-ring.

2.7 Proposition. Let R be an LD-ring.

- (i) If $R = R^2$, then R is an SILD-ring.
- (ii) If R is an SILD-ring, then $\text{Nl}(R) = (0 : R)_1$ (i.e., $\text{Nl}(R)R = 0$) and $\text{Nl}(R)^2 = 0$.

PROOF: (i) Firstly, $2R = 0$ follows from 2.1(ii). Next, by 2.2, $(a + a^2)bc = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in R$, and hence $(a + a^2)R = 0$.

(ii) Use 2.6. □

2.8 Corollary ([3]). *Every ILD-ring is an SILD-ring.*

2.9 Remark. It follows easily from [2, 2.6] that, up to isomorphism, the only subdirectly irreducible SILD-rings are the two-element field \mathbb{Z}_2 , the semigroup ring $\mathbb{Z}_2(T)$ and the zero-multiplication ring \mathbb{Z}_2^0 defined on $\mathbb{Z}_2(+)$. The field \mathbb{Z}_2 has a unity, the ring $\mathbb{Z}_2(T)$ has just two left unities and the ring \mathbb{Z}_2^0 is isomorphic to a subring of $\mathbb{Z}_2(T)$.

2.10 Proposition. *Let an LD-ring R contain a left unity e . Then R is an ILD-ring.*

PROOF: Denote by S the subring of R generated by the set $\text{Id}(R)$. Clearly, R is an SILD-ring and, if $a \in \text{Nl}(R)$, then $(a + e)^2 = a^2 + ae + ea + e = a + e$ (use also 2.1(vi)), $a + e \in \text{Id}(R)$ and $a = (a + e) + e$. It follows that $\text{Nl}(R) \subseteq S$. Finally, if $b \in R$, then $b = b^2 + (b + b^2)$ and we have $b^2 \in \text{Id}(R) \subseteq S$ and $b + b^2 \in \text{Nl}(R) \subseteq \text{Id}(R)$. □

2.11 Theorem. *The following conditions are equivalent for an LD-ring R :*

- (i) R is an SILD-ring;
- (ii) R is a subring of an LD-ring with left unity;
- (iii) R is a subring of an ILD-ring;
- (iv) R is a subring of an LD-ring S with $S = S^2$.

PROOF: (i) implies (ii) by 2.9, (ii) implies (iii) by 2.10, (iii) implies (iv) trivially and (iv) implies (i) by 2.8. □

3. Semidirect decompositions of LD-rings

3.1 Proposition ([3]). *Let R be an LD-ring.*

- (i) *A subset A of R is a maximal reduced left ideal of R if and only if A is a maximal set of commuting idempotents.*
- (ii) *If A is a maximal reduced left ideal of R , then $(0 : A)_1 = \text{Nl}(R)$, $A + \text{Nl}(R)$ is an ideal and A contains every reduced right ideal of R .*

3.2 Lemma ([3]). *The following conditions are equivalent for a subset A of an LD-ring R :*

- (i) *A is a reduced subring of R and every reduced left ideal is in $A + N$, where $N = \text{Nl}(R)$;*
- (ii) *A is a set of commuting idempotents and $R = A + N$;*
- (iii) *A is a maximal reduced left ideal of R and $R = A + N$.*

Let R be an LD-ring. We will say that R is ordinary if $R = A + \text{Nl}(R)$ for a (maximal) reduced left ideal A of R (see Lemma 3.2). Any such left ideal A will be called *critical*.

3.3 Theorem ([3]). *Let R be an LD-ring and $S = R/\text{Nl}(R)$. Then R is ordinary in each of the following cases:*

- (i) R is countable;
- (ii) the (boolean) factor-ring S is countable;
- (iii) S is a ring direct sum of copies of the two-element field \mathbb{Z}_2 ;
- (iv) R possesses a left (or right) unity;
- (v) S possesses a unity;
- (vi) the subring of R generated by the set $\text{Id}(R)$ is ordinary.

3.4 Remark. An example of a non-ordinary LD-ring R is given in [4] (see also [3, 5.2]). The ring R enjoys the properties $R = R^2$, $2R = 0$, $\text{Nl}(R)^2 = 0$ and $\text{Nl}(R)R = 0$.

3.5 Example ([2]). Let A denote the set of sequences $\alpha = (\alpha(0), \alpha(1), \dots) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\omega$ such that at least one of the sets $\text{supp}(\alpha) = \{i \mid i < \omega, \alpha(i) \neq 0\}$ and $\omega \setminus \text{supp}(\alpha)$ is finite. Then A is a boolean ring with unit and R is an LD-ring, where $R = A \times B$, $B = \{\alpha \mid |\text{supp}(\alpha)| < \omega\}$, $(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d)$ and $(a, b)(c, d) = (ac, ad)$ for all $a, c \in A$ and $b, d \in B$. Moreover $I = \{(b, b) \mid b \in B\}$ is a maximal reduced left ideal of R and I is not critical.

3.6 Example ([3]). Let A be an uncountable set and let S' denote the set of ordered pairs (F, f) , where $F \subseteq A$, $|F| \leq 2$ and $f \in F$. Put $S = S' \cup \{o\}$, $o \notin S'$, and define a multiplication on S by $(F, f)(G, g) = (F \cup G, g)$ if $|F \cup G| \leq 2$, $(F, f)(G, g) = o$ otherwise and $\alpha o = o = o\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in S$. Then S becomes an idempotent semigroup satisfying $xyz = yxz$, o is an absorbing element of S and the corresponding contracted semigroup ring R of S over the two-element field \mathbb{Z}_2 is a non-ordinary LD-ring.

3.7 Remark. (i) The class of ordinary LD-rings is closed under homomorphic images.

(ii) According to [2], every subdirectly irreducible LD-ring is ordinary. Consequently, every LD-ring is a subring of an ordinary LD-ring.

PROOF: (i) Obvious.

(ii) The assertion follows immediately from [2, 2.6]. □

3.8 Corollary. *Every LD-ring is a subring of an ordinary LD-ring.*

3.9 Proposition. *Let R be an LD-ring with a left unity e . Then R is ordinary and Re is a critical left ideal of R .*

PROOF: For every $a \in R$, we have $a = ae + (a + ae)$, where $ae \in Re$ and $a + ae \in \text{Nl}(R)$. Since $Re \subseteq \text{Id}(R)$, Re is a reduced left ideal which is critical. □

3.10 Theorem (cf. 2.11). *The following conditions are equivalent for an LD-ring:*

- (i) *R is an ordinary SILD-ring;*
- (ii) *R is an ideal of an LD-ring S with left unity such that $S/R \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$;*
- (iii) *R is a right ideal of an LD-ring with left unity.*

PROOF: (i) implies (ii). We have $R = A + N$, where $N = \text{Nl}(R)$ and A is a (maximal) reduced left ideal of R . Then $A \subseteq \text{Id}(R)$, $A \cap N = 0$ and we put $f(a + w) = a$ for all $a \in A$ and $w \in N$. Now, $d(a + w)f(b + v) = ab = f(ab + av) = f(a + w(b + w))$ and $f(a + w) + a + w = 2a + w = w \in N = (0 : R)_1$ (2.7(ii)). It follows that f is a (ring) endomorphism of R such that $\text{Ker}(f) = N$, $\text{Im}(f) \subseteq \text{Id}(R)$, $f(R)$ is a boolean ring, $f^2 = f$ and $f(x) + x \in (0 : R)_1$ for every $x \in R$.

Put $S = R \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and define an addition and a multiplication on S by $(a, i) + (b, j) = (a + b, i + j)$ and $(a, i)(b, j) = (ab + jf(a) + ib, ij)$ for all $a, b \in R$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. One verifies readily that S becomes an LD-ring and that the element $(0, 1)$ is a left unity of S .

(ii) implies (iii). This implication is trivial.

(iii) implies (i). It follows from 2.10 that R is an SILD-ring. By 3.7(i), R is ordinary. □

4. Construction of ordinary selfdistributive rings

4.1 Construction (cf. [1]). Let A be a boolean ring and M an (associative) A -algebra nilpotent of index at most 3 and such that $AM^2 = 0$. Put $R = A \times M$ and define an addition and a multiplication on R by $(a, u) + (b, v) = (a + b, u + v)$ and $(a, u)(b, v) = (ab, av + uv)$. Then $R = \mathbb{R}(A, M)$ becomes an ordinary LD-ring, $\text{Id}(R) = \{(a, u) \mid au = u\}$, $\text{Nl}(R) = \{(0, u)\} \simeq M$ (as A -algebras), $A_1 = \{(a, 0)\} \simeq A$ (as rings), $A \simeq R/\text{Nl}(R)$ and $R = A_1 + \text{Nl}(R)$. Put $M_1 = (0 : M)_{M,r} = \{u \in M \mid Mu = 0\}$; clearly, M_1 is an ideal of M and $AM_1 \subseteq M_1$.

(i) Let B be an ideal of A and $\rho : B \rightarrow M_1$ an A -module homomorphism. Then the set $\{(b, \rho(b)) \mid b \in B\}$ is a reduced left ideal of R and every reduced left ideal of R is of this type.

(ii) Every maximal reduced left ideal of R is critical if and only if the A -module ${}_A M_1$ is injective with respect to all imbeddings $B \subseteq A, B$ being an ideal of A .

4.2 Theorem. (i) *If A is a boolean ring and M an A -algebra with $M^3 = 0 = AM^2$, then $R = \mathbb{R}(A, M)$ is an ordinary LD-ring.*

- (ii) *Critical left ideals of R are just left ideals of the form $\{(a, \phi(a)) \mid a \in A\}$, $\phi : {}_A A \rightarrow {}_A M_1$ being a module homomorphism, $M_1 = (0 : M)_{M,r}$.*
- (iii) *Every maximal reduced left ideal of R is critical if and only if the A -module ${}_A M_1$ is injective with respect to all imbeddings $B \subseteq A, B$ being an ideal of A .*
- (iv) *The A -algebras M and $\text{Nl}(R)$ are isomorphic and the boolean rings A and $R/\text{Nl}(R)$ are isomorphic.*

(v) R is generated by $\text{Id}(R)$ (as a ring) if and only if $AM = M$.

PROOF: See 4.1. □

4.3 Proposition. $\mathbb{R}(A, M) \simeq \mathbb{R}(B, N)$ if and only if there exist ring isomorphisms $\rho : A \rightarrow B$ and $\lambda : M \rightarrow N$ such that $\lambda(au) = \rho(a)\lambda(u)$ for all $a \in A$ and $u \in M$.

PROOF: An easy exercise. □

4.4 Theorem. Let R be an ordinary LD-ring, $N = \text{Nl}(R)$ and $S = R/N$. Then:

- (i) for every critical left ideal A , the mapping $a \rightarrow \bar{a} = a + N$, $a \in A$, is a ring isomorphism of A onto S and N becomes both an A -algebra and S -algebra;
- (ii) $AN^2 = 0 = N^3$ and $SN^2 = 0 = N^3$;
- (iii) $R \simeq \mathbb{R}(A, N)$ and $R \simeq \mathbb{R}(S, N)$;
- (iv) every maximal reduced left ideal of R is critical if and only if the A -module (S -module, respectively) ${}_A(0 : N)_{N,r}$ (${}_S(0 : N_{N,r})$, resp.) is injective with respect to all imbeddings $B \subseteq A$ ($T \subseteq S$, resp.), B being an ideal of A (T an ideal of S , resp.);
- (v) R is generated by $\text{Id}(R)$ if and only if $AN = N$ (or $SN = N$).

PROOF: Combine 2.1 and 4.2. □

4.5 Corollary. There exists a one-to-one correspondence between ordinary LD-rings and ordered pairs (A, M) , A being a boolean ring and M an A -algebra with $M^3 = 0 = AM^2$.

4.6 Remark. Let A be a boolean ring with unit. An A -module M is injective with respect to all the inclusions $B \subseteq A$, B being an ideal of A , if and only if the submodule $M_1 = AM$ is an injective A -module. Notice also that M_1 is a unitary module.

5. Free left selfdistributive rings

5.1 Construction. Let X be a non-empty set and let E be the set of ordered triples (U, u, i) , where U is a finite subset of X , $u \in X$ and either $i = 0$ or $|U| = 1$ and $i = 1$. Now, define a multiplication on E by $(U, u, i)(V, v, j) = (U \cup V \cup \{u\}, v, k)$, where $k = 0$ for $U \cup V \neq \{u\}$ and $k = 1$ for $U \cup V = \{u\}$. Then E becomes a free left permutable LD-semigroup freely generated by the set $\{(\emptyset, x, 0) \mid x \in X\}$.

5.2 Construction. Let F be a free left permutable LD-semigroup freely generated by a non-empty set X and let $S = \mathbb{Z}[F]$ be the corresponding semigroup ring of S over the ring \mathbb{Z} of integers. Clearly, S is a left permutable ring. Now, put $G = F \setminus \{x, xy \mid x, y \in X\}$, denote by I the ideal of S generated by $2G$ (notice

that G is a subsemigroup of F) and by R the corresponding factor-ring S/I ; let $\phi : S \rightarrow R$ be the natural projection. Clearly, $\phi|F$ is injective and we will identify F with its image $\phi(F)$. Now, it is easy to check that R is a free LD-ring over X . Every element of R can be written in a unique way as a sum

$$\sum_{x \in X} n_x + \sum_{x, y \in X} n_{(x, y)} + \sum_{g \in G} k_g$$

where $n_x, n_{(x, y)} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k_g \in \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ and only finitely many of these coefficients are non-zero. It follows from 3.3(i) and [3, 5.2] that R is ordinary if and only if X is countable.

REFERENCES

- [1] Birkenmaier G., Heatherly H., *Operation inducing systems*, Algebra Universalis **24** (1987), 137–142.
- [2] Birkenmeier G., Heatherly H., Kepka T., *Rings with left self distributive multiplication*, Acta Math. Hungar. **60** (1992), 107–114.
- [3] Ghoneim S., Kepka T., *Left selfdistributive rings generated by idempotents*, Acta Math. Hungar. **101** (2003), 21–31.
- [4] Kelarev A.V., *On left self distributive rings*, Acta Math. Hungar. **71** (1996), 121–122.

CHARLES UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA,
SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAGUE 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

(Received October 14, 2004)