

Ryotaro Sato

Another proof of Derriennic's reverse maximal inequality for the supremum of ergodic ratios

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 47 (2006), No. 1, 155--158

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119582>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Another proof of Derriennic’s reverse maximal inequality for the supremum of ergodic ratios

RYOTARO SATO

Abstract. Using the ratio ergodic theorem for a measure preserving transformation in a σ -finite measure space we give a straightforward proof of Derriennic’s reverse maximal inequality for the supremum of ergodic ratios.

Keywords: σ -finite measure space, measure preserving transformation, conservative, ergodic, supremum of ergodic ratios, maximal and reverse maximal inequalities

Classification: Primary 28D05, 47A35

1. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and T be a measure preserving transformation in (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) . Given two measurable functions f and g on X such that $0 \leq f, g \leq \infty$ on X and $0 < \int_X g \, d\mu \leq \infty$, let

$$s(f, g)(x) = \sup_{n \geq 0} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n f(T^i x)}{\sum_{i=0}^n g(T^i x)}.$$

(Throughout this note we define $a/\infty = 0$ and $a/0 = \infty$ for any a , with $0 \leq a \leq \infty$.) In this note we use the ratio ergodic theorem to give a straightforward proof of the following reverse maximal inequality due to Derriennic [1] (cf. also Ornstein [5]). It is interesting to note that the author was inspired by reading Ephremidze’s paper [3].

Theorem. *Suppose that T is conservative and ergodic, and that $\int_X f \, d\mu < \infty$. If $\alpha > \int_X f \, d\mu / \int_X g \, d\mu$, then, letting $E(\alpha) = \{x \mid s(f, g)(x) > \alpha\}$, we have*

$$\int_{E(\alpha)} f \, d\mu \leq \alpha \int_{E(\alpha) \cup T^{-1}E(\alpha)} g \, d\mu.$$

PROOF: We may assume that $\mu(E(\alpha)) > 0$. For $x \in X$, let $K(x) = \{n \geq 0 \mid T^n x \in E(\alpha)\}$ and $L(x) = \{0, 1, \dots\} \setminus K(x)$. Since T is conservative and ergodic, $K(x)$ is infinite for a.a. $x \in X$. To see that $L(x)$ is also infinite for a.a. $x \in X$, suppose there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $i \in K(x)$ for all $i \geq k$. Then clearly we have

$$(1) \quad \limsup_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=k}^l f(T^i x)}{\sum_{i=k}^l g(T^i x)} \geq \alpha.$$

But this is a contradiction, since

$$(2) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=k}^l f(T^i x)}{\sum_{i=k}^l g(T^i x)} = \frac{\int_X f d\mu}{\int_X g d\mu} < \alpha$$

for a.a. $x \in X$ by the ratio ergodic theorem (cf. Theorem 3.3.4 in [4]).

Since $K(x)$ and $L(x)$ are infinite for a.a. $x \in X$, we can write $K(x) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty I_n$ (disjoint union), where $I_n = [k_n, l_n]$ ($= \{i \mid k_n \leq i \leq l_n\}$) and $0 \leq k_n \leq l_n < l_n + 2 \leq k_{n+1}$ for each $n \geq 1$. Hence the set $J(x) = \{n \geq 0 \mid T^n x \in E(\alpha) \cup T^{-1}E(\alpha)\}$ has the form

$$J(x) = \begin{cases} [0, l_1] \cup \bigcup_{n=2}^\infty [k_n - 1, l_n] & \text{if } k_1 = 0, \\ \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty [k_n - 1, l_n] & \text{if } k_1 \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Since $T^{k_n-1}x \notin E(\alpha)$ for $n \geq 2$, we have

$$(3) \quad \frac{\sum_{i=k_n-1}^{l_n} f(T^i x)}{\sum_{i=k_n-1}^{l_n} g(T^i x)} \leq \alpha \quad (n \geq 2).$$

On the other hand, if h is a function in $L_1(\mu)$ such that $\int_X h d\mu = 1$ and $0 < h < \infty$ on X , then, by the ratio ergodic theorem,

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n (\chi_{E(\alpha) \cup T^{-1}E(\alpha)} f)(T^i x)}{\sum_{i=0}^n h(T^i x)} = \int_{E(\alpha) \cup T^{-1}E(\alpha)} f d\mu$$

and

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n (\chi_{E(\alpha) \cup T^{-1}E(\alpha)} g)(T^i x)}{\sum_{i=0}^n h(T^i x)} = \int_{E(\alpha) \cup T^{-1}E(\alpha)} g d\mu$$

for a.a. $x \in X$. Since $\sum_{i=0}^\infty h(T^i x) = \infty$ for a.a. $x \in X$, combining (3), (4) and (5) yields

$$(6) \quad \int_{E(\alpha) \cup T^{-1}E(\alpha)} f d\mu \leq \alpha \int_{E(\alpha) \cup T^{-1}E(\alpha)} g d\mu,$$

and this completes the proof, since $f \geq 0$ on X . □

2. Here we consider the case $g = 1$ on X . Then it follows that $s(f, 1) = f^*$, where $f^*(x) = \sup_{n \geq 1} n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x)$. In this case we have the following reverse maximal inequality.

Proposition. *If $\mu(X) = \infty$, T is ergodic (but not necessarily conservative), and f satisfies $\int_{\{f>t\}} f \, d\mu < \infty$ for all $t > 0$, then we have $\int_{\{f^* > \alpha\}} f \, d\mu \leq 2\alpha\mu(\{f^* > \alpha\}) < \infty$ for all $\alpha > 0$.*

PROOF: We first prove that $\mu(\{f^* > \alpha\}) < \infty$. To do this, let $f_1 = f\chi_{\{f \leq \alpha/2\}}$ and $f_2 = f - f_1$. Then we have $f = f_1 + f_2$, $\|f_1\|_\infty \leq \alpha/2$, and $\int_X f_2 \, d\mu < \infty$. Since $f^* \leq f_1^* + f_2^*$ and $\|f_1^*\|_\infty \leq \alpha/2$, it follows that $\{f^* > \alpha\} \subset \{f_2^* > \alpha/2\}$, and by Hopf's maximal ergodic theorem (cf. Theorem 1.2.1 in [4])

$$\mu(\{f_2^* > \alpha/2\}) \leq (2/\alpha) \int_{\{f_2^* > \alpha/2\}} f_2 \, d\mu < \infty,$$

so that $\mu(\{f^* > \alpha\}) < \infty$. Putting $F = f - \alpha$, we then have $F^+ = (f - \alpha)^+ \in L_1(\mu)$ and $\{F^* > 0\} = \{f^* > \alpha\}$; furthermore $\int_X F \, d\mu = \int_X (f - \alpha)^+ \, d\mu - \int_X (f - \alpha)^- \, d\mu = -\infty$ because $\mu(X) = \infty$. Hence by Theorem 1.4 in Ephremidze [2] we see that

$$\int_{\{f^* > \alpha\} \cup T^{-1}\{f^* > \alpha\}} (f - \alpha) \, d\mu \leq 0.$$

Since $f \geq 0$ and $\mu(\{f^* > \alpha\}) < \infty$, we then have

$$\int_{\{f^* > \alpha\}} f \, d\mu \leq \int_{\{f^* > \alpha\} \cup T^{-1}\{f^* > \alpha\}} f \, d\mu \leq 2\alpha\mu(\{f^* > \alpha\}) < \infty,$$

completing the proof. □

Corollary. *If $\mu(X) = \infty$, and T is ergodic, then for any $\beta \geq 0$ we have*

$$\int_{\{f^* > t\}} f^* \left(\log \frac{f^*}{t} \right)^\beta \, d\mu < \infty \quad \text{for all } t > 0$$

if and only if

$$\int_{\{f > t\}} f \left(\log \frac{f}{t} \right)^{\beta+1} \, d\mu < \infty \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

PROOF: See the proof of Theorem 2 in [6]. □

(Of course, as is known, this holds when $\mu(X) < \infty$, by the Theorem.)

REFERENCES

- [1] Derriennic Y., *On the integrability of the supremum of ergodic ratios*, Ann. Probability **1** (1973), 338–340.
- [2] Ephremidze L., *On the distribution function of the majorant of ergodic means*, Studia Math. **103** (1992), 1–15.

- [3] Ephremidze L., *A new proof of the ergodic maximal equality*, Real Anal. Exchange **29** (2003/04), 409–411.
- [4] Krengel U., *Ergodic Theorems*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1985.
- [5] Ornstein D., *A remark on the Birkhoff ergodic theorem*, Illinois J. Math. **15** (1971), 77–79.
- [6] Sato R., *Maximal functions for a semiflow in an infinite measure space*, Pacific J. Math. **100** (1982), 437–443.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY, OKAYAMA, 700-8530 JAPAN

E-mail: satoryot@math.okayama-u.ac.jp

(Received May 2, 2005, revised November 15, 2005)