

Raushan Z. Buzyakova

Spaces of continuous characteristic functions

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 47 (2006), No. 4, 599--608

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119620>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## Spaces of continuous characteristic functions

RAUSHAN Z. BUZYAKOVA

*Abstract.* We show that if  $X$  is first-countable, of countable extent, and a subspace of some ordinal, then  $C_p(X, 2)$  is Lindelöf.

*Keywords:*  $C_p(X, Y)$ , subspace of ordinals, countable extent, Lindelöf space

*Classification:* 54C35, 54D20, 54F05

### 1. Introduction

One of the main problems in  $C_p$ -theory is to find the properties of  $X$  which force the space  $C_p(X)$  to be Lindelöf. A natural way to approach this problem is to analyze it within classes of spaces with richer structures. For example, Nahmanson [NAH] proved that a compact LOTS has Lindelöf  $C_p$  iff it is metrizable. This theorem suggests that characterizing spaces with Lindelöf  $C_p$  might be an attainable goal in the class of all LOTS or GO-spaces. In the last section we will discuss some trivial necessary conditions and make conjectures about non-trivial conditions.

In [BUZ], the author proved that a countably compact first-countable subspace of an ordinal has Lindelöf  $C_p$ . This result suggests to replace “countable compact” with “countable extent”. We do not know if this replacement leads to a theorem, however our main result speaks in favor of “yes”. In the main result (Section 2), we prove that if  $X$  is first countable, of countable extent, and a subspace of some ordinal, then  $C_p(X, 2)$  is Lindelöf.

In notation and terminology we will follow [AR1] and [ENG]. By  $2$  we denote the discrete space  $\{0, 1\}$ . As usual,  $C_p(X, Y)$  is the space of all continuous functions from  $X$  to  $Y$  endowed with the topology of point-wise convergence. All spaces considered are Tychonoff. A space  $X$  has *countable extent* if any closed discrete subset of  $X$  is countable.

### 2. Main result

For spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $U$  is a *standard open set* in  $C_p(X, Y)$  if there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  and open  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  in  $Y$  such that  $U = \{f \in C_p(X, Y) : f(x_i) \in B_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . In this case, we say that  $U$  *depends* on  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a collection of standard open sets in  $C_p(X, 2)$  and  $A \subset X$ , by  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  we denote the family of all elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  that depend on a subset of  $A$ .

For brevity, let  $X$  be a fixed subspace of some ordinal, first countable, and of countable extent. Also, let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a fixed open cover of  $C_p(X, 2)$  by standard open sets. In this section, we will work with these fixed structures.

We may assume that  $X$  is dense in some fixed ordinal  $\chi$ , that is,  $X$  is obtained from  $\chi$  by removing some of limit ordinals, while all isolated ordinals of  $\chi$  are in  $X$ . Since for countable  $X$  our main result is trivial, we may assume that  $\chi$  is uncountable and  $\text{cf}(\chi) > \omega$ . The latter assumption does not put any additional restrictions on  $X$ . Indeed, uncountability and first-countability of  $X$  imply that  $X$  contains a clopen subset homeomorphic to an uncountable subspace of  $\omega_1$ . We can simply move this set at the end of  $X$  (or “ahead”, depending on one’s view of order).

For any  $A \subset X$ ,  $\sup A$  is calculated in the class of ordinals. By  $[\alpha, \beta]_X$ , we denote  $[\alpha, \beta] \cap X$ . The same concerns open and half-open intervals. Closed intervals of ordinals will be also called segments. If  $A \subset X$ , by  $A^-$  we denote the set  $\{\alpha : \alpha + 1 \in A, \text{cf}(\alpha) > \omega\} \cup \{\chi\}$ . In words,  $\alpha$  belongs to  $A^-$  iff  $\alpha = \chi$ , or  $\alpha$  has uncountable cofinality and is the immediate predecessor of an element of  $A$ .

Let us start with the following technical definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $A \subset X$ . The family  $\mathcal{S}(A) \subset C_p(X, 2)$  is defined as follows:  $S \in \mathcal{S}(A)$  iff there exist  $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_n \in A \cup A^-$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in 2$  such that  $S = \{f \in C_p(X, 2) : f([\alpha_i, \beta_i]_X) = \{b_i\}, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ .

Notice that  $\mathcal{S}(A)$  is countable if  $A$  is countable.

**Definition 2.2.** For  $A \subset X$ , a set  $B \subset X$  is an  $\omega$ -support of  $A$  if

1.  $B$  is countable and contains  $A \cup \{0\}$ ;
2. if  $\beta \in B$  is limit in  $X$  then  $\beta$  is limit in  $B$ ;
3. if  $\beta \in B$  then any countable  $[\alpha, \beta]_X$  is a subset of  $B$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $A \subset X$  is countable then there exists  $B$  an  $\omega$ -support of  $A$ .*

PROOF: For each  $\alpha \in A$ , let  $m_\alpha$  be the smallest ordinal such that  $[m_\alpha, \alpha]_X$  is countable. Let  $B' = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} [m_\alpha, \alpha]_X \cup \{0\}$ . Clearly, 1, 3 are met. If  $\beta \in B'$  is limit in  $X$  but not limit in  $B'$  then  $\beta = m_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in A$ . By the definition of  $m_\alpha$ , any neighborhood of  $m_\alpha$ , and therefore that of  $\beta$ , is uncountable. Since  $\beta \in X$  and  $X$  is first-countable, there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $\{\beta_n\}_n$  of ordinals of  $\chi$  that converges to  $\beta$ . Since every neighborhood of  $\beta$  is uncountable and  $\text{cf}(\beta) = \omega$ , we can choose  $\beta_n$ ’s in  $\chi \setminus X$  with uncountable cofinality. Let  $B_\beta = \{\beta_n + 1 : n \in \omega\}$ . Since we agreed that all isolated ordinals of  $\chi$  are in  $X$ , the set  $B_\beta$  is a subset of  $X$ . Let  $B = \bigcup_{\beta \in B'} B_\beta \cup B'$ . Since, we added to  $B'$  only isolated ordinals,  $B$  meets 1, 2. And it meets 3 because any  $\beta \in B \setminus B'$  is the immediate successor of an ordinal of uncountable cofinality.  $\square$

Notice that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a countable family of countable subsets of  $X$  that are  $\omega$ -supports of themselves then  $\bigcup \mathcal{A}$  is an  $\omega$ -support of itself, too. If, additionally,

each element of  $\mathcal{A}$  contains a fixed  $A \subset X$ , then  $\bigcup \mathcal{A}$  is an  $\omega$ -support of  $A$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $I$  be a segment in  $(\chi + 1)$  with uncountable  $\text{cf}(\sup I)$  and let  $A \subset X$  be countable. Then there exists  $A_I \subset X$  with the following properties:*

1.  $\sup(A_I \cap I)$  belongs to  $X$  but is not in  $A_I$ ;
2. if  $S \in \mathcal{S}(A_I)$  and  $S \subset U \in \mathcal{U}$  then some  $U_S \in \mathcal{U}(A_I)$  contains  $S$ ;
3.  $\sup(A_I \cap I) > \sup(\overline{A} \cap I)$  if  $\overline{A} \cap I \neq \emptyset$ ;
4.  $A_I$  is an  $\omega$ -support of  $\overline{A}$ .

PROOF: Let  $A_0 = \overline{A}$ . Assume a countable  $A_\beta$  is defined for each  $\beta < \alpha < \omega_1$ .

*Step  $\alpha < \omega_1$ :* Let  $B = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta \cup \{s_\alpha\}$ , where  $s_\alpha$  is any in  $I \cap X$  such that  $[s_\alpha, \sup I]_X$  does not meet the closure of  $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$ . Such an  $s_\alpha$  exists because each  $A_\beta$  is countable, while the right end point of  $I$  has uncountable cofinality. For each  $S \in \mathcal{S}(B)$ , fix  $U_S \in \mathcal{U}$  (if exists) that contains  $S$ . Let  $A_\alpha$  be an  $\omega$ -support of  $\bigcup \{B_S : \text{a fixed } U_S \text{ depends on } B_S\} \cup B$ .

Let  $\alpha \leq \omega_1$  be the first limit ordinal such that  $\sup\{s_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \in X$ . This  $\alpha$  exists because  $X$  has countable extent. Since  $X$  is first countable,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . The set  $A_I = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$  is desired. Indeed, 1 holds by the choice of  $\alpha$ . Property 3 holds due to the presence of  $s_\beta$  in  $A_\beta \cap I$  for  $\beta < \alpha$ . To verify 2, fix  $S \in \mathcal{S}(A_I)$  that is contained in some element of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $S$  is determined by a finite subset of  $A_I$ , there exists  $\beta < \alpha$  such that  $S$  is determined by a finite subset of  $A_\beta$ . By our construction, some fixed  $U_S \in \mathcal{U}$  containing  $S$  depends on a subset of  $A_\beta$ . Therefore,  $U_S$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}(A_I)$ . Condition 4 is satisfied too, since  $A_I$  is the union of an increasing family of sets that are  $\omega$ -supports of themselves and contain  $\overline{A}$ . □

For our further discussion we need to define two expressions: “hits” and “local type”. Let  $A \subset X$  be countable,  $\mathcal{A}$  a chain of countable subsets of  $X$ , and  $I$  a segment as in Lemma 2.4. If  $A_I$  is the smallest element of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we say that  $A_I$  *hits*  $I$  if  $A_I$  satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 2.4 with input segment  $I$  and countable set  $A$ . If  $A_I \in \mathcal{A}$  is not the smallest element of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we say that  $A_I$  *hits*  $I$  if  $A_I$  satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 2.4 with input segment  $I$  and countable set  $A'$  for every  $A' \in \mathcal{A}$  a proper subset of  $A_I$ . It will be clear what  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $A$  are under consideration. Also, we say that an ordinal  $\alpha$  has *local type*  $\beta$  if  $\beta$  is the smallest ordinal greater than 0 such that  $\alpha$  has an open neighborhood homeomorphic to an open neighborhood of  $\beta$ . For example, any isolated ordinal has local type 1; ordinal  $\omega + \omega$  has local type  $\omega$ . Clearly, any  $\alpha \in \omega^n + 1$  has local type  $\omega^0 = 1$ , or  $\omega$ , or  $\omega^2, \dots$ , or  $\omega^n$ . We will use the following fact: if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal then any neighborhood of  $\alpha$  contains an ordinal of any given local type less than the local type of  $\alpha$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\{I_0, \dots, I_n\}$  be a collection of segments in  $(\chi + 1)$  with uncountable  $\text{cf}(\sup I_i)$  for each  $i$ . Let  $A \subset X$  be countable. Then there exists a chain*

$\mathcal{A} = \{A_\alpha^n : \alpha \in \omega^n + 1\}$  of subsets of  $X$  with the following properties:

1. if  $\alpha$  is limit, then  $A_\alpha^n = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta^n$ ;
2. if  $\alpha$  is of local type  $\omega^i$  then  $A_\alpha^n$  hits  $I_{n-i}$ .

PROOF: For one interval, the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.4. Assume that the conclusion is true for any appropriate collection of  $n$  intervals. Let us construct a required chain for  $(n + 1)$  intervals. Let  $B_0 = A$ . Assume for  $0 < \gamma < \beta$ , a chain  $\mathcal{A}_\gamma$  is defined and  $B_\gamma = \bigcup \mathcal{A}_\gamma$  is countable.

*Step  $\beta < \omega_1$ :* Let  $s_\beta$  be any in  $I_0 \cap X$  such that  $[s_\beta, I_0]_X$  does not meet  $\bigcup_{\gamma < \beta} B_\gamma$ . Such an  $s_\beta$  exists because each  $B_\gamma$  is countable and the right end-point of  $I_0$  has uncountable cofinality. By our assumption, the conclusion of our lemma is true for any appropriate collection of  $n$  intervals and any countable subset of  $X$ . Therefore, there exists a chain  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  that satisfies the conclusions of our lemma with input intervals  $\{I_1, \dots, I_n\}$  and countable  $[\bigcup_{\gamma < \beta} B_\gamma] \cup \{s_\beta\}$ . Put  $B_\beta = \bigcup \mathcal{A}_\beta$ . Since each element of  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  is an  $\omega$ -support of any preceding one,  $B_\beta$  is countable.

Due to countable extent and first countability of  $X$ , there exists a limit  $\beta < \omega_1$  such that  $\sup\{s_\gamma : \gamma < \beta\}$  is in  $X$ . Choose a strictly increasing sequence  $\{\beta_k\}_k$  converging to  $\beta$ . Let the chain  $\mathcal{A}$  consist of all elements of  $\bigcup_k \mathcal{A}_{\beta_k}$  and the element  $A_{\omega^n}^n = \bigcup\{A' : A' \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta_k}^n \text{ for some } k\}$ . Observe that  $A_{\omega^n}^n$  is constructed exactly in the same manner as  $A_I$  in Lemma 2.4. Therefore,  $A_{\omega^n}^n$  satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 2.4 with input interval  $I_0$  and any element of  $\mathcal{A}$  distinct from  $A_{\omega^n}^n$ . Let us tag elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  in accordance with the conclusion of our lemma. Represent  $\mathcal{A}_{\beta_0}$  as  $\{A_\alpha^n : \alpha \leq \omega^n\}$ ;  $\mathcal{A}_{\beta_1}$  as  $\{A_\alpha^n : \omega^n < \alpha \leq \omega^n + \omega^n\}$ ; and so on. Since each  $\mathcal{A}_{\beta_k}$  was chosen to satisfy the conclusion of our lemma with input intervals  $\{I_1, \dots, I_n\}$ , these representations are possible and properties 1, 2 are met for any  $\alpha \leq \omega^n$ . □

In the next lemma,  $\text{Cl}_X$  is the closure operator in  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow 2$  be continuous. Let  $\{I_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a collection of disjoint segments in  $(\chi + 1)$ . For each  $n$ , let  $i_n \in 2$  satisfy the following property:*

$$\text{there exists } S_n \subset X \text{ such that } \inf I_n \in \text{Cl}_X(S_n) \text{ and } f(S_n) = \{i_n\}.$$

Then the function  $c_f : X \rightarrow 2$  is continuous, where

$$c_f(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \notin \bigcup_n I_n \\ i_n & \text{if } x \in I_n \cap X. \end{cases}$$

PROOF: Since we work with first-countable spaces, it is enough to show that  $c_f(x_k) \rightarrow c_f(x)$  whenever  $x_k \rightarrow x$  in  $X$ . Observe that  $c_f$  coincides with  $f$  on  $X \setminus \bigcup_n I_n$ . Therefore, we may assume that all  $x_k$ 's are in  $\bigcup_n I_n$ . If infinitely

many  $x_k$ 's are in the same  $I_n$  then we are done since  $c_f$  is constant within each  $I_n$ . Thus we may assume that all  $x_k$ 's are in different  $I_n$ 's. Then either  $x \in X \setminus \bigcup_n I_n$  or  $x = \inf I_m$  for some  $m$ . In any case,  $f(x) = c_f(x)$ . By the property of  $i_n$ 's, for each  $x_k$  we can fix  $y_k \in \bigcup_n S_n$  such that  $c_f(x_k) = c_f(y_k) = f(y_k)$  and  $y_k \rightarrow x$ . By continuity of  $f$ ,  $c_f(y_k) \rightarrow f(x) = c_f(x)$ . Hence,  $c_f(x_k) \rightarrow c_f(x)$ .  $\square$

Before we give our last definition let us remind the definition of  $A^-$  from the beginning of the section. If  $A \subset X$ , by  $A^-$  we denote the set  $\{\alpha : \alpha + 1 \in A, \text{cf}(\alpha) > \omega\} \cup \{\chi\}$ . In words,  $\alpha$  belongs to  $A^-$  iff  $\alpha = \chi$ , or  $\alpha$  has uncountable cofinality and is the immediate predecessor of an element of  $A$ .

**Definition 2.7.** For  $A \subset X$ , define  $G(A) = \{[0, \alpha] : \alpha \in A^-\}$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $A \subset X$  be an  $\omega$ -support of itself. Then

1. for any  $x \in X \setminus A$ ,  $x \in [\sup(A \cap I), \sup I]$  for some  $I \in G(A)$ ;
2. the sets  $[\sup(A \cap I_1), \sup I_1]$  and  $[\sup(A \cap I_2), \sup I_2]$  do not meet, for any distinct  $I_1, I_2 \in G(A)$ .

PROOF: Let us prove 1. Let  $r' = \min\{\alpha \in A \cup \{\chi\} : \alpha > x\}$ . If  $r' = \chi$ , put  $r = \chi$ . Otherwise, by condition 3 in the definition of  $\omega$ -support, there exists  $r < \chi$  such that  $r' = r + 1$  and  $\text{cf}(r) > \omega$ . In either case,  $r \in A^-$ . Therefore,  $I = [0, r] \in G(A)$  is as desired.

Let us prove 2. Since  $I_1, I_2$  are distinct, we may assume that  $\sup I_1 < \sup I_2$ . By the definition of  $G(A)$ ,  $\sup I_1 \in A^-$ . Since  $\sup I_1 < \sup I_2$ ,  $\sup I_1 \neq \chi$ . By the definition of  $A^-$ ,  $\sup I_1 + 1 \in A$ . Thus,  $\sup I_1 < \sup(A \cap I_2)$ .  $\square$

We are finally ready to prove our main result.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $X$  be a subspace of some ordinal. If  $X$  is first-countable and of countable extent, then  $C_p(X, 2)$  is Lindelöf.

PROOF: We continue working with our fixed  $X$  and  $\mathcal{U}$ . Inductively, we will define  $A_n \subset X$  such that  $\mathcal{U}(\bigcup_n A_n)$  will be a countable subcover. Recall that  $\mathcal{U}(\bigcup_n A_n)$  is the family of all elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  that depend on a subset of  $\bigcup_n A_n$ .

*Step 0:* Let  $A_0$  be an  $\omega$ -support of itself. Enumerate  $G(A_0)$  by prime numbers. If we do not have enough elements in  $G(A_0)$ , place  $[0, \chi]$  at the rest of allocated places.

*Step n:* Let  $I_0, \dots, I_n$  be the first  $n + 1$  elements of  $G(A_{n-1})$ . Let  $\{A_\alpha^n\}_{\alpha \in \omega^{n+1}}$  satisfy the conclusion of Lemma 2.5 with input set  $A_{n-1}$  and the above intervals in the given order.

Let  $A_n = A_\omega^n$ . Enumerate  $G(A_n) \setminus G(A_{n-1})$  by  $(n + 1)$ -st powers of prime numbers. With this enumeration, elements of  $G(A_{n-1})$  keep their old tags.

Let  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ . Since each  $A_n$  is an  $\omega$ -support of itself,  $A$  is an  $\omega$ -support of itself too. To simplify further argument, let us agree on notation.

*Notation:* If  $\lambda \in A$ , put  $o(\lambda) = \langle n, \alpha \rangle$ , where  $\overline{A}_\alpha^n$  is the first containing  $\lambda$ . The order on  $\langle n, \alpha \rangle$ 's is lexicographical.

To avoid constant referring to the previous lemmas, let us make two remarks to be used later in the proof.

*Remark 1.* Let  $I_5 \in \bigcup_n G(A_n)$  be the fifth element. For each  $n > 5$ , let  $\alpha_n \in \omega^n + 1$  be an ordinal of local type  $\omega^{n-5}$ . Then  $r_5^n = \sup(A_{\alpha_n}^n \cap I_5)$  exists and is limit in  $A$ . Moreover,  $\{r_5^n\}_{n>5}$  is a strictly increasing sequence converging to  $\sup(A \cap I_5)$ . Indeed,  $r_5^n$  exists because, by our construction,  $A_{\alpha_n}^n$  hits  $I_5$ . By 1 of Lemma 2.4,  $r_5^n$  is limit in  $A$ . By 3 of Lemma 2.4,  $r_5^{n+1} > r_5^n$ , whence the sequence in question is strictly increasing. Finally, since  $A = \bigcup_n A_{\alpha_n}^n$ , the sequence converges to  $\sup(A \cap I_5)$ .

*Remark 2.* Let  $r = \sup(A_\alpha^n \cap I_5)$ , where  $\alpha$  is of local type  $\omega^{n-5}$  and  $n > 5$ . Then there exists  $l < r$  that belongs to  $A_\beta^n$  for some  $\beta < \alpha$ . Moreover,  $l$  can be chosen as close to  $r$  as we wish. Indeed, since  $\omega^{n-5}$  is limit for  $n > 5$ ,  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal. By Lemma 2.5,  $A_\alpha^n = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta^n$  and  $A_\alpha^n$  hits  $I_5$ . By 1 of Lemma 2.4,  $r$  belongs to the closure of  $A_\alpha^n$  but does not belong to  $A_\alpha^n$ . Therefore,  $l = \sup(A_\beta^n \cap I_5)$  is as desired for large  $\beta < \alpha$ .

Recall that we constructed  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  is countable, so let us prove that it is a subcover. Fix any  $f \in C_p(X, 2)$ . Inductively we will define a continuous function  $c_f$  that coincides with  $f$  on  $A$ . Then to prove that  $f \in \bigcup \mathcal{U}(A)$  it will suffice to show that  $c_f \in \bigcup \mathcal{U}(A)$ .

*Definition of  $c_f$ :* Put  $c_f(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in \overline{A}$ . Since we used primes to enumerate  $\bigcup_n G(A_n)$ , some numbers are left unassigned. So re-enumerate the elements of  $\bigcup_n G(A_n)$  by non-negative integers without changing the current order.

*Step 0:* Select an infinite  $C_0 \subset \omega \setminus \{0\}$  and  $i_0 \in 2$  such that for any  $k \in C_0$  there exist distinct  $l_0^k, r_0^k \in A$  with the following properties:

1.  $r_0^k \rightarrow \sup(A \cap I_0)$  and  $l_0^k \rightarrow \sup(A \cap I_0)$ ;
2.  $o(r_0^k) = \langle k, \alpha \rangle$ , where  $\alpha$  is of local type  $\omega^k$ , and  $r_0^k = \sup(A_\alpha^k \cap I_0)$ ;
3.  $f([l_0^k, r_0^k]_X) = \{i_0\}$ ;
4.  $o(l_0^k) < o(r_0^k)$ .

Such an infinite collection of intervals exists. Indeed, by Remark 1, there exists  $\{r_0^k : k > 0\}$  that satisfies 2 and the first half of 1. Since  $r_0^k$  is limit and  $f$  is continuous, there exists  $l_0^k < r_0^k$  such that  $f$  is constant on  $[l_0^k, r_0^k]_X$ . By Remark 2,  $l_0^k$  can be chosen in  $A_\alpha^k$  with  $\alpha < \omega^k$  so that  $\{l_0^k\}_k$  is a strictly increasing sequence converging to the same point as  $\{r_0^k\}_k$ , that is, to  $\sup(A \cap I_0)$ . Thus, 4 and the other half of 1 can be achieved. Condition 3 can be achieved due to finiteness

of the range space  $\{0, 1\}$  (this is the only place our argument breaks for the reals).

Let  $J_0 = [\sup(A \cap I_0), \sup I_0]$ . For all  $x \in J_0 \cap X$  put  $c_f(x) = i_0$ .

*Step n:* For simplicity, let  $n = 2$ . Select an infinite  $C_2 \subset C_1$  and  $i_2 \in 2$  such that for any  $k \in C_2$  there exist distinct  $l_2^k, r_2^k \in A$  with the following properties:

1.  $r_2^k \rightarrow \sup(A \cap I_2)$  and  $l_2^k \rightarrow \sup(A \cap I_2)$ ;
2.  $o(r_2^k) = \langle k, \alpha \rangle$ , where  $\alpha$  is of local type  $\omega^{k-2}$ , and  $r_2^k = \sup(A_\alpha^k \cap I_2)$ ;
3.  $f([l_2^k, r_2^k]_X) = \{i_2\}$ ;
4.  $o(l_1^k) < o(l_2^k) < o(r_2^k) < o(r_1^k)$ .

To construct such a collection, fix a previously constructed segment  $[l_1^k, r_1^k]$ , where  $k \in C_1$ . As remarked after the definition of local type, there are infinitely many  $\langle k, \gamma \rangle$  such that  $\gamma$  is of local type  $\omega^{k-2}$  and  $o(l_1^k) < \langle k, \gamma \rangle < o(r_1^k)$ . Fix one such  $\langle k, \gamma \rangle$  and put  $r_2^k = \sup(A_\gamma^k \cap I_2)$ . By our construction,  $A_\gamma^k$  hits  $I_2$ . By Remark 1,  $r_2^k \rightarrow \sup(A \cap I_2)$ . As at Step 0, we can choose  $l_2^k$  to satisfy 1, 3, and the first two inequalities of 4. The rest of the argument is the same as in Step 0.

By Lemma 2.8, the segment  $J_2 = [\sup(A \cap I_2), \sup I_2]$  either coincides with some  $J_m$  for  $m < n = 2$  or disjoint with all of them. In the latter case put  $c_f(x) = i_2$  for all  $x \in J_2 \cap X$ .

The segments  $J_n$ 's that participated in the definition of  $c_f$  are disjoint. This collection of segments together with  $i_n$ 's and  $S_n = \{r_n^k : k \in C_n\}$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.7. Therefore,  $c_f$  is continuous. Let us show that  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  covers  $c_f$ . There exists  $U_{c_f} \in \mathcal{U}$  that contains  $c_f$ . Suppose  $U_{c_f} = \{g : g(x_k) = i_k \in 2, k = 1, 2, 3\}$ . Assume  $x_3 \in A$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in X \setminus A$ . By Lemma 2.8,  $x_1, x_2$  are in at most two of  $J_n$ 's, say in  $J_1 \cup J_2$ . Put  $r_1 = \sup J_1$  and  $r_2 = \sup J_2$ . By Definition 2.7 of  $G(A_n)$ ,  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are in  $A^-$ .

Case I ( $x_1 \in J_1, x_2 \in J_2$ ): Assume  $J_2$  is to the right of  $J_1$ . By 1 in the definition of  $c_f$ , there exists  $m \in C_2$  such that  $[l_2^m, r_2^m]$  is to the right of  $J_1$ . Since sequences of  $l$ 's and  $r$ 's in the definition of  $c_f$  are in fact increasing,  $l_1^m$  and  $l_2^m$  are to the left of  $\sup(A \cap I_1)$  and  $\sup(A \cap I_2)$ , respectively. Therefore,  $x_1 \in [l_1^m, r_1]$  and  $x_2 \in [l_2^m, r_2]$ . Since,  $l_2^m$  is to the right of  $r_1$ , the intervals  $[l_1^m, r_1]$  and  $[l_2^m, r_2]$  are disjoint. Finally, since  $x_3 \in A$ , we can select such an  $m \in C_2$  that neither  $[l_1^m, r_1]$  nor  $[l_2^m, r_2]$  contains  $x_3$ . Therefore, the set

$$S = \{g \in C_p(X, 2) : g([l_1^m, r_1]_X) = \{i_1\}, g([l_2^m, r_2]_X) = \{i_2\}, g(x_3) = i_3\}$$

is contained in  $U_{c_f}$ . Let  $\langle m, \alpha \rangle = o(l_2^m)$ , that is,  $l_2^m \in A_{\alpha+1}^m$ . By 4,  $l_1^m \in A_\alpha^m$ . Since  $x_3, r_1, r_2 \in A \cup A^-$ , we may assume they are in  $A_\alpha^m \cup (A_\alpha^m)^-$  (simply



choose  $m \in C_2$  large enough, which is possible due to infiniteness of  $C_2$ ). By Definition 2.1,  $S \in \mathcal{S}(A_{\alpha+1}^m)$ . By 2 of Lemma 2.4, some  $U_S \in \mathcal{U}(A_{\alpha+1}^m)$  contains  $S$ . But this is possible only if  $U_S$  is a finite intersection of open sets in the following forms:

$$\{g : g(x_3) = i_3\}; \{g : g(y) = i_1\}; \{g : g(z) = i_2\},$$

where  $y \in [l_1^m, r_1] \cap A_{\alpha+1}^m$  and  $z \in [l_2^m, r_2] \cap A_{\alpha+1}^m$ . These sets are contained in  $[l_1^m, r_1^m]$  and  $[l_2^m, r_2^m]$ , respectively. Indeed, recall that  $o(l_2^m) = \langle m, \alpha \rangle$ . By 4,  $r_1^m$  and  $r_2^m$  appear for the first time not earlier than in  $A_{\alpha+1}^m$ . By 2,  $r_1^m \geq \sup(A_{\alpha+1}^m \cap I_1)$  and  $r_2^m \geq \sup(A_{\alpha+1}^m \cap I_2)$ , from where the inclusions follow. By 3,  $c_f(y) = i_1$  and  $c_f(z) = i_2$ . Hence  $c_f \in U_S$ . Since  $c_f$  coincides with  $f$  on  $A$ ,  $f$  is covered by  $\mathcal{U}(A_{\alpha+1}^m)$  as well.

Case II ( $x_1, x_2 \in J_1$ ): In this case  $i_1 = i_2$ . So put  $S = \{g : g([l_1^m, r_1]_X) = \{i_1\}, g(x_3) = i_3\}$ . The rest of the argument is as in Case I.  $\square$

### 3. Corollaries and related questions

Note that if for each  $i \in \omega$ ,  $X_i$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.9 then so does  $\bigoplus_{i \in \omega} X_i$ .

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $X_i$  be subspaces of some ordinals. If  $X$  and  $X_i$  are first countable and of countable extent, then  $(C_p(X, 2))^\omega$ ,  $C_p(X, 2^\omega)$ , and  $\Pi_{i \in \omega} C_p(X_i, 2)$  are Lindelöf.*

Theorem 2.9 gives a sufficient condition for a subspace of an ordinal to have Lindelöf  $C_p(\cdot, 2)$ , but not a criterion. Indeed, let  $X$  be uncountable discrete. Then  $X$  is a first-countable subspace of an ordinal. Clearly, the extent of  $X$  is uncountable, nevertheless,  $C_p(X, 2)$  is Lindelöf being homeomorphic to  $2^X$ . Yet, the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a subspace of an ordinal and let  $C_p(X, 2)$  be Lindelöf. Then  $X$  is first-countable and the derived set  $X'$  has countable extent.*

PROOF: First countability is obvious. Indeed,  $X$  has countable tightness (the argument is the same as in Asanov's theorem [ASA]). Any countably tight GO is first countable.

For the second condition, assume the contrary, and fix a closed discrete  $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subset X'$ . Since any GO is collectionwise Hausdorff and normal, there exists an uncountable discrete family  $\{J_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  of mutually disjoint open convex sets such that each  $J_\alpha$  contains  $x_\alpha$ . Since  $\text{ind } X = 0$ , each  $J_\alpha$  can be chosen clopen. Therefore,  $\bigcup_\alpha J_\alpha$  is clopen and  $C_p(X, 2)$  contains a closed copy of  $C_p(\bigcup_\alpha J_\alpha, 2)$ . Since  $J_\alpha$ 's form a discrete family of disjoint closed sets, we have  $\bigcup_\alpha J_\alpha$  is homeomorphic to  $\bigoplus_\alpha J_\alpha$ . Therefore,  $C_p(\bigcup_\alpha J_\alpha, 2)$  is homeomorphic to  $\Pi_\alpha C_p(J_\alpha, 2)$ . Since the interior of every  $J_\alpha$  meets  $X'$ ,  $J_\alpha$  is not discrete, whence  $C_p(J_\alpha, 2)$  is not compact. Thus,  $C_p(\bigcup_\alpha J_\alpha, 2)$  is not Lindelöf since it is homeomorphic to the product of uncountably many non-compact spaces.  $\square$

**Question 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a first-countable subspace of an ordinal and let the derived set  $X'$  have countable extent. Is  $C_p(X, 2)$  Lindelöf?*

Although, first countable subspaces of ordinals are mainly non-metrizable (some of course are metrizable), they all share one property that makes them a little closer to metric spaces. Every first countable subspace of ordinals have a base of countable order. This concept was introduced by Arhangel'skii in [AR2]: a base  $\mathcal{B}$  for the topology of  $X$  is a *base of countable order* if any sequence  $B_1 \supset B_2 \supset \dots$  of distinct members of  $\mathcal{B}$ , all of which contain a point  $x$ , forms a local base at  $x$ . Let us show that a first countable subspace  $X$  of an ordinal has a base of countable order. For each  $x \in X$ , fix a countable nested local base  $\mathcal{B}_x$  at  $x$  whose elements are in form  $[\alpha, x]_X$ . Let us show that  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{B}_x$  has the required property. Let  $[\alpha_1, x_1]_X \supset [\alpha_2, x_2]_X \supset \dots$  be a sequence of distinct members of  $\mathcal{B}$ , all of which contain  $x$ . Since no sequence of ordinals is strictly decreasing, there exists  $y \in X$  such that  $x_n = y$  for almost all  $n$ . Then  $[\alpha_n, x_n] \in \mathcal{B}_y$  for almost all  $n$ . Hence, the sequence in question forms a local base at  $y$ . Since each member contains  $x$ , we have  $x = y$ .

**Question 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a countably compact GO-space (or LOTS) with Lindelöf  $C_p(X)$ . Does  $X$  have a base of countable order?*

**Question 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a GO-space (or LOTS) with a base of countable order and countable extent. Is  $C_p(X)$  Lindelöf? What if  $X$  is countably compact?*

Surprisingly, it seems to be an open question if Nahmanson's theorem can be generalized to Lindelöf LOTS.

**Question 3.6.** *Let  $X$  be a Lindelöf LOTS (or GO-space) with Lindelöf  $C_p(X)$ . Is  $X$  metrizable?*

And let us finish with a question which is an unaccomplished goal of this paper.

**Question 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be first countable, of countable extent, and a subspace of an ordinal. Is  $C_p(X)$  Lindelöf?*

#### REFERENCES

- [AR1] Arhangel'skii A., *Topological Function Spaces*, Math. Appl., vol. 78, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [AR2] Arhangel'skii A., *Some Metrization Theorems* (Russian), *Uspeshi Mat. Nauk* **18** (1963), no. 5 (113), 139–145.
- [ASA] Asanov M.O., *On cardinal invariants of function spaces*, *Modern Topology and Set Theory*, Igevsk, (2), 1979, pp. 8–12.
- [BUZ] Buzyakova R.Z., *In search for Lindelöf  $C_p$ 's*, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **45** (2004), no. 1, 145–151.

- [ENG] Engelking R., *General Topology*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, 6, Heldermann, Berlin, revised ed., 1989.
- [NAH] Nahmanson L.B., *Lindelöfness in function spaces*, Fifth Teraspol Symposium on Topology and its Applications, Kishinev, 1985, 183.

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNCG, P.O. BOX 26170, GREENSBORO, NC 27402, USA

*E-mail:* Raushan\_Buzyakova@yahoo.com

*(Received June 21, 2005, revised June 3, 2006)*