Svatoslav Staněk On oscillations of solutions and their derivatives in a nonlinear third-order differential equation

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 27 (1988), No. 1, 185--200

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120192

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ACTA UNIVERSITATIS PALACKIANAE OLOMUCENSIS FACULTAS RERUM NATURALIUM 1988 MATHEMATICA XXVII VO

VOL. 91

Katedra matematické analýzy a numerické matematiky přírodovědecké fakulty Univerzity Palackého v Olomouci Vedoucí katedry: Doc.RNDr. Jindřich Palát, CSc.

ON OSCILLATIONS OF SOLUTIONS AND THEIR DERIVATIVES IN A NONLINEAR THIRD-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

SVATOSLAV STANĚK

(Received December 15, 1986)

1. Introduction

Let us consider a differential equation $(p(t)(p(t)x^{'})^{'} + 4p(t)q(t)x^{'} + 2(p(t)q(t))^{'}x =$ $= f(t,x,x^{'},(p(t)x^{'})^{'})$ (1)

whose terms are supposed to be: 🛛 🐇

 $p,q \in C^{0}(J), pq \in C^{1}(J), f \in C^{0}(D), p(t) > 0$ for $t \in J$, where $J = \int t_{0}, \infty$, $D = J \times R^{3}$.

By a solution of (1) we mean the right-maximal solution of (1). Let x be a solution of (1) on an interval $[t_x, T_x) \in J$, $T_x \stackrel{\ell}{=} \infty$. We say that the solution x (the derivative x' of the solution x; the second quasiderivative (px') of the solution x) is oscillatory if the function x (x';(px')') has a zero in every left neighbourhood of the point T_x .

The aim of this paper is to find sufficient conditions for the oscillation of solutions, or for the oscillation of the derivative of solutions, or for the oscillation of the second quasiderivative of solutions of (1) on an interval $[t_x, \infty)$, respectively.

2. Lemmas

Let u, v be the solutions of the differential equation

(p(t)z')' + q(t)z = 0

(defined on J) satisfying the initial conditions $u(t_1) = 1$, $u'(t_1) = 0$, $v(t_1) = 0$, $v'(t_1) = 1$ at a point $t = t_1 \in J$. Then $y = c_1 u^2 + c_2 uv + c_3 v^2$ is a solution of the differential equation

$$(p(t)(p(t)y')')' + 4p(t)q(t)y' + 2(p(t)q(t))'y = 0$$
(2)
and $y(t_1) = c_1, y'(t_1) = c_2, (p(t)y'(t))'_{t=t_1} = -2c_1q(t_1) + 2c_3p(t_1).$ Setting
 $a(t) = \max \{ |u(t)|, |v(t)| \}, b(t) = \max \{ |u'(t)|, |v'(t)| \},$

$$c(t) = |q(t)|a^{2}(t) + p(t)b^{2}(t) \text{ for } t \in J.$$

Lemma 1 ([1]). Suppose

 $y = c_1 u^2(t) + c_2 u(t)v(t) + c_3 v^2(t)$

is a solution of (2) and set $\propto = |c_1| + |c_2| + |c_3|$. Then

 $\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &\leq \alpha_a^2(t), \\ |y'(t)| &\leq 2\alpha_a(t)b(t), \\ |(p(t)y'(t))'| &\leq 2\alpha_c(t), \quad t \in J. \end{aligned}$

<u>Lemma 2 ([1])</u>. Suppose $T \in J$. Then equation (1) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{2p^{2}(t_{1})} \int_{T}^{t} (u(t)v(s)-u(s)v(t))^{2}f(s,x(s),x'(s), (p(s)x'(s))) ds$$
(3)

in a class of functions $\{x; x \in C^1, px' \in C^1\}$, where y is a solution of (2) satisfying the same initial conditions at the point t = T as the solution x of (1) (that is $y(T) = x(T), y'(T) = x'(T), (p(t)y'(t))_{t=T} = (p(t)x'(t))_{t=T}^{-}$.

3. Oscillation of solutions and their derivatives on a halfline

Theorem 1. Let

$$f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \stackrel{\leq}{=} F_1(t) \text{ for } (t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in D, x_1 > 0, \quad (4^{\prime})$$
$$f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \stackrel{\geq}{=} F_2(t) \text{ for } (t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in D, x_1 < 0, \quad (4^{\prime\prime})$$

where ${\rm F}_1,~{\rm F}_2$ are integrable functions on every interval, which is a part of J and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (-1)^{i} \frac{1}{a^{2}(t)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} (u(t)v(s) - u(s)v(t))^{2} F_{i}(s) ds = \infty,$$

i = 1,2. (5)

Then every solution of (1) defined of a halfline $[t_x, \alpha)\zeta$ J is oscillatory.

<u>Proof</u>. Let x be a solution of (1) defined on $[t_x, \infty) \zeta J$ and let x not be an oscillatory solution. Then there exists a T $\in [t_x, \infty)$ either with x(t)>0 or x(t) ζO for t $\stackrel{>}{=}$ T.

Let y be a solution of (2) satisfying the same initial conditions at the point T as the solution x. From Lemma 2 then follows the validity of equality (3) for t \geqq T.

$$\frac{x(t)}{a^{2}(t)} \stackrel{\neq}{=} \frac{y(t)}{a^{2}(t)} + \frac{1}{2a^{2}(t)p^{2}(t_{1})} \int_{T}^{t} (u(t)v(s)-u(s)v(t))^{2}F_{1}(s)ds \text{ for } t \ge T.$$
(6)

According to Lemma 1 there exists an $\ll \in$ R:

$$|y(t)| \leq \propto a^2(t), \quad t \in J$$

and therefore

and therefore

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{\gamma(t)}{a^2(t)} + \frac{1}{2a^2(t)p^2(t_1)} \int_{T}^{t} (u(t)v(s)-u(s)v(t))^2 F_1(s) ds \right\} =$$

$$= -\infty,$$

which with respect to (6) contradicts the inequality $\underset{t \to \infty}{\underset{a \to \infty}{\text{liminf}}} \frac{x(t)}{a^2(t)} \stackrel{\geq}{=} 0.$

If x(t) < 0 for $t \ge T$, then

$$\frac{x(t)}{a^{2}(t)} \ge \frac{y(t)}{a^{2}(t)} + \frac{1}{2a^{2}(t)p^{2}(t_{1})} \int_{T}^{t} (u(t)v(s)-u(s)v(t))^{2}F_{2}(s)ds \text{ for } t \ge T \quad (7)$$

and from the relations

$$\begin{split} \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \left\{ \frac{y(t)}{a^2(t)} + \frac{1}{2a^2(t)p^2(t_1)} \int_{T}^{t} (u(t)v(s) - u(s)v(t))^2 F_2(s) ds \right\} = \\ &= \infty \,, \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \frac{x(t)}{a^2(t)} \leq 0 \,, \end{split}$$

in contradiction to (7) above.

<u>Remark 1</u>. If assumptions (4´) and (5) for i=1 (or (4´´) and (5) for i=2) are fulfilled, then it follows from the proof of Theorem 1 that any solution x of (1) defined on $[t_x, \infty)$ does not take only positive (negative) values in a neighbourhood of ∞ .

<u>Remark 2</u>. If the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and x is a solution of (1) defined on $[t_x, \infty)$, then not only the solution x but also its derivatives x´, (px´)´ are oscillatory.

It will be apparent from the following example that the fulfilment of assumptions in Theorem 1 does not guarantee the existence of any solution of (1) on the halfline J.

Example 1. Suppose

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t \in [0, \mathcal{F}], \\ e^{t} \text{sint} & \text{for } t \in [\mathcal{F}, \infty]. \end{cases}$$

Equation $x'' = -6xx'^2 + f(t)$ has a solution $x = \sqrt{1 - t}$ defined on the interval [0,1) and p=1, q=0. Setting $t_1 = 0$ and u(t) = 1, v(t) = t, $F_1(t) = F_2(t) = f(t)$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$ yields

$$a(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t \in [0,1], \\ t & \text{for } t \in [1, \infty], \end{cases}$$

and for $t \in [\hat{x}, \infty)$

$$\frac{1}{a^{2}(t)} \int_{\mathbf{Y}}^{t} (u(t)v(s)-u(s)v(t))^{2} F_{1}(s) ds = \frac{1}{t^{2}} \int_{\mathbf{Y}}^{t} (t-s)^{2} e^{s} sins ds =$$
$$= -\frac{e^{t}}{2t^{2}} (sint + cost) - \frac{e^{\mathbf{Y}}}{t^{2}} (\frac{t^{2}}{2} - \mathbf{Y}t + t + \frac{1}{2} - \mathbf{Y} + \frac{\mathbf{Y}^{2}}{2})$$

where i=1,2. Since $\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{2t^2} (\sinh t + \cos t) = \infty$,

 $\lim_{t \to \infty} \inf \frac{e^t}{2t^2} (\sinh + \cos t) = -\infty, \text{ the assumptions of Theorem } t \to \infty^{-1} 2t^2$ 1 are fulfilled, whereby the solution $x = \sqrt{1 - t}$ of the equation considered, is not defined on the halfline $[0, \infty)$.

<u>Theorem 2</u>. Suppose $q(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in J$ and

$$f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \stackrel{\leq}{=} F_1(t) \text{ for } (t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in D, x_2 > 0, \quad (8')$$

$$f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \stackrel{\geq}{=} F_2(t) \text{ for } (t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in D, x_2 < 0, \quad (8'')$$

where ${\rm F_1},~{\rm F_2}$ are integrable functions on each interval, which is a part of J and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup (-1)^{i} \frac{1}{p(t)a(t)b(t)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} \left[p(s)(u'(s)v(\mathcal{X}) - u(\mathcal{X})v'(s))^{2} - q(s)(u(s)v(\mathcal{X}) - u(\mathcal{X})v'(s))^{2} \right] \mathbf{F}_{i}(\mathcal{X}) d\mathcal{X} ds = \infty, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

$$(9)$$

Then the derivative x' of each solution x of (1) defined on a halfline $[t_v, \infty) \zeta J$, is oscillatory.

<u>Proof</u>. Suppose x is a solution of (1) defined on $[t_x, \infty) < J$ and its derivative x' is not oscillatory. Then there exists a T $\stackrel{2}{=} t_x$ being either x'(t)>0 or x'(t)<0 for t $\stackrel{2}{=}$ T. According to Lemma 2, there exists a solution y of (2), satisfying the same initial conditions at the point t = T as the solution x, so that equality (3) holds for t $\stackrel{2}{=}$ T. A slight modification of this equality yields

$$(p(t)x'(t))' = (p(t)y'(t))' +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{p^{2}(t_{1})} \int_{T}^{t} \left[p(t)(u'(t)v(s) - u(s)v'(t))^{2} - q(t)(u(t)v(s) - u(t)v(s))^{2} \right] . f(s,x(s),x'(s),(p(s)x'(s))') ds$$
(10)

whence, on integrating (10) from T to t (\ge T),

$$x'(t) = y'(t) + \frac{1}{p(t)p^{2}(t_{1})} \int_{T}^{t} \int_{T}^{s} \left[p(s)(u'(s)v(\tau) - u(\tau)v'(s))^{2} - q(s)(u(s)v(\tau) - u(\tau)v(s))^{2} \right] f(\tau, x(\tau), x'(\tau), (p(\tau)x'(\tau))') d\tau ds.$$
(11)

If x'(t) > 0 for $t \ge T$, then we get from (11) and (8')

$$\frac{x'(t)}{a(t)b(t)} \stackrel{\leq}{=} \frac{y'(t)}{a(t)b(t)} + \frac{1}{p(t)a(t)b(t)p^{2}(t_{1})} \int_{T}^{t} \int_{T}^{s} \left[p(s)(u'(s)v(t)-u(t)v'(s))^{2} - q(s)(u(s)v(t) - u(t)v(s))^{2} \right] F_{1}(t) dt ds.$$
(12)

According to Lemma 1 there exists an $\ll \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$|\gamma'(t)| \stackrel{\leq}{=} \propto a(t)b(t), t \in J$$

and consequently from (9)

$$\begin{split} \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \left\{ \frac{y'(t)}{a(t)b(t)} + \frac{1}{p(t)a(t)b(t)} \int_{T}^{t} \int_{T}^{s} \left[p(s)(u'(s)v(\tau) - u(s)v'(\tau))^{2} - q(s)(u(s)v(\tau) - u(\tau)v(s))^{2} \right] F_{1}(\tau) d\tau ds = \\ &= -\infty , \end{split}$$

which, with respect to (12), contradicts the fact that $\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \frac{x'(t)}{a(t)b(t)} \ge 0.$

In applying (8´´), it may likevise be proved that the assumption $x'(t) \langle 0$ for $t \stackrel{>}{=} T$ leads to a contradiction.

<u>Remark 3</u>. If the assumptions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled and x is a solution of (11) defined on a halfline $\begin{bmatrix} t_x, \infty \end{bmatrix}$, then not only x´ but also (px´)´ is oscillatory.

<u>Remark 4</u>. If $q(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in J$ and besides the assumptions (8') and (9) for i=1 (or (8') and (9) for i=2) are fulfilled, then it follows from the proof of Theorem 2 that the derivative of any solution of (1) defined on a halfline, does not take only positive (negative) values in a neighbourhood of ∞ .

The following example will show that the fulfilment of assumptions in Theorem 2 does not guarantee the existence of any solution of (1) on the halfline J.

Example 2. Consider a differential equation

 $x''' = -\frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^3 x' x''^4 + f(t)$ (13)

on the interval $J = [0, \infty)$, where

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t \in [0, \widehat{\mu}], \\ t^2 \text{sint} & \text{for } t \in (\widehat{\mu}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Let $t_1 = 0$. Then p = 1, q = 0, u = 1, v = t and further

$$a(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t \in [0,1], \\ t & \text{for } t \in (1, \infty), \end{cases}$$

b = 1 and assumptions (8´) and (8´´) are fulfilled for the functions $F_1 = F_2 = f$. The fulfilment of assumption (9) follows from the relations

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \frac{1}{a(t)} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{f(\tilde{\tau})} d\tilde{\tau} ds = \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} \tilde{\tau}^{2} \sin \tilde{\iota} d\tilde{\tau} ds =$$
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \frac{1}{t} (6 \sin t - 4t \cos t - t^{2} \sin t - 2t) = \infty$$

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \inf \frac{1}{a(t)} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} f(\tau) d\tau ds = -\infty,$$

whereby the function $x(t) = (1-t)^{3/2}$ is a solution of (13) just on the interval [0,1).

<u>Theorem 3</u>. Suppose

$$f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \stackrel{\leq}{=} F_1(t) \text{ for } (t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in D, x_3 > 0, (14^{\prime})$$

$$f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \stackrel{\geq}{=} F_2(t) \text{ for } (t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in D, x_3 < 0, (14^{\prime\prime})$$

where F_1 , F_2 are integrable functions on any interval being a part of J. Then every solution of (1) is defined on J.

If moreover $q(t) \stackrel{\leq}{=} 0$ for $t \in J$ and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup (-1)^{i} \frac{1}{c(t)} \int_{0}^{t} \left[p(s)(u'(t)v(s) - u(s)v'(t))^{2} - (15) - q(s)(u(t)v(s) - u(s)v(t))^{2} \right] F_{i}(s) ds = \omega,$$

$$i = 1, 2,$$

then for every solution x of (1), its second quasiderivative (px')' is oscillatory.

<u>Proof</u>. Suppose x is a solution of (1) on a interval $\begin{bmatrix} t_0, T_x \end{bmatrix}$ and $T_x < \infty$. Without any loss of generality we may assume $F_1(t) \stackrel{1}{=} 0$, $F_2(t) \stackrel{\leq}{=} 0$ for $t \in \begin{bmatrix} t_0, T_x \end{bmatrix}$. Let us put

$$F(t) = \left\{ \max F_{1}(t), -F_{2}(t) \right\}, Q(t) = \max_{\substack{t_{0} \in S \leq t}} p(s) |q(s)|$$
$$\ll (t) = (p(t)q(t))^{\prime} \text{ for } t \in J$$

and

$$\beta(t) = p(t)(p(t)x'(t))'$$
 for $t \in [t_0, T_x)$.

193

and

Let $t_1 \in [t_0, T_x]$ be such a number that $\int_{t_1}^{T_x} \frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \left[4Q(s) + \int_{t_1}^{s} |\alpha(\tau)| d\tau dsdt < \frac{1}{2}$ (16)

Let further $J_1 = [t_1, T_x)$ and

$$X(t) = \max_{\substack{t_1 \leq s \leq t}} |x(s)| \text{ for } t \in J_1.$$

If $\beta(t) > 0$ for $t \in (a,b) \subset J_1$, then the equality

upon integration from a to t (\geq a) yields

$$\beta(t) = \beta(a) - 4(p(t)q(t)x(t) - p(a)q(a)x(a)) + (17) + 2\int_{a}^{t} \alpha(s)x(s)ds + \int_{a}^{t} f(s,x(s),x'(s),(p(s)x'(s))')ds, t \in [t_{0}, T_{x})$$

and further on making use of (14') and of the evident estimates gives

$$0 < \beta(t) \leq \beta(a) - 4(p(t)q(t)x(t) - p(a)q(a)x(a)) + + 2 \int_{a}^{t} \alpha(s)x(s)ds + \int_{a}^{t} F_{1}(s)ds \leq \beta(a) + (18) + 2X(t) [4Q(t) + \int_{t_{1}}^{t} |\alpha(s)| ds] + \int_{t_{1}}^{t} F(s)ds, t_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} f(s)ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} f(s$$

If β (t) <0 for t \in (a,b) < J₁, then it follows from (17) and (14^(*)) that

$$0 > \beta(t) \stackrel{\geq}{=} \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ a \end{array} \right) - 4(p(t)q(t)x(t) - p(a)q(a)x(a)) + \\ + 2 \int_{a}^{t} \alpha(s)x(s)ds + \int_{a}^{t} F_{2}(s)ds \stackrel{\geq}{=} \beta(a) - 2X(t) \left[4Q(t) + (19) + \int_{a}^{t} \left[\alpha(s) \right] ds \right] - \int_{t}^{t} F(s)ds, \quad t \in (a,b).$$

From (18) and (19) it follows that the estimate

$$|\beta(t)| \leq |\beta(a)| + 2X(t) [4Q(t) + \int_{t_1}^{t} |\kappa(s)| ds] + \int_{t_1}^{t} F(s) ds$$

(20)

holds on every interval (a,b) $\subset {\tt J}_1,$ where $\not {\tt B}(t) \neq 0,$ which yields

$$\left| \beta(t) \right| \stackrel{\leq}{=} \left| \beta(t_1) \right| + 2X(t) \left[4Q(t) + \int_{t_1}^{t} |\alpha(s)| \, ds \right] + \int_{t_1}^{t} F(s) \, ds,$$
$$t \in J_1.$$
(21)

From the equality

$$x(t) = x(t_{1}) + p(t_{1})x'(t_{1}) \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{ds}{p(s)} + \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{t_{1}}^{s} \frac{\beta(\tilde{\tau})}{p(\tilde{\tau})} d\tilde{\tau} ds,$$

we find the estimate to be

$$|x(t)| \leq |x(t_1)| + p(t_1) |x'(t_1)| \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{ds}{p(s)} + \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{t_1}^{s} \frac{|\beta(\tilde{t})|}{p(\tilde{t})} d\tilde{t} ds$$

whence and from (21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| x(t) \right| &\stackrel{\leq}{=} \left| x(t_1) \right| + p(t_1) \left| x'(t_1) \right| \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{ds}{p(s)} + \\ &+ \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{t_1}^{s} \frac{1}{p(\tau)} \left[\left| \beta(t_1) \right| + 2x(\tau) \left[4Q(\tau) + \int_{t_1}^{\tau} \left| \alpha(v) \right| dv \right] + \\ &+ \int_{t_1}^{\tau} F(v) dv \right] d\tau ds \stackrel{\leq}{=} \left| x(t_1) \right| + \\ &+ p(t_1) \left| x'(t_1) \right| \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{ds}{p(s)} + \left| \beta(t_1) \right| \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{t_1}^{s} \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d\tau ds + \\ &+ 2x(t) \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{t_1}^{s} \frac{1}{p(\tau)} \left[4Q(\tau) + \int_{t_1}^{\tau} \left| \alpha(v) \right| dv \right] d\tau ds + \\ &+ \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{t_1}^{s} \frac{1}{p(\tau)} \int_{t_1}^{\tau} F(v) dv d\tau ds \end{aligned}$$

....

therefore

$$X(t) \stackrel{\leq}{=} 2X(t) \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{t_1}^{s} \frac{1}{p(\tilde{\tau})} \left[4Q(\tilde{\tau}) + \int_{t_1}^{\tilde{\tau}} |\alpha(\tilde{\tau})| d\tilde{\tau} ds + |x(t_1)| + p(t_1)| x'(t_1) \right] \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{ds}{p(s)} + |\beta(t_1)| \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{t_1}^{s} \frac{1}{p(\tilde{\tau})} d\tilde{\tau} ds + \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{t_1}^{\tilde{\tau}} \frac{1}{p(\tilde{\tau})} \int_{t_1}^{\tilde{\tau}} F(\tilde{\tau}) d\tilde{\tau} ds$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{X}(\mathsf{t}) &\stackrel{\leq}{=} (1-2\int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{1}{\mathsf{p}(\mathsf{s})} \int_{t_1}^{\mathsf{s}} \frac{1}{\mathsf{p}(\mathfrak{T})} (4\mathsf{Q}(\mathfrak{T}) + \int_{t_1}^{\mathfrak{T}} |\mathsf{q}(\mathfrak{s})| d\mathfrak{V} d\mathfrak{T} d\mathfrak{s})^{-1} \\ & \cdot (|\mathsf{X}(\mathsf{t}_1)| + \mathsf{p}(\mathsf{t}_1)| \mathsf{X}(\mathsf{t}_1)| \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{d\mathfrak{s}}{\mathsf{p}(\mathfrak{s})} + \\ & + |\beta(\mathsf{t}_1)| \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{1}{\mathsf{p}(\mathfrak{s})} \int_{t_1}^{\mathfrak{s}} \frac{1}{\mathsf{p}(\mathfrak{T})} d\mathfrak{T} d\mathfrak{s} + \\ & + \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{1}{\mathsf{p}(\mathfrak{s})} \int_{t_1}^{\mathfrak{s}} \frac{1}{\mathsf{p}(\mathfrak{T})} \int_{t_1}^{\mathfrak{T}} \mathsf{F}(\mathfrak{V}) d\mathfrak{V} d\mathfrak{T} d\mathfrak{s}), \ \mathsf{t} \in J_1. \end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality and from (16) follows the boundedness of X on J₁. Thus the solution x of (1) is bounded on $[t_0, T_x]$, and with respect to (21) the function /3 is bounded there, as well. Then, naturally, there exist (finite) $\lim_{t\to T_x} x'(t)$ and $t\to T_x$

 $\lim_{t\to T_X} x(t).$ From the continuity of the function f on the set D $t \to T_X$

follows the boundedness of the function f(t,x(t),x'(t), (p(t)x'(t))') on $[t_0,T_x)$, and thus the boundedness of the function h' there, as well. Then, naturally, there exists a lim_ (p(t)x'(t))' which enables us to extend the solution $x \xrightarrow{t \to T_x}$

of (1) to the right of the point t = T_x , in contradiction to $T_x < \infty$. This proves the assertion that assumptions (14[°]) and (14[°]) guarantee the existence of each solution of (1) on the halfline J.

Let x be a solution of (1) and its second quasiderivative (px')' not be oscillatory on J. Hence, there exists a T (\geqq t_o) with either (p(t)x'(t))' > 0 or (p(t)x'(t))' < 0 for t \geqq T. According to Lemma 2 there exists a solution y of (2) satisfying the same initial conditions at the point t = T as the solution x, such that equality (10) holds on $[T, \infty)$.

Next by Lemma 1

$$\left| \left(p(t) y'(t) \right)' \right| \stackrel{\leq}{=} k.c(t), t \in J,$$
(22)

where k > 0 is a convenient number.

Let (p(t)x'(t))' > 0 for $t \ge T$. Then from (11), (14'') and from $q(t) \le 0$ for $t \in J$ we obtain

$$\frac{(p(t)x'(t))'}{c(t)} \leq \frac{(p(t)y'(t))'}{c(t)} +$$
(23)
+
$$\frac{1}{c(t)p^{2}(t_{1})} \int_{T}^{t} [p(t)(u'(t)v(s)-u(s)v'(t))^{2} -$$
$$- q(t)(u(t)v(s)-u(s)v(t))^{2}]F_{1}(s)ds, t \geq T.$$

Because of (15) and (22) we have

$$\begin{split} \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{0} \left[\frac{(p(t)y'(t))'}{c(t)} + \frac{1}{c(t)p^{2}(t_{1})} \int_{T}^{t} \left[p(t)(u'(t)v(s)-u(s)v'(t))^{2} - \frac{1}{c(t)p^{2}(t_{1})} \int_{T}^{t} \left[p(t)(u'(t)v(s)-u(s)v'(t))^{2} \right] F_{1}(s)ds = -\infty \,, \end{split} \end{split}$$

which, however, contradicts the fact of (23) with respect to $\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{(p(t)x'(t))'}{c(t)} \ge 0$

We can similarly prove that the assumption $(p(t)x'(t))' \lt 0$ for $t \ge T$ also yields a contradiction.

REFERENCES

[1] S t a n ě k, S.: Bounds for solutions of a nonlinear differential equations of the third order. Acta Univ. Palackianae Olomucensis, Fac.rerum nat., Math. XXVI, Vol. <u>88</u>, 1987,

OSCILACE ŘEŠENÍ A JEJICH DERIVACÍ NELINEÁRNÍ DIFERENCIÁLNÍ ROVNICE 3.ŘÁDU

Souhrn

Je vyšetřována nelineární diferenciální rovnice 3.řádu (p(t)(p(t)x')') + 4p(t)q(t)x' + 2(p(t)q(t))'x == f(t,x,x', (p(t)x')'), (1)

kde p, q $\in C^{0}(J)$, pq $\in C^{1}(J)$, f $\in C^{0}(D)$, p(t)>0 pro t $\in J$ a J = = $[t_{0}, \infty)$, D = J×R³.

Nechť x je (napravo) maximální řešení rovnice (1) definované na intervalu $[t_x, T_x)$ ($T_x \stackrel{\leq}{=} \infty$). Řekneme, že řešení x (derivace x´řešení x; druhá kvaziderivace (px´)´řešení x) je oscilatorické, jestliže v každém levém okolí bodu T_x existuje nulový bod funkce x (x´; (px´)´).

V práci jsou uvedeny podmínky, které jsou postačující k tomu, aby každé řešení x rovnice (1) (derivace x´řešení x; druhá kvaziderivace (px´)´řešení x), které je definované na polopřímce $[t_x, \infty)$, bylo oscilatorické.

КОЛЕВАНИЕ РЕШЕНИЙ И ИХ ПРОИЗВОДНЫХ НЕЛИНЕЙНОГО ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНОГО УРАВНЕНИЯ З-ГО ПОРЯДКА

Резюме

Изучается нелинейное дифференциальное уравнение 3-го порядка

 $\begin{array}{ll} (p(t)(p(t)x^{'})^{'})^{'} + 4p(t)q(t)x^{'} + 2(p(t)q(t))^{'}x = \\ & = f(t,x,x^{'}, (p(t)x^{'})^{'}), \end{array} \tag{1} \\ \textbf{где} \quad p, \ q \ \epsilon \ C^{0}(J), \ pq \ \epsilon \ C^{1}(J), \ f \ \epsilon \ C^{0}(D), \ p(t) \ \neq 0 \end{array} \qquad \textbf{для} \\ t \ \epsilon \ \textbf{R} \quad \textbf{w} \ J = \int t_{0}, \ \textbf{w}, \ D = \ Jx \ \textbf{R}^{3}. \end{array}$

Пусть х (направо) полное решение уравнения (1) определенное на интервале $[t_x, T_x)$ ($T_x \stackrel{\ell}{=} \infty$). Решение х (производная х' решения х; вторая квезипроизводная (рх')' решения х) называется колеблющееся, если в каждой левой окрестности точки T_x существует нулевая точка функции х (х'; (рх')').

В работе приводятся достаточные условия для того, чтобы все решения к (производные х' решений х; вторые квазипроизводные(px') ' решений х) уравнения (1), которые определены на полупрямой $[t_x, \infty)$ были колеблющиеся.

> Author's address: RNDr. Svatoslov Staněk, CSc. přírodovědecká fakulta Univerzity Palackého Gottwaldova 15 771 46 Olomouc ČSSR /Czechoslovakia/

Acta UPO, Fac.rer.nat., Vol.91, Mathenatica XXVII, 1938, 135-200.