

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum  
Naturalium. Mathematica

---

Tadeusz Dłotko

Periodic solutions of some second order differential systems

*Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica*, Vol. 32 (1993), No. 1, 35--41

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120296>

**Terms of use:**

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF SOME SECOND ORDER DIFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

TADEUSZ DŁOTKO

(Received May 20, 1992)

### Abstract

The question of existence of periodic solutions to the problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} x''(t) &= g(t, x(t)) + f(t, x(t), x(r(t)), x'(t), x'(z(t))) \\ x(o) &= x(w), \quad x'(o) = x'(w), \quad w > o, \quad t \in [o, w] \end{aligned}$$

is considered. The proofs are based on topological degree methods, especially on the homotopy theory.

**Key words:** Existence in periodic BVPs, second order ODE with deviated argument, a priori bounds, perturbed systems.

**MS Classification:** 34C25

In this paper there are demonstrated some theorems for the existence of  $AC^1[o, w]$  solutions to the problem (1). Assuming degree conditions a lot of papers was devoted to second order BVPs (see [1]–[4], [6]–[11]).

The concept of the a priori estimate and the extension to equations with deviated argument seems to be new in this paper. We assume that the function  $g(t, x)$  is strong nonlinear in neighbourhood of  $(t, x) = (o, o)$  and linear outside of it.

The formulations of degree theory here follows M.A. Krasnoselskij [4].

**Notations and assumptions** The symbols  $x, g, f$  denote  $n \times 1$  vectors, in the following  $A(t)$  is a  $n \times n$  integrable matrix.  $(u, v)$  is the inner product of vectors  $u, v \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .  $r(t), z(t)$  are continuous functions  $r, z: [o, w] \rightarrow [o, w]$ . We assume that  $f$  fulfils the Caratheodory conditions and it is bounded for arbitrary arguments. Further  $(x, A(t)x) > o$  for  $x \neq o$  and  $t \in [o, w]$ . A function  $x \in AC^1[o, w]$  which fulfils (1) for a.e.  $t \in [o, w]$  will be called a solution of (1).

**Lemma 1** *The problem*

$$(2) \quad x''(t) = A(t)x(t), \quad x(o) = x(w), \quad x'(o) = x'(w), \quad t \in [o, w]$$

has exactly the solution  $x(t) \equiv o$  for  $t \in [o, w]$ .

In fact let us consider the inner product

$$(3) \quad (x(t), x'(t)),$$

where  $x(t)$  denote a solution of (2). Then

$$(x(t), x'(t))' = (x(t), A(t)x(t)) + (x'(t))^2 \geq o \quad \text{for } t \in [o, w]$$

and the function  $(x(t), x'(t))$  is nondecreasing in  $[o, w]$ .

When

$$(x(t), x'(t)) \neq \text{const.},$$

then

$$(x(o), x'(o)) \neq (x(w), x'(w))$$

and (2) has only the zero solution.

When

$$(x(t), x'(t)) = c = \text{const.} \neq o,$$

then

$$(x(t))^2 = 2ct + d, \quad c, d = \text{const.}$$

The boundary conditions

$$x(o) = x(w), \quad x'(o) = x'(w)$$

fulfils the system

$$(x(o))^2 = 2co + d, \quad (x(w))^2 = 2cw + d,$$

which is contradictory.

When  $c = o$ , then

$$o \equiv (x(t), x'(t))' = (x(t), A(t)x(t)) + (x'(t))^2$$

and

$$x(t) \equiv o \quad \text{for } t \in [o, w].$$

A consequence of the lemma is the existence of a Green function  $G(t, s)$  to the problem

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} (Lx)(t) &= x''(t) - A(t)x(t) = o \\ x(o) &= x(w), \quad x'(o) = x'(w), \quad t \in [o, w]. \end{aligned}$$

The solution of the problem

$$(1') \quad \begin{aligned} x''(t) &= A(t)x(t) + f(t, x(t), x(r(t)), x'(t), x'(z(t))) \\ x(o) &= x(w), \quad x'(o) = x'(w), \quad w > o, \quad t \in [o, w] \end{aligned}$$

can be written in the form

$$(5) \quad x(t) = \int_o^w G(t, s) f(s, x(s), x(r(s)), x'(s), x'(z(s))) ds.$$

It follows of [5] p.60 that the operator

$$(6) \quad (Fx)(t) := \int_o^w G(t, s) f(s, x(s), x(r(s)), x'(s), x'(z(s))) ds$$

is completely continuous in  $AC^1[o, w]$ . The fixed point of (6) is a  $AC^1[o, w]$  solution of (5).

**Theorem 1** *Let us consider the problem (1') and assume that*

$$(A(t)x, x) > o \quad \text{for } t \in [o, w] \quad \text{and } x \neq o.$$

*The functions  $f(t, x, y, z, u)$ ,  $r(t)$ ,  $z(t)$  fulfil the above formulated assumptions, especially  $f$  is bounded for  $t \in [o, w]$  and arbitrary  $(x, y, z, u)$ .*

*Then (1') has at least one solution  $x \in AC^1[o, w]$ .*

**Proof** For the following considerations let us introduce the trivial vector field

$$(7) \quad (\phi x)(t) = x(t) - \int_o^w ox(s) ds,$$

it is completely continuous in  $AC^1[o, w]$ ,

$$\phi : AC^1[o, w] \rightarrow AC^1[o, w]$$

and for  $x \in AC^1[o, w]$ ,  $\|x\| = R > o$  it is  $\phi(x) \neq o$ . The rotation  $\gamma(\phi, S_R)$  of  $\phi(x)$  on the sphere

$$S_R = \{x : x \in AC^1[o, w], \|x\| = R > o\}$$

may be considered. The vector field  $\phi$  fulfils the condition

$$\phi(-x) = -\phi(x) \quad \text{hence} \quad \gamma(\phi, S_R) \neq o.$$

It is

$$\inf_{x \in S_R} \|\phi(x)\| = \alpha_R = R > o.$$

Therefore

$$(8) \quad \inf_{x \in kS_R} \|\phi(x)\| = k\alpha_R = kR \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{when } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

Further let

$$(9) \quad (\psi x)(t) =: x(t) - \int_0^w G(t, s) f(s, x(s), x(r(s)), x'(s), x'(z(s))) ds, \\ x \in AC^1[o, w], \quad \|x\| = R > 0.$$

The vector field  $\psi$  is completely continuous,

$$\psi : AC^1[o, w] \rightarrow AC^1[o, w]$$

and

$$(10) \quad \|\phi x - \psi x\| = \left\| \int_0^w G(t, s) f(s, x(s), x(r(s)), x'(s), x'(z(s))) ds \right\| \leq c = \text{const.}$$

The constant  $c$  in (10) is independent of the radius  $R$  of  $S_R$ . Conditions (8) and (10) enable for  $R_1$  sufficiently large the inequality

$$(11) \quad \|\phi x - \psi x\| < \|\phi x\|, \quad x \in S_{R_1}.$$

From the last inequality it follows that the vector fields  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  on  $S_{R_1}$  are homotopic and therefore

$$(12) \quad \gamma(\psi, S_{R_1}) \neq 0.$$

The nonzero rotation (12) is sufficient for the existence of at least one solution  $x \in AC^1[o, w]$  of the problem (1').

Let us now consider the problem (1) with the function  $g(t, x)$  instead of  $A(t)x$  in (1'). The behaviour of  $g(t, x)$  in the neighbourhood of the origin  $(t, x) = (0, 0)$  is not important for the validity of theorem.

To examine this question we formulate the following lemma.

**Lemma 2** *Let us consider the problem (1) and suppose that there exists  $R_0 > 0$  such that for*

$$(t, x) \in D_1 =: \{(t, x) : t \in [0, w], \|x\| \geq R_0\}$$

*$g(t, x) \equiv A(t)x$  and  $(A(t)x, x) > 0$ . In the set*

$$D_2 =: \{(t, x) : t \in [0, w], \|x\| < R_0\}$$

*the function  $g(t, x)$  in general is nonlinear.*

*Then there exists a linear function  $\bar{A}(t)x$  for  $(t, x) \in D_2$  and  $(\bar{A}(t)x, x) > 0$  such that*

$$(13) \quad \hat{A}(t)x =: \begin{cases} \bar{A}(t)x, & (t, x) \in D_2 \\ g(t, x) = A(t)x, & (t, x) \in D_1 \end{cases}$$

*fulfils the Caratheodory conditions and*

$$(14) \quad \|A(t)x - g(t, x)\| \leq m = \text{const.} \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in D_2.$$

To prove the lemma it is enough to take as  $\bar{A}(t)x, (t, x) \in D_2$  any continuous prolongation of  $A(t)x, (t, x) \in D_1$ , such that

$$(\bar{A}(t)x, x) > o \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in D_2.$$

Now we may demonstrate the following theorem.

**Theorem 2** *Let us examine problem (1) and assume lemma 2 and that the functions  $f, r, z$  and  $g$  fulfil the conditions formulated at the beginning of the paper. Then (1) has at least one solution.*

**Proof** Let us rewrite (1) in the form

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} x''(t) = \\ = \bar{A}(t)x(t) + f(t, x(t), x(r(t)), x'(t), x'(z(t))) + g(t, x(t)) - \bar{A}(t)x(t), \\ x(o) = x(w), \quad x'(o) = x'(w), \quad x \in AC^1[o, w], \quad \|x\| = R > o. \end{aligned}$$

The difference

$$f(t, x(t), x(r(t)), x'(t), x'(z(t))) + g(t, x(t)) - \bar{A}(t)x(t) \quad (t, x) \in D_2$$

is bounded and  $\hat{A}(t)x$  fulfils the condition  $(\hat{A}(t)x, x) > o$  for  $t \in [o, w]$  and  $x \neq o$ . Therefore theorem 1 may be applied to (14) and this finished the proof.

In a well known manner it is possible to get  $w$ -periodic solutions of the problem (1).

**Theorem 3** *If  $A(t), r(t), z(t), f(t, x, y, z, u)$  are  $w$ -periodic in  $t$  and fulfil the assumptions of theorem 2, then problem (1) has at least one  $w$ -periodic solution  $x \in AC^1[o, w]$ .*

**Examples** The above theorems can be applied to the system

$$\begin{cases} x_1''(t) = x_1(t) + tx_2(t) + \arctan(x_2(\sin t)) \\ x_2''(t) = -tx_1(t) + 4x_2(t) + \exp(-[x_1^2(\sin t) + x_2^2(\sin t)]) \end{cases} \quad t \in [o, 2\pi]$$

but it is impossible to apply the existence theorems to the scalar problem

$$x''(t) = ox(t) + 2, \quad x(o) = x(w), \quad x'(o) = x'(w), \quad t \in [o, w], \quad w > o$$

arbitrary.

The condition  $(A(t)x, x) > o$  for  $t \in [o, w], x \neq o$  is not valid.

## References

- [1] Dłotko, T.: *On periodic boundary value problem for a differential equation of  $n$ -th order*, Annales Math. Silesianae, Vol.5, 1991, 43–50.
- [2] Dłotko, T.: *Periodic boundary value problem for a matrix differential equation*, J. Bolyai Math. Soc., Colloquium on differential equations and applications, Budapest, 1991, in press.
- [3] Gaines, R. E., Mawhin, J. L.: *Coincidence degree and nonlinear differential equations*, Springer Verlag, 1977, p. 262.
- [4] Krasnosielskij, M. A.: *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations*, Moscow, 1956, (in Russian).
- [5] Lusternik, L. A., Sobolew, W. I.: *Elements of functional analysis*, PWN Warsaw, 1959, (in Polish).
- [6] Omari, P., Zanolin, F.: *On the existence of periodic solutions of second order forced differential equations*, Nonlinear Anal., Methods and Appl., Vol. 11, nr.2, 1987, 275–284.
- [7] Mawhin, J. L.: *Topological degree methods in nonlinear boundary value problems*, CBMS Conf. in Math., 40, American Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979.
- [8] Mawhin, J. L.: *Boundary value problems at resonance for vector second order non-linear ord. diff. equations*, Proc. Equadiff. IV, Prague 1977, LNM 703, 241–249, Springer Verlag, 1979.
- [9] Reissig, R., Sansone, G., Conti, R.: *Qualitative Theorie Nichtlinearer Differentialgleichungen*, Cremonese, Roma, 1963.
- [10] Rachůnková, I.: *Periodic Boundary value problems for second order differential equations*, Acta UPO, Fac. Rer. Nat., Math. XXIX, Vol. 97(1990), 83–91.
- [11] Vasiljev, N. I., Klovov, J. A.: *Foundations of the theory of boundary value problems in ord. diff. equat.* Zinatne, Riga, 1978 (in Russian).
- [12] Villari, G.: *On the qualitative behaviour of solutions of Lienard equation*, J. Diff. Equat., Vol. 67, nr. 2, 1987, 269–277.

**Author's address:** Institute of Mathematics  
Silesian University  
Bankowa 14  
40 007 Katowice  
Poland