

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum
Naturalium. Mathematica

Jiří Rachůnek

Circular totally semi-ordered groups

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 33 (1994), No. 1, 109--116

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120304>

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

CIRCULAR TOTALLY SEMI-ORDERED GROUPS

JIRÍ RACHŮNEK

(Received January 27, 1994)

Abstract

In the paper, circular totally semi-ordered groups are introduced and some properties of them, especially for the cases having least strictly positive elements, are studied.

Key words: Semi-ordered group, totally semi-ordered group, circular tournament.

MS Classification: 06F15, 06F99

Let $T \neq \emptyset$ be a set. Then a binary relation " \leq " on A is called a *semi-order* if it is reflexive and antisymmetric. The pair (T, \leq) is then said to be a *semi-ordered set* (a *so-set*).

If moreover

$$\forall a, b \in T; \quad a \leq b \text{ or } b \leq a,$$

then (T, \leq) is called a *tournament*. Denote

$$a < b \iff_{\text{df}} a \leq b \text{ and } a \neq b.$$

A tournament $T = (T, \leq)$ is said to be *circular* (see [1]) if

(a) there exist $a, b, c \in T$ such that $a < b < c < a$,

and if

(b) whenever $x, y, z \in T$ satisfy $x < y < z < x$, then there exists no $w \in T$ such that $w < \{x, y, z\}$ or $\{x, y, z\} < w$.

If $(G, +)$ is a group and (G, \leq) is a so-set, and if

$$a \leq b \Rightarrow c + a + d \leq c + b + d$$

for any $a, b, c, d \in G$, then $G = (G, +, \leq)$ is called a *semi-ordered group* (a *so-group*). If, moreover, (G, \leq) is a tournament, then $G = (G, +, \leq)$ is called a *totally semi-ordered group* (a *to-group*). A *to-group* G is said to be *circular* if the tournament (G, \leq) is circular.

We will denote by G^+ the *positive cone* of any *so-group* G (i.e. $G^+ = \{x \in G; 0 \leq x\}$).

Some properties of *so-groups* and *to-groups* were studied in [2], [3], [4] and [5].

The definition of a *to-group* of course admits essentially more possibilities of total semi-orders than total orders on a given group. For example, if G is an abelian group, then any subset P with 0 of G containing no non-zero element together with its opposite element such that $P \cup -P = G$ is the positive cone of a total semi-order on G .

Therefore, first it is important to study classes of *to-groups* which are “enough close” to totally ordered groups. Evidently the circular *to-groups* form such a class of *to-groups*. The study of properties of circular *to-groups* is the aim of the paper.

Proposition 1 *A to-group $G = (G, +, \leq)$ is circular if and only if there are $u, v \in G$ with $0 < u < v < 0$ and if (G^+, \leq) satisfies the condition (b) from the definition of a tournament.*

Proof Let G be circular, $a, b, c \in G$, $a < b < c < a$. Then $0 < b - a < c - a < 0$. The condition (b) is satisfied trivially.

Conversely, let $x, y, z, w \in G$, $x < y < z < x$, $w < \{x, y, z\}$. Then $0 < \{x - w, y - w, z - w\}$ and $x - w < y - w < z - w < x - w$, and so we get a contradiction with the hypothesis of the validity of (b) in G^+ . Similarly for $\{x, y, z\} < w$. The condition (a) is for G valid trivially. \square

Example 1 We will show that the *to-group* $G = (G, +, \leq)$, where $(G, +) = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ and

$$G^+ = \{0, 1, -2, 3, 4, -5, 6, 7, -8, 9, 10, -11, \dots, 3n, 3n + 1, -(3n + 2), \dots\}$$

is circular.

(a) We have e.g. $0 < 1 < -1 < 0$.

(b) Let $x, y, z \in G^+ \setminus \{0\}$, $x < y < z < x$. Then $y - x, z - y, x - z \in G^+ \setminus \{0\}$.

1. Let $y - x = 3a$, $z - y = 3b$, $x - z = 3c$, $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$3a = y - x = z - 3b - z - 3c = 3(-b - c),$$

a contradiction, hence such elements x, y, z do not exist.

2. Let $y - x = 3a$, $z - y = 3b + 1$, $x - z = -(3c + 2)$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $b, c \geq 0$. Then

$$3a = y - x = z - 3b - 1 - z + 3c + 2 = 3(-b + c) + 1,$$

a contradiction.

3. Let $y - x = 3a$, $z - y = 3b$, $x - z = 3c + 1$. Then

$$3a = y - x = z - 3b - z - 3c - 1 = 3(-b - c) - 1,$$

a contradiction.

4. Let $y - x = 3a$, $z - y = 3b$, $x - z = -(3c + 2)$. Then

$$3a = y - x = z - 3b - z + 3c + 2 = 3(-b + c) + 2,$$

a contradiction.

5. Let $y - x = 3a + 1$, $z - y = 3b + 1$, $x - z = -(3c + 2)$. Then

$$3a + 1 = y - x = z - 3b - 1 - z + 3c + 2 = 3(-b + c) + 1,$$

hence $a = -b + c$.

Let $x = 3n$. Then

$$z = x + 3c + 2 = 3n + 3c + 2 = 3(n + c) + 2 \notin G^+,$$

a contradiction.

Let $x = 3n + 1$. Then

$$y = 3n + 1 + 3a + 1 = 3(n + a) + 2 \notin G^+,$$

a contradiction.

Let $x = -(3n + 2)$. Then

$$y = -3n - 2 + 3a + 1 = 3(-n + a) - 1 \notin G^+,$$

a contradiction.

6. Let $y - x = 3a + 1$, $z - y = -(3b + 2)$, $x - z = -(3c + 2)$. Then

$$3a + 1 = y - x = z + 3b + 2 - z + 3c + 2 = 3(b + c + 1) + 1,$$

hence $a = b + c + 1$.

Let $x = 3n$. Then

$$z = 3n + 3c + 2 = 3(n + c) + 2 \notin G^+,$$

a contradiction.

Let $x = 3n + 1$. Then

$$y = 3n + 1 + 3a + 1 = 3(n + a) + 2 \notin G^+,$$

a contradiction.

Let $x = -(3n + 2)$. Then

$$y = -3n - 2 + 3a + 1 = 3(-n + a) - 1 \notin G^+,$$

a contradiction.

7. Let $y - x = 3a + 1$, $z - y = 3b + 1$, $x - z = 3c + 1$. Then

$$3a + 1 = y - x = z - 3b - 1 - z - 3c - 1 = 3(-b - c - 1) + 1,$$

hence $a = -b - c - 1$, a contradiction.

8. Let $y - x = -(3a + 2)$, $z - y = -(3b + 2)$, $x - z = -(3c + 2)$. Then

$$-(3a + 2) = y - x = z + 3b + 2 - z + 3c + 2 = 3(b + c + 1) + 1,$$

hence $3(-a - 1) + 1 = 3(b + c + 1) + 1$, therefore $a = -b - c - 2$, a contradiction.

9. Let $y - x = 3a$, $z - y = 3b + 1$, $x - z = 3c + 1$. Then

$$3a = y - x = z - 3b - 1 - z - 3c - 1 = 3(-b - c) - 2,$$

a contradiction.

10. Let $y - x = 3a$, $z - y = -(3b + 2)$, $x - z = -(3c + 2)$. Then

$$3a = y - x = z + 3b + 2 - z + 3c + 2 = 3(b + c + 1) + 1,$$

a contradiction.

11. Let, for example, $y - x = 3a + 1$, $z - y = 3b + 1$, $x - z = 3c$. Then

$$3a + 1 = y - x = z - 3b - 1 - z - 3c = 3(-b - c) - 1,$$

a contradiction.

12. Let, for example, $y - x = -(3a + 2)$, $z - y = 3b + 1$, $x - z = 3c + 1$. Then

$$3(-a) - 2 = y - x = z - 3b - 1 - z - 3c - 1 = 3(-b - c) - 2,$$

hence $a = b + c$.

Let $x = 3n$. Then

$$z = 3n - 3c - 1 = 3(n - c) - 1,$$

a contradiction.

Let $x = 3n + 1$. Then

$$y = 3n + 1 - 3a - 2 = 3(n - a) - 1,$$

a contradiction.

Let $x = -(3n + 2)$. Then

$$y = -3n - 2 - 3a - 2 = 3(-n - a - 1) - 1,$$

a contradiction.

Therefore we can see that in all examined cases (and evidently also in all remaining ones) such elements x, y, z do not exist. Hence the condition (b) is for G^+ valid trivially.

Example 2 Denote $G = (\mathbb{Z}, +, \leq)$, where $G^+ = (\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \{4\}) \cup \{-4\}$. (\mathbb{Z}^+ is meant in the natural order of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$.) Then G is a *to*-group, but it is not circular. Indeed, for example, $1 < 3 < 5 < 1$ and $0 < \{1, 3, 5\}$.

The positive cone G^+ of a *so*-group G need not be, in general, convex in G . (For instance, for \mathbb{Z}_3 , where $\mathbb{Z}_3^+ = \{0, 1\}$, we have $1 < 2 < 0$, $1, 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_3^+$, but $2 \notin \mathbb{Z}_3^+$.)

Lemma 2 *If G is a *so*-group such that G^+ is convex in G , then G satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- a) G is a *po*-group (i.e. " \leq " is transitive);
- b) $\exists a, b \in G; 0 < a < b, 0 \parallel b$.

Proof Let us suppose that $x, y, z \in G$ and $x < y < z$, that means $0 < -x + y < -x + z$. If in such a case always $0 < -x + z$, then G is a *po*-group.

Thus, let $0 \not< -x + z$. Suppose that $-x + z \leq 0$. Then $-x + y < -x + z \leq 0$, hence from the convexity of G^+ we have $-x + z \in G^+$. Therefore $-x + z \in G^+ \cap -G^+ = \{0\}$, i.e. $x = z$, a contradiction. Hence $0 \parallel -x + z$. \square

Corollary *If G is a *to*-group, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- a) G is an *o*-group (i.e. a totally ordered group).
- b) G^+ is convex in G .
- c) There are no elements $a, b \in G$ with $0 < a < b < 0$.

Proof $a \iff b$: By Lemma 2.

$b \implies c$: Trivial.

$c \implies a$: Suppose that G is not an *o*-group. Then there exist elements $x, y, z \in G$ such that $x < y < z < x$, hence $0 < -x + y < -x + z < 0$, a contradiction. Therefore $x < z$, and thus " \leq " is transitive. \square

Theorem 3 *Let G be a circular *to*-group which contains an element $a \in G^+ \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a \leq b$ for every $b \in G^+ \setminus \{0\}$ (i.e. a is the least element of $G^+ \setminus \{0\}$), and let a have infinite order. Then $[a] = \text{grp}(a)$ is a subgroup of G that is an *o*-group and for which $[a]^+$ is convex in G^+ .*

Proof a) Let a be the least element of $G^+ \setminus \{0\}$. Let us suppose that $x \in G$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $0 < x \leq na$. Then $a \leq x$, and so $0 \leq x - a$. If $x - a = 0$, then $x \in [a]$. In the opposite case $0 < x - a$, hence $a \leq x - a$, that means $0 \leq x - 2a$. If $x - 2a = 0$, then $x \in [a]$, otherwise $0 < x - 2a$, etc. But because $x \leq na$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < k \leq n$, such that $x = ka$, therefore $x \in [a]$.

b) Let us show that the to -group $[a]$ is an o -group. First we will prove that $(-n)a < 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let n be the least natural number with $0 < (-n)a$. (Clearly $n > 1$). Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (2n-1)a - (2n)a &= -a < 0, & \text{hence } (2n-1)a < (2n)a; \\ (2n)a - na &= na < 0, & \text{hence } (2n)a < na; \\ na - (2n-1)a &= -(n-1)a < 0, & \text{hence } na < (2n-1)a. \end{aligned}$$

At the same time: Because $0 < (-n)a$, we have $a \leq (-n)a$, thus $0 \leq (-n-1)a$, and because a has infinite order, it must be $0 < (-n-1)a$. But this means that $a \leq (-n-1)a$, and so $0 < (-n-2)a$. By this method, we obtain $0 < (-2n+1)a$, $0 < (-2n)a$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-2n)a &< (-2n+1)a < (-n)a < (-2n)a, \\ 0 &< (-2n+1)a, \quad 0 < (-2n)a, \quad 0 < (-n)a, \end{aligned}$$

that contradicts the condition (b) from the circularity of G .

Hence $(-n)a < 0$, and therefore $0 < na$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, if $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $na \in [a]^+$, $0 \leq ma \leq na$, then $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and thus $[a]^+$ is convex in $[a]$. But this means, by Corollary of Lemma 2, that $[a]$ is an o -group.

c) Now it is clear, by the preceding parts of the proof, that $[a]^+$ is convex in G^+ . \square

Theorem 4 *Let G be a circular to -group with the least strictly positive element a which has infinite order. Then $[a]$ is the least of all proper subgroups H of G such that H^+ is convex in G^+ .*

Proof Let H be a subgroup of G and let H^+ be convex in G^+ . If $0 < b \in H$, then $a \leq b$, and hence $0 < a \leq b$ implies $a \in H$. \square

Theorem 5 *If G is a circular to -group with the least strictly positive element a , then there is no element x in G such that $0 < x$, $-x < x$ and $x < (-n)a$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof Suppose that for $0 < x$, $-x < x$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x < (-n)a$. Since $0 < x$ we have $a \leq x$, and since $x \neq a$ (it follows from the fact that $a \not\leq (-n)a$), $0 < -a + x$. From this $a \leq -a + x$, and because $x \neq 2a$, we obtain $0 < -2a + x$, i.e. $2a < x$, etc. Therefore $na < x$, that means $-x < (-n)a$. Hence $-x < \{0, x, (-n)a\}$, and at the same time $0 < x < (-n)a < 0$, a contradiction with the circularity of G . \square

Example 3 Consider again the circular to -group G from Example 1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}3n - 3 = 3(n - 1) \in G^+, \quad \text{hence } 3n \geq 3, \\(3n + 1) - 3 = 3(n - 1) + 1 \in G^+, \quad \text{hence } 3n + 1 > 3, \\-(3n + 2) - 3 = -3(n + 2) + 1 \in G^+, \quad \text{hence } -(3n + 2) > 3,\end{aligned}$$

therefore 3 is the least element in $G^+ \setminus \{0\}$.

Hence the subgroup $[3]$ is, by Theorem 3, an o -group and it is the least of all subgroups H of G such that H^+ is convex in G^+ .

In this case, the subgroup $[3] = 3\mathbb{Z}$ has more properties. Consider the group $G' = (\mathbb{Z}_3, +)$ of numbers $\{0, 1, 2\}$ with the addition modulo 3 totally semi-ordered by $0 < 1 < 2 < 0$. Let f be the mapping of \mathbb{Z} onto \mathbb{Z}_3 such that for $x \in 3\mathbb{Z} + i$, $f(x) = i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$). Clearly, f is a *wal*-homomorphism of G onto G' with the kernel $3\mathbb{Z}$, and hence $3\mathbb{Z}$ is a *wal*-ideal of G .

Let $n\mathbb{Z}$ ($n > 1$) be a convex *wal*-ideal of G . If $n \in 3\mathbb{N}$, then $0 < 3$ and $3 \leq n$ imply $3 \in n\mathbb{Z}$. But this is possible only for $n = 3$.

If $n \in 3\mathbb{N} + 1$, then $0 < 1 < n$ imply $1 \in n\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction.

If $n \in 3\mathbb{N} + 2$, then $0 < n - 1 < n$, hence $n - 1 \in n\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction.

This means that $3\mathbb{Z}$ is the unique proper *wal*-ideal (and so also the unique convex *wal*-subgroup) of G .

Evidently $3\mathbb{Z}$ is also the only subgroup such that its positive cone is convex in G^+ .

References

- [1] Droste, M.: *k-homogeneous relations and tournaments*. Quart. J. Math. Oxford, (2) 40 (1989), 1–11.
- [2] Rachůnek, J.: *Semi-ordered groups*. Acta UP Olomucensis, Fac. rer. nat., 61 (1979), 5–20.
- [3] Rachůnek, J.: *Solid subgroups of weakly associative lattice ordered groups*. Acta UP Olomucensis, Fac. rer. nat., 105 (1992), Math. 31, 13–24.
- [4] Rachůnek, J.: *Groupes faiblement réticulés*. In: Sémin. Structures Alg. Ordonnées, Univ. Paris VII, No. 42, 1993, (11 pp.).
- [5] Rachůnek, J.: *Varieties of weakly associative lattice groups*. (To appear in Czechoslovak Math. J.)

Author's address: Department of Algebra and Geometry
Faculty of Science
Palacký University
Tomkova 40, Hejčín
779 00 Olomouc
Czech Republic