

Dănuț Marcu

Note on graphs colouring

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 117 (1992), No. 2, 157–158

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125898>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

NOTE ON GRAPHS COLOURING

DĂNUȚ MARCU, Bucharest

(Received September 24, 1990)

Summary. In this paper, we show that the maximal number of minimal colourings of a graph with n vertices and the chromatic number k is equal to k^{n-k} , and the single graph for which this bound is attained consists of a k -clique and $n - k$ isolated vertices.

Keywords: Graph theory, graphs colouring

AMS classification: 05C40

The graphs considered here are finite, undirected and simple (without loops or multiple edges), [1] being followed for terminology and notation. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with V the set of vertices and E the set of edges. A colouring of G is a partition of V such that every class of the partition induces a subgraph consisting only of isolated vertices. We denote by $C(G)$ the number of minimal colourings of G . Obviously, if $\gamma(G)$ is the chromatic number of G , then $\gamma(G)$ represents the minimal number of classes of a colouring of G .

In the sequel, we suppose that G has n vertices and $\gamma(G) = k$, and we prove the following

Theorem. $C(G) \leq k^{n-k}$, and the single graph G for which this upper bound is attained consists of a k -clique and $n - k$ isolated vertices.

Proof. We shall prove this result by induction on n . Obviously, for $n = 1, 2$ the result is true. So, suppose that the result is true for all graphs with at most $n - 1$ vertices, and let G be a graph with n vertices and the chromatic number $\gamma(G) = k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let v be an arbitrary vertex of G and $G - v$ the subgraph obtained from G by deleting v . If $\gamma(G - v) = k$, then, by induction hypothesis, we have

$$C(G) \leq kC(G - v) \leq k \cdot k^{n-k-1} = k^{n-k},$$

the equality holding only if v is an isolated vertex and $G - v$ has the maximal number of minimal colourings, since v may be added to a minimal partition of $G - v$ in at most k different ways.

If $\gamma(G - v) = k - 1$, then a minimal colouring of G is $\{v\}, I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{k-1}$, where I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{k-1} are independent sets and there exist vertices $v_1 \in I_1, v_2 \in I_2, \dots, v_{k-1} \in I_{k-1}$ which are joined by an edge with v since, otherwise, $\gamma(G) = k - 1$.

Obviously, every minimal colouring of G has a class which contains v and a subset of $V - \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$. However, $|V - \{v, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}\}| = n - k$ and, therefore, the number of minimal partitions of V which contain in the same class the vertex v together with r vertices from $V - \{v, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$, $0 \leq r \leq n - k$, is less than or equal to $\binom{n-k}{r} (k-1)^{n-k-r}$. Indeed, r vertices may be chosen from a set of $n - k$ vertices in $\binom{n-k}{r}$ different ways, and the maximal number of minimal partitions of a graph H with $n - k - r$ vertices and $\gamma(H) = k - 1$ is equal to $(k - 1)^{n-k-r}$, by induction hypothesis. Hence

$$C(G) \leq \sum_{r=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{r} (k-1)^{n-k-r} = k^{n-k},$$

the equality holding only if $\{v, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$ induces a k -clique and the remaining vertices are isolated. Thus, the theorem is proved. \square

Corollary. *The maximal number of minimal colourings of a graph with n vertices is equal to*

$$\max_{r=\lfloor x \rfloor, \lceil x \rceil} (r^{n-r}),$$

where x is the real number which verifies the equation $x(1 + \ln x) = n$.

Proof. By the above theorem, the maximal number of minimal colourings of a graph with n vertices is equal to

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (k^{n-k}),$$

and the equation $x(1 + \ln x) = n$ is obtained by equalizing to zero the derivative of the function x^{n-x} . \square

References

- [1] *C. L. Liu: Introduction to Combinatorial Mathematics, Mc Graw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1968.*

Author's address: Str. Pasului 3, Sect. 2, 70241-Bucharest, Romania.