

Roman Frič

L -groups versus k -groups

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 118 (1993), No. 2, 113–121

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126049>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

L-GROUPS VERSUS *k*-GROUPS

ROMAN FRIČ, Košice

(Received March 20, 1990)

Summary. We investigate free groups over sequential spaces. In particular, we show that the free *k*-group and the free sequential group over a sequential space with unique limits coincide and, barred the trivial case, their sequential order is ω_1 .

Keywords: sequential convergence, free sequential groups, free *k*-groups, sequential order

AMS classification: 54A20 (22A99)

1. INTRODUCTION

Usually, by an *L*-group a group equipped with a convergence of sequences compatible with the group operation is understood. The notion dates back to O. Schreier ([21]). The most important class of *L*-groups consists of groups in which the convergence has unique limits and satisfies the Urysohn axiom of convergence. Such groups are known as FLUSH-convergence groups (the so called Katowice notation, cf. [14]) or L^*GR_{sep} ([8]). It is known that there are nice correspondences between certain sequential convergences and certain sequential closures (cf. [7], [10]). In particular, each FUSH-convergence space can be viewed as a sequential (topological) space having unique sequential limits and each FLUSH-convergence group can be viewed as a group equipped with a sequential topology having unique sequential limits such that the group operation is sequentially continuous. Since sequential spaces are *k*-spaces, a natural question arises what is the relationship between *L*-groups and the more recent *k*-groups (cf. [18]). The two theories have been developed independently, though there were clues indicating that their intersection is non-void (cf. [5] and [20]). In [4] it is proved that sequential groups with unique sequential limits, hence FLUSH-convergence groups, can be identified with a subclass of weakly

Hausdorff k -groups. In the present paper we show that the identification extends to free groups. As a corollary, we show that epics in the category of FLUSH-groups are morphisms with top dense range. The identification also yields a tool for studying certain sequential properties of free k -groups and free topological groups ([19]).

2. L -GROUPS VERSUS k -GROUPS

For k -spaces and k -groups the reader is referred to [13], [1] and [18], [11], and for spaces and groups equipped with a sequential convergence to [10], [1] and [15], [9], [5], [8]. Epics in T_2 k -groups are investigated in [12].

For the reader's convenience we recall here some basic facts about sequential spaces and k -spaces.

Let X be a topological space. A subset U of X is said to be *sequentially open* if whenever a sequence (x_n) converges in X to $x \in U$, then $x_n \in U$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$; its complement is called *sequentially closed*. Open sets are sequentially open and the latter sets form a topology; the resulting space will be denoted by $s(X)$. This yields a well-known modification functor s acting on the category TOP of topological spaces and continuous maps. A topological space Y is said to be *sequential* if $s(Y) = Y$. A mapping f of a sequential space Y into X is continuous iff it is sequentially continuous. A quasi-compact space is a topological space with the property that every open cover has a finite subcover; a compact space is a T_2 quasi-compact space. A continuous map $\varphi: T \rightarrow X$ is said to be a *test* if T is compact. A subset U of X is said to be *k -open* (*k -closed*) if for all tests $\varphi: T \rightarrow X$, $\varphi^{-1}(U)$ is open (closed). Open sets are k -open and the latter form a topology; the resulting space will be denoted by $k(X)$. The corresponding functor acting on TOP is denoted by k . If $k(X) = X$, then X is said to be a *k -space*. Clearly, $s(X)$ is determined by all tests the domain of which is $\omega + 1$ (the space of all ordinal numbers less than or equal to ω). Indeed, a subset U of X is sequentially open (closed) iff $\varphi^{-1}(U)$ is open (closed) for all tests $\varphi: \omega + 1 \rightarrow X$. We say that X is *weakly Hausdorff*, or t_2 , if for each test $\varphi: T \rightarrow X$, $\varphi(T)$ is closed in X . In a t_2 space X the set $\varphi(T)$ is compact for each test φ ([13]). The categories K of k -spaces and WHK of t_2 k -spaces (with continuous maps as morphisms) have nice properties (cf. [11]).

For $X, Y \in \text{TOP}$ their topological product will be denoted by $X \times Y$ and, for $X, Y \in K$, $k(X \times Y)$ is their product in K ; it will be denoted by $X \times_k Y$ and called the *k -product* of X and Y (in [11] the topological product of X and Y is denoted by $X \times_c Y$ and the k -product is denoted by $X \times Y$). Similarly, if X and Y are sequential, then $s(X \times Y)$ is their *sequential product* and will be denoted by $X \times_s Y$.

A *k -group* is a group G with a k -topology such that inversion is continuous and multiplication is continuous on the k -product.

A group G equipped with a sequential topology such that inversion is continuous and such that multiplication is continuous on the sequential product is said to be a *sequential group* ([4]).

It is known that there is a one-to-one relationship between FUSH-convergences and sequential topologies with unique limits. Clearly, this induces a one-to-one relationship between FLUSH-convergence groups and sequential groups with unique sequential limits. (Recall that (L) stands for the compatibility of the convergence: if $\langle x_n \rangle$ converges to x and $\langle y_n \rangle$ converges to y , then $\langle x_n^{-1} \rangle$ converges to x^{-1} and $\langle x_n y_n \rangle$ converges to xy .) Indeed, if we start with a FLUSH-convergence in a group, sequentially open sets form a sequential topology having the same convergent sequences as the original convergence. Axiom (L) guarantees that the group equipped with the induced sequential topology is a sequential group with unique sequential limits.

Note that in the FLUS-convergence group theory (the uniqueness of limits is not assumed) the invariants fail to be “topological” (cf. Remark 2.1 in [5]). In fact, a group can be equipped with two non-isomorphic FLUS-convergences inducing the same sequential topology. The first example of such a group is due to A. Kaminski.

In the sequel, the following results from [4] will be needed.

Theorem 0. (i) *A sequential space is t_2 iff it has unique sequential limits.*

(ii) *Let X and Y be sequential spaces with unique sequential limits. Then their sequential product $X \times_s Y$ has unique sequential limits and coincides with their k -product $X \times_k Y$.*

(iii) *Let G be a k -group. Then $s(G)$ is a sequential group. If G is a t_2 space, then $s(G)$ has unique sequential limits.*

(iv) *Let G be a sequential group with unique sequential limits. Then G is a t_2 k -group.*

Remark 0. The assertion (ii) in Theorem 0 follows by Theorem 3.6 in [22] (s -products and k -products of finitely many spaces coincide) and the fact that unique sequential limits are preserved by products. Observe that while Theorem 3.6 in [22] is proved by categorical arguments, in [4] a simple topological proof of (ii) in Theorem 0 is given.

Remark 1. Denote by WHSG the category of all sequential groups having unique sequential limits, with sequentially continuous homomorphisms as morphisms. It follows from (iv) in Theorem 0 that WHSG is a full subcategory of WHKG (consisting of all t_2 k -groups, see [11]). This in turn induces an isomorphism between FLUSH-convergence groups and the corresponding full subcategory of WHKG.

Remark 2. Since the k -product of two k -groups is a k -group, it follows from (ii) in Theorem 0 that the k -product of two t_2 sequential groups is a t_2 sequential

group. The assertion can be easily extended to finite products. The k -product of uncountably many t_2 sequential groups need not be a sequential group. E.g., let X be an uncountable power of the one-dimensional torus. The k -product topology is the usual product topology for X ; it is a k -group topology but fails to be sequential. The question arises whether every k -product of countably many t_2 sequential groups is a sequential group. The answer is “yes” provided the topological product of countably many compact sequential spaces with unique sequential limits is a sequential space.

The relevant information on free FLUSH-convergence groups (commutative, non-commutative, pointed commutative and pointed noncommutative) can be found in [5] and [6]. For free k -groups and their relationship to free topological groups, the reader is referred to [18] and [11]. Interesting facts about free continuous algebras are contained in [20].

Definition. Let X be a sequential space having unique sequential limits with a distinguished point e and let FX be a sequential group having unique sequential limits which contains X as a subspace and has e as its identity element. Then FX is said to be the *pointed (Graev) free sequential group over X* if each continuous map of X into a sequential group G with unique sequential limits, sending e to the identity element of G , can be uniquely extended to a continuous homomorphism of FX into G . The (non-pointed) *free sequential group*, the *abelian free sequential group* and the *pointed abelian free sequential group* are defined in the obvious way.

Remark 3. Let X be a sequential space with unique sequential limits. The existence and properties of all four types of free sequential groups FX follow directly from the corresponding results for FLUSH-convergence free groups ([5]).

Theorem 1. *Let X be a sequential space with unique sequential limits. Let FX be the free k -group generated by X . Then FX is a sequential group with unique sequential limits.*

Proof. Consider the sequential modification $s(FX)$ of FX . Since X is a t_2 space, FX is a t_2 space as well (cf. Corollary 2.13 in [11]). By (iii) in Theorem 0, $s(FX)$ is a sequential group with unique sequential limits and, by (iv) in Theorem 0, it is a t_2 k -group. Since the identity mapping of $s(FX)$ into FX is continuous, necessarily $s(FX) = FX$. □

Corollary 1. *Let X be a sequential space with unique sequential limits. Let FX be the free k -group (abelian, pointed, pointed abelian) over X . Then FX is the free sequential (abelian, pointed, pointed abelian) group over X .*

Proof. The free sequential group over X (cf. Remark 3) is a t_2 k -group ((iv) in Theorem 0), hence it has to coincide with FX . □

Remark 4. Corollary 1 yields an alternative construction of the free FLUSH-convergence group via the free k -group. Indeed, if X is a FUSH-convergence space, then the free FLUSH-convergence group (pointed, abelian, pointed abelian) generated by X can be constructed via applying successively the topological modification functor, then the free k -group functor and then the sequential convergence functor assigning to each topological space (in general to each filter convergence space) the associated sequential (FUS-) convergence.

Theorem 2. *Let $f: G \rightarrow H$ be an epic in the category of sequential groups with unique sequential limits. Then $f(G)$ is dense in H .*

Proof. Clearly, f is an epic in the category WHKG. By Corollary 2.48 in [11], $f(G)$ is dense in H . □

Corollary 2. *Epics in WHSG are exactly morphisms with dense range.*

Corollary 3. *Epics in the category of FLUSH-convergence groups are exactly morphisms with top-dense range.*

3. SEQUENTIAL CONDITIONS IN FREE GROUPS

Let X be a k -space with a distinguished point (basepoint) e . The pointed (Graev) free k -group over X will be denoted by $F_K X$ (recall that if X is t_2 , then $F_K X$ is t_2 as well). Similarly, for a Tychonoff space X with a distinguished point e the pointed (Graev) free topological group over X will be denoted by $F_G X$. As a rule, the non-pointed (Markov) free groups are covered as a special case with e isolated. Since the choice of e plays no role in our considerations, we usually do not mention it.

Theorem 3. *Let X be a t_2 k -space. Then X is sequential iff $F_K X$ is sequential.*

Proof. If $F_K X$ is sequential, then so is its closed subspace X . The converse follows from Corollary 1. □

Recall ([16]) that a topological space is called a k_ω -space if it is a direct limit of an expanding sequence of compact (i.e. Hausdorff) subspaces. Since $F_G X = F_K X$ whenever X is a k_ω -space, Theorem 3 generalizes Theorem 3.1 in [19] stating that a k_ω -space X is sequential iff $F_G X$ is sequential. Obviously, the most natural generalization of Theorem 3.1 in [19] leads to the class $\{X; F_G X = F_K X\}$ of all Tychonoff k -spaces X for which $F_G X$ and $F_K X$ coincide. It would be interesting to establish the basic properties of this class (cf. [2], [16]).

Our final topic is the sequential order in free groups. For the reader's convenience we start with some general remarks.

Let X be a nonempty set equipped with an FS-convergence of sequences. Then to each subset A of X we can assign the set $\text{cl } A$ of all limits of sequences ranging in A . The corresponding convergence closure operator cl need not be idempotent. For each ordinal number α not exceeding ω_1 we define inductively $\alpha\text{-cl } A$, $A \subset X$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 0\text{-cl } A &= A, \\ \alpha\text{-cl } A &= \cup\{\text{cl}(\beta\text{-cl } A); \beta < \alpha\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\omega_1\text{-cl } A = \text{cl}(\omega_1\text{-cl } A)$ for all $A \subset X$ and $\omega_1\text{-cl}$ is a closure operator satisfying all four axioms of Kuratowski. The sequential order of the convergence (of the underlying space) is the least ordinal number α such that $\text{cl}(\alpha\text{-cl } A) = \alpha\text{-cl } A$ for all $A \subset X$. For a limit ordinal number α , $\alpha\text{-cl}$ is sometimes defined by $\alpha\text{-cl } A = \text{cl} \cup \{\beta\text{-cl } A; \beta < \alpha\}$. Obviously, the two corresponding notions of the sequential order are slightly different. However, the fact that the sequential order of a convergence is ω_1 does not depend on the way how $\alpha\text{-cl}$ is defined for limit ordinal numbers.

Iterations of cl in various types of continuous groups have been investigated in, e.g., [15], [17], [19], [3]. P. Nyikos asked in [17] whether in a sequential topological group the sequential order may be anything between 1 and ω_1 . Since the sequential order of all known continuous groups is either 0, 1 or ω_1 , it is natural to ask the same question in this more general setting.

Theorem 4. *Let X be a t_2 k -space and suppose that there is a one-to-one sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ converging in X to a point x . Let T be the subspace of X the underlying set of which is $\{x_n; n = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{x\} \cup \{e\}$. Then*

- (i) T is a closed compact subspace of X ;
- (ii) The subgroup of $F_K X$ generated by T is $F_K T$ and it is closed in $F_K X$;
- (iii) The sequential order of $F_K X$ is ω_1 .

Proof. (i) Observe that T is a continuous image of a compact space. Since X is t_2 , T is a closed subspace. Consequently (cf. 2.1 in [13]), T is compact.

(ii) The assertion follows directly from Proposition 5.3 in [18].

(iii) Since T is compact, we have $F_G T = F_K T$. By Corollary 3.8 in [18], $F_G T$ contains a closed subspace homeomorphic to the well-known sequential space S_ω the sequential order of which is ω_1 . Thus the sequential order of $F_K X$ is ω_1 as well. \square

Corollary 4. (i) *Let X be a nondiscrete FUSH-convergence space and let FX be the free FLUSH-convergence group over X . Then the sequential order of FX is ω_1 .*

(ii) *Let X be a nondiscrete sequential space with unique sequential limits. Let FX be the free sequential group over X . Then the sequential order of FX is ω_1 .*

In [19], the proof that the sequential order of a topological group G is ω_1 is carried out by embedding S_ω into G as a closed subspace. In [3] a general inductive construction is used to show that the sequential order of various FUSH-convergence spaces and FLUSH-convergence groups is ω_1 . As an illustration of the inductive construction we prove that the sequential order of the free commutative FLUSH-convergence group over a nondiscrete FUSH-convergence space is ω_1 .

Let X be a nondiscrete FUSH-convergence space. Let $\langle x_n \rangle$ be a one-to-one sequence converging in X to a point x , $x_n \neq x$ for all $n \in N$. Let FCX be the free commutative FLUSH-convergence group over X . Then for each $k \in N$, the sequence $\langle k(x_n - x) \rangle = \langle S_k(n) \rangle = S_k$ converges in FCX to 0, but no diagonal subsequence converges in FCX to 0. Hence (cf. [15]) FCX fails to be a Fréchet space.

Let M be a nonempty subset of N . Denote by $W(M)$ the set of all elements of FCX of the form $\sum_{i=1}^m w_i$, where $m \in N$ and for each i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, there are $k(i) \in M$ and $n(i) \in N$ such that $w_i = S_{k(i)}(n(i)) = k(i)(x_{n(i)} - x)$.

Lemma. *Let $\langle M_n \rangle$ be a sequence of disjoint nonempty subsets of N . Let $\langle v_n \rangle$ be a sequence such that $v_n \in W(M_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then no subsequence of $\langle v_n \rangle$ converges in FCX .*

Proof. The assertion follows from the fact that the complexity of words v_n (the number of occurrences of a generator in v_n) tends to infinity. \square

Theorem 5. *The sequential order of FCX is ω_1 .*

Proof. It suffices to prove that for each ordinal number α , $\alpha < \omega_1$, the following proposition holds true:

$P(\alpha)$ For each infinite set $M \subset N$ there exists a set $A \subset W(M)$ such that $\alpha\text{-cl } A \subset W(M) \setminus \{0\}$ and $(\alpha + 1)\text{-cl } A = \{0\} \cup \alpha\text{-cl } A$.

We shall proceed by transfinite induction. Let M be an infinite subset of N .

Let $\alpha = 0$. Clearly, it suffices to choose $k \in M$ and put $A = \{S_k(n); n \in N\}$. Now, let $\alpha > 0$ and assume that $P(\beta)$ holds true for all ordinal numbers β , $\beta < \alpha$.

Let $\alpha = \beta + 1$. Let $\langle M_n \rangle$ be a sequence of infinite disjoint subsets of M . By the inductive assumption, for each M_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, there is a set $A_n \subset W(M_n)$ such that $\beta\text{-cl } A_n \subset W(M_n) \setminus \{0\}$ and $(\beta + 1)\text{-cl } A_n = \{0\} \cup \beta\text{-cl } A_n$. By Lemma, no subsequence of any diagonal sequence $\langle v_n \rangle$, $v_n \in \beta\text{-cl } A_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, converges in FCX . Fix $m \in M$ and let A be the union of the sets $S_m(n) + A_n$, $n \in N$. It is easy to verify that $(\beta + 1)\text{-cl } A \subset W(M) \setminus \{0\}$ and $(\beta + 2)\text{-cl } A = \{0\} \cup (\beta + 1)\text{-cl } A$.

Let α be a limit ordinal number and let $\langle \alpha_n \rangle$ be a sequence of ordinal numbers converging to α , $\alpha_n < \alpha$ for all $n \in N$. The construction of a suitable set $A \subset W(M)$

is similar to that for isolated α , $\alpha > 0$, and it is omitted. This completes the proof. \square

E. T. Ordman and B. V. Smith-Thomas raised a question whether, if $F_G X$ contains a nontrivial convergent sequence, then also X contains a nontrivial convergent sequence (Question 3.11 in [19]). The following two related questions seem to be natural. What happens if $F_G X$ is replaced by $F_K X$? What is the relationship between compact sets in X and compact sets in $F_G X$ (or $F_K X$)?

References

- [1] R. Engelking: General topology, PWN, Warszawa, 1977.
- [2] T. H. Fay, E. T. Ordman, B. V. Smith-Thomas: The free topological group over rationals, General Topology Appl. 10 (1979), 33–47.
- [3] R. Frič, J. Gerlits: On the sequential order, Math. Slovaca 42 (1992), 505–512.
- [4] R. Frič, M. Hušek, V. Koutník: Sequential groups, k -groups and other categories of continuous algebras, to appear.
- [5] R. Frič, F. Zanolin: Sequential convergence in free groups, Rend. Ist. Matem. Univ. Trieste 18 (1986), 200–218.
- [6] R. Frič, F. Zanolin: Fine convergence in free groups, Czechoslovak Math. J. 36 (1983), 134–139.
- [7] A. Kaminski: On characterization of topological convergence, Proc. Conf. on Convergence (Szczyrk, 1979), Polska Akad. Nauk, oddział w Katowicach, Katowice, 1980, pp. 50–70.
- [8] B. Kneis: Completion functors for categories of convergence spaces. II. Embedding of separated acceptable spaces into their completion, Math. Nachr. 135 (1988), 181–211.
- [9] V. Koutník: Completeness of sequential convergence groups, Studia Math. 77 (1984), 454–464.
- [10] V. Koutník: Closure and topological sequential convergence, Convergence structures 1984, (Proc. Conference on Convergence, Bechyně 1984), Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, pp. 199–204.
- [11] W. F. LaMartin: On the foundations of k -group theory, Diss. Math. 146 (1977).
- [12] W. F. LaMartin: Epics in the category of T_2 k -groups need not have dense range, Colloq. Math. 36 (1976), 37–41.
- [13] M. McCord: Classifying spaces and infinite symmetric products, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 146 (1969), 273–298.
- [14] P. Mikusinski: Problems posed at the Conference, Proc. Conf. on Convergence (Szczyrk, 1979), Polska Akad. Nauk, oddział w Katowicach, Katowice, 1980, pp. 110–112.
- [15] J. Novák: On convergence groups, Czechoslovak Math. J. 20 (1970), 357–374.
- [16] E. Nummela: Uniform free topological groups and Samuel compactification, Topology Appl. 13 (1982), 77–83.
- [17] P. J. Nyikos: Metrizable and the Fréchet-Urysohn property in topological groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1981), 793–801.
- [18] E. T. Ordman: Free k -groups and free topological groups, General Topology Appl. 5 (1975), 205–219.
- [19] E. T. Ordman, B. V. Smith-Thomas: Sequential conditions and free topological groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1980), 319–326.

- [20] *H.-E. Porst*: Free algebras over cartesian closed topological categories, *General Topology and its Relation to Modern Analysis and Algebra*, VI, (Proc. Sixth Prague Topological Sympos., 1986), Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1988, pp. 437–450.
- [21] *O. Schreier*: Abstrakte kontinuierliche Gruppen, *Hamb. Abh.* 4 (1926), 15–32.
- [22] *O. Wyler*: Convenient categories for topology, *General Topology Appl.* 3 (1973), 225–242.

S ú h r n

L-GRUPY VERSUS *k*-GRUPY

ROMAN FRIČ

V tomto článku sú vyšetované voľné grupy nad sekvenčnými priestorami. Je dokázané, že voľná *k*-grupa a *L*-grupa nad sekvenčným priestorom s jednoznačnými limitami splývajú a že v netriviálnom prípade ich sekvenčný rád je ω_1 .

Author's address: Matematický ústav SAV, Grešákova 6, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia.