

Imrich Fabrici

One-sided principal ideals in the direct product of two semigroups

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 118 (1993), No. 4, 337–342

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126156>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ONE-SIDED PRINCIPAL IDEALS IN THE DIRECT PRODUCT
OF TWO SEMIGROUPS

IMRICH FABRICI, Bratislava

(Received December 2, 1991)

Summary. A necessary and sufficient condition is given for

- a) a principal left ideal $L(s, t)$ in $S \times T$ to be equal to the direct product of the corresponding principal left ideals $L(s) \times L(t)$,
- b) an \mathcal{L} -class $L_{(s,t)}$ to be equal to the direct product of the corresponding \mathcal{L} -classes $L_s \times L_t$.

Keywords: direct product of two semigroups, principal left ideal, \mathcal{L} -class, maximal \mathcal{L} -class

AMS classification: 20M10, 20M12

It is well known that if L_1 is a left ideal of a semigroups S , L_2 is a left ideal of a semigroup T , then the direct product $L_1 \times L_2$ is a left ideal of the direct product of two semigroups $S \times T$. If $s \in S$, $t \in T$, then by $L(s)$, $L(t)$ we denote the principal left ideal of S and of T , respectively, and by $L(s, t)$ the principal left ideal of $S \times T$. $L(s) \times L(t)$ is a left ideal of $S \times T$, but it need not be the principal left ideal of $S \times T$.

Let L_s be an \mathcal{L} -class of S containing $s \in S$, let L_t be an \mathcal{L} -class of T containing $t \in T$, and let $L_{(s,t)}$ be an \mathcal{L} -class of $S \times T$ containing $(s, t) \in (S \times T)$.

The aim of the note is

- a) to investigate the mutual relation between $L(s, t)$ and $L(s) \times L(t)$ and to find conditions under which $L(s, t) = L(s) \times L(t)$,
- b) to investigate the mutual relation between $L_{(s,t)}$ and $L_s \times L_t$ and to find conditions under which $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$.

All results are given for principal left ideals and \mathcal{L} -classes, because for principal right ideals and \mathcal{R} -classes they are similar. For all notions and notation, which we use and do not define, we refer to [2].

Lemma 1. *Let $(s, t) \in S \times T$. Then $L(s, t) \subset L(s) \times L(t)$.*

Proof. $L(s, t) = (s, t) \cup (Ss \times Tt) \subset (s, t) \cup (s \times Tt) \cup (Ss \times t) \cup (Ss \times Tt) = (s \cup Ss) \times (t \cup Tt) = L(s) \times L(t)$. \square

Theorem 1. $L(s, t) = L(s) \times L(t)$ iff at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- 1) $Ss = \{s\}$,
- 2) $Tt = \{t\}$,
- 3) $s \in Ss$ and $t \in Tt$.

Proof. a) If 1) holds, then $L(s) = \{s\}$ and $L(s) \times L(t) = \{s\} \times (t \cup Tt) = (s, t) \cup (s \times Tt) = (s, t) \cup (Ss \times Tt) = L(s, t)$.

If 2) holds, we proceed analogously.

If 3) holds, then $L(s) = Ss$, $L(t) = Tt$. Hence $L(s) \times L(t) = (Ss \times Tt) = (s, t) \cup (Ss \times Tt) = L(s, t)$.

b) Let none of the conditions hold. This is possible only in two cases:

- α) $s \notin Ss$ and $Tt \neq \{t\}$;
- β) $\{s\} \neq Ss$ and $t \notin Tt$.

If α) holds then there exists $t_1 \neq t$ such that $t_1 \in Tt$. Then $(s, t_1) \in L(s) \times L(t)$, but $(s, t_1) \neq (s, t)$, so $(s, t_1) \notin (Ss \times Tt)$, since $s \notin Ss$. Then $(s, t_1) \notin (s, t) \cup (Ss \times Tt) = L(s, t)$. Therefore, $L(s, t) \neq L(s) \times L(t)$.

The notion of a projection is used in the usual way ([5]): The function $\Pi_S : S \times T \rightarrow S$ defined by $(s, t)\Pi_S = s$ for all $(s, t) \in (S \times T)$ is the projection of $S \times T$ onto S , similarly Π is onto T . \square

Remark 1. It is easy to see that $L(s, t)\Pi_S = L(s)$ in S , $L(s, t)\Pi_T = L(t)$ in T .

Theorem 2. Let $(s, t) \in S \times T$ be any element. Then

- 1) $L_{(s, t)} \subseteq L_s \times L_t$.
- 2) If $L_{(s, t)} \subset L_s \times L_t$, then $L_s \times L_t$ is the union of at least two \mathcal{L} -classes in $S \times T$.

Proof. 1) Let $(u, v) \in L_{(s, t)}$. Then $L(u, v) = L(s, t)$ and $L(u) = L(s)$ in S , $L(v) = L(t)$ in T , hence $u \in L_s$, $v \in L_t$ and therefore $(u, v) \in L_s \times L_t$, so $L_{(s, t)} \subseteq L_s \times L_t$.

2) Let $(u, v) \in L_s \times L_t - L_{(s, t)}$. Then $u \in L_s$, $v \in L_t$, $L_u = L_s$, $L_v = L_t$. Then $L_{(u, v)} \subseteq L_u \times L_v = L_s \times L_t$. \square

Corollary. If $L_s = \{s\}$, $L_t = \{t\}$, then $L_{(s, t)} = L_s \times L_t$.

Lemma 2. If $(s, t) \notin (Ss \times Tt)$, then $L_{(s, t)} = \{(s, t)\}$.

Proof. $L(s, t) = (s, t) \cup (Ss \times Tt)$ and for any $(u, v) \in L(s, t)$, $(u, v) \neq (s, t)$, $(u, v) \in (Ss \times Tt) \subset L(s, t)$. Then $L(u, v) \subseteq (Ss \times Tt) \subset L(s, t)$, hence $L(u, v) \neq L(s, t)$, therefore $L_{(s, t)} = \{(s, t)\}$. \square

Theorem 3. $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$ in $S \times T$ iff at least one of the following conditions holds:

- 1) $L_s = \{s\}$ in S , and $L_t = \{t\}$ in T .
- 2) $s \in Ss$ and $t \in Tt$.

Proof. a) Let $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$. We shall consider two possibilities:

- (i) $L_{(s,t)} = \{(s,t)\}$,
- (ii) $|L_{(s,t)}| > 1$.

If (i) holds, then $L_{(s,t)} = \{(s,t)\}$ implies $L_s = \{s\}$, $L_t = \{t\}$, therefore 1) holds.

If (ii) holds, then there is $(u,v) \neq (s,t)$ such that $(u,v) \in L_{(s,t)}$. Then $(u,v) \in (Su \times Tv) = (s,t) \cup (Ss \times Tt)$, thence $(u,v) \in (Ss \times Tt)$ and $(s,t) \in (Su \times Tv)$. Hence we have $(Ss \times Tt) = (Su \times Tv)$ and $(s,t) \in (Ss \times Tt)$; therefore, $s \in Ss$ and $t \in Tt$, so 2) holds.

b) Now, if 1) holds, the $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$ by Corollary of Theorem 2.

If 2) holds, then $s \in Ss$ and $t \in Tt$, then $(s,t) \in (Ss \times Tt)$. Let $(u,v) \in L_s \times L_t$ so $u \in L_s$, $v \in L_t$. It is easy to show that $Su = Ss$, and $Tv = Tt$ and then $Su \times Tv = Ss \times Tt$. Then $L(s,t) = Ss \times Tt = Su \times Tv = L(u,v)$, therefore $(u,v) \in L_{(s,t)}$. It implies that $L_s \times L_t \subseteq L_{(s,t)}$. Since by Theorem 2 $L_{(s,t)} \subseteq L_s \times L_t$, we conclude $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$. \square

Theorem 4. If $|L_s| > 1$ in S and $|L_t| > 1$ in T , then

- 1) $s \in Ss$ and $t \in Tt$,
- 2) $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$ in $S \times T$.

Proof. 1) Since $|L_s| > 1$ and $|L_t| > 1$, there is $u \in L_s$, $u \neq s$ and $v \in L_t$, $v \neq t$, such that $L(u) = L(s)$ in S and $L(v) = L(t)$ in T . Then $u \cup Su = s \cup Ss$ and $v \cup Tv = t \cup Tt$. It implies $u \in Ss$ and $s \in Su$ and similarly $v \in Tt$ and $t \in Tv$. Thus we have $Su \subseteq Ss$ and $Ss \subseteq Su$, which gives $Su = Ss$ and $Tv = Tt$ and it implies $s \in Ss$, $t \in Tt$.

2) It implies from Theorem 3. \square

Corollary. If $L_s \times L_t$ in $S \times T$ is a union of at least two \mathcal{L} -classes, then necessarily either $|L_s| > 1$ and $L_t = \{t\}$, or $L_s = \{s\}$ and $|L_t| > 1$.

Theorem 5. $L_s \times L_t$ is the union of at least two \mathcal{L} -classes in $S \times T$ iff either $|L_s| > 1$ and $L_t = \{t\}$, $t \notin Tt$, or $L_s = \{s\}$, $s \notin Ss$ and $|L_t| > 1$.

Proof. a) If $L_s \times L_t$ is the union of at least two \mathcal{L} -classes, then by Corollary of Theorem 4 either $|L_s| > 1$ and $L_t = \{t\}$ or $L_s = \{s\}$ and $|L_t| > 1$. If $|L_s| > 1$, then by Theorem 4 $s \in Ss$, $L_t = \{t\}$ and $t \notin Tt$, because otherwise $s \in Ss$ and $t \in Tt$ implies $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$ by Theorem 3, which contradicts the hypothesis, so $t \notin Tt$.

In the case $L_s = \{s\}$ and $|L_t| > 1$ we proceed analogously.

b) Conversely, let $|L_s| > 1$ and $L_t = \{t\}$, $t \notin Tt$. Let $u \in L_s$, $u \neq s$, then $(s, t) \in L_s \times L_t$ as well as $(u, t) \in L_s \times L_t$. Moreover, $(s, t) \notin (Ss \times Tt)$ and $(u, t) \notin (Su \times Tt)$ as $t \notin Tt$, therefore by Lemma 2 $L_{(s,t)} = \{(s, t)\}$, $L_{(u,t)} = \{(u, t)\}$ and both $L_{(s,t)} \subseteq L_s \times L_t$ and $L_{(u,t)} \subseteq L_s \times L_t$.

In the case $L_s = \{s\}$, $s \notin Ss$ and $|L_t| > 1$ we proceed analogously.

In the next part we want to characterize maximal \mathcal{L} -classes in $S \times T$ and their mutual relation to maximal \mathcal{L} -classes in S and in T , respectively.

An \mathcal{L} -class $L_s(L_{(s,t)})$ in S ($S \times T$) is maximal, if there is no element $u \in S$ ($(u, v) \in S \times T$) such that $L(s) \subset L(u)$ ($L(s, t) \subset L(u, v)$).

An element $s \in S$ is indecomposable if $s \in S - S^2$. □

Remark 2. It is evident that

- 1) If $s \in S$ is indecomposable, then $s \notin Ss$ and $L_s = \{s\}$.
- 2) An element $(s, t) \in S \times T$ is indecomposable iff either $s \in S$ or $t \in T$ is indecomposable.

Lemma 3. 1) If $(S \times T)^2 \subset S \times T$, then for any $(s, t) \in S \times T - (S \times T)^2$, $L_{(s,t)} = \{(s, t)\}$ is maximal \mathcal{L} -class in S .

2) If $L_{(s,t)} = \{(s, t)\}$ is a maximal \mathcal{L} -class of $S \times T$ and $(s, t) \notin (Ss \times Tt)$, then (s, t) is indecomposable.

Proof. 1) Let $(s, t) \in (S \times T) - (S \times T)^2$. If $L(s, t) \subset L(u, v)$ for some $(u, v) \in S \times T$, then $(s, t) \in (Su \times Tv) \subseteq (S^2 \times T^2)$, which contradicts the hypothesis.

2) Let $L_{(s,t)} = \{(s, t)\}$ be a maximal \mathcal{L} -class of $S \times T$ and $(s, t) \notin (Ss \times Tt)$. If $(s, t) \in (Su \times Tv)$ for $(u, v) \in S \times T$, $(u, v) \neq (s, t)$, then $L(s, t) \subseteq L(u, v)$ in $S \times T$. $L(s, t) = L(u, v)$ cannot be satisfied, since $L_{(s,t)} = \{(s, t)\}$, hence $L(s, t) \subset L(u, v)$ and this contradicts the fact that $L_{(s,t)}$ is a maximal \mathcal{L} -class in $S \times T$. Consequently for any $(u, v) \in (S \times T)$ we have $(s, t) \notin (Su \times Tv)$, therefore either $s \notin S^2$ or $t \notin T^2$, or both $s \notin S^2$ and $t \notin T^2$. Hence $(s, t) \in (S \times T) - (S \times T)^2$. □

Theorem 6. Let $(s, t) \in (Ss \times Tt)$. Then $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$ is a maximal \mathcal{L} -class iff L_s is a maximal \mathcal{L} -class in S and at the same time L_t is a maximal \mathcal{L} -class in T .

Proof. a) The equality $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$ follows from Theorem 3. Let e.g. L_s be no maximal \mathcal{L} -class. Then there is $u \in S$ such that $L(s) \subset L(u)$. If $u \in Su$, then from the relation $L(s) \subset L(u)$ we have $L(s) \subset Su$ and $u \notin L(s)$. Moreover, $(u, t) \notin L(s) \times L(t) = L(s, t)$. However, $u \in Su$, $t \in Tt$ implies $L(u, t) = L(u) \times L(t) = Su \times Tt \supset L(s) \times L(t) = L(s, t)$, since $(u, t) \notin L(s) \times L(t)$. It means that $L_{(s,t)}$ is not a maximal \mathcal{L} -class in $S \times T$.

If $u \notin Su$, then $L(s) \subset L(u)$ implies that $L(s) \subseteq Su$ and $u \notin L(s)$. Moreover $(u, t) \notin L(s) \times L(t) = L(s, t)$. But $u \notin Su$, $t \in Tt$ implies that $L(u, t) = (u, t) \cup [Su \times$

$L(t)] \supseteq (u, t) \cup L(s) \times L(t) \supset L(s) \times L(t) = L(s, t)$, since $(u, t) \notin L(s) \times L(t)$. We get again that $L_{(s,t)}$ is no maximal \mathcal{L} -class in $S \times T$.

b) Conversely, let $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$ be no maximal \mathcal{L} -class in $S \times T$. Then there is $(u, v) \in (S \times T) - L_{(s,t)}$ such that $L(s, t) = L(s) \times L(t) = Ss \times Tt \subset L(u, v) \subseteq L(u) \times L(v)$. It implies $L(s) \subseteq L(u)$ in S , $L(t) \subseteq L(v)$ in T . However, $(u, v) \notin L(s, t)$, hence either $u \notin L(s)$ or $v \notin L(t)$. Therefore, either $L(s) \subset L(u)$ in S , or $L(t) \subset L(v)$ in T . It means that either L_s is no maximal \mathcal{L} -class in S , or L_t is no maximal \mathcal{L} -class in T . \square

Theorem 7. *Let $(s, t) \notin (Ss \times Tt)$. Then $L_{(s,t)}$ is a maximal \mathcal{L} -class in $S \times T$ iff either $s \in S - S^2$, or $t \in T - T^2$ or both of them.*

Proof. a) Let $(s, t) \notin (Ss \times Tt)$ and let $L_{(s,t)}$ be a maximal \mathcal{L} -class in $S \times T$. Then by Lemma 3 and Remark 2 we have $(s, t) \in (S \times T) - (S^2 \times T^2)$, hence either $s \in S - S^2$ or $t \in T - T^2$, or both $s \in S - S^2$ and $t \in T - T^2$.

b) If $s \in S - S^2$, $t \in T$, then $(s, t) \in S \times T$ and $(s, t) \notin S^2 \times T^2$ since $s \notin S^2$, hence $(s, t) \in (S \times T) - (S^2 \times T^2)$ and by Lemma 3 $L_{(s,t)} = \{(s, t)\}$ is a maximal \mathcal{L} -class in $S \times T$.

Theorem 1 presents conditions under which $L(s, t) = L(s) \times L(t)$, Theorem 3 presents conditions under which $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$ for a given element $(s, t) \in (S \times T)$.

The next statements express conditions under which $L(s, t) = L(s) \times L(t)$, $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$ for any $(s, t) \in (S \times T)$. \square

From Theorem 1 we immediately get

Theorem 8. *$L(s, t) = L(s) \times L(t)$ for any $(s, t) \in (S \times T)$ iff at least one of the following conditions holds:*

- 1) $Ss = \{s\}$ for any $s \in S$;
- 2) $Tt = \{t\}$ for any $t \in T$;
- 3) $s \in Ss$ and $t \in Tt$ for any $s \in S$, $t \in T$.

Theorem 9. *$L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$ for any $(s, t) \in S \times T$ iff at least one of the following conditions holds:*

- 1) $s \in Ss$ and $t \in Tt$ for any $s \in S$, $t \in T$.
- 2) Either for any $s \in S$, $s \in Ss$, $L_s = \{s\}$, there is at least one element $t \in T$ such that $t \notin Tt$, or for any $t \in T$, $t \in Tt$, $L_t = \{t\}$, there is at least one element $s \in S$ such that $s \notin Ss$.
- 3) $L_s = \{s\}$, $L_t = \{t\}$ for any $s \in S$, $t \in T$.

Proof. a) Let $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$ for any $(s, t) \in S \times T$. As we know from Theorem 5, $L_{(s,t)} \subset L_s \times L_t$ iff either $s \notin Ss$ and $|L_t| > 1$, or $|L_s| > 1$ and $t \notin Tt$.

If we suppose that $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$, then we have to eliminate the conditions under which $L_{(s,t)} \subset L_s \times L_t$.

In our procedure the following cases are considered:

α) Neither S nor T contain elements $s \in S, t \in T$ such that $s \notin Ss, t \notin Tt$.

β) Just one of the semigroups S, T contains at least one element $s \in S$ or $t \in T$, respectively such that $s \notin Ss, t \notin Tt$.

γ) Both S and T contain at least one element $s \in S, t \in T$ such that $s \notin Ss, t \notin Tt$.

If α) holds, then any $s \in S, t \in T$ satisfy $s \in Ss, t \in Tt$, and this is 1).

If β) holds and $s \in S, s \notin Ss$, then for any element $t \in T$ we have $t \in Tt$ and $L_t = \{t\}$, because if it were $|L_t| > 1$, then for $(s,t) \in L_s \times L_t$ we would have $L_{(s,t)} \subset L_s \times L_t$. Hence, $L_t = \{t\}$ for any $t \in T$. In the case that T contains such element $t \in T, t \notin Tt$, we proceed analogously obtaining $L_s = \{s\}$ for any $s \in S$, and this is 2).

γ) Let S contain at least one element $s \in S$ such that $s \notin Ss$, and let T contain at least one element $t \in T$ such that $t \notin Tt$. Then β) implies that $L_t = \{t\}$ for any $t \in T$ and $L_s = \{s\}$ for any $s \in S$, and this is 3).

b) Conversely, if 1) holds, then by Theorem 3 $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$.

If 2) holds, then for any $s \in S, s \in Ss, L_s = \{s\}$ there is at least one $t_1 \in T$ such that $t_1 \notin Tt_1$. Let $t \in T$ be any element. If $t \in Tt$, then the condition 2) of Theorem 3 is satisfied and therefore $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$. If $t \notin Tt$, then $L_t = \{t\}$ (Lemma 2), $L_s = \{s\}$ for any $s \in S$, so $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$. In the second possibility we proceed analogously.

If 3) holds, then $L_s = \{s\}, L_t = \{t\}$ for any $s \in S, t \in T$. Then $L_{(s,t)} = L_s \times L_t$. □

References

- [1] *Abrahám I.*: On (H, T) -ideals in the direct product of semigroups, *Mat. časopis* 21 (1971), 199–211.
- [2] *Clifford A.H. and Preston G.B.*: The algebraic theory of semigroups, American Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1961.
- [3] *Fabrice I.*: On semiprime ideals in the direct product of semigroups, *Mat. časopis* 18 (1968), 201–203.
- [4] *Ivan J.*: On the direct product of semigroups, *Mat.-fyz. časopis* (1953), 57–66.
- [5] *Petrich M.*: Prime ideals in the cartesian product of two semigroups, *Czechoslov. Math. J.* 12 (1962), 150–152.
- [6] *Petrich M.*: Introduction to semigroups, Charles E. Merrill Publishing CO. A Bell and Howell Company, Ohio.
- [7] *Plemmons R.*: Maximal ideals in the direct product of two semigroups, *Czechoslov. Math. J.* 17 (1967), 257–260.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, Slovak Technical University, Radlinského 9, 81237 Bratislava.