

# Matematický časopis

---

Jana Farková

About the Maximum and the Minimum of Darboux Functions

*Matematický časopis*, Vol. 21 (1971), No. 2, 110--116

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126438>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## ABOUT THE MAXIMUM AND THE MINIMUM OF DARBOUX FUNCTIONS

JANA FARKOVÁ, Bratislava

In paper [3] the following statement is proved: If  $f$  is a real valued function of a real variable, continuous and non-constant, then there is a Darboux function  $g$  with the property that the function  $F = f + g$  is not a Darboux one.

A natural question arises if a similar statement holds for the functions  $\varphi = \max(f, g)$  and  $\psi = \min(f, g)$  as well.

The answer is negative and as follows:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be Darboux real valued functions of a real variable. Let every  $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$  be a point of the upper (lower) semi-continuity of at least one of them. Then the function  $\varphi = \max(f, g)$  ( $\psi = \min(f, g)$ ) is a Darboux function.*

**Proof.** Let  $x, y (x < y)$  be real numbers, let  $\varphi(x) < c < \varphi(y)$  (a proof for  $\varphi(x) > \varphi(y)$  is analogical).

Let  $A = \{u: \text{if } x \leq u' \leq u, \text{ then } \varphi(u') < c\}$ . Let  $x_0 = \sup A$ . Because  $f$  and  $g$  are Darboux functions,  $f(x_0) \leq c$ ,  $g(x_0) \leq c$  (of course  $x_0 \neq y$ ). If  $\max(f(x_0), g(x_0)) = c$ , then  $\varphi(x_0) = c$  and the Theorem is proved.

Let  $\max(f(x_0), g(x_0)) < c$ , let  $f$  be upper semi-continuous in  $x_0$ . Now choose  $K$  such that  $f(x_0) < K < c$ . Let  $O$  be such a neighbourhood of  $x_0$  that for  $x \in O$   $f(x) < K$  holds. With regard to the construction of the point  $x_0$  in  $O$  such a point  $\xi$  ( $\xi > x_0$ ) exists that  $\varphi(\xi) \geq c > K$ . Therefore  $\varphi(\xi) = g(\xi)$ . Then either  $\varphi(\xi) = g(\xi) = c$ , or (because of  $g$  Darboux) there exists  $z \in (x_0, \xi)$  such that  $g(z) = c$ . But again  $\varphi(z) = g(z) = c$ . (The proof for  $\psi = \min(f, g)$  is analogical.)

If  $f$  is not a Darboux function, then there evidently can easily be constructed a Darboux function  $g$  (even a suitable constant) such that  $\max(f, g)$  ( $\min(f, g)$ ) is not a Darboux function.

As the following theorem shows, Darboux upper semi-continuous functions are the only functions with the property that the maximum of the function and an arbitrary Darboux function is again Darboux.

Lower semi-continuous functions play an analogical role in the case of a minimum.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f$  be a Darboux real valued function of a real variable. Let  $f$  be not upper semi-continuous (lower semi-continuous). Then there exists such a Darboux function  $g$  that  $\varphi = \max(f, g)$  ( $\psi = \min(f, g)$ ) is not Darboux.*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  not be upper semi-continuous in a point  $x_0$ . Therefore  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) > f(x_0)$ . It means that at least one of these inequalities must hold:  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} f(x) > f(x_0)$ ,  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} f(x) > f(x_0)$ . Let  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} f(x) > f(x_0)$  hold (in the second case the proof is analogical).

Now choose  $K$  such that  $f(x_0) < K < \limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} f(x)$ ,  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} f(x) + f(x_0) \geq 2K$ .

Define a function  $g$ :  $g(x) = f(x)$ , for  $x \leq x_0$ ,  $g(x) = 2K - f(x)$ , for  $x_0 < x$ .

We shall show that  $g$  is Darboux, therefore for  $x, y$  real and  $c$  such that  $g(x) < c < g(y)$  there exists  $z \in (\min(x, y), \max(x, y))$  so that  $g(z) = c$  (it is equivalent to the statement that  $g(\langle x, y \rangle)$  is connected).

If  $\max(x, y) \leq x_0$ , or if  $\min(x, y) > x_0$ , it follows immediately from the definitions of  $f$  and of  $g$ . Let  $\min(x, y) = x_0$  and let  $x < y$ . Since  $g(y) > c$ ,  $f(y) < 2K - c$ ; considering that  $c > g(x) = f(x_0)$ ,  $2K - c < 2K - f(x_0)$  and since  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} f(x) + f(x_0) \geq 2K$ , then  $2K - f(x_0) \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} f(x)$ . Thus there is a point  $\xi \in (x_0, y)$  such that  $f(\xi) > 2K - c$ . Therefore  $f(y) < 2K - c < f(\xi)$  and thus there is a point  $z \in (\xi, y)$  such that  $f(z) = 2K - c$  and then  $g(z) = c$ .

Let  $\min(x, y) = x_0$  and  $x > y$ . In this case  $f(x) = 2K - g(x) > 2K - c > 2K - g(y) = 2K - f(x_0) > f(x_0) = f(y)$ . It follows that there exists a point  $z \in (y, x)$  such that  $f(z) = 2K - c$  and then  $g(z) = c$ .

Let now  $x, y$  be such real numbers that  $x_0 \in (x, y)$ . Then  $g(\langle x, y \rangle) = g(\langle x, x_0 \rangle) \cup g(\langle x_0, y \rangle)$ . Because of connectivity  $g(\langle x, x_0 \rangle)$  and  $g(\langle x_0, y \rangle)$  and because  $g(\langle x, x_0 \rangle) \cap g(\langle x_0, y \rangle) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $g(\langle x, y \rangle)$  is a connected set.

Because of  $\varphi(x_0) = f(x_0) < K$  and  $\varphi(x) \geq K$  for  $x \in (x_0, \infty)$ ,  $\varphi = \max(f, g)$  is not Darboux.

A similar proof can be given also for the minimum.

In [3] similar questions are studied also for a class  $D_0$  of real valued functions of a real variable having „the Darboux property in the sense of Radakovič“. A function belongs to  $D_0$  iff the closure of the image of an arbitrary interval is an interval or a one-point set. The following statement is proved there: Continuous functions are the only functions such that their sum with every function from  $D_0$  is again from  $D_0$ .

These results were generalized in [2] for real valued functions defined on a topological space. Symbol  $D_0(\mathcal{B})$  denotes here a set of all real valued functions defined on a topological space  $X$  with a topological base  $\mathcal{B}$ , with the property: If  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $x, y \in \bar{B}$  and  $c$  is such that  $f(x) < c < f(y)$ , then for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a point  $\xi \in B$  such that  $f(\xi) \in (c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon)$ . Here are

further definitions of some topological properties of the base, which will be needed:

A base  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to satisfy the condition (1\*) provided that for an arbitrary open set  $U$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $x \in U$  and  $x \in \bar{B}$  there exists  $C \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $C \subset U \cap B$  and  $x \in \bar{C} - C$ .

A base  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to satisfy the condition (2\*) provided that for every  $O \in \mathcal{B}$  and every decomposition of  $O$ ,  $O = A \cup B$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $A \neq \emptyset \neq B$  with the property

$\bar{U} \cap O \subset A$ ,  $\bar{U} \cap O \subset B$  resp., if  $U \subset A$ ,  $U \subset B$ , resp., and  $U \in \mathcal{B}$ , and either  $A' \cap B$  or  $A \cap B'$  is non-empty.

In [2] the following theorem is proved: Let  $X$  be a topological space with a base  $\mathcal{B}$ , satisfying the conditions (1\*) and (2\*). Let  $f$  and  $g$  be from  $D_0(\mathcal{B})$ . Let every  $x \in X$  be a point of continuity of  $f$  or of  $g$ . Then the functions  $\varphi = \max(f, g)$ ,  $\psi = \min(f, g)$  are also from  $D_0(\mathcal{B})$ .

Remark 1. In [2] it is proved that a base satisfies the condition (2\*) iff it consists of connected sets only. Thus only in a local connected space there exists a base with the property (2\*).

We now give an example to show that in this more general case the continuity cannot be replaced by the upper semi-continuity if it is to be  $\varphi \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$ .

Similarly an example can be constructed showing that the continuity cannot be replaced by the lower semi-continuity if it is to be  $\psi \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$ .

Remark 2. It is necessary to explain the meaning of the upper and the lower semi-continuity of a real valued function  $f$  defined on a topological space.

In [1] a real valued function  $f$  defined on a topological space is called upper (lower) semi-continuous iff set  $\{x: f(x) \geq a\}$  ( $\{x: f(x) \leq a\}$ ) is closed for all real  $a$ . A real valued function  $f$  defined on a topological space is called upper (lower) semi-continuous in a point  $x_0$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a neighbourhood  $U$  of the point  $x_0$  such that for every  $u \in U: f(u) < f(x_0) + \varepsilon$  ( $f(u) > f(x_0) - \varepsilon$ ).

By the limit superior of  $f$  at  $x_0$  ( $\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)$ ) we mean a real number  $a$  with the properties:

1) if  $b < a$ , then in every neighbourhood  $U$  of point  $x_0$  there is a point  $y$  such that  $f(y) > b$ ,

2) if  $a < c$ , then there is such a neighbourhood  $U$  of point  $x_0$  that for  $y \in U$ ,  $f(y) < c$ .

The limit inferior of  $f$  at  $x_0$  ( $\liminf_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)$ ) is defined analogously.

Without difficulties it is possible to prove:

A function  $f$  is upper (lower) semi-continuous in a point  $x_0$  iff  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) \leq f(x_0)$  ( $\liminf_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) \geq f(x_0)$ ) holds.

Evidently a function  $f$  is upper (lower) semi-continuous iff  $f$  is upper (lower) semi-continuous in every point  $x \in X$ .

**Example.** Let  $X$  be the topological space consisting of all real numbers with the base  $\mathcal{B} = \{(a, b), a, b - \text{real}, a \neq 0\}$ .

Evidently  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies the conditions (1\*) and (2\*).

Define  $f_1(x) = -1$ , for  $x > 0$ ,  $f_1(x) = 1$ , for  $x = 0$ ,  $f_1(x) = \sin(1/x)$ , for  $x < 0$ ;  $f_2(x) = -1$ , for  $x > 0$ ,  $f_2(x) = 1$ , for  $x = 0$ ,  $f_2(x) = \sin(-1/x)$ , for  $x < 0$ .

Any point  $x \in X$  is a point of the upper semi-continuity  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . With regard to the definition of  $\mathcal{B}$  it follows that  $f_1, f_2 \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$ . If  $\varphi = \max(f, g)$  and  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $0 \in (a, b)$ , then  $\varphi((a, b)) = \langle 0, 1 \rangle \cup \{-1\}$ , therefore  $\varphi \notin D_0(\mathcal{B})$ .

The continuity may be replaced by the upper (lower) semi-continuity in a more special case, if the base  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies a condition (2), stronger than the condition (2\*):

A base  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to satisfy condition (2) provided for every  $O \in \mathcal{B}$  and every decomposition of  $O$ ,  $O = A \cup B$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $A \neq \emptyset \neq B$  with the property  $\bar{U} \cap O \subset A$ ,  $\bar{U} \cap O \subset B$  resp., if  $U \subset A$ ,  $U \subset B$ , resp., and  $U \in \mathcal{B}$ , it is  $A' \cap B \neq \emptyset \neq A \cap B'$ .

In the proof of the following theorem another notion will be required: Let  $X$  be a topological space with a base  $\mathcal{B}$ . A set  $A \subset X$  satisfies the property  $M'_*(\mathcal{B})$ , if  $\bar{B} \subset A$  for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , for which  $B \subset A$ .

$\mathcal{M}'_*(\mathcal{B})$  is a system of all real valued functions, defined on  $X$  such that the sets  $\{x: f(x) \geq a\}$  and  $\{x: f(x) \leq a\}$  have the property  $M'_*(\mathcal{B})$  for every real  $a$ . Evidently  $D_0(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{M}'_*(\mathcal{B})$ .

In [2] it is proved that if  $X$  is a topological space with a base  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfying the condition (1\*),  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}'_*(\mathcal{B})$  and any point  $x \in X$  is a point of continuity  $f$  or  $g$ , then  $\max(f, g) \in \mathcal{M}'_*(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\min(f, g) \in \mathcal{M}'_*(\mathcal{B})$ .

It is easy to show that in the proof of this statement for  $\max(f, g)$  the continuity may be replaced by the upper semi-continuity and for  $\min(f, g)$  by the lower semi-continuity.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space with a base  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfying the conditions (1\*) and (2). Let  $f, g \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$  be such functions that every  $x \in X$  is a point of the upper (lower) semi-continuity of  $f$  or of  $g$ . Then  $\varphi = \max(f, g) \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$  ( $\psi = \min(f, g) \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$ ).*

**Proof.** Let  $O \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $x, y \in \bar{O}$ ,  $\varphi(x) < c - \varepsilon < c < c + \varepsilon < \varphi(y)$ ,  $\varphi(z) \notin (c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon)$  for  $z \in O$ . Let  $A = \{u: u \in O, \varphi(u) \leq c\}$ ,  $B = \{u: u \in O, \varphi(u) \geq c\}$ ,

$O = A \cup B$ . Because  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}'_*(\mathcal{B})$ , the decomposition satisfies the property of the condition (2) ( $A \neq \emptyset \neq B$ ) and therefore  $A' \cap B \neq \emptyset \neq A \cap B'$ . Let  $x_0 \in A \cap B'$ , let  $x_0$  be a point of the upper semi-continuity of  $f$  (for  $g$  the proof is analogical). Whence it follows that there exists  $U \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $U \subset O$ ,  $x_0 \in U$  such that  $f(u) < f(x_0) + \varepsilon/2$  for  $u \in U$ . Since  $x_0 \in B'$ , there exists  $x_1 \in U \cap B$ , accordingly  $\varphi(x_1) \geq c + \varepsilon$ .

If  $f(x_1) = \varphi(x_1)$ , then  $f(x_1) \geq c + \varepsilon$ , thus  $f(x_0) > f(x_1) - \varepsilon/2 \geq c + \varepsilon/2$ , contrary to  $\varphi(x_0) \leq c - \varepsilon$ . Therefore  $g(x_1) = \varphi(x_1)$  must hold; then  $g(x_1) \geq c + \varepsilon$  and because  $g(x_0) \leq c - \varepsilon$  and  $g \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$ , there exists a  $\xi \in U$  such that  $g(\xi) \in (c + \varepsilon/2, c + \varepsilon)$ . Since  $f(\xi) < f(x_0) + \varepsilon/2 \leq c - \varepsilon/2$ ,  $\varphi(\xi) = g(\xi) \in (c + \varepsilon/2, c + \varepsilon)$  holds contrary to our assumption. Thus  $\varphi \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$ .

The proof for  $\psi = \min(f, g)$  with the assumption of the lower semi-continuity is analogical, but it is necessary to use the existing  $x_0 \in A' \cap B$ .

As the following theorem shows, the assumption of the upper (lower) semi-continuity cannot be dropped.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space with a base  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $f \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$ , let  $f$  not be upper (lower) semi-continuous. Then there exists a function  $g \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $\varphi = \max(f, g) \notin D_0(\mathcal{B})$  ( $\psi = \min(f, g) \notin D_0(\mathcal{B})$ ).*

*Proof.* (The construction of the function  $g$  is similar to that in Theorem 2 in the real case.) The proof is accomplished again only for the function  $\varphi$ ; it is evident how the function  $g$  can be constructed in the second case.

Let in a point  $x_0 \in X$   $f$  not be upper semi-continuous. Thus  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) > f(x_0)$ . Choose a real number  $K$  such that  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) > K > f(x_0)$ ,  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) + f(x_0) \geq 2K$  holds.

Define  $g: g(x_0) = f(x_0)$ ,  $g(x) = 2K - f(x)$ , for  $x \in X - \{x_0\}$ . Then  $g \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $\varphi = \max(f, g) \notin D_0(\mathcal{B})$ .

We shall prove that  $g \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$ : Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $x, y \in \bar{B}$ ,  $c$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $g(x) < c - \varepsilon < c < c + \varepsilon < g(y)$ . It is necessary to show that there exists  $z \in B$  such that  $g(z) \in (c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon)$ . Let  $x \neq x_0$ , then  $g(x) = 2K - f(x)$ ,  $g(y) = 2K - f(y)$  if  $y \neq x_0$ ,  $g(y) = f(y)$  if  $y = x_0$ . Then for both cases the following holds:  $f(x) = 2K - g(x) > 2K - c + \varepsilon > 2K - c > 2K - c - \varepsilon > f(y)$ .

Since  $f \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$ , there exists  $z \in B$ ,  $z \neq x_0$  such that  $f(z) \in (2K - c - \varepsilon, 2K - c + \varepsilon)$  and therefore  $g(z) \in (c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon)$ . Let  $x = x_0$ , then  $g(x) = f(x)$ ,  $g(y) = 2K - f(y)$ ; considering that  $c - \varepsilon > f(x_0)$ , there must be  $2K - c + \varepsilon < \limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)$  (because  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) + f(x_0) \geq 2K$ ). Accordingly  $f(y) = 2K - g(y) < 2K - c - \varepsilon < 2K - c < 2K - c + \varepsilon < \limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)$  holds.

Since  $f \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$ , there exists  $z \in B$ ,  $z \neq x_0$  such that  $f(z) \in (2K - c - \varepsilon, 2K - c + \varepsilon)$  and thus  $g(z) \in (c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon)$ .

If  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $x_0 \in \bar{B}$ , then  $\varphi(\bar{B})$  is not connected; evidently  $\varphi \notin D_0(\mathcal{B})$ .

From Theorems 3 and 4 there follows

**Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space with a base  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfying the conditions (1\*) and (2). Then the upper (lower) semi-continuous functions  $f \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$  are the only functions with the property that the function  $\max(f, g)$  ( $\min(f, g)$ ), where  $g$  is an arbitrary function of  $D_0(\mathcal{B})$  is again one of  $D_0(\mathcal{B})$ .*

In most papers dealing with the structure (algebraical or topological) of a system of functions, having in some sense the Darboux property, the question arises as to the effect of a further property of the functions of the system, if, namely, the functions belong to the first class of Baire's classification (further only:  $f$  is of the 1st class) on the structure.

It is an important question, because a Darboux function of the 1st class is the most natural and the most frequently occurring generalization of a continuous function.

A problem of this kind is solved by

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $X$  be a topological  $T_1$  space satisfying the 1st axiom of countability (any point  $x \in X$  has a countable base of neighbourhoods) with a base  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfying the conditions (1\*) and (2). Then the upper (lower) semi-continuous functions  $f \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$  are the only functions with the property that  $\max(f, g)$  ( $\min(f, g)$ ), where  $g$  is an arbitrary function of  $D_0(\mathcal{B})$  and of the 1st class, is again of  $D_0(\mathcal{B})$  and of the 1st class.*

**Proof.** It is necessary to prove the following assertions:

1) if  $f$  is upper (lower) semi-continuous,  $f \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$  and  $g$  is an arbitrary function of  $D_0(\mathcal{B})$  and of the 1st class, then  $\max(f, g)$  ( $\min(f, g)$ ) is of  $D_0(\mathcal{B})$  and of the 1st class too,

2) if  $f$  is not an upper (lower) semi-continuous functions of  $D_0(\mathcal{B})$ , then there exists a function  $g \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$  of the 1st class such that  $\max(f, g)$  ( $\min(f, g)$ ) is not a function of  $D_0(\mathcal{B})$  and of the 1st class.

1) In [4] on page 56 it is proved that if  $f$  and  $g$  are real valued functions, defined on a set  $X$  and  $\mathbf{S}$ -measurable, where  $\mathbf{S}$  is a  $\sigma$ -structure (if  $S_n \in \mathbf{S}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n \in \mathbf{S}$ , if  $S_1, S_2 \in \mathbf{S}$ , then  $S_1 \cap S_2 \in \mathbf{S}$ ) of subsets of  $X$  (in our case this  $\sigma$ -structure consists of all subsets of the topological space  $X$ , of the type  $F_\sigma$ ), then the functions  $\max(f, g)$  and  $\min(f, g)$  are  $\mathbf{S}$ -measurable, too. With the help of Theorem 3 the proof of 1) is now complete.

2) If  $f \notin D_0(\mathcal{B})$ , then a function  $g \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $g$  is of the 1st class such that  $\max(f, g) \notin D_0(\mathcal{B})$  ( $\min(f, g) \notin D_0(\mathcal{B})$ ) can be easily constructed.

Let  $f$  not belong to the 1st class. Thus there exists an open set  $G \subset (-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $f^{-1}(G)$  is not of the type  $F_\sigma$  ( $f^{-1}(G) \notin F_\sigma(X)$ ).  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} O_i$ , where  $O_i$  are

disjoint open intervals. It is obvious that there exists an  $i_0$  such that  $f^{-1}(O_{i_0}) \notin F_\sigma(X)$ . Let  $O_{i_0} = (a', b)$ . It is possible that  $a' = -\infty$ . But in this case there must exist a real number  $a''$  such that  $f^{-1}((a'', b)) \notin F_\sigma(X)$ . In the reverse case

$$f^{-1}(O_{i_0}) = f^{-1}((-\infty, b)) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (b - i, b)\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}((b - i, b)) \in F_\sigma(X).$$

Therefore there exists a real number  $a$  such that  $f^{-1}((a, b)) \notin F_\sigma(X)$ . Define  $g(x) = a$ , for  $x \in X$ . Evidently  $g \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $g$  is of the 1st class.  $\varphi = \max(f, g)$  is not of the 1st class, because  $\varphi^{-1}(a, b) = f^{-1}(a, b) \notin F_\sigma(X)$ . (The proof for  $\psi = \min(f, g)$  is analogical.)

Now suppose that  $f \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $f$  is of the 1st class, but  $f$  is not upper semi-continuous. Let us define the function  $g$  as in the proof of Theorem 4:  $g(x_0) = f(x_0)$  (point  $x_0$  is again an arbitrary point such that  $f$  in  $x_0$  is not upper semi-continuous),  $g(x) = 2K - f(x)$ , for  $x \in X - \{x_0\}$ .

We know that  $g \in D_0(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\max(f, g) \notin D_0(\mathcal{B})$ . It is necessary to show that  $g$  is of the 1st class, and thus for every open set  $G \subset (-\infty, \infty)$   $g^{-1}(G) \in F_\sigma(X)$  holds. Let us denote by  $h$  a function defined:  $h(x) = 2K - f(x)$  for  $x \in X$ . It is obvious ([4], p. 55) that  $h$  is of the 1st class.

If either  $\{h(x_0)\} \cup \{g(x_0)\} \in G$ , or  $\{h(x_0)\} \cup \{g(x_0)\} \in X - G$ , then  $h^{-1}(G) = g^{-1}(G)$  and therefore  $g^{-1}(G) \in F_\sigma(X)$ . If  $h(x_0) \in G$  and  $g(x_0) \notin G$ , then  $g^{-1}(G) = h^{-1}(G) - \{x_0\} = h^{-1}(G) \cap (X - \{x_0\})$ , if  $h(x_0) \notin G$  and  $g(x_0) \in G$ , then  $g^{-1}(G) = h^{-1}(G) \cup \{x_0\}$ . Because  $X$  is a topological  $T_1$  space satisfying the 1st axiom of countability, any one-point set is closed and of the type  $G_\delta$ . (Therefore  $X - \{x_0\} \in F_\sigma(X)$ .) Since  $F_\sigma(X)$  is a  $\sigma$ -structure, it is obvious that in both these cases  $g^{-1}(G) \in F_\sigma(X)$ . The function  $g$  is thus of the 1st class.

Similarly a function  $g$  can be constructed in the case when  $f$  is not lower semi-continuous.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Kelley J. L., *General Topology*, New York 1955.
- [2] Mišík L., *Über die Eigenschaft von Darboux und einiger Klassen von Funktionen*, Revue Roum. Math. Pures et Appl. 11 (1966), 411—430.
- [3] Radakovič T., *Über Darboux'sche und stetige Funktionen*, Monatsh. Math. Phys. 38 (1931), 117—122.
- [4] Sikorski R., *Funkcje rzeczywiste*, Warszawa 1958.

Received July 18, 1969

*Matematický ústav  
Slovenskej akadémie vied  
Bratislava*