

Mária Polinová

Representation of Lattices by Equivalence Relations

Matematický časopis, Vol. 24 (1974), No. 1, 3--6

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127061>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

REPRESENTATION OF LATTICES BY EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

MÁRIA POLINOVÁ

Introduction

P. M. Whitman [5] proved that every lattice L can be embedded into the lattice of all equivalence relations on a set M . If L is countable (in particular finite), then P. M. Whitman's construction yields M countable. S. K. Thomason [4] gave a more simple construction for the case of L finite. In this paper we shall show that to any sublattice \mathcal{L} of the lattice of all equivalence relations on a set M with $\text{card } \mathcal{L} \leq m$, where m is an infinite cardinal number, there is a subset $Q \subset M$ with $\text{card } Q \leq m$ such that the lattice of reduced equivalence relations to the set Q is isomorphic to \mathcal{L} . An analogous result will be proved for algebraic lattices. By an algebraic lattice (see e.g. [1]) it is meant a complete lattice in which every element is a join of compact elements. Denote by $\mathcal{E}(M)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{M})$ the lattice of all equivalence relations on the set M , or the lattice of all congruence relations on the algebra \mathfrak{M} , respectively. Let \mathcal{L} be a sublattice of the lattice $\mathcal{E}(M)$; then, according to B. Jónsson [3], \mathcal{L} is

- (1) of type 1 if $\Theta \vee \Phi = \Theta \cdot \Phi$,
- (2) of type 2 if $\Theta \vee \Phi = \Theta \cdot \Phi \cdot \Theta$,
- (3) of type 3 if $\Theta \vee \Phi = \Theta \cdot \Phi \cdot \Theta \cdot \Phi$

for every $\Theta, \Phi \in \mathcal{L}$ ($\Theta \cdot \Phi$ denotes the product of Θ and Φ). Let Θ be a binary relation on a set M . We denote by Θ_Q the restriction of Θ to the subset $Q \subset M$, i. e. $(x, y) \in \Theta_Q$ if and only if $x, y \in Q$ and $(x, y) \in \Theta$. If Θ is an equivalence relation, then Θ_Q is an equivalence relation, too. If \mathcal{L} is a sublattice of $\mathcal{E}(M)$ and $Q \subset M$, then $\mathcal{L}_Q = \{\Theta_Q \mid \Theta \in \mathcal{L}\}$.

Results

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{L} be a sublattice of $\mathcal{E}(M)$ with $\text{card } \mathcal{L} \leq m$, where m is an infinite cardinal number. Then there exists a subset $Q \subset M$ with $\text{card } Q \leq m$*

such that \mathcal{L}_Q is a sublattice of $\mathcal{E}(Q)$ isomorphic to \mathcal{L} . Moreover if \mathcal{L} is of type p ($p \in \{1, 2, 3\}$), then \mathcal{L}_Q is of type p , too.

Corollary 1. *Let m be an infinite cardinal number and let L be a lattice with $\text{card } L \leq m$. Then L is isomorphic to a sublattice of $\mathcal{E}(Q)$ with $\text{card } Q \leq m$. In particular any countable (or finite) lattice is isomorphic to a sublattice of $\mathcal{E}(N)$ with $\text{card } N \leq \aleph_0$.*

Theorem 2. *If the lattice \mathcal{L} of Theorem 1 is a complete sublattice [1] of $\mathcal{E}(M)$, then the lattice \mathcal{L}_Q of Theorem 1 is a complete sublattice of $\mathcal{E}(Q)$, too.*

Corollary 2. *Any algebraic lattice L with $\text{card } L \leq m$, where m is an infinite cardinal number, is isomorphic to a complete sublattice of $\mathcal{E}(Q)$ with $\text{card } Q \leq m$.*

Corollary 3. *Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ be an algebra having only finitary operations and let C be a sublattice of the lattice $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ with $\text{card } C \leq m$, where m is an infinite cardinal number. Then there exists a subalgebra $\mathfrak{A}' = (A', F)$ of the algebra \mathfrak{A} with $\text{card } A' \leq (m + \text{card } F) \aleph_0$ such that the lattice C is isomorphic to a sublattice C' of the lattice $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A}')$. In particular if $\text{card } F \leq \aleph_0$, then $\text{card } A' \leq m$. If C is of type p ($p \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) then C' is of the type p , too. If C is a complete sublattice of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$, then C' is a complete sublattice of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A}')$, too.*

Proofs of Results

Lemma. *Let \mathcal{L} be a sublattice of the lattice $\mathcal{E}(M)$ and let $Q \subset M$. Then for the elements of \mathcal{L}_Q the following conditions hold ($\Theta, \Phi, \Theta_\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$).*

(1) *If $\Theta \leq \Phi$, then $\Theta_Q \leq \Phi_Q$.*

(2)
$$\left(\bigwedge_{\gamma \in I} \Theta_\gamma\right)_Q = \bigwedge_{\gamma \in I} (\Theta_\gamma)_Q.$$

(3)
$$\left(\bigvee_{\gamma \in I} \Theta_\gamma\right)_Q \geq \bigvee_{\gamma \in I} (\Theta_\gamma)_Q.$$

Proof of Lemma.

(1) If $(x, y) \in \Theta_Q$ then $x, y \in Q \subset M$ and $(x, y) \in \Theta$.

This implies $x, y \in Q$ and $(x, y) \in \Phi$, hence $(x, y) \in \Phi_Q$.

(2) $(x, y) \in \left(\bigwedge_{\gamma \in I} \Theta_\gamma\right)_Q$ if and only if $x, y \in Q$ and $(x, y) \in \bigwedge_{\gamma \in I} \Theta_\gamma$. This is true if

and only if $x, y \in Q$ and $(x, y) \in \Theta_\gamma$ for each $\gamma \in I$. This is equivalent to $(x, y) \in \bigwedge_{\gamma \in I} (\Theta_\gamma)_Q$.

(3) follows from (1).

Proof of Theorem 1. According to the Lemma it is sufficient to show that there exists $Q \subset M$ such that the following three conditions are fulfilled:

- (4) $\text{card } Q \leq m$.
- (5) The correspondence $\Theta \mapsto \Theta_Q$ is one-one.
- (6) $(\Theta \vee \Phi)_Q \leq \Theta_Q \vee \Phi_Q$ for any $\Theta, \Phi \in \mathcal{L}$.

We shall construct a sequence of sets Q_n by induction. For every $\Theta, \Phi \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\Theta < \Phi$ choose elements $a, b \in M$ with $(a, b) \in \Phi$ but $(a, b) \notin \Theta$; denote Q_0 the set of all these elements a, b . Obviously, $\text{card } Q_0 \leq m$. Now we construct the sets $O_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, as follows. Let us suppose that we have already constructed $Q_i (i \in \{0, 1, \dots\})$. For every pair $\Theta, \Phi \in \mathcal{L}$ and for every pair $(a, b) \in Q_i \times Q_i$ with $(a, b) \in (\Theta \vee \Phi)_{Q_i}$ but $(a, b) \notin \Theta_{Q_i} \vee \Phi_{Q_i}$ choose a finite sequence $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n \in M$ such that $a = t_0 \Theta t_1 \Phi t_2 \dots t_{n-1} \Phi t_n = b$ and all elements of these sequences add to the set Q_i . Thus we obtain the set Q_{i+1} . It is easy to prove that $\text{card } Q_{i+1} \leq m$. Obviously, $Q_i \subset Q_{i+1}$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$. Let $Q = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} Q_i$. Obviously, $\text{card } Q \leq m$, which proves (4). Now we prove (5). If $\Theta \neq \Phi$, then either $\Theta \wedge \Phi < \Theta$ or $\Theta \wedge \Phi < \Phi$. If $\Theta \wedge \Phi < \Theta$, then there exist elements $a, b \in Q_0 \subset Q \subset M$ with $(a, b) \in \Theta$ but $(a, b) \notin \Theta \wedge \Phi$, i. e. $(a, b) \notin \Phi$. This means $\Theta_Q \neq \Phi_Q$. The proof for $\Theta \wedge \Phi < \Phi$ is analogous. It remains to prove (6). If $a, b \in Q$ and $(a, b) \in (\Theta \vee \Phi)_Q$, then there exists an $i \in N$ such that $(a, b) \in (\Theta \vee \Phi)_{Q_i}$. If $(a, b) \in \Theta_{Q_i} \vee \Phi_{Q_i}$, then obviously $(a, b) \in \Theta_Q \vee \Phi_Q$. If $(a, b) \notin \Theta_{Q_i} \vee \Phi_{Q_i}$, then there exists a finite sequence $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n \in Q_{i+1}$ such that $a = t_0 \Theta t_1 \Phi t_2 \dots t_{n-1} \Phi t_n = b$; this means $(a, b) \in \Theta_{Q_{i+1}} \vee \Phi_{Q_{i+1}}$ and also $(a, b) \in \Theta_Q \vee \Phi_Q$. It can easily be seen that if \mathcal{L} is of type $p (p = 1, 2, 3)$ the construction of Q can be realised in such a way that \mathcal{L}_Q is of the type p , too.

Proof of Corollary 1. By Whitman's theorem [5] L is isomorphic to a sublattice \mathcal{L} of the lattice $\mathcal{E}(M)$ on a set M . By Theorem 1, there exists a set $Q \subset M$ with $\text{card } Q \leq m$ such that \mathcal{L} is isomorphic to \mathcal{L}_Q . Hence L is isomorphic to \mathcal{L}_Q .

Proof of Theorem 2. Using the isomorphism $\Theta \rightarrow \Theta_Q$ of Theorem 1, we get $(\Theta_1 \vee \Theta_2 \dots \vee \Theta_n)_Q = (\Theta_1)_Q \vee (\Theta_2)_Q \vee \dots \vee (\Theta_n)_Q$ for an arbitrary natural number n . This implies immediately the following inequality

$$(7) \quad \left(\bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \Theta_\gamma \right)_Q \leq \bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\Theta_\gamma)_Q \text{ for } \Theta_\gamma \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Proof of Corollary 2. By [2], L is isomorphic to the lattice $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{M})$ on a finitary algebra $\mathfrak{M} = (M, F)$. By Theorem 2, there exists $Q \subset M$ with $\text{card } Q \leq m$ such that \mathcal{L} is isomorphic to \mathcal{L}_Q . Hence L is isomorphic to \mathcal{L}_Q .

Proof of Corollary 3. Let us construct a sequence of sets A_n by induction. Let A_0 have the same meaning as Q_0 in the proof of Theorem 1. Now we construct sets $A_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ as follows. Let us suppose that we have already constructed $A_i, i \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$. In the case of i being even we construct A_{i+1} from A_i in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1 we constructed Q_{i-1} from Q_i . If i is odd, we set $A_{i+1} = [A_i]$, where $([A_i], F)$ is the algebra generated by A_i . It is easy to prove that $\text{card } A_{i+1} \leq (m + \text{card } F)\aleph_0$ (see e. g. [1]) in any case. Obviously, $A_i \subset A_{i+1}$. Let $A' = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$. Obviously, $\text{card } A' \leq (m + \text{card } F)\aleph_0$ and every equivalence relation $\Theta_{A'}$ is a congruence relation of \mathfrak{A}' . It suffices to show that the following statements are true:

- (8) $\mathfrak{A}' = (A', F)$ is a subalgebra of the algebra \mathfrak{A} .
- (9) The correspondence $\Theta \mapsto \Theta_{A'}$ is one-one.
- (10) $(\Theta \vee \Phi)_{A'} = \Theta_{A'} \vee \Phi_{A'}$.

If $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A'$, then for every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ $a_i \in A_{j(i)}$ for some $j(i) \in N$. Let $k = \max \{j(i), i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, then $a_i \in A_k$ for every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. Hence for every $f_\gamma \in F$, $f_\gamma(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in A_{k+2} \subset A'$, which proves (8). The proof of (9) is analogous to that of (5). It remains to prove (10). If $a, b \in A'$ and $(a, b) \in (\Theta \vee \Phi)_{A'}$, then there exists $i \in N$ such that $(a, b) \in (\Theta \vee \Phi)_{A_i}$. If $(a, b) \in \Theta_{A_i} \vee \Phi_{A_i}$, then obviously $(a, b) \in \Theta_{A'} \vee \Phi_{A'}$. If $(a, b) \notin \Theta_{A_i} \vee \Phi_{A_i}$, then there exists a finite sequence $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n \in A_{i+2}$ such that $a = t_0 \Theta t_1 \Phi t_2 \dots t_{n-1} \Phi t_n = b$. This means $(a, b) \in \Theta_{A_{i+2}} \vee \Phi_{A_{i+2}}$ and $(a, b) \in \Theta_{A'} \vee \Phi_{A'}$, too. The last assertion of Corollary 3 can be obtained using Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] GRÄTZER, G.: Universal Algebra, 1. ed. Princeton 1968.
- [2] GRÄTZER, G., SCHMIDT, E. T.: Characterization of congruence lattices of abstract algebras. Acta sci. Math. Szeged 24, 1963, 34–59.
- [3] JÓNSSON, B.: On the representation of lattices. Math. scand. 1, 1953, 193–206.
- [4] THOMASON, S. K.: A proof of Whitman's representation theorem for finite lattices. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 25, 1970, 618–619.
- [5] WHITMAN, P. M.: Lattices, equivalence relations and subgroups. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 52, 1946, 507–522.

Received September 8, 1972

*Katedra algebry a teórie čísel
Prírodovedecká fakulta UK
816 31 Bratislava*