

Manuel de León; Ercüment Ortaçgil
On frames defined by horizontal spaces

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 46 (1996), No. 2, 241–248

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127287>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON FRAMES DEFINED BY HORIZONTAL SPACES

MANUEL DE LEÓN, Madrid, ERCÜMENT ORTAĞIL, Istanbul

(Received April 27, 1994)

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be a n -dimensional differentiable manifold and $F^2(M)$ be its second order holonomic frame bundle with canonical projection $F^2(M) \rightarrow M$ and structure group $G^2(n)$ (see [2], Chapter 10, for a detailed description). It is shown in [1] that $G^2(n)$ can be expressed as a semidirect product $Gl(n, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes S^2(n)$ where $S^2(n)$ stands for the additive Lie group of symmetric bilinear maps $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. We express here the action of $S^2(n)$ on $F^2(M)$ in terms of the action of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g})$ on the horizontal spaces in $F(M)$ where \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of $Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$. This latter action plays a basic role in the theory of prolongations of G -structures (see, for instance, [9, 15]). To make the geometric content of this action more transparent, we construct an auxiliary bundle $H^2(M) \rightarrow M$ using arbitrary horizontal spaces in $F(M)$ and we identify $H^2(M) \rightarrow M$ with $\hat{F}^2(M) \rightarrow M$ (Theorem 3.1), where $\hat{F}^2 M$ is the second order semi-holonomic frame bundle of M . Then, we express the universal connection on the 1-jets of sections $J^1(F(M))$ of $F(M)$ as studied in [10] (see also [2]) in terms of the \mathfrak{g} -component of the canonical form $\hat{\theta}^{(2)}$ of $\hat{F}^2(M)$. As an application, we prove that a semi-holonomic parallelism σ of second order is characterized by an ordinary parallelism plus a linear connection. We recover in this way the well-known result of P. Libermann ([13]) and P. C. Yuen [16]). The integrability of σ is obtained in terms of the two connections associated to σ . A semi-holonomic parallelism of second order may be viewed as a semi-holonomic second order $(1, 0)$ -structure. Semi-holonomic parallelisms of second order appears in a natural way in the characterization of the local homogeneity of Cosserat continua (see [6]).

2. THE BUNDLE $H^2(M) \longrightarrow M$

Let M be n -dimensional differentiable manifold and $F(M)$ the linear frame bundle of M . We denote by $\pi_0^1: F(M) \longrightarrow M$ the canonical projection. Let $j_0^1\varphi$ be the 1-jet of a differentiable mapping from a neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^n into $F(M)$ such that $\pi_0^1 \circ \varphi$ is a diffeomorphism. Then $j_0^1\varphi$ is called a non-holonomic frame of second order at the point $\pi_0^1(\varphi(0)) \in M$. We know that the set $\tilde{F}^2(M)$ of all non-holonomic frames of second order at all points of M is a principal bundle over M with canonical projection $\tilde{\pi}_0^2: \tilde{F}^2(M) \longrightarrow M$ and structure group $\tilde{G}^2(n) = J_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n, J_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n))$ of non-holonomic 2-jets with source and target 0 in \mathbb{R}^n . We also have a canonical projection $\tilde{\pi}_1^2: \tilde{F}^2(M) \longrightarrow F(M)$ given by $\tilde{\pi}_1^2(j_0^1\varphi) = \varphi(0)$. A non-holonomic 2-jet $j_0^1\varphi$ is said to be semi-holonomic if $\tilde{\pi}_1^2(j_0^1\varphi) = j_0^1(\pi_0^1 \circ \varphi)$. Let $\hat{F}^2(M) \subset \tilde{F}^2(M)$ be the set of semi-holonomic frames. We know that $\hat{\pi}_0^2: \hat{F}^2(M) \longrightarrow M$, where $\hat{\pi}_0^2$ is the restriction of $\tilde{\pi}_0^2$, is a frame bundle with structure group $\hat{G}^2(n) = Gl(n, \mathbb{R}) \times B^2(n)$ where $B^2(n)$ stands for the additive Lie group of bilinear maps $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ (see [14, 16] for a detailed description). The group operation is given by

$$(a, f) \square (b, g) = (ab, a \circ g + f(b, b)).$$

Let $\tau: \hat{G}^2(n) \longrightarrow \hat{G}^2(n)$ be the diffeomorphism defined by $\tau(a, f) = (a, 0) \square (1, f) = (a, a \circ f)$. We define a second group structure \bullet on $\hat{G}^2(n)$ by $u \bullet v = \tau^{-1}(\tau(u) \square \tau(v))$, $u, v \in \hat{G}^2(n)$. It follows that $(\hat{G}^2(n), \bullet)$ is given by the semidirect product

$$(a, f) \bullet (b, g) = (ab, b^{-1} \circ f(b, b) + g)$$

and $\tau: (\hat{G}^2(n), \bullet) \longrightarrow (\hat{G}^2(n), \square)$ is an isomorphism of Lie groups. For $w \in \hat{F}^2(M)$ and $c \in (\hat{G}^2(n), \bullet)$, using the same notation \bullet , we define $w \bullet c = w \cdot \tau(c)$. The maps $\text{id}: \hat{F}^2(M) \longrightarrow \hat{F}^2(M)$ and τ define now an isomorphism of the principal bundles $(\hat{F}^2(M), M, (\hat{G}^2(n), \bullet))$ and $(\hat{F}^2(M), M, (\hat{G}^2(n), \square))$.

Remark 2.1. We can alternatively define $\tau(a, f) = (1, f) \square (a, 0)$. This gives $(a, f) \bullet (b, g) = (ab, f + a \circ g(a^{-1}, a^{-1}))$, which is the convention adopted in [1].

Our aim is to study the actions of the subgroups $Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $B^2(n)$ of $(\hat{G}^2(n), \square)$ on $\hat{F}^2(M)$. Although this can be done directly (see Section 3), to make the situation more transparent, we now introduce a bundle $H^2(M) \longrightarrow M$ with group $(\hat{G}^2(n), \bullet)$ which is interesting in its own right.

Let $\pi_0^1: F(M) \longrightarrow M$ be the canonical projection, $z \in F(M)$ and H_z a horizontal space at z over $\pi_0^1(z)$. The restriction of the canonical form $\theta^{(1)}$ of $F(M)$ to H_z gives an isomorphism $\theta^{(1)}: H_z \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. For $A \in \mathfrak{g} = gl(n, \mathbb{R})$, let A^* be the fundamental vector field corresponding to A . We obtain now an isomorphism $u: \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow$

$T_z(F(M))$ defined by $u(\xi, A) = h + A_z^*$, where $h \in H_z$ with $\theta^{(1)}(h) = \xi$ (see [9, 15]). Choosing the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathfrak{g} , u defines a frame at z and thus $u \in F(F(M))$ and $\pi_1^2(u) = z$ where $\pi_1^2: F(F(M)) \rightarrow F(M)$ is the canonical projection. From now on, we will identify u with the pair (z, H_z) .

Definition 2.1. $H^2(M)$ is the subset of $F(F(M))$ consisting of all frames in $F(M)$ defined by some horizontal space as described above.

The additive group $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g})$ acts on $H^2(M)$ as follows ([9, 15]): Let $u = (z, H_z)$ and $f \in \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$. Let (e_1, \dots, e_n) be the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n and choose $h_i \in H_z$ with $\theta^{(1)}(h_i) = e_i$. Let L_z be the horizontal space spanned by the vectors $h_i + f(e_i)_z^*$. We define $u \cdot f = (z, L_z)$. Clearly, this is a free group action. Now let (z, H_z) and (z, L_z) be given. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and choose $h \in H_z, l \in L_z$ with $\theta^{(1)}(h) = \theta^{(1)}(l) = \xi$. Then $(\pi_1^2)_*(l - h) = 0$ and therefore $l - h = A_z^*$ for some $A \in \mathfrak{g}$. Define the map $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ by $f(\xi) = A$. It follows that $f \in \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ and $(z, H_z) \cdot f = (z, L_z)$. Thus the action is also transitive. Consequently, $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ acts simply transitively on the fibers of the projection $\pi_1^2: H^2(M) \rightarrow F(M)$, where π_1^2 denotes the restriction of $\pi_1^2: F(F(M)) \rightarrow F(M)$ to $H^2(M)$.

There is also an action of $Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ on $H^2(M)$ by right translation: $(z, H_z) \cdot a = (za, (R_a)_*(H_z)), a \in Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$. We identify $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ with the additive group $B^2(n)$ of bilinear maps $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by $f(e_i)(e_j) = f(e_j, e_i) = f_{ji}^k e_k$. An element (a, f) of the set $Gl(n, \mathbb{R}) \times B^2(n)$ defines a map $\overline{(a, f)}: H^2(M) \rightarrow H^2(M)$ by $(u)\overline{(a, f)} = (u \cdot a) \cdot f$. Clearly $\overline{(a, f)}$ is a bijection on the fibers of $\pi_0^2: H^2(M) \rightarrow M$, π_0^2 being the canonical projection.

Lemma 2.1. $\overline{(a, f)} \circ \overline{(b, g)} = \overline{(ab, b^{-1} \circ f(b, b) + g)}$, where we compose from left to right on the left hand side of the equality.

Proof. First note that for a given $a \in Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $f \in B^2(n)$, there exists a unique $f^{(a)} \in B^2(n)$ satisfying $((u \cdot a) \cdot f) \cdot a^{-1} = u \cdot f^{(a)}$ for all $u \in H^2(M)$. Omitting dots and paranthesis, we have $(u)\overline{(a, f)} \circ \overline{(b, g)} = (u)afbg = (u)abb^{-1}fbg = (u)abf^{(b^{-1})}g = (u)\overline{(ab, f^{(b^{-1})} + g)}$. It suffices therefore to prove that $f^{(b^{-1})} = b^{-1} \circ f(b, b)$. This can be checked by a straightforward computation using $(R_b)^*\theta^{(1)} = b^{-1}\theta^{(1)}$ and $(R_b)_*A^* = ((Ad(b^{-1})A)^*$ and we will omit the details. \square

Thus, in this way, we recover the group $(\hat{G}^2(n), \bullet)$ and identifying (a, f) with $\overline{(a, f)}$, the group acts on $H^2(M)$.

It is easy to see that $H^2(M)$ is a submanifold of $F(F(M))$ and the action of $(\hat{G}^2(n), \bullet)$ on $H^2(M)$ is differentiable. Also, let $\Theta^{(1)}$ be the restriction of the canonical form of $F(F(M)) \rightarrow F(M)$ to $H^2(M)$. Then $\Theta^{(1)}$ is a $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ valued 1-form: If X is a tangent vector at $u = (z, H_z)$ and $(\pi_1^2)_*(X) = h_z + A_z^*, h_z \in H_z$, then the

\mathbb{R}^n -component of $\Theta^{(1)}(X)$ is $\theta^{(1)}(h_z)$, and its \mathfrak{g} -component of $\Theta^{(1)}(X)$ is A . In fact, we have

Theorem 2.2. (i) $\pi_1^2: H^2(M) \rightarrow F(M)$ is a trivial principal bundle with group $B^2(n)$ and $\pi_0^2: H^2(M) \rightarrow M$ is a principal bundle with group $(\hat{G}^2(n), \bullet)$.

(ii) $(R_\alpha)^*\Theta^{(1)} = \alpha^{-1}\Theta^{(1)}$, where $\alpha = (a, f) \in (\hat{G}^2(n), \bullet)$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ by $(a, f)(\xi, \beta) = (a\xi, f(a\xi) + Ad(a)\beta)$.

Rather than working out the details of Theorem 2.1, we shall identify $H^2(M)$ with $\hat{F}^2(M)$ (Theorem 3.1) and these properties will be immediate. However, it is worth noting that the opposite route can be taken: All properties of $\hat{F}^2(M)$ and its canonical form $\hat{\theta}^{(2)}$ can be derived simply from $H^2(M)$ and $\theta^{(1)}$.

We also have the following theorem whose proof is immediate from definitions.

Theorem 2.3. *There is a one to one correspondence between*

(i) *Connections on $F(M) \rightarrow M$.*

(ii) *$Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ -reductions of $H^2(M) \rightarrow M$.*

(iii) *$Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant sections of $H^2(M) \rightarrow F(M)$.*

Remark 2.2. The equivalence (i)–(iii) was proved by P. Libermann [13] (see also [16]).

3. IDENTIFICATIONS WITH $\hat{F}^2(M)$ AND $J^1(F(M))$

Recall that an element $w \in \hat{F}^2(M)$ is a 1-jet $j_0^1\varphi$, $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow F(M)$ such that $\pi_0^1 \circ \varphi$ is a diffeomorphism and $\hat{\pi}_1^2(j_0^1\varphi) = \varphi(0) = j_0^1(\pi_0^1 \circ \varphi)$. Given w , we define a horizontal space at $z = \varphi(0)$ by the image of $T(\mathbb{R}^n)_0$ under the map $(\varphi)_*(0)$, which we will denote by $H_z(w)$. By a straightforward computation in local coordinates (see the proof of Lemma 3.1), it follows that any horizontal space at z is obtained in this way and if $\hat{\pi}_1^2(w) = \hat{\pi}_1^2(v) = z$ and $H_z(w) = H_z(v)$, then $w = v$. We thus obtain a bijective map $\nu: \hat{F}^2(M) \rightarrow H^2(M)$ defined by $\nu(v) = (z, H_z(w))$.

Lemma 3.1. (i) $H_z(w \cdot (1, f)) = H_z(w) \cdot f$;

(ii) $H_{za}(w \cdot (a, 0)) = (R_a)_*H_z(w)$, $z = \hat{\pi}_1^2(w)$.

Proof. (i) Let $p \in M$, $(x^1, \dots, x^n; U)$ be a coordinate neighborhood containing p , (x^i, x_j^i, x_{jk}^i) the induced coordinates on $W = (\hat{\pi}_0^2)^{-1}(U)$ and $w \in W$. Notice that in general the coordinates x_{jk}^i are not symmetric with respect to the indices jk . Then $H_z(w)$ is spanned by the vectors

$$\alpha_i = x_i^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \Big|_z + x_{ki}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^j} \Big|_z.$$

In fact, if $w = j_0^1\varphi$, where $\varphi(t^k) = (\varphi^i(t^k), \overline{\varphi_j^i(t^k)})$, $t = (t^1, \dots, t^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then we have

$$(\varphi)_*(0)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^i}\right) = \frac{\partial\varphi^k}{\partial x^i}(0)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + \frac{\partial\varphi_{ki}^j}{\partial x^i}(0)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^j} = x_i^k\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\Big|_z + x_{ki}^j\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^j}\Big|_z.$$

By definition, $H_z(w) \cdot f$ is then spanned by the vectors $\alpha_i + f(e_i)_z^*$. Since for $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, $A = (A)_j^i$, we have

$$A_z^* = A_j^s x_s^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j^i}\Big|_z,$$

it follows that

$$\alpha_i + (f(e_i))_z^* = x_i^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\Big|_z + (x_{ji}^k + x_m^k f_{ji}^m) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j^k}\Big|_z.$$

On the other hand, we also have $w \cdot (1, f) = (x^i, x_j^i, x_{jk}^i) \cdot (\delta_j^i, f_{jk}^i) = (x^i, x_j^i, x_{jk}^i + x_m^i f_{jk}^m)$, which proves (i).

(ii) is proved similarly and we will omit the details. \square

We now have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(w \bullet (a, f)) &= \nu(w \cdot \tau(a, f)) \\ &= \nu((w \cdot (a, 0)) \cdot (1, f)) \\ &= (za, H_{za}(w \cdot (a, 0)) \cdot f) \text{ (Lemma 3.1, (i))} \\ &= (za, \{(R_a)_*(H_z(w))\} \cdot f) \text{ (Lemma 3.1, (ii))} \\ &= \{(z, H_z(w)) \cdot a\} \cdot f \\ &= \nu(w) \cdot (a, f). \end{aligned}$$

Introducing a differentiable structure on $H^2(M)$ by ν (which is easily checked to be none other than the one in Theorem 2.1), we obtain

Theorem 3.2. *We have the principal bundle isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu, \text{id}): (\hat{F}^2(M), M, (\hat{G}^2(n), \bullet)) &\longrightarrow (H^2(M), M, (\hat{G}^2(n), \bullet)) \text{ and} \\ (\nu, \text{id}): (\hat{F}^2(M), F(M), B^2(n)) &\longrightarrow (H^2(M), F(M), B^2(n)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, in view of description of $\Theta^{(1)}$ above and the definitions of $\hat{\theta}^{(2)}$ and τ , it follows that $\nu^*(\Theta^{(1)}) = \hat{\theta}^{(2)}$. In fact, ν is the identity when one considers the induced coordinates in $\hat{F}^2(M)$ and $H^2(M)$, the latter considered as a submanifold of $F(F(M))$.

Now let $J^1(F(M))$ be the manifold of 1-jets of sections of $\pi_0^1: F(M) \rightarrow M$, i.e.. $J^1(F(M)) = \{j_x^1(s) \mid s \text{ is a section of } \pi_0^1\}$. Given w , we define a horizontal space at $s(x)$ by the image of $T(M)_x$ under the map $(s)_*(x)$. In this way, we obtain a bijective map $\mu: J^1(F(M)) \rightarrow H^2(M)$ by which we identify $J^1(F(M))$ and $H^2(M)$. Notice that μ is locally given by $\nu(\bar{x}^i, \bar{x}_j^i, \bar{x}_{jk}^i) = (x^i = \bar{x}^i, x_j^i = \bar{x}_j^i, x_{jk}^i = \bar{x}_k^i \bar{x}_{jr}^i)$, where $(\bar{x}^i, \bar{x}_j^i, \bar{x}_{jk}^i)$ are the induced coordinates in $J^1(F(M))$ (see [10]). In [10], it is proved that there is a \mathfrak{g} valued 1-form Ψ defined on $J^1(F(M))$ such that for any $Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant section γ of $J^1(F(M)) \rightarrow F(M)$, $\gamma^*(\Psi)$ is a connection 1-form on $F(M)$ and this sets up a one to one correspondence between connection 1-forms ω and invariant sections γ (see [10] for a more general result). Ψ is also called the universal connection on $J^1(F(M))$ and plays an important role in the study of systems of connections (see [2], Chapter 9, and the references therein). Let us remark that $Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ acts on $J^1(F(M))$ in such a way that $J^1(F(M))$ is a $Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ -principal bundle over $J^1(F(M))/Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 3.3. *The universal connection Ψ on $\hat{F}^2(M)$ coincides with the \mathfrak{g} -component $(\hat{\theta}^{(2)})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\hat{\theta}^{(2)}$, i.e.. we have*

$$\Psi = \mu^*(\nu^{-1})^*((\hat{\theta}^{(2)})^{\mathfrak{g}}).$$

This can be showed by using the local expressions of Ψ (see [10]) and $\theta^{(2)}$ (see [14]). Indeed, we have

$$\hat{\theta}^{(2)} = \hat{\theta}^i e_i + \hat{\theta}_j^i E_i^j,$$

where

$$\hat{\theta}^i = y_k^i dx^k, \quad \hat{\theta}_j^i = y_k^i dx_j^k - y_s^i y_r^s x_{ij}^r dx^r,$$

and

$$\Psi = \Psi_j^i, \quad \Psi_j^i = \bar{y}_k^i d\bar{x}_j^k - \bar{y}_r^i \bar{x}_{jk}^r d\bar{x}^k,$$

being $(y_j^i) = (x_j^i)^{-1}$. Let Γ now be the section of $\pi_1^2: \hat{F}^2(M) \rightarrow F(M)$ given in Theorem 2.2, and ω the connection form of the connection defined by Γ . It is then easy to see that $\omega = \Gamma^*((\theta^{(2)})^{\mathfrak{g}})$. Indeed, if $\Gamma: (x^i, x_j^i) \rightarrow (x^i, x_j^i, \Gamma_{rs}^l(x^i, x_j^i))$ since Γ is $Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant, then $\Gamma_{rs}^l(x^i, x_j^i) = x_r^u x_s^v \Gamma_{uv}^k(x^i, \delta_j^i) y_k^l$. Hence a straightforward computation shows that $\Gamma^*(\theta_j^i) = y_t^i(dx_j^t) - x_j^u \Gamma_{ru}^i dx^r$ and the functions $-\Gamma_{sm}^i(x^i, \delta_j^i)$ are the Christoffel symbols of the connection 1-form $\omega = \Gamma^*(\theta^{\mathfrak{g}2})$ (see [11]).

Remark 3.1. (1) A $Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant section of $H^2(M) \rightarrow M$ is called an E-connection of order 1 in [16].

(2) If $\omega(H_z) = 0$, ω and Γ as above, it is easy to see that $\Gamma(F(M)) \subset F^2(M)$ iff ω is torsionfree. Therefore, we recover Proposition 7.1. (p. 147) in [12].

4. SEMI-HOLONOMIC PARALLELISMS

A global section $\sigma: M \rightarrow \hat{F}^2(M)$ will be called a *semi-holonomic parallelism of second order*. In this section we shall give a geometric interpretation of a semi-holonomic parallelism.

First, notice that σ induces by projection a global section of $p: M \rightarrow F(M)$, i.e., an ordinary parallelism on M and a $Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant section $q: F(M) \rightarrow \hat{F}^2(M)$, or, equivalently, a linear connection Λ on M . Conversely, an ordinary parallelism and a linear connection on M defines a semi-holonomic parallelism of second order on M .

Next, we shall study the integrability of a semi-holonomic parallelism σ . We say that σ is *integrable* if there exist local coordinates (x^i) around each point of M such that $\sigma(x^i) = (x^i, 1, 0)$. Let us recall that an ordinary parallelism $p: M \rightarrow F(M)$ induces a flat linear connection Γ defined by $\nabla_{p_i} p_j = 0$, where $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, and $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ are n linearly independent vector fields on M . In general, Γ is not symmetric.

Suppose that σ is integrable. Hence p is integrable, i.e., $p(x^i) = (x^i, 1)$, or, in other words, $p_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$. Then the Christoffel symbols of Γ vanish in the coordinates (x^i) . Furthermore, we have $q(x^i, x_j^i) = (x^i, x_j^i, q_{jk}^i(x^u, x_v^u))$. Since $q(x^i, 1) = \sigma(x^i) = (x^i, 1, 0)$ we deduce that the Christoffel symbols of Λ are zero.

Conversely, let T be the torsion tensor of Γ and $D = \Gamma - \Lambda$ the difference tensor of the two connections. Suppose that T and D simultaneously vanish. Hence there exist local coordinates (x^i) around each point of M such that $p(x^i) = (x^i, 1)$, or, in other words, the Christoffel symbols Γ_{jk}^i vanish. Since $\Gamma = \Lambda$ the same is true for Λ and consequently we obtain $\sigma(x^i) = (x^i, 1, 0)$. Summing up, we have proved the following.

Theorem 4.1. *A semi-holonomic parallelism of second order σ is integrable if and only if T and D simultaneously vanish.*

These results generalize the previous ones obtained in [7, 3, 4, 5, 8] for holonomic parallelisms of second order.

5. FINAL REMARK

Finally, we would like to point out the following interesting point: The group operation \bullet on $\hat{G}^2(n)$ is simply the second order jet composition, whereas, the bundle $H^2(M) \rightarrow M$ is constructed on purely geometric grounds using right translation and "Hom-action". Still, the two groups and the corresponding bundles turn out

to be isomorphic. This brings up the following somewhat vague question: Is it possible to construct the analogous bundles $H^k(M)$ for $k \geq 3$ using translations and Hom-actions and recover semi-holonomic jet groups and the frame bundles?

References

- [1] *E. A. Dabán, I. S. Rodrigues*: On structure equations for second order connections. *Differential Geometry and Its Applications, Proc. Conf. Opava (Czechoslovakia)*, August 24–28, 1992, Silesian University, Opava. 1993, pp. 257–264.
- [2] *L. A. Cordero, C. T. J. Dodson, M. de León*: *Differential Geometry of Frame Bundles, Mathematics and its Applications*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [3] *M. de León, M. Epstein*: On the integrability of second order G -structures with applications to continuous theories of dislocations. *Reports on Mathematical Physics* 33 (1993), no. 3, 419–436.
- [4] *M. de León, M. Epstein*: Material bodies of higher grade. *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sc. Paris* 319 *Série I* (1994), 615–620.
- [5] *M. de León, M. Epstein*: Material bodies, elasticity and differential geometry. *Proceedings of the II Fall Workshop on Differential Geometry and its Applications, Barcelona, September 20–21, 1993*. Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, 1994, pp. 47–54.
- [6] *M. de León, M. Epstein*: The Differential Geometry of Cosserat Media. *Proceedings Colloquium on Differential Geometry, July 25–30, 1994, Debrecen, Hungary*.
- [7] *M. de León, M. Salgado*: Tensor fields and connections on cross-sections in the frame bundle of second order. *Publ. Inst. Mathématique* 43 (57) (1988), 83–87.
- [8] *M. Elzanowski, S. Prishpionok*: Connections on holonomic frame bundles of higher order contact and uniform material structures. *Research Report No. 4/93, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Portland State University*.
- [9] *A. Fujimoto*: *Theory of G -Structures*. Publications of the Study Group of Geometry, Vol. I. Tokyo, 1972.
- [10] *P. L. García*: Connections and 1-jet fiber bundles. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* 47 (1972), 227–242.
- [11] *S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu*: *Foundations of Differential Geometry, vol. I*. Interscience Publishers, New York, 1963.
- [12] *S. Kobayashi*: *Transformations Groups in Differential Geometry*. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [13] *P. Libermann*: Connexions d'ordre supérieur et tenseur de structure. *Atti del Convegno Int. di Geometria Differenziale, Bologna, 28–30, September 1967, Ed. Zanichelli*. Bologna, 1967, pp. 1–18.
- [14] *V. Oproiu*: Connections in the semiholonomic frame bundle of second order. *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.* XIV (1969), no. 5, 661–672.
- [15] *I. M. Singer, S. Sternberg*: On the infinite groups of Lie and Cartan. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 15 (1965), 1–114.
- [16] *P. C. Yuen*: Higher order frames and linear connections. *Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle XII* (1971), no. 3, 333–371.

Authors' addresses: M. de León, Instituto de Matemáticas y Física Fundamental, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Serrano 123, 28006 Madrid, Spain, e-mail: ceem102@cc.csic.es; E. Ortacgil, Boğaziçi University, Mathematics Department, Bebek, Istanbul, 80815, Turkey, e-mail: ortacgil@trboun.bitnet.